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# $L^{p}$-APPROXIMATION OF GENERALIZED BIAXIALLY SYMMETRIC POTENTIALS OVER CARATHÉODORY DOMAINS 

H. S. Kasana* - D. Kumar**<br>(Communicated by Michal Zajac)


#### Abstract

Let $F^{\alpha, \beta}$ be a real generalized biaxially symmetric potentials (GBASP) defined on the Carathéodory domain and let $L^{p}(D)$ be the class of functions $F^{\alpha, \beta}$ holomorphic in $D$ such that $\left\|F^{\alpha, \beta}\right\|_{D, p}=\left(A^{-1} \iint_{D}\left|F^{\alpha, \beta}\right| \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{1 / p}$, $A$ is the area of the domain $D$. For $F^{\alpha, \beta} \in L^{p}(D)$, set $E_{n}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)=\inf \left\{\| F^{\alpha, \beta}-\right.$ $\left.P^{\alpha, \beta} \|_{D, p}: P^{\alpha, \beta} \in H_{n}\right\}, H_{n}$ consists of all even biaxially symmetric harmonic polynomials of degree at most $2 n$. This paper deals with the growth of entire function GBASP in terms of approximation error in $L^{p}$-norm on $D$. The analysis utilizes the Bergman and Gilbert integral operator method to extend results from classical function theory on the best polynomial approximation of analytic functions of a complex variable.


## 1. Introduction

Let $F^{\alpha, \beta}$ be a real valued regular solution of the generalized biaxisymmetric potential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{2 \alpha+1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{2 \beta+1}{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) F^{\alpha, \beta}=0, \quad \alpha>\beta>-\frac{1}{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$ are fixed in a neighbourhood of the origin and the analytic Cauchy data

$$
F_{x}^{\alpha, \beta}(0, y)-F_{y}^{\alpha, \beta}(x, 0)=0
$$

are satisfied along the singular lines in the open hypersphere $\Sigma_{r}^{\alpha, \beta}: x^{2}+y^{2}<r^{2}$.

[^0]Such functions with even harmonic extensions are referred to as generalized biaxisymmetric potentials (GBASP) having local expansion of the form

$$
F^{\alpha, \beta}(x, y)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} R_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}(x, y)
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}(x, y)=\frac{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{n}}{P_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}(1)} P_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\left(\frac{x^{2}-y^{2}}{x^{2}+y^{2}}\right), \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$ are the Jacobi polynomials ([1], [12]). Suitable limits of the parameters $(\alpha, \beta)$, after quadratic transformation from [1] as necessary, produce various special functions from the $R_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$. For example, $\alpha=\beta=0$ gives the zonal harmonics so that $F^{\alpha, \beta}$ is interpreted as an axisymmetric potential on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, and $\alpha=\beta=-1 / 2$ gives the even circular harmonics on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, where the interpretation is $F^{\alpha, \beta}=\operatorname{Re} f, f$ being real analytic. The Euler-Poisson-Darboux equation arising in gas dynamics is viewed in terms of equation (1.1) after a transformation [4; p. 223]. Thus, global properties characterizing solutions to this partial differential equation that are determined by local properties are of special interest.

The invertible integral operators $\kappa_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $\kappa_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}$ developed in [9] are fundamental to such type of studies. These operators locally associate regular GBASP $F^{\alpha, \beta}$, equation (1.2) and the unique analytic function $f: f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{2 n}$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{\alpha, \beta}(x, y)=\kappa_{\alpha, \beta}(f) & =\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(\zeta) \mu_{\alpha, \beta}(t, s) \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} s \\
\zeta^{2} & =x^{2}-y^{2} t^{2}-2 \mathrm{i} x y t \cos s \\
\mu_{\alpha, \beta}(t, s) & =\gamma_{\alpha, \beta}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{\alpha-\beta-1} t^{2 \beta+1}(\sin s)^{2 \alpha} \\
\gamma_{\alpha, \beta} & =\frac{2 \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha-\beta) \Gamma(\beta+1 / 2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The inverse operator applies orthogonality of the Jacobi polynomials ([1]) and the Poisson kernel ([1]) to uniquely define the transform

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z)=\kappa_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) & =\int_{-1}^{1} F^{\alpha, \beta}\left(r \xi, r \sqrt{1-\xi^{2}}\right) \nu_{\alpha, \beta}\left((z / r)^{2}, \xi\right) \mathrm{d} \xi \\
\nu_{\alpha, \beta}(\tau, \xi) & =S_{\alpha, \beta}(\tau, \xi)(1-\xi)^{\alpha}(1+\xi)^{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the kernel is written with the help of [1] in closed form as

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\alpha, \beta}(\tau, \xi) & =\eta_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{1-\tau}{(1+\tau)^{\alpha+\beta+2}} F\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta+2}{2} ; \frac{\alpha+\beta+3}{2} ; \beta+1 ; \frac{2 \tau(1+\xi)}{(1+\tau)^{2}}\right) \\
\eta_{\alpha, \beta} & =\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+2)}{2^{\alpha+\beta+1} \Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\beta+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The normalizations $\kappa_{\alpha, \beta}(1)=\kappa_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}(1)$ are taken. The kernel $S_{\alpha, \beta}(\tau, \xi)$ is analytic in $\|\tau\|<1$ for $-1 \leq \xi \leq 1$. The local function elements $F^{\alpha, \beta}$ and $f$ are continued harmonically and analytically by contour deformation using the envelope method ([3]).

Let $B$ denote a Carathéodory domain, that is bounded simply connected domain, such that the boundary of $B$ coincides with the boundary of the domain lying in the complement of the closure of $B$ and containing the point $\infty$. In particular, a domain bounded by a Jordan curve is Carathéodory domain. Let $L^{p}(B)$ and $\ell^{p}(B), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, denote the class of GBASP $F^{\alpha, \beta}$ and associate $f$ holomorphic in $B$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|F^{\alpha, \beta}\right\|_{B, p} & =\left[\frac{1}{A} \iint_{B}\left|F^{\alpha, \beta}(x, y)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y\right]^{1 / p}<\infty \\
\|f\|_{B, p} & =\left[\frac{1}{A} \iint_{B}|f(z)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y\right]^{1 / p}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

where these norms are understood to be $\sup _{(x, y) \in B}\left|F^{\alpha, \beta}(x, y)\right|, \sup _{z \in B}|f(z)|$ for $p=\infty$, and $\|\cdot\|_{B, p}$ denotes the $L^{p}$-norm and $\ell^{p}$-norm for $F^{\alpha, \beta}$ and $f$, respectively and $A$ is the area of domain $B$. For $f \in \ell^{p}(B)$, define $b_{n}, n=0,1,2, \ldots$, the Fourier coefficients as

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n}=\iint_{B} f(z) \overline{p_{n}(z)} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\delta_{m}^{n}=\iint_{B} p_{n}(z) \overline{p_{m}(z)} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y
$$

where $\delta_{m}^{n}=1$ for $m=n$ and $\delta_{m}^{n}=0$ otherwise, and $\left\{p_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ forms a complete orthonormal sequence of polynomials in $\ell^{p}(B), p_{n}$ being even polynomial of degree at most $2 n$. It is known ( $\left[11 ;\right.$ p. 273]) that $f \in \ell^{p}(B)$ is entire if and only if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|b_{n}\right|^{1 / n}=0$. Moreover, $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} p_{n}(z)$ holds in the whole complex plane.
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For $p=\infty$, the best polynomial approximation error for GBASP and its associate (see [5]) is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{n}(f) \equiv e_{n}(f, B) & =\inf \left\{\|f-\pi\|: \pi \in h_{n}\right\}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots, \\
\|f-\pi\| & =\sup _{z \in B}|f(z)-\pi(z)|
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, we define

$$
E_{n}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) \equiv E_{n}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}, B\right)=\inf \left\{\left\|F^{\alpha, \beta}-P^{\alpha, \beta}\right\|_{B, p}: P^{\alpha, \beta} \in H_{n}\right\}, \quad p>0
$$

and for $p=\infty$,

$$
E_{n}^{\infty}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)=\left\|F^{\alpha, \beta}-P^{\alpha, \beta}\right\|=\sup _{(x, y) \in B}\left|F^{\alpha, \beta}(x, y)-P^{\alpha, \beta}(x, y)\right|
$$

The set $h_{n}$ contains all even polynomials of degree at most $2 n$, and the set $H_{n}$ contains all even biaxisymmetric harmonic polynomials of degree $2 n$. The operators $\kappa_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $\kappa_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}$ establish one-one equivalence of the sets $h_{n}$ and $H_{n}$.

Let $L^{0}$ denote the class of functions $\phi(x)$ defined on $[a, \infty)$, satisfying the conditions $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{i})$ and $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{ii})$ :
$\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{i}) \phi(x)$ is positive, strictly increasing, differentiable, and $\phi(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.
H (ii) $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(x(1+\varphi(x))}{\phi(x)}=1$ for every $\varphi(x)$ such that $\varphi(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.
Let $\Delta$ be the class of functions $\phi(x)$ satisfying conditions $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{i})$ and $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{iii})$ :
H (iii) $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(c x)}{\phi(x)}=1$ for every $0<c<\infty$.
Let $\Omega$ be the class of functions $\phi(x)$ satisfying $H(i)$ and $H(i v)$ :
H(iv) There exists a $\delta(x) \in \Delta$ and $x_{0}, K_{1}, K_{2}$ such that

$$
0<K_{1} \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}(\phi(x))}{\mathrm{d}(\delta(\ln x))} \leq K_{2}<\infty \quad \text { for all } \quad x>x_{0}
$$

Also, let $\bar{\Omega}$ be the class of functions $\phi(x)$ satisfying $H(i)$ and $H(v)$ :

$$
\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{v}) \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathrm{~d}(\phi(c x))}{\mathrm{d}(\ln x)}=K, \quad 0<K<\infty
$$

The generalized growth parameters of an entire function GBASP are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha\left(\ln M\left(r, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)\right)}{\alpha(\ln r)}=: \rho\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right), \\
& \liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha\left(\ln M\left(r, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)\right)}{\alpha(\ln r)}=: \lambda\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha(x)$ either belongs to $\Omega$ or $\bar{\Omega}$ and $M\left(r, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)=\sup _{(x, y) \in B}\left|F^{\alpha, \beta}(x, y)\right|$.

DEFINITION. An entire GBASP is said to be of regular growth if $1<$ $\lambda\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)=\rho\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)<\infty$.

Following the reasoning of McCoy [9], it can be shown that generalized orders of entire GBASP and its associate are the same. Mc C oy [9], [10] has characterized classical order and type of an entire GBASP in terms of approximation error in $L^{p}$-norm on $[-1,1]$.

In this paper, we extend the results of McCoy to arbitrary domains and generalized growth parameters. We identify those GBASP $F^{\alpha, \beta} \in L^{p}(B)$ that harmonically continue as an entire function GBASP. The characteristic feature follows from the rate of convergence of a sequence of best GBASP polynomial approximates to $F^{\alpha, \beta}$ in $L^{p}(B)$ and sup norms. The generalized growth parameters of an entire GBASP have been characterized in terms of the approximation error $E_{n}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ in $L^{p}$ and sup norms on Carathéodory domains.

The following notations will be used throughout the paper

$$
\vartheta_{\eta}(\nu)= \begin{cases}\max \{1, \nu\} & \text { if } \alpha(x) \in \Omega \\ \eta+\nu & \text { if } \alpha(x) \in \bar{\Omega}\end{cases}
$$

We shall write $\vartheta(\nu)$ for $\vartheta_{1}(\nu)$.

## 2. Auxiliary results

Let $B^{*}$ be the component of the complement of the closure of the Carathéodory domain that contains the point $\infty$. Set $B_{r}=\{z:|\psi(z)|=r\}, r>1$, where the function $w=\psi(z)$ maps $B^{*}$ conformally onto $|w|>1$ such that $\psi(\infty)=\infty$ and $\psi^{\prime}(\infty)>0$.

LEMMA 1. Suppose $F^{\alpha, \beta}$ is an entire GBASP having generalized growth parameters $\rho\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ and $\lambda\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha\left(\ln \bar{M}\left(r, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)\right)}{\alpha(\ln r)}=\rho\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) \\
& \liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha\left(\ln \bar{M}\left(r, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)\right)}{\alpha(\ln r)}=\lambda\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{M}\left(r, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)=\max _{z \in B_{r}}\left|F^{\alpha, \beta}(z, 0)\right|$.
Proof. The proof follows on the lines of [6; Lemma 1] and taking the definition of generalized growth parameters into account.

## H. S. KASANA - D. KUMAR

Lemma 2. Let $F^{\alpha, \beta} \in L^{p}(B), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, be the restriction to $B$ of an entire function GBASP having generalized growth parameters $\rho\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ and $\lambda\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$. Then $g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{2 n}, b_{n}$ are given by (1.3), is also an entire function satisfying

$$
\rho\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)=\rho(\alpha, \alpha, g) \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)=\lambda(\alpha, \alpha, g) .
$$

Proof. In view of $\left|b_{n}\right|^{1 / n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, g$ is an entire function. From [11], we have $\max _{z \in B_{r}}\left|p_{n}(z)\right| \leq C r^{n}, n=0,1, \ldots$, where $C$ is a constant independent of $n$ and $r(r>1)$. Thus, applying the Bernstein inequality ([2]) for each term of the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} p_{n}(z)$, we get

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
|f(z)| \leq\left|b_{0}\right|+C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{n}\right|\left(r r^{\prime}\right)^{n}, & & z \in B_{r}, \\
\bar{M}(r, f) & \leq\left|b_{0}\right|+C M\left(r r^{\prime}, g\right), & & r>1 . \tag{2.1}
\end{array}
$$

Let us consider the relation $F^{\alpha, \beta}(x, y)=\kappa_{\alpha, \beta}(f)$ defined globally in [10]. The nonnegativity and normalization of the measure lead directly to the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{M}\left(r, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) \leq \bar{M}(r, f) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{M}\left(r, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) \leq\left|b_{0}\right|+C M\left(r r^{\prime}, g\right), \quad r>1 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, using Lemma 1 and the fact that either $\alpha \in \Omega$ or $\alpha \in \bar{\Omega}$, (2.3) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) \leq \rho(\alpha, \alpha, g) \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) \leq \lambda(\alpha, \alpha, g) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $r^{*}>1$. Since $f$ is entire, it follows that ([9]) there exists a sequence of polynomials $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, Q_{n}$ being of degree at most $2 n$ such that

$$
\left|f(z)-Q_{n}(z)\right|<\frac{2}{3} \bar{M}(r, f) \frac{\left(r^{*} / r\right)^{n+1}}{\left(1-r^{*} / r\right)}, \quad z \in \bar{B},
$$

for all sufficiently large $n$ and all $r>r^{*}$. Also,

$$
b_{n}=\iint_{B} f(z) \overline{p_{n}(z)} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y=\iint_{B}\left[f(z)-Q_{n-1}(z)\right] \overline{p_{n}(z)} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y .
$$

Since $p_{n}$ is orthogonal to any polynomial of degree $\leq 2 n$, using the Schwarz inequality, we get

$$
\left|b_{n}\right| \leq\left\|f-Q_{n}\right\|_{B, p} \leq A^{1 / p} \max _{z \in \bar{B}}\left|f(z)-Q_{n}(z)\right|, \quad 1 \leq p<\infty
$$

where $A$ is the area of $B$. Using (2.2) in above, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \gamma \bar{M}(r, f)\left(\frac{r^{*}}{r}\right)^{n} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large values of $n$ and $r>2 r^{*}, \gamma$ is a constant independent of $n$ and $r$. Moreover, (2.5) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(r / r^{*} ; g\right) \leq \gamma \bar{M}(r, f) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inverse relation $f(z)=\kappa_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$, valid globally in view of [9; Theorem 1], leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(z)| & \leq M\left(r, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) N_{\alpha, \beta}(\tau), \quad \tau=\left(\frac{z}{r}\right)^{n}, \\
N_{\alpha, \beta}(\tau) & =\max _{-1 \leq \xi \leq 1} \eta_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}\left|S_{\alpha, \beta}(\tau, \xi)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

However, for $z=\varepsilon r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}(\varepsilon$ real $), M(\varepsilon r, f) \leq M\left(r, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) N_{\alpha, \beta}$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{M}(r, f) \leq \bar{M}\left(r / \varepsilon, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) N_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right), \quad z \in B_{r} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using [3; Theorem 3], Lemma 1, (2.6) and (2.7) and the fact that either $\alpha \in \Omega$ or $\alpha \in \bar{\Omega}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(\alpha, \alpha, g) \leq \rho\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda(\alpha, \alpha, g) \leq \lambda\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.4) and (2.8), the desired results are available. For $p=\infty$, just proceed on the lines of [13].
Lemma 3. Let $F^{\alpha, \beta} \in L^{p}(B), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, be the restriction to $B$ of an entire function GBASP having generalized growth parameters $\rho\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ and $\lambda\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$. Then $\widetilde{g}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) z^{2 n}$ is also an entire function. Further, we have

$$
\rho\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)=\rho(\alpha, \alpha, \tilde{g}) \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)=\lambda(\alpha, \alpha, \tilde{g}) .
$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of [7; Lemma 3], and (2.2) and (2.8) for an even function.

## 3. Main results

Theorem 1. Let $F^{\alpha, \beta} \in L^{p}(B), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, be the restriction to $B$ of an entire function GBASP having generalized order $\rho\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ and generalized lower order $\lambda\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$. Then
(i) $\rho\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)=\vartheta(L)$,
(ii) $\rho\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)=\vartheta\left(L^{*}\right)$, where

$$
L=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(n)}{\alpha\left(-\frac{1}{n} \ln E_{n}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)\right)}, \quad L^{*}=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(n)}{\alpha\left(\ln \left(E_{n-1}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) / E_{n}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)\right)\right)}
$$

(iii) $\lambda\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) \geq \vartheta(\tilde{\ell})$,

$$
\tilde{\ell}=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(n)}{\alpha\left(-\frac{1}{n} \ln E_{n}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)\right)}
$$

(iv) If we take $\alpha(x)=\alpha(a)$ on $(-\infty, a)$, then $\lambda\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) \geq \vartheta\left(\ell^{*}\right)$,

$$
\ell^{*}=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(n)}{\alpha\left(\ln \left(E_{n-1}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) / E_{n}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)\right)\right)}
$$

THEOREM 2. Let $F^{\alpha, \beta} \in L^{p}(B), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, be the restriction to $B$ of an entire function GBASP having generalized order $\rho\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ and generalized lower order $\lambda\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$. If $E_{n}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) / E_{n+1}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ is nondecreasing, then
(i) $\rho\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)=\vartheta(L)=\vartheta\left(L^{*}\right)$,
(ii) $\lambda\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)=\vartheta(\tilde{\ell})=\vartheta\left(\ell^{*}\right)$, where $\tilde{\ell}$ and $\ell^{*}$ have the same meaning as in Theorem 1.

THEOREM 3. Let $F^{\alpha, \beta} \in L^{p}(B), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, be the restriction to $B$ of an entire function GBASP having generalized lower order $\lambda\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$. Then
(i) if $\alpha(x) \in \Omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)=\max _{\left\{n_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}}\left\{\vartheta_{\xi}\left(\ell^{\prime}\right)\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) further, if $\alpha(x)=\alpha(a)$ on $(-\infty, a)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)=\max _{\left\{n_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}}\left\{\vartheta_{\xi}\left(\ell^{\prime *}\right)\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi & \equiv \xi\left(n_{k}\right)=\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha\left(n_{k-1}\right) / \alpha\left(n_{k}\right) \\
\ell^{\prime} & \equiv \ell^{\prime}\left(n_{k}\right)=\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha\left(n_{k-1}\right)}{\alpha\left(-\frac{1}{n_{k}} \ln E_{n_{k}}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)\right)} \\
\ell^{\prime *} & =\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha\left(n_{k-1}\right)}{\alpha\left(-\frac{1}{n_{k}-n_{k-1}} \ln \left(E_{n_{k-1}}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) / E_{n_{k}}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)\right)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum in (3.1) and (3.2) is taken over all increasing sequences $\left\{n_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of the positive integers.
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Also, if $\left\{n_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of principal indices of $\widetilde{g}(z)=$ $\sum_{n-0}^{\infty} E_{n}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) z^{2 n}$ and $\alpha\left(n_{m}\right) \simeq \alpha\left(n_{m-1}\right)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, then (3.1) and (3.2) hold for $\alpha(x) \in \bar{\Omega}$.

Proof of Theorems 1, 2, 3. These theorems follow easily from [5; Theorems 4 6, Lemma 1] and Lemma 3 of this paper.

For $F^{\alpha, \beta} \in L^{p}(B), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, let $\left\{n_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}, n_{0}=0$, be a sequence of positive integers such that $E_{n_{k-1}}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)>E_{n_{k}}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)=E_{n_{k-1}}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad n_{k-1} \leq n \leq n_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we derive a result that shows how this sequence influences the growth of an entire GBASP.

Theorem 4. Let $F^{\alpha, \beta} \in L^{p}(B), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, be the restriction to $B$ of an entire function GBASP having generalized order $\rho\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ and generalized lower order $\lambda\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$. Then

$$
\lambda\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) \geq \rho\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right) \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha\left(n_{k}\right)}{\alpha\left(n_{k+1}\right)}
$$

where $\left\{n_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is defined by (3.3).
Proof. Define the function

$$
h(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[E_{n-1}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)-E_{n}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)\right] z^{2 n}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{2 k}
$$

where $b_{k}=E_{k-1}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)-E_{k}^{p}\left(F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$. Clearly, $h(z)$ has the generalized order $\rho\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ and generalized lower order $\lambda\left(\alpha, \alpha, F^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$. Now, the application of [5; Theorem 4] to $h(z)$ yields the desired inequality.

Corollary. Let $F^{\alpha, \beta} \in L^{p}(B), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, be the restriction to $B$ of an entire GBASP with generalized regular growth. Further, if $\alpha \in \Omega$ or $\alpha \in \bar{\Omega}$, then

$$
\alpha\left(n_{k}\right) \simeq \alpha\left(n_{k-1}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty
$$
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