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# ON THE IDENTITY OF MINIMAL AND MAXIMAL REALIZATIONS RELATED TO FOURIER SERIES OPERATORS 

JOUKO TERVO


#### Abstract

The identity of the maximal and minimal realizations of the linear Fourier series operators $$
(L(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi)(x):=(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}} L(x, l) \varphi_{l} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(l, x)}
$$ in the appropriate subspaces of periodic distributions are studied. Specifically, criteria for the equality of the realizations from $B_{p, k}^{\pi}$ into $B_{p, k}^{\pi}$ are established. Here $B_{p, k}^{\pi}$ is the subspace of $D_{\pi}^{\prime}$ for whose elements $u$ one has ( $\left.u_{l} k(l)\right)_{i \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}} \in l_{p}$ ( $D_{\pi}^{\prime}$ denotes the space of all periodic distributions). In the case when $p=2$ and $k \equiv 1$, one observes that $B_{p, k}^{\pi}$ is the space of all periodic $L_{2}(W)$-functions (where $W:=\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \mid x_{j} \in\right]-\pi, \pi[ \}$ ). The equality of the realizations from $B_{p, k}^{\pi}$ into $L_{p^{\prime}}(W) \cap D_{\pi}^{\prime}$ is also examined, where $\left.\left.p \in\right] 1,2\right]$ and $p^{\prime} \in \mathbf{R}$ so that $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$.


## 1. Introduction

Denote by $L(x, \mathrm{D})$ the linear Fourier series operator defined in the space $C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ of all smooth periodic functions $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by the requirement

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi)(x)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}} L(x, l) \varphi_{l} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(l, x)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\varphi_{l}$ is the Fourier coefficient of $\varphi . L(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a mapping $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{Z}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ so that $L(\cdot, l) \in \mathbb{C}_{\pi}^{\infty}$ for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and that with the constants $C_{\alpha}>0$ and $\mu_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$ the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in W}\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} L\right)(x, l)\right| \leq C_{\alpha} k_{\mu_{\alpha}}(l):=C_{\alpha}\left(1+|l|^{2}\right)^{\mu_{\alpha} / 2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]holds (in (1.2) $W$ denotes the cube $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid x_{\jmath} \in\right]-\pi, \pi[ \}$ ).
This contribution deals with the equality of the minimal and maximal realizations, say $L_{p, k, h}^{\sim}$ and $L_{p, k, h}^{\prime \#}$, from $B_{p, k}^{\pi}$ into $B_{p, h}^{\pi}$. The spaces $B_{p, k}^{\pi}$ (where $p \in\left[1, \infty\left[\right.\right.$ and $k$ lies in the class $K_{\pi}^{\prime}$ of certain weight functions) are appropriate scales of the space $D_{\pi}^{\prime}$ of all periodic distributions. The equality of the realizations $\boldsymbol{L}_{p, p^{\prime}, k}^{\sim}$ and $\boldsymbol{L}_{p, p^{\prime}, k}^{\prime \#}$ from $B_{p, k}^{\pi}$ into $L_{p^{\prime}}(W) \bigcap D_{\pi}^{\prime}\left(p^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R} ; 1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1\right)$ are also studied, when $p \in] 1,2]$ and $k \in K_{\pi}^{\prime}$.

The best known example of the operators, which can be defined by (1.1), are linear partial differential operators with $C_{\pi}^{\infty}$-coefficients (cf. [4], [3], [1], [6] and [7]). It follows from the well-known regularity results of solutions (cf. [4], pp. 90-119) that smooth periodic elliptic operators are essentially maximal in $H_{k_{s}}^{\pi}:=B_{2, k_{\mathrm{s}}}^{\pi}, s \in \mathbb{R}$, that is the equality $L_{2, k_{\mathrm{s}}, k_{s}}^{\sim}=L_{2, k_{\mathrm{o}}, k_{\mathrm{s}}}^{\prime \#}$ holds. Some criteria for the essential maximality in $H_{k_{0}}^{\pi}:=L_{2}(W) \cap D_{\pi}^{\prime}$ can also be found in [8], pp. 28-38.

Suppose that in (1.2) for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{n}, \mu_{\alpha}=\mu+\delta|\alpha|$ with $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta<1$ and that for any $|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]+n+3$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in W}\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} L\right)(x, l)\right| \leq C_{\alpha} k(l) / h(l) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $N_{h}$ is a constant depending only on $h \in K_{\pi}^{\prime}$. We show that these assumptions are sufficient to guarantee the equality $L_{p, k k_{-1}, h}^{\sim}=L_{p, k k_{-1}, h}^{\prime \#}$ (cf. Theorem 3.5). Specially, this equality implies that for any smooth periodic partial differential operator $L(x, \mathrm{D})=\sum_{|\sigma| \leq m} a_{\sigma}(x) \mathrm{D}^{\sigma}, m \in \mathbf{N}$ the equality $L_{p, k k_{m-1}, k}^{\sim}=L_{p, k k_{m-1}, k}^{\prime \#}$ holds. Hence any first order partial differential operator with $C_{\pi}$-coefficients is essentially maximal in $L_{2}(W) \bigcap D_{\pi}^{\prime}$ (cf. Corollaries 3.6-3.8). In the case when $\mu_{\alpha}=\mu+\delta|\alpha| ; \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \delta<1$, the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in W}\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} L\right)(x, l)\right| \leq C_{\alpha} k(l) k_{1}(l) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $|\alpha| \leq[n+\varepsilon]+n+3$ and when $p \in] 1,2]$, we establish the identity $\boldsymbol{L}_{\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{p}^{\prime}, k}^{\sim}=\boldsymbol{L}_{p, p^{\prime}, k}^{\prime \#}(\mathrm{cf}$. Theorem 4.2).

## 2. Notations and definitions of realizations

2.1. Denote by $W$ the open cube $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid-\pi<x,<\pi\right.$ for $j=1$. $\ldots, n\} . B y C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ we denote the space of all smooth (with respect to $W$ ) periodic functions $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

In $C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ we set a standard Frechet space topology defined by the semi-norms $q_{\sigma}(\varphi):=\sup _{x \in W}\left|\left(\mathrm{D}^{\sigma} \varphi\right)(x)\right|, \quad \sigma \in \mathrm{N}_{0}^{n}$. The dual of $C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ is denoted by $D_{\pi}^{\prime}$ and its elements are periodic distributions. In $D_{\pi}^{\prime}$ one uses the weak dual topology.

For $u \in D_{\pi}^{\prime}$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ we define $u_{l} \in \mathbf{C}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{l}=u\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(l, \cdot)}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then one has for $u \in D_{\pi}^{\prime}$ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}_{\pi}^{\infty}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\varphi)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{l} u_{l \varphi-l} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{l}:=\varphi\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(l, \cdot)}\right):=\int_{W} \varphi(x) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(l, x)} \mathrm{d} x \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\varphi$ and $\psi \in \mathbf{C}_{\pi}^{\infty}$ we denote

$$
\varphi(\psi):=\int_{W} \varphi(x) \psi(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

and so specifically one gets $\varphi(\psi)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{l} \varphi_{l} \psi_{-l}$.
Denote by $K_{\pi}$ the totality of all positive functions $k: \mathbb{Z}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that for any $k \in K_{\pi}$ there exist constants
$c>0, C>0, m, M \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$
c k_{-m}(l) \leq k(l) . \leq \mathbb{C} k_{M}(l) \quad \text { for all } \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}
$$

where $k_{s}(l):=\left(1+|l|^{2}\right)^{s / 2}, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Choose $p \in\left[1, \infty\left[\right.\right.$. A subspace $B_{p, k}^{\pi}$ of $D_{\pi}^{\prime}$ is defined as follows:

A distribution $u \in D_{\pi}^{\prime}$ belongs to $B_{p, k}^{\pi}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{p, k}:=\left((2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}}\left|u_{1} k(l)\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}<\infty \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

One sees that the mapping $u \rightarrow\|u\|_{p, k}$ is a norm in $B_{p, k}^{\pi}$. The linear space $B_{p, k}^{\pi}$ equipped with the $\|\cdot\|_{p, k}$-norm is a Banach space.
Define $S_{\pi}:=\left\{\varphi \in C_{\pi}^{\infty} \mid \varphi(x)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{|l| \leq n_{\varphi}} \varphi_{l} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(l, x)}\right.$ with some $\left.n_{\varphi} \in \mathrm{N}\right\}$, that is, $S_{\pi}$ is the space of all trigonometric polynomials. One sees that $S_{\pi}$ is a dense subspace of $B_{p, k}^{\pi}$ and so $B_{p, k}^{\pi}$ is (essentially) a completion of $S_{\pi}$ with respert to the norm $\|\varphi\|_{p, k}:=\left((2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}}\left|\varphi_{l} k(l)\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}$.
2.2. Let $L$ be a linear operator $S_{\pi} \rightarrow C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ such that the formal transpose $L^{\prime}: S_{\pi} \rightarrow C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ exists, in other words, there exists a linear operator $L^{\prime}: S_{\pi} \rightarrow C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L \varphi)(\psi)=\varphi\left(L^{\prime} \psi\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad \varphi, \psi \in S_{\pi} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define linear dense operators $L_{p, k, h}$ and $L_{p, k, h}^{\prime \#} ; p \in\left[1, \infty\left[, k, h \in K_{\pi}\right.\right.$ by the requirements

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{D}\left(L_{p, k, h}\right) & =S_{\pi}  \tag{2.6}\\
L_{p, k, h} \varphi & =L \varphi \quad \text { for } \quad \varphi \in S_{\pi}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{D}\left(L_{p, k, h}^{\prime \#}\right)= & \left\{u \in B_{p, k}^{\pi} \mid \text { there exists } f \in B_{p, h}^{\pi}\right. \text { such that }  \tag{27}\\
& \left.u\left(L^{\prime} \varphi\right)=f(\varphi) \text { for all } \varphi \in S_{\pi}\right\} \\
L_{p, k, h}^{\prime \#} u & f
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Let $\left.\left.p^{\prime} \in\right] 1, \infty\right]$ so that $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$ and let $k^{\vee} \in K_{\pi}$ so that $k^{\vee}(l)=$ $k(-l)$. Since the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\varphi(\psi)| \leq\|\varphi\|_{p, k}\|\psi\|_{p^{\prime}, 1 / k^{v}} \quad \text { for } \quad \varphi, \psi \in C_{\pi}^{\infty} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, one gets by (2.5) that $L_{p, k, h}$ is a closable operator $B_{p, k}^{\pi} \rightarrow B_{p, h}^{\pi}, L_{p, k h}^{\prime \#}$ is a closed operator $B_{p, k}^{\pi} \rightarrow B_{p, h}^{\pi}$ and that $L_{p, k, h} \subset L_{p, k, h}^{\prime \#}$. Let $L_{p, k, h}^{\sim}$ be the smallest closed extension of $L_{p, k, h}$. Then one has $L_{p, k, h}^{\sim} \subset L_{p, k, h}^{\prime \#}$. The operator $L_{p, k, h}^{\sim}$ is called the minimal realization and the operator $L_{p, k, h,}^{\prime \#}$ is called the maximal realization of $I$ from $B_{p, k}^{\pi}$ to $B_{p, h}^{\pi}$.

Similarly, we are able to define minimal and maximal realizations, say $\boldsymbol{L}_{p, q h}^{\sim}$ and $\boldsymbol{L}_{p, q, k}^{\prime \#}$ from $B_{p, k}^{\pi}$ to $L_{q}(W) \bigcap D_{\pi}^{\prime}$, where $p \in[1, \infty[, q \in[1, \infty[$ and $k \in K_{\pi}$.
2.3. Let $L(\cdot, \cdot)$ be a function from $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{C}$ such that $L(\cdot, l) \in C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and that with some constants $C_{\alpha}>0$ and $\mu_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$ one hes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in W}\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} L\right)(x, l)\right| \leq C_{\alpha} k_{\mu_{\alpha}}(l) \quad \text { for all } \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the Fourier series operator $L(x, \mathrm{D})$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi)(x)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{l} L(x, l) \varphi_{l} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(l, x)}, \quad \varphi \in C_{\pi}^{\infty} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

maps $C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ continuously into $C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ (cf. [9]). Hence, specifically, the inclusion

$$
C_{\pi}^{\infty} \subset \mathrm{D}\left(L_{p, k, h}^{\sim}\right) \bigcap \mathrm{D}\left(\boldsymbol{L}_{p, q, k}^{\sim}\right)
$$

holds. In the case when $\mu_{\alpha}=\mu+\delta|\alpha|$ with some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta<1$ we know that the continuous formal transpose $L^{\prime}(x, \mathrm{D}): C_{\pi}^{\infty} \rightarrow C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ of $L(x, \mathrm{D})$ exists (cf. [9]). When $L^{\prime}(x, \mathrm{D}): C_{\pi}^{\infty} \rightarrow C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ exists, then $L^{\prime}(x, \mathrm{D})$ is always continuous. This follows from the Closed Graph Theorem.

Suppose that $L^{\prime}(x, \mathrm{D}): C_{\pi}^{\infty} \rightarrow C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ exists. Then we are able to define the continuous extension $\bar{L}: \mathrm{D}_{\pi}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{\pi}^{\prime}$ of $L(x, \mathrm{D})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\bar{L} u)(\varphi)=u\left(L^{\prime}(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi\right) \quad \text { for } \quad \varphi \in C_{\pi}^{\infty} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $A_{\pi}$ the space of mappings $L(\cdot, \cdot): \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{Z}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $L(\cdot, l) \in$ $C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and that for each $L(\cdot, \cdot) \in A_{\pi}$ there exists $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in W}\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} L\right)(x, l)\right| \leq C_{\alpha} k_{\mu+\delta|\alpha|}(l) \quad \text { for } \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The space of operators $\{L(x, \mathrm{D}) \mid L(x, \mathrm{D})$ is defined by $(2.10)$, where $L(\cdot, \cdot) \in$ $\left.A_{\pi}\right\}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_{\pi}$. Then for any $L(x, \mathrm{D}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\pi}$ the formal transpose $L^{\prime}(x, \mathrm{D}): C_{\pi}^{\infty} \rightarrow C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ exists.

We denote by $K_{\pi}^{\prime}$ the subset of $K_{\pi}$ such that for any $k \in K_{\pi}^{\prime}$ there exist con tants $C_{k}>0$ and $N_{k} \geq 0$ with which

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(l+z) \leq C_{k} k_{N_{k}}(l) k(z) \quad \text { for } \quad l, z \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The smallest integer, which is greater or equal to $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is denoted by [a]. Choose $h$ from $K_{\pi}^{\prime}$. We denote $C_{n, \varepsilon, h}:=C_{h} . \gamma_{n, \varepsilon, h}^{-1} \sum_{l} k_{-(n+\varepsilon)}(l)$, where $\gamma_{n, \varepsilon, h} \in \mathbb{R}$ so that

$$
\sum_{|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]} l^{2 \alpha} \geq \gamma_{n, \varepsilon, h}^{2} k_{\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]}^{2}(l) \quad \text { for } \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} .
$$

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $k, h \in K_{\pi}^{\prime}$ and that $\mathcal{R}$ is a subset of $A_{\pi}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\sup _{x \in W}\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} R\right)(x, l)\right| \leq C_{\alpha} k(l) / h(l) & \text { for all } \\
& |\alpha| \leq\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right] \text { and } R(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathcal{R} \tag{2.14}
\end{array}
$$

Then one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mid R(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi\left\|_{p, h} \leq C_{n, \varepsilon, h}\left[\sum_{|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]} C_{\alpha}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\| \varphi \|_{p, k} \quad \text { for all } \\
& \varphi \in C_{\pi}^{\infty}, \quad R(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathcal{R} \quad \text { and } \quad p \in[1, \infty[ \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. A. We shall show that

$$
\sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}}\left|(R(\cdot,-l))_{l-z}\right|\left(1 / k^{\vee}(l)\right) \leq(2 \pi)^{n} C_{n, \varepsilon, h}\left[\sum_{|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]} C_{\alpha}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left(1 / h^{\vee}(z)\right)
$$

and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{z \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}}\left|(R(\cdot,-l))_{l-z}\right| h^{\vee}(z) \leq(2 \pi)^{n} C_{n, \varepsilon, h}\left[\sum_{|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]} C_{\alpha}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} k^{\vee}(l) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the Theorem 4.4 in [9] (cf. also the relation (4.17) in [9]) implies that (choose $k \leftrightarrow 1 / k^{\vee}$ and $k^{\sim} \leftrightarrow(k / h)^{\vee}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|R^{\prime}(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi\right\|_{p^{\prime}, 1 / k^{\vee}} & \\
& \leq\left(C_{n, \varepsilon, h}\left[\sum_{|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]} C_{\alpha}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right)^{1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}}\|\varphi\|_{p^{\prime}, 1 / h^{\vee}}  \tag{2.18}\\
& =C_{n, \varepsilon, h}\left[\sum_{|\alpha| \leq\left[1 \gamma_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]} C_{\alpha}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\|\varphi\|_{p^{\prime}, 1 / h^{\vee}}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\left.p^{\prime} \in\right] 1, \infty[$.
From (2.18) one gets that for any $p \in] 1, \infty[$ (cf. [9], Lemma 4.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R(x, \mathrm{D})_{\cdot}\right\|_{1,2} \leq C_{n \varepsilon h}\left[\sum_{|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]} C_{\alpha}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\|\varphi\|_{p, k} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since for any $\varphi \in C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ one has

$$
\|\varphi\|_{p, k} \rightarrow\|\varphi\|_{1, k} \quad \text { with } \quad p \rightarrow 1
$$

we see that the inequality (2.15) holds also in the case when $p=1$.
B. We show the estimates (2.16)-(2.17). In virtue of (2.13) one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\vee}(z) \leq C_{h} k_{N_{h}}(z-l) h^{\vee}(l) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / h^{\vee}(l) \leq C_{h} k_{N_{h}}(z-l)\left(1 / h^{\vee}(z)\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]$ and $R(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathcal{R}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(l-z)^{\alpha}(R(\cdot,-l))_{l-z}\right|=\left|\left(\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} R\right)(\cdot,-l)\right)_{l-z}\right| \leq(2 \pi)^{n} C_{\alpha}\left(k^{\vee}(l) / h^{\vee}(l)\right) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so
$\gamma_{n, \varepsilon, h}\left|(R(\cdot,-l))_{l-z}\right| \leq(2 \pi)^{n}\left[\sum_{|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]} C_{\alpha}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left(k^{\vee}(l) / h^{\vee}(l)\right) k_{-\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]}(z-l)$.
Here we used the inequality

$$
\sum_{|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]} l^{2 \alpha} \geq \gamma_{n, \varepsilon, h}^{2} k_{\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]}^{2}(l),
$$

which implies by (2.22) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{n, \varepsilon, h}^{2} k_{\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]}^{2} & (z-l)\left|(R(\cdot,-l))_{l-z}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]}\left|(l-z)^{\alpha}(R(\cdot,-l))_{l-z}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq(2 \pi)^{2 n}\left[\sum_{|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]} C_{\alpha}^{2}\right]\left(k^{\vee}(l) / h^{\vee}(l)\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and so we get (2.23).
In virtue of (2.20), (2.21) and (2.23) we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{l}\left|(R(\cdot,-l))_{l-z}\right|\left(1 / k^{\vee}(l)\right) \\
& \leq \gamma_{n, \varepsilon, h}^{-1}(2 \pi)^{n}\left[\sum_{|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]} C_{\alpha}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \sum_{l}\left(1 / h^{\vee}(l)\right) k_{-\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]}(z-l) \\
& \leq \gamma_{n, \varepsilon, h}^{-1}(2 \pi)^{n} C_{h}\left[\sum_{|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]} C_{\alpha}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{l} k_{-(n+\varepsilon)}(l)\right)\left(1 / h^{\vee}(z)\right) \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

and then (2.16) holds.
Similarly, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{z}\left|(R(\cdot,-l))_{l-z}\right| h^{\vee}(z) \\
& \quad \leq \gamma_{n, \varepsilon, h}^{-1}(2 \pi)^{n}\left[\sum_{|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]} C_{\alpha}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} C_{h} \sum_{z} k^{\vee}(l) k_{-(n+\varepsilon)}(z-l), \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies (2.17). This completes the proof.
2.4. Let $\tilde{\Theta}$ be in $C_{0}^{\infty}(B(0,1))$ so that $\int_{W} \tilde{\mathrm{O}}(x) \mathrm{d} x=1$.

Define $\tilde{\Theta}_{m} \in C_{0}^{\infty}:=C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ by

$$
\tilde{\Theta}_{m}(x)=m^{n} \tilde{\Theta}(m x), \quad m \in \mathbf{N}
$$

Furthermore, define $\Theta_{m} \in S$ (here $S$ denotes the Schwartz class) by

$$
\Theta_{m}=(2 \pi)^{n} F^{-1}\left(\tilde{\Theta}_{m}^{\vee}\right),
$$

where $F: S \rightarrow S$ is the Fourier transform. Define a Fourier series op rator $\Theta_{m}(\mathrm{D})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Theta_{m}(\mathrm{D}) \varphi\right)(x)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}} \Theta_{m}(l) \varphi_{l} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(l, x)} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Theta_{m}: D_{\pi}^{\prime} \rightarrow D_{\pi}^{\prime}$ be the continuous extension of $\mathrm{O}_{m}$ (D) (cf. (2.11); note that $\Theta_{m}^{\prime}(\mathrm{D})$ exists). Then one sees that for any $u \in D_{\pi}^{\prime}$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{\Theta}_{m} u\right)_{l}=\left(\bar{\Theta}_{m} u\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(l, \cdot)}\right)=u\left(\Theta_{m}^{\prime}(\mathrm{D})\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(l, \cdot)}\right)\right)=u\left(\Theta_{m}(l) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(l, \cdot)}\right)=\Theta_{m}(l) u_{l} . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we obtain for $p<\infty$
Lemma 2.2. Let $u$ be in $B_{p, k}^{\pi}$. Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{O}}_{m} u \in C_{\pi} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\Theta_{m} u-u\right\|_{p, k} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { with } \quad m \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. One has (recall that $F^{-1} \phi=(2 \pi)^{-n} F \phi^{\vee}$ )

$$
\Theta_{m}(l)=\left(F \tilde{\mathrm{O}}_{m}\right)(l)=\int_{\mathbf{R}} m^{n} \tilde{\mathrm{O}}(m y) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(l, y)} \mathrm{d} y=(F \tilde{\mathrm{O}})(l / m)
$$

Furthermore, we obtain for any $\varphi \in C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ (cf. (2.2) and (2.27))

$$
\left(\Theta_{m} u\right)(\varphi)-(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{l} \Theta_{m}(l) u_{l} \varphi_{-l}=\left[(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{l} \Theta_{m}(l) u_{l} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{l}(l, \cdot)}\right](\varphi)
$$

Thus $\overline{\mathrm{O}}_{m} u-(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{l}(F \tilde{\Theta})(l / m) u_{l} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(l,)} \in C_{\pi}^{\infty}$. In addition, one gets

$$
\left|\left(\overline{\mathrm{O}}_{m} u\right)_{l}\right| k(l)=\left|(F \tilde{\mathrm{O}})(l / m) u_{l} k(l)\right| \leq|\tilde{\Theta}|_{L_{1}(W)}\left|u_{l} h(l)\right|
$$

and

$$
\left.\left(\mathrm{O}_{m} u\right)_{l} h(l) \rightarrow(F \tilde{\mathrm{O}})(0) u_{l} k(l) \quad\left(\int_{W} \tilde{\Theta} x\right) \mathrm{d} x\right) u_{l} k(l) \quad u_{l} k(l)
$$

Thus

$$
\| \overline{\mathrm{O}}_{m} u-\left.u\right|_{p k} ^{p} \quad(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{l}\left|\left(\left(\mathrm{O}_{m} u\right)_{l}-u_{l}\right) k(l)\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { with } \quad m \rightarrow \infty
$$

which finishes the proof.

## 3. On the equality $L_{p, k, h}^{\sim}=L_{p, k, h}^{\prime \#}$

3.1. For the first instance we shall deal with the composition $\left(\Theta_{m} \circ L\right)(x, \mathrm{D}):=\Theta_{m}(\mathrm{D}) \circ L(x, \mathrm{D})$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $L(\cdot, \cdot)$ be a mapping $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{Z}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ so that $L(\cdot, l) \in C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and that (with $C_{\alpha}>0$ and $\mu_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$ ) the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in W}\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} L\right)(x, l)\right| \leq C_{\alpha} k_{\mu_{\alpha}}(l) \quad \text { for } \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{m}(\mathrm{D}) \circ L(x, \mathrm{D})=L(x, \mathrm{D}) \circ \Theta_{m}(\mathrm{D})+R_{m}(x, \mathrm{D}) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{m}(x, l)=\sum_{|\gamma|=1} \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{z \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}}\left(\partial^{\gamma} \Theta_{m}\right)(l+t z)\left(\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\gamma} L\right)(\cdot, l)\right)_{z} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(z, x)} \mathrm{d} t \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For any $\varphi \in C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left(\Theta_{m} \circ L\right)(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi\right](x)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{z \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}} \Theta_{m}(z)(L(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi)_{z} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(x, z)}} \\
& \quad=(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{z \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}} \Theta_{m}(z)\left[(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}}(L(\cdot, l))_{z-l} \varphi_{l}\right] \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(z, x)}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \quad=(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}}(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{z \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}} \Theta_{m}(z)(L(\cdot, l))_{z-l} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(z-l, x)} \varphi_{l} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(l, x)}
\end{align*}
$$

where the order of summation is legitimate to change, since $\Theta_{m} \in S$. In the third step we used the relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
(L(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi)_{z} & =(2 \pi)^{-n} \int_{W} \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}} L(x, l) \varphi_{l} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(l-z, x)} \mathrm{d} x \\
& =(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}} \int_{W} L(x, l) \varphi_{l} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(l-z, x)} \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

which is valid, since the sum $\sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}} L(x, l) \varphi_{l} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(z-l, x)}$ is by (3.1) uniformly convergent in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

From (3.4) we see that

$$
\left(\mathrm{O}_{m} \circ L\right)(x, l)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{z \in \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{n}}} \Theta_{m}(l+z)(L(\cdot, l))_{z} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(z, x)}
$$

(note that $\left(\Theta_{m} \circ L\right)(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a function $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{Z}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ so that $\left(\mathrm{O}_{m} \circ L\right)(\cdot, l) \in C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ for any $l \in \cdot \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and that $\left.\left|D_{x}^{\alpha}\left(\Theta_{m} \circ L\right)(x, l)\right| \leq C_{\alpha}^{\prime} k_{\mu_{\alpha}^{\prime}}(l)\right)$. Due to the Taylor formula we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\Theta_{m} \circ L\right)(x, l)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{z \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}} \mathrm{O}_{m}(l)(L(\cdot, l))_{z} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(z, x)} \\
& +(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{z \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}}\left[\sum_{|\gamma|=1} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\partial^{\gamma} \Theta_{m}\right)(l+t z)\right] z^{\gamma}(L(\cdot, l))_{z} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(z, x)} \mathrm{d} t \\
& =L(x, l) \Theta_{m}(l)+(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{|\gamma|=1} \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{z \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}}\left(\partial^{\gamma} \Theta_{m}\right)(l+t z)\left(\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\gamma} L\right)(\cdot, l)\right)_{z} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(z, x)} \mathrm{d} t \\
& \\
& =\left(L \circ \Theta_{m}\right)(x, l)+R_{m}(x, l),
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.
From (3.3) one sees casiiy that $R_{m}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a function $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{Z}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $R_{m}(\cdot, l) \in C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and that

$$
\sup _{x \in W}\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} R_{m}\right)(x, l)\right| \leq C_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} k_{\mu_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}}(l)
$$

A more careful study of the rest operator $R_{m}(x, \mathrm{D})$ yields
Lemma 3.2. Suppose that for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{n}$ there exists a function $k_{\alpha} \in K_{\pi}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in W}\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} L\right)(x, l)\right| \leq C_{\alpha} k_{\alpha}(l) \quad \text { for } \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that $R_{m}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined by (3.3). Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in W}\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} R_{m}\right)(x, l)\right| \leq C_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(\bar{k}_{\alpha} k_{-1}\right)(l) \quad \text { for } \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{k}_{\alpha}:=\max _{\substack{|\beta| \leq n+2 \\|\gamma|=1}}\left\{k_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}\right\} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. A. Define $g^{\gamma}(x, l, t):=\sum_{z \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}}\left(\partial^{\gamma} \Theta_{m}\right)(l+t z)\left(\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\gamma} L\right)(\cdot, l)\right)_{z} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(z, x)}$. We shall establish that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} g^{\gamma}\right)(x, l, t)\right| \leq C_{\alpha, \gamma}\left(k_{\alpha, \gamma} k_{-1}\right)(l) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{\alpha, \gamma}:=\max _{|\beta| \leq n+2}\left\{k_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}\right\}$. (3.8) implies immediately the estimate (3.6).
Since $\Theta:=F \tilde{\Theta} \in S$ we obtain that with some $C_{\gamma}^{\prime \prime}>0$

$$
\left|\left(\partial^{\gamma} \Theta\right)(x)\right| \leq C_{\gamma}^{\prime \prime} k_{-1}(x) \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

and so one has (note that $\Theta_{m}=\Theta(l / m)$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\left(\partial^{\gamma} \Theta_{m}\right)(l+t z)\right|=(1 / m)\left|\left(\partial^{\gamma} \Theta\right)((l+t z) / m)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left(C_{\gamma}^{\prime \prime} / m\right)\left(1+|(l+t z) / m|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}=C_{\gamma}^{\prime \prime}\left(m^{2}+|1+t z|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}  \tag{3.9}\\
& \quad \leq C_{\gamma}^{\prime \prime} k_{-1}(l+t z)
\end{align*}
$$

B. For any $|\beta| \leq n+2$ one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid z^{\beta}\left[\left(\partial^{\gamma} \Theta_{m}\right)(l+t z)\left(\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\gamma+\alpha} L\right)(\cdot, l)\right)_{z} \mid\right. \\
& \quad=\left|\left(\partial^{\gamma} \Theta_{m}\right)(l+t z)\left(\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\gamma+\alpha+\beta} L\right)(\cdot, l)\right)_{z}\right| \\
& \quad \leq C_{\gamma}^{\prime \prime}(2 \pi)^{n} C_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} k_{-1}(l+t z) k_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}(l) \\
& \quad \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} k_{-1}(l+t z) k_{\alpha, \gamma}(l)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so with a suitable constant $C_{\alpha, \gamma}^{\prime}>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\left(\partial^{\gamma} \Theta_{m}\right)(l+t z)\left(\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\gamma+\alpha} L\right)(\cdot, l)\right)_{z}\right|  \tag{3.10}\\
& \quad \leq C_{\alpha, \gamma}^{\prime} k_{-1}(l+t z) k_{\alpha, \gamma}(l) k_{-(n+2)}(z)
\end{align*}
$$

Specifically, the estimate (3.10) implies that the series (note that $k_{-1}(l+t z) \leq 1$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{z} & \mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha}\left[\left(\partial^{\gamma} \Theta_{m}\right)(l+t z)\left(\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\gamma} L\right)(\cdot, l)\right)_{z} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(z, x)}\right] \\
& =\sum_{z}\left(\partial^{\gamma} \Theta_{m}\right)(l+t z)\left(\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\gamma} L\right)(\cdot, l)\right)_{z} z^{\alpha} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(z, x)} \\
& =\sum_{z}\left(\partial^{\gamma} \Theta_{m}\right)(l+t z)\left(\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\gamma+\alpha} L\right)(\cdot, l)\right)_{z} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(z, x)}
\end{aligned}
$$

is (absolutely) and uniformly (in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ) convergent for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{n}$. Hence $g^{\gamma}(\cdot, l, t) \in C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and $t \in[0,1]$ and $\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} g^{\gamma}\right)(\cdot, l, t)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} g^{\gamma}\right)(x, l, t)=\sum_{z}\left(\partial^{\gamma} \Theta_{m}\right)(l+t z)\left(\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\gamma+\alpha} L\right)(\cdot, l)\right)_{z} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(z, x)} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

C. To obtain the estimate (3.6) we decompose the sum in (3.11) as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{z}\left(\partial^{\gamma} \Theta_{m}\right)(l+t z)\left(\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\gamma+\alpha} L\right)(\cdot, l)\right)_{z} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(z, x)}= \\
& \\
& \quad \sum_{2|z|>|l|}\left(\partial^{\gamma} \Theta_{m}\right)(l+t z)\left(\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\gamma+\alpha} L\right)(\cdot, l)\right)_{z} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(z, x)} \\
& +\sum_{2|z| \leq|l|}\left(\partial^{\gamma} \Theta_{m}\right)(l+t z)\left(\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\gamma+\alpha} L\right)(\cdot, l)\right)_{z} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(z, x)}  \tag{3.12}\\
& =: S_{\alpha, 1}^{\gamma}(x, l, t)+S_{\alpha, 2}^{\gamma}(x, l, t) .
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{C}_{1}$. In the case when $l \leq 2|z|$ one gets by (3.10)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid\left(\partial^{\gamma} \Theta_{m}\right)(l+ & t z)\left(\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\gamma+\alpha} L\right)(\cdot, l)\right)_{z} \mid \\
& \leq C_{\alpha, \gamma}^{\prime} k_{\alpha, \gamma}(l) k_{-1}(z) k_{-(n+1)}(z) \leq 2 C_{\alpha, \gamma}^{\prime}\left(k_{\alpha, \gamma} k_{-1}\right)(l) k_{-(n+1)}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\alpha, 1}(x, l, t) \leq 2 C_{\alpha, \gamma}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{z} k_{-(n+1)}(z)\right)\left(k_{\alpha, \gamma} k_{-1}\right)(l) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{C}_{2}$. In the case when $|l| \geq 2|z|$ one finds that

$$
|l+t z| \geq|l|-|z| \geq(1 / 2)|l|
$$

and so for $|l| \geq 2|z|$ we have by (3.10)

$$
\left|\left(\partial^{\gamma} \Theta_{m}\right)(l+t z)\left(\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\gamma+\alpha} L\right)(\cdot, l)\right)_{z}\right| \leq 2 C_{\alpha, \gamma}^{\prime} k_{-1}(l) k_{\alpha, \gamma}(l) k_{-(n+1)}(z) .
$$

This yields the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{\alpha, 2}^{\gamma}(x, l, t)\right| \leq 2 C_{\alpha, \gamma}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{z} k_{-(n+1)}(z)\right)\left(k_{\alpha, \gamma} k_{-1}\right)(l) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so by (3.11)-(3.13) we get

$$
\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} g^{\gamma}\right)(x, l, t)\right| \leq C_{\alpha, \gamma}\left(k_{\alpha, \gamma} k_{-1}\right)(l),
$$

as desired.
Remark 3.5. From the proof of Lemma 3.2 one sees that the constants $C_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ in (3.6) obey

$$
C_{\alpha}^{\prime} \leq \sum_{|\gamma|=1}\left(\sum_{|\beta| \leq n+2}\left(C_{\gamma}^{\prime \prime} C_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{z} k_{-(n+1)}(z)\right)
$$

Combining Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.2. we get

Theorem 3.4. Suppose that $L(\cdot, \cdot)$ belongs to $A_{\pi}$ and that for any $|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]+n+3$ the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in W}\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} L\right)(x, l)\right| \leq C_{\alpha} k(l) / h(l) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Let $R_{m}(\cdot, \cdot)$ be defined by (3.3). Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{m}(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi\right\|_{p, h} \leq C\|\varphi\|_{p, k k_{-1}} \quad \text { for all } \varphi \in C_{\pi}^{\infty} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ does not depend on $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and $p \in[1, \infty]$.
Proof. A. Any $R_{m}(\cdot, \cdot)$ belongs to $A_{\pi}$ : In virtue of (3.6) one sees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in W}\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} R_{m}\right)(x, l)\right| \leq C_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(\bar{k}_{\alpha} k_{-1}\right)(l) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\sup _{x \in W}\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} L\right)(x, l)\right| \leq C_{\alpha} k_{\mu+\delta|\alpha|}(l)
$$

we can choose $k_{\alpha}=k_{\mu+\delta|\alpha|}$ and so

$$
\bar{k}_{\alpha} \leq k_{\mu+\delta(n+3)+\delta|\alpha|} .
$$

Thus $R_{m}(\cdot, \cdot) \in A_{\pi}$.
B. For any $|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]+n+3$ we can choose $k_{\alpha}=k / h$ and so

$$
k_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \leq k / h \quad \text { for any } \quad|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right], \quad|\beta| \leq n+2, \quad|\gamma|=1
$$

This implies that

$$
\bar{k}_{\alpha} \leq k / h \quad \text { for any } \quad|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]
$$

and so by (3.17)

$$
\sup _{x \in W}\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} R_{m}\right)(x, l)\right| \leq C^{\prime}\left(k k_{-1} / h\right)(l), \quad \text { for } \quad|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]
$$

Applying Theorem 2.1 to the set $\mathcal{R}:=\left\{R_{m}(\cdot, \cdot) \mid m \in \mathbf{N}\right\}$ one gets that

$$
\left\|R_{m}(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi\right\|_{p, h} \leq C\|\varphi\|_{p, k k_{-1}} \quad \text { for } \quad \varphi \in C_{\pi}^{\infty},
$$

where $C$ does not depend on $m$ and $p$. This finishes the proof.
3.2. Suppose that $L(\cdot, \cdot)$ belongs to $A_{\pi}$. Then the formal transpose of $L(x, \mathrm{D})$ and $R_{m}(x, \mathrm{D})$ exists (cf. the proof of Theorem 3.4). Furthermore, the formal transpose $\Theta_{m}^{\prime}(\mathrm{D})$ of $\Theta_{m}(\mathrm{D})$ exists. Thus we can define the continuous extensions $\Theta_{m}, L$ and $\bar{R}_{m}: D_{\pi}^{\prime} \rightarrow D_{\pi}^{\prime}$. From (3.2) one sees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{m}^{\prime}(x, \mathrm{D})=L^{\prime}(x, \mathrm{D}) \circ \Theta_{m}^{\prime}(\mathrm{D})-\Theta_{m}^{\prime}(\mathrm{D}) \circ L^{\prime}(x, \mathrm{D}) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{m} u=\Theta_{m}(L u)-\bar{L}\left(\bar{\Theta}_{m} u\right) \quad \text { for } \quad u \in D_{\pi}^{\prime} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are ready to establish

Theorem 3.5. Suppose that $L(\cdot, \cdot)$ belongs to $A_{\pi}$ and that for any $|\alpha| \leq$ $\left[N_{h}+n+\varepsilon\right]+n+3$ the estimate (3.15) holds. Then the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{p, k k_{-1}, h}^{\sim}=L_{p, k k_{-1}, h}^{\prime \#}, \quad p \in\left[1, \infty\left[, \quad k, h \in K_{\pi}^{\prime}\right.\right. \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
Proof. Let $u$ be in $\mathrm{D}\left(L_{p, k k_{-1}, h}^{\prime \#}\right) \subset B_{p, k k_{-1}}^{\pi}$. Due to Lemma 2.2 one has $\Theta_{m} u \in C_{\pi}^{\infty}$ and so

$$
\bar{L}\left(\bar{\Theta}_{m} u\right)=L(x, \mathrm{D})\left(\bar{\Theta}_{m} u\right)=L_{p, k k_{-1}, h}^{\sim}\left(\bar{\Theta}_{m} u\right)
$$

Furthermore, in virtue of (3.16) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\bar{R}_{m} u\right\|_{p, h} \leq C\|u\|_{p, k k_{-1}} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so by (3.19) one has (note that $\bar{L} u=L_{p, k k_{-1}, h}^{\prime \#} u$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\| L_{p, k k_{-1}, h}^{\sim}\left(\bar{\Theta}_{m} u\right) & -L_{p, k k_{-1}, h}^{\prime \#} u \|_{p, h} \\
& \leq\left\|\Theta_{m}\left(L_{p, k \Lambda_{-1}, h}^{\prime \#} u\right)-L_{p, k L_{-1}, h}^{\prime \#} u\right\|_{p, h}+C\|u\|_{p, k k_{-1}} \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and $u \in \mathrm{D}\left(L_{p, k k_{-1}, h}^{\prime \#}\right)$.
Let $\varepsilon$ be a positive number. Choose $\varphi \in S_{\pi}$ so that $\|u-\varphi\|_{p, k k_{-1}}<\varepsilon$. Furthermore, choose $m_{0} \in \mathbf{N}$ such that (cf. Lemma 2.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\bar{\Theta}_{m}\left(L_{p, k k_{-1}, h}^{\prime \#}(u-\varphi)\right)-L_{p, k k_{-1}, h}^{\prime \#}(u-\varphi)\right\|_{p, h}<\varepsilon \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that

$$
\left\|\Theta_{m} \varphi-\varphi\right\|_{p, k}<\varepsilon \quad \text { for } \quad m \geq m_{0}
$$

Due to Theorem 2.1 one has with some constant $C^{\prime}>0$

$$
\|L(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi\|_{p, h} \leq C^{\prime}\|\varphi\|_{p, k} \quad \text { for all } \quad \varphi \in C_{\pi}^{\infty}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\| L\left(x, \mathrm{D}\left(\Theta_{m} \varphi\right)-L(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi \|_{p, h} \leq C^{\prime} \varepsilon \quad \text { for } \quad m \geq m_{0}\right. \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.22)-(3.24) we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|L_{p, k k_{-1}, h}^{\sim}\left(\Theta_{m} u\right)-L_{p, k k_{-1}, h}^{\prime \#} u\right\|_{p, h} \\
& \leq \| \bar{\Theta}_{m}\left(L_{p, k k_{-1}, h}^{\prime \#}(u-\varphi)-L_{p, k k_{-1}, h}^{\prime \#}(u-\varphi) \|_{p, h}\right. \\
& +\left\|L(x, \mathrm{D})\left(\overline{\mathrm{O}}_{m} \varphi\right)-L(x, \mathrm{D})_{\rho}\right\|_{p, h}+C\|u-\varphi\|_{p, k k_{-1}} \\
& \quad \leq \varepsilon+\left(C+C^{\prime}\right) \varepsilon \quad \text { for } \quad m \geq m_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left\|L_{p, k k_{-1}, h}^{\sim}\left(\bar{\Theta}_{m} u\right)-L_{p, k k_{-1}, h}^{\prime \#} u\right\|_{p, h} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { with } \quad m \rightarrow \infty
$$

and since (cf. Lemma 2.2)

$$
\left\|\bar{\Theta}_{m} u-u\right\|_{p, k k_{-1}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { with } \quad m \rightarrow \infty
$$

one sees that $u \in \mathrm{D}\left(L_{p, k k_{-1}, h}\right)$ and that $L_{p, k k_{-1}, h}^{\sim} u=L_{p, k k_{-1}, h}^{\prime \#} u$, as required.
We obtain the next corollaries
Corollary 3.6. Suppose that $L(\cdot, \cdot)$ belongs to $A_{\pi}$ and that for any $|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{k}+n+\varepsilon\right]+n+3$ the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in W}\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} L\right)(x, l)\right| \leq C_{\alpha} k_{m}(l) \quad \text { for } \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where $m \in \mathbb{R}$. Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{p, k k_{m-1}, k}^{\sim}=L_{p, k k_{m-1}, k}^{\prime \#} \quad \text { for } \quad p \in\left[1, \infty\left[\quad k \in K_{\pi}^{\prime}\right.\right. \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In view of (3.25) one sees that

$$
\sup _{x \in W}\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} L\right)(x, l)\right| \leq C_{\alpha}\left(k k_{m}\right)(l) / k(l)
$$

for $|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{k}+n+\varepsilon\right]+n+3$. Hence by Theorem 3.5 we obtain $L_{p, k_{m} k_{-1}, k}^{\sim}=$ $L_{p, k k_{m} k_{-1}, k}^{\prime \#}$, as we asserted.

Corollary 3.7. Let $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and let

$$
L(x, \mathrm{D})=\sum_{|\sigma| \leq m} a_{\sigma}(x) \mathrm{D}^{\sigma}
$$

be a linear partial differential operator with smooth periodic coefficients (that is, $\left.a_{\sigma} \in C_{\pi}^{\infty}\right)$. Then for any $p \in\left[1, \infty\left[, k \in K_{\pi}^{\prime}\right.\right.$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{p, k k_{m-1}, k}^{\sim}=L_{p, k k_{m-1}, k}^{\prime \#} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The mapping $L(\cdot, \cdot)$ obeys

$$
\sup _{x \in W}\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} L\right)(x, l)\right| \leq C_{\alpha} k_{m}(l)
$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{n}$. Hence the proof follows from Corollary 3.6.

Corollary 3.8. Let $L(x, \mathrm{D})$ be the first order linear partial different al op. erator with coefficients $a_{\sigma} \in C_{\pi}^{\infty}$. Then the equalıty

$$
L_{p, k, k}^{\sim}=L_{p, k, k}^{\prime \#} \quad \text { for } \quad p \in\left[1 . \infty\left[\quad k \in K_{\pi}^{\prime}\right.\right.
$$

holds.
Apply Corollary 3.7 with $m=1$.
Remark 3.9. We have $B_{2, k_{0}}^{\pi}=L_{2}(W) \bigcap D_{\pi}^{\prime}=\left\{u \in L_{2}(W) \mid u\right.$ is periodic $\}$ Due to Corollary 3.8 for any first order smooth periodic partial differential operator $L(x, \mathrm{D})$ the relation $L^{\sim}=L^{\prime \#}$ holds, where $L^{\sim}=L_{2, k_{0}, k}^{\sim}$ and $L^{\prime \#}=L_{2, k_{0}, k_{0}}^{\prime \#}$. Hence for any weak solution of $L(x, \mathrm{D}) u=f ; u, f \in B_{2, k}^{\pi}$ there exists a sequence $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\} \subset S_{\pi}$ so that

$$
\left\|\varphi_{n}-u\right\|+\left\|L(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi_{n}-f\right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { with } \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

where $\|\cdot\|:=\|\cdot\|_{2 h_{0}}=\|\cdot\|_{L_{2}(W)}$.

## 4. On the identity $L_{p, p^{\prime}, k}^{\sim}=L_{p, p^{\prime}, k}^{\prime \#}$

We recall that $\boldsymbol{L}_{p, p^{\prime}, k}^{\sim}$ and $\boldsymbol{L}_{p p^{\prime}, k}^{\prime \#}$ denotes the minimal and respective the maximal realization of $L(x, \mathrm{D})$ from $B_{p, k}^{\pi}$ into $L_{p^{\prime}}(W) \cap D_{\pi}^{\prime}$. We need the following lemma

Lemma 4.1. Suppose that $L(\cdot, \cdot) \in A_{\pi}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in W}\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} L\right)(x, l)\right| \leq C_{\alpha} k(l) k_{1}(l) \quad \text { for } \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $|\alpha| \leq[n+\varepsilon]+n+3$. Then one has for $p \in[1,2], 1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{m}(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi\right\|_{p^{\prime}} \leq C\|\varphi\|_{p, k} \quad \text { for all } \varphi \in C_{\pi}^{\infty} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ does not depend on $p$ and $m$. Here $R_{m}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined by (3.3) and we denote $\|\cdot\|_{p^{\prime}}=\|\cdot\|_{L_{p^{\prime}}(W)}$.

Proof. A. In virtue of (4.1) one sees that

$$
\sup _{x \in W}\left|\left(\mathrm{D}_{x}^{\alpha} L\right)(x, l)\right| \leq C_{\alpha}\left(k k_{1}\right)(l) / k_{0}(l)
$$

for any $|\alpha| \leq\left[N_{k_{0}}+n+\varepsilon\right]+n+3$ (note that $N_{k_{0}}=0$ ). Hence we obtain by Theorem 3.4 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{m}(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi\right\|_{L_{2}(W)}=\left\|R_{m}(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi\right\|_{2, k_{0}} \leq C_{1}\|\varphi\|_{2, k} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ does not depend on $m$.
B. Furthermore, we get by (3.6)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|R_{m}(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi\right\|_{L_{\infty}(W)}= & \sup _{x \in W}\left|\left[R_{m}(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi\right](x)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{l}\left|R_{m}(x, l)\right| \varphi_{l}\left|\leq C_{0}^{\prime} \sum_{l}\right| \varphi_{l} k(l) \mid=C_{0}^{\prime}\|\varphi\|_{1, k} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

(since $k_{0}=\max _{\substack{|\gamma|=1 \\|\beta| \leq n+2}}\left\{k_{\beta+\gamma}\right\}=\max _{\substack{|\gamma|=1 \\|\beta| \leq n+2}}\left\{k_{1} k\right\}=k_{1} k$ ). Hence one obtains that the operators

$$
R_{m}(x, \mathrm{D}) \circ T^{-1}: L_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}, d \nu\right) \rightarrow L_{2}(W, d m)
$$

and

$$
R_{m}(x, \mathrm{D}) \circ T^{-1}: L_{1}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}, d \nu\right) \rightarrow L_{\infty}(W, d m)
$$

are bounded. Here $d m$ denotes the Lebesque measure in $W$ and $d \nu$ denotes the counting measure in $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. The operator $T$ is an injection

$$
C_{\pi}^{\infty} \rightarrow L_{1}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}, d \nu\right) \bigcap L_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}, d \nu\right)
$$

such that

$$
(T \varphi)(l)=\varphi_{l} k(l)
$$

The application of the Riesz-Thorin Theorem (cf. [2], p. 2) yields that the operator

$$
R_{m}(x, \mathrm{D}) \circ T^{-1}: L_{p}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}, d \nu\right) \rightarrow L_{p^{\prime}}(W, d m)
$$

is bounded and that with $0<\Theta<1$ one has

$$
\left\|R_{m}(x, \mathrm{D}) \circ T^{-1}\right\| \leq C_{1}^{1-\Theta}\left(C_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{\Theta} \leq \max \left\{C_{1}, C_{0}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

(note that when $1 / p=(1-\Theta) / 2+\Theta / 1$ and $1 / q=(1-\Theta) / 2+\Theta / \infty$, then $q=p^{\prime}$ and $1<p<2$ ). Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left\|R_{m}(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi\right\|_{p^{\prime}}=\| R_{m}(x, \mathrm{D}) \circ T^{-1}\right)(T \varphi) \|_{p^{\prime}} \\
& \leq \max \left\{C_{1}, C_{0}^{\prime}\right\}\|T \varphi\|_{p}=\max \left\{C_{1}, C_{0}^{\prime}\right\}\|\varphi\|_{p, k} \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C:=\max \left\{C_{1}, C_{0}^{\prime}\right\}$ does not depend on $m$ and $p$. This proves the assertion.

We establish the next theorem for the equality of realizations

Theorem 4.2. Suppose that $L(\cdot, \cdot) \in A_{\pi}$ and that the estimate (4.1) holds for any $|\alpha| \leq[n+\varepsilon]+n+3$. Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\boldsymbol{L}_{p, p^{\prime}, k}^{\sim}=\boldsymbol{L}_{p, p^{\prime}, k}^{\prime \#} \quad \text { for } \quad p \in\right] 1,2\right], \quad k \in K_{\pi}^{\prime} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. A. From (3.19) one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{L}_{p, p^{\prime}, k}^{\sim}\left(\bar{\Theta}_{m} u\right)=\Theta_{m}\left(\boldsymbol{L}_{p, p^{\prime}, k}^{\prime \#} u\right)-\bar{R}_{m} u \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $u \in \mathrm{D}\left(\boldsymbol{L}_{p, p^{\prime}, k}^{\prime \#}\right)$, since $\bar{\Theta}_{m} u \in C_{\pi}^{\infty} \subset \mathrm{D}\left(\boldsymbol{L}_{p, \boldsymbol{p}^{\prime}, k}^{\sim}\right)$. Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 one gets that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|L(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi\|_{p^{\prime}} \leq C\|\varphi\|_{p, k k_{1}} \quad \text { for }, \varphi \in C_{\pi}^{\infty} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by Lemma 4.1 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\bar{R}_{m} u\right\|_{p^{\prime}} \leq C\|u\|_{p, k} \quad \text { for all } \quad m \in \mathbf{N} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall verify that for any $f \in L_{p^{\prime}}(W) \bigcap D_{\pi}^{\prime}$ the approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\bar{\Theta}_{m}(f)-f\right\|_{p^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { with } \quad m \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Then the assertion follows with the same kind of conclusion as we made in the proof of Theorem 3.5.
B. Let $\phi$ be in $C_{0}^{\infty}(W)$. Define a function $\phi^{\pi}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\pi}(x)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{l}(F \phi)(l) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(l, x)} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then one sees thst $\phi^{\pi} \in C_{\pi}^{\infty}$. Furthermore, for any $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(W)$ one has (cf. [10], pp. 86 88)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{W} \phi^{\pi}(x) \varphi(x) \mathrm{d} x=(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{l}(F \phi)(l)(F \varphi)(-l) \\
&=(2 \pi)^{-n} \sum_{l}\left\langle\phi, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(l, \cdot)}\right\rangle_{L_{2}(W)}\left\langle\varphi, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(l, \cdot)}\right\rangle_{L_{2}(W)} \\
&-\int_{W} \phi(x) \varphi(x) \mathrm{d} x,
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $\left.\phi^{\pi}\right|_{W}-\phi$. For any $l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{O}_{m} \phi^{\pi}\right)_{l}=\mathrm{O}_{m}(l)\left(\phi^{\pi}\right)_{l}=\left(F \tilde{\Theta}_{m}\right)(l)(F \phi)(l)-F\left(\tilde{\mathrm{O}}_{m} * \phi\right)(l) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $*$ denotes the convolution of functions $\tilde{\Theta}_{m}$ and $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(W)$. We find that $\operatorname{supp}\left(\tilde{\Theta}_{m} * \phi\right) \subset \operatorname{supp} \tilde{\Theta}_{m}+\operatorname{supp} \phi \subset \bar{B}(0,1 / m)+\operatorname{supp} \phi$ and so $\tilde{\Theta}_{m} * \phi \in$ $C_{0}^{\infty}(W)$ for $m$ large enough, say $m \geq m_{0}$. Thus we get by (4.12)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\tilde{\Theta}_{m}\left(\phi^{\pi}\right)-\phi^{\pi}\right\|_{p^{\prime}} & =\left\|\left(\tilde{\Theta}_{m} * \phi\right)^{\pi}-\phi^{\pi}\right\|_{p^{\prime}} \\
& =\left\|\tilde{\Theta}_{m} * \phi-\phi\right\|_{p^{\prime}}=\left\|\left(\tilde{\Theta}_{m} * \phi\right)^{\vee}-\phi^{\vee}\right\|_{p^{\prime}}  \tag{4.13}\\
& =\left\|F\left(\tilde{\Theta}_{m} * \phi\right)-F \phi\right\|_{p^{\prime}, 1}=\left\|\Theta_{m} F \phi-F \phi\right\|_{p^{\prime}, 1} \rightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

with $m \rightarrow \infty$ (cf. [5], p. 42; the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p^{\prime}, 1}=\|\cdot\|_{p^{\prime}, k_{0}}$ denotes the Hörmander norm). In addition, one has for $m \geq m_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\bar{\Theta}_{m}\left(\phi^{\pi}\right)\right\|_{p^{\prime}}=\left\|\tilde{\Theta}_{m} * \phi\right\|_{p^{\prime}} \leq\|\tilde{\Theta}\|_{L_{1}(W)}\left\|\phi^{\pi}\right\|_{p^{\prime}} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $C_{0}^{\infty}(W)$ is dense in $L_{p^{\prime}}(W)$ one gets from (4.14) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\bar{\Theta}_{m}(f)\right\|_{p^{\prime}} \leq\|\tilde{\Theta}\|_{L_{1}(W)}\|f\|_{p^{\prime}} \quad \text { for all } \quad f \in L_{p^{\prime}}(W) \cap D_{\pi}^{\prime} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varepsilon$ be a positive number. Choose $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(W)$ so that

$$
\left\|\phi^{\pi}-f\right\|_{p^{\prime}}=\|\phi-f\|_{p^{\prime}}<\varepsilon
$$

and choose $m_{\varepsilon} \geq m_{0}$ such that

$$
\left\|\bar{\Theta}_{m}\left(\phi^{\pi}\right)-\phi^{\pi}\right\|_{p^{\prime}}<\varepsilon \quad \text { for } \quad m \geq m_{\varepsilon}
$$

Then we obtain for $m \geq m_{\varepsilon}$

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|\bar{\Theta}_{m}(f)-f\right\|_{p^{\prime}} \leq\left\|\bar{\Theta}_{m}\left(\phi^{\pi}\right)-\phi^{\pi}\right\|_{p^{\prime}}+\left\|\bar{\Theta}_{m}\left(f-\phi^{\pi}\right)\right\|_{p^{\prime}}+\left\|\phi^{\pi}-f\right\|_{p^{\prime}} \\
&<\varepsilon+\|\tilde{\Theta}\|_{L_{1}(W)} \varepsilon+\varepsilon \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $\left\|\bar{\Theta}_{m}(f)-f\right\|_{p^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0$ with $m \rightarrow \infty$, which completes the proof of (4.10).
Theorem 4.2 yields immediately
Corollary 4.3. Let $L(x, \mathrm{D})=\sum_{\sigma \leq m} a_{\sigma}(x) \mathrm{D}^{\sigma}$ be a partial differential operator with coefficients $a_{\sigma} \in C_{\pi}^{\infty}$. Then the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\boldsymbol{L}_{p, p^{\prime}, k_{m-1}}^{\sim}=\boldsymbol{L}_{p, p^{\prime}, k_{m-1}}^{\prime \#} \quad \text { for any } \quad p \in\right] 1,2\right] \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
Remark 4.4. Let $L(x, \mathrm{D})=\sum_{\sigma \leq 1} a_{\sigma}(x) \mathrm{D}^{\sigma}$ be the first order, partial differential operator with coefficients $a_{\sigma} \in C_{\pi}^{\infty}$. Then the identity $\boldsymbol{L}_{p, p^{\prime}}^{\sim}:=\boldsymbol{L}_{p, p^{\prime}, k_{0}}^{\sim}=$ $\boldsymbol{L}^{\prime}{ }_{p, p^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime}$ holds $\left.\left.(p \in] 1,2\right]\right)$. Hence for any solution of $L(x, \mathrm{D}) u=f ; u \in B_{p, k_{0}}^{\pi}$, $f \in L_{p^{\prime}}(W) \cap D_{\pi}^{\prime}$ there exists a sequence $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\} \subset S_{\pi}$ so that $\left\|\varphi_{n}-u\right\|_{p, k_{0}}+$ $\mid L(x, \mathrm{D}) \varphi_{n}-f \|_{p^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0$ with $n \rightarrow \infty$.
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