

Mohammad Mursaleen; Osama Edely; Aiman Mukheimer  
Statistically  $\sigma$ -multiplicative matrices and some inequalities

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 54 (2004), No. 3, 281--289

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/133337>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## STATISTICALLY $\sigma$ -MULTIPLICATIVE MATRICES AND SOME INEQUALITIES

MURSALEEN\* — OSAMA EDELY\*\* — AIMAN MUKHEIMER\*\*\*

(Communicated by Lubica Holá)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we define and characterize the statistically  $\sigma$ -multiplicative matrices using the concepts of statistical convergence and invariant means. We further use these matrices to establish some inequalities involving sublinear functionals.

### 1. Invariant mean

Let  $\ell_\infty$  and  $c$  denote the Banach spaces of bounded and convergent sequences  $\mathbf{x} = (x_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  respectively. Let  $\sigma$  be an injection of the set of positive integers  $\mathbb{N}$  into itself having no finite orbits, and  $T$  be the operator defined on  $\ell_\infty$  by  $T((x_n)_{n=1}^\infty) = (x_{\sigma(n)})_{n=1}^\infty$ .

A positive linear functional  $\phi$ , with  $\|\phi\| = 1$ , is called a  $\sigma$ -mean or an invariant mean if  $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \phi(T\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \ell_\infty$ .

A sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -convergent, denoted by  $\mathbf{x} \in V_\sigma$ , if  $\phi(\mathbf{x})$  takes the same value, called  $\sigma$ -lim  $\mathbf{x}$ , for all  $\sigma$ -means  $\phi$ . We have (see Schaefer [16])

$$V_\sigma := \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \ell_\infty : \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} t_{pn}(\mathbf{x}) = L \text{ uniformly in } n, L = \sigma\text{-lim } \mathbf{x} \right\},$$

where for  $p \geq 0, n > 0$

$$t_{pn}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{x_n + x_{\sigma(n)} + \cdots + x_{\sigma^p(n)}}{p + 1}, \quad \text{and} \quad t_{-1,n} = 0. \quad (1)$$

Throughout this paper we assume that  $\sigma^j(n) \neq n$  for all  $n \geq 0, j \geq 1$ , where  $\sigma^p(n)$  denotes the  $p$ th iterate of  $\sigma$  at  $n$ . In particular, if  $\sigma$  is the translation, a

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 40F05, 40G99, 40H05.

Keywords: Knopp core, Banach core,  $\sigma$ -core, invariant mean, statistical convergence, multiplicative matrix.

$\sigma$ -mean is often called a *Banach limit* and  $V_\sigma$  reduces to  $f$ , the set of *almost-convergent sequences* (see Lorentz [10]).

An infinite matrix  $\mathbf{A} = (a_{nk})_{n,k=1}^\infty$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -regular if  $\mathbf{Ax} \in V_\sigma$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in c$  and  $\sigma\text{-lim } \mathbf{Ax} = \lim \mathbf{x}$ . The matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $\sigma$ -regular if and only if (see Schaefer [16])

$$(1.1) \quad \|\mathbf{A}\| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_{nk}| < \infty,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbf{a}_{(k)} = (a_{nk})_{n=1}^\infty \in V_\sigma \text{ with } \sigma\text{-limit zero for each } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbf{a} = \left( \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{nk} \right)_{n=1}^\infty \in V_\sigma \text{ with } \sigma\text{-limit } 1.$$

A matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is called  $\sigma$ -coercive (see [16]) if  $\mathbf{Ax} \in V_\sigma$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \ell_\infty$ . The matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $\sigma$ -coercive if and only if (1.1), (1.4) and (1.5) hold, where

$$(1.4) \quad \mathbf{a}_{(k)} \in V_\sigma \text{ for each } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$(1.5) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^\infty |t(n, k, p) - u_k| = 0 \text{ uniformly in } n \text{ where } u_k = \sigma\text{-lim } \mathbf{a}_{(k)}, \text{ and}$$

$$t(n, k, p) = \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{j=0}^p a_{\sigma^j(n), k}.$$

A matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -multiplicative if  $\mathbf{Ax} \in V_\sigma$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in c$  and  $\sigma\text{-lim } \mathbf{Ax} = s \lim \mathbf{x}$ , where  $s$  is any non-negative real number. We denote this by  $\mathbf{A} \in (c, V_\sigma)_s$ . The matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $\sigma$ -multiplicative if and only if (see [1]) (1.1), (1.2) and (1.6) hold, where

$$(1.6) \quad \mathbf{a} = \left( \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{nk} \right)_{n=1}^\infty \in V_\sigma \text{ with } \sigma\text{-limit } s.$$

A sublinear functional  $Q$  generates  $\sigma$ -means if  $\phi$  is a continuous linear functional on  $\ell_\infty$  and  $\phi < Q$  implies  $\phi$  is a  $\sigma$ -mean. We say that  $Q$  dominates  $\sigma$ -means if every  $\sigma$ -mean  $\phi$  is less than  $Q$ . If a sublinear functional  $Q$  both generates and dominates  $\sigma$ -means, then we define the  $\sigma$ -core of  $\mathbf{x}$  as  $[-Q(-\mathbf{x}), Q(\mathbf{x})]$  (see [11] and [13]).

Let  $Q: \ell_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$Q(\mathbf{x}) = \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t_{pn}(\mathbf{x}), \tag{2}$$

where  $t_{pn}(\mathbf{x})$  is defined by (1). Then  $Q$  generates and dominates  $\sigma$ -means.

If  $\sigma$  is a translation, then  $\sigma$ -core is reduced to the Banach core (or B-core) (see Orhan [14]). Recall that the Knopp core (or K-core) for real  $\mathbf{x}$  is the closed interval  $[\ell(\mathbf{x}), L(\mathbf{x})]$ , where

$$\ell(\mathbf{x}) = \liminf \mathbf{x} \quad \text{and} \quad L(\mathbf{x}) = \limsup \mathbf{x}.$$

It can be noted that a  $\sigma$ -mean extends the limit functional on  $c$  in the sense that  $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \lim \mathbf{x}$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in c$  if and only if  $\sigma$  has no finite orbits, that is  $\sigma^j(n) \neq n$  for all  $n \geq 0, j \geq 1$  (see Mursaleen [12]). Consequently  $c \subset V_\sigma$ , and it follows that  $\sigma\text{-core}\{\mathbf{x}\} \subseteq \text{K-core}\{\mathbf{x}\}$ .

## 2. Statistical core

The notion of statistical convergence was first introduced by Fast [5] and further studied by Šalát [15], Fridy [6], Connor [2], Kolk [9], Fridy and Orhan [7], [8] and many others.

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of natural numbers and  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . Then the *natural density* of  $E$  is denoted by

$$\delta(E) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} |\{k \leq n : k \in E\}|,$$

where the vertical bars denote the cardinality of the enclosed set.

The sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  is said to be *statistically convergent* to the number  $L$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $\{k : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}$  has natural density zero, and we write  $L = \text{st-lim } \mathbf{x}$ . By *st* we will denote the set of all statistically convergent sequences.

For a real number sequence  $\mathbf{x}$ , let

$$B_{\mathbf{x}} := \{b \in \mathbb{R} : \delta(\{k : x_k > b\}) \neq 0\}$$

and

$$A_{\mathbf{x}} := \{a \in \mathbb{R} : \delta(\{k : x_k < a\}) \neq 0\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{st-lim sup } \mathbf{x} &:= \begin{cases} \sup B_{\mathbf{x}} & \text{if } B_{\mathbf{x}} \neq \emptyset, \\ -\infty & \text{if } B_{\mathbf{x}} = \emptyset. \end{cases} \\ \text{st-lim inf } \mathbf{x} &:= \begin{cases} \inf A_{\mathbf{x}} & \text{if } A_{\mathbf{x}} \neq \emptyset, \\ +\infty & \text{if } A_{\mathbf{x}} = \emptyset. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The real number sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  is said to be *statistically bounded* if there is a constant  $M$  such that

$$\delta(\{k : |x_k| > M\}) = 0.$$

If  $\mathbf{x}$  is a statistically bounded sequence, then the *statistical core* of  $\mathbf{x}$  is the closed interval  $[\text{st-lim inf } \mathbf{x}, \text{st-lim sup } \mathbf{x}]$ . It is noted that

$$\lim \inf \mathbf{x} \leq \text{st-lim inf } \mathbf{x} \leq \text{st-lim sup } \mathbf{x} \leq \lim \sup \mathbf{x}$$

and so

$$\text{st-core}\{\mathbf{x}\} \subseteq \text{K-core}\{\mathbf{x}\}.$$

For an arbitrary index set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  the sequence  $\mathbf{x}^{[E]} = (y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ , where

$$y_n = \begin{cases} x_n, & n \in E, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is called the  $E$ -section of  $\mathbf{x}$ . By  $\mathbf{A}^{[E]}$  we denote the  $E$ -column section of the matrix  $\mathbf{A} = (a_{nk})_{n,k=1}^\infty$ , i.e.  $\mathbf{A}^{[E]} = (d_{nk})_{n,k=1}^\infty$ , where

$$d_{nk} = \begin{cases} a_{nk} & \text{if } k \in E, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

### 3. Statistically $\sigma$ -multiplicative matrices

**DEFINITION 3.1.** Let  $s > 0$  and  $\mathbf{A} = (a_{nk})_{n,k=1}^\infty$  be an infinite matrix.  $\mathbf{A}$  is said to be *statistically  $\sigma$ -multiplicative* if  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \in V_\sigma$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{st} \cap \ell_\infty$  with  $\sigma\text{-lim } \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = s(\text{st-lim } \mathbf{x})$ . We denote the class of such matrices by  $(\text{st} \cap \ell_\infty, V_\sigma)_s$ . For  $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ , this class is reduced to  $(\text{st} \cap \ell_\infty, f)_s$  of *statistically almost multiplicative matrices*.

For typographical convenience, we write  $t(n, k, p)$  for  $\frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{j=0}^p a_{\sigma^j(n), k}$ .

The following theorem characterizes the class  $(\text{st} \cap \ell_\infty, V_\sigma)_s$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.**  $\mathbf{A} \in (\text{st} \cap \ell_\infty, V_\sigma)_s$  if and only if

(i)  $\mathbf{A} \in (c, V_\sigma)_s$ ,

and

(ii)  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k \in E} |t(n, k, p)| = 0$  uniformly in  $n$  for every  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  with  $\delta(E) = 0$ .

*Proof.*

*Necessity.* Let  $\mathbf{A} \in (\text{st} \cap \ell_\infty, V_\sigma)_s$  and

$$s(\text{st-lim } \mathbf{x}) = \sigma\text{-lim } \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \ell,$$

say. Since  $c \subset \text{st}$ , we have  $\mathbf{A} \in (c, V_\sigma)_s$ , i.e. (i) holds.

Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  with  $\delta(E) = 0$  and let  $\mathbf{x} \in \ell_\infty$ . Then the  $E$ -section  $\mathbf{y}$  of  $\mathbf{x}$  converges statistically to zero, and  $\mathbf{y} \in \ell_\infty$ . Hence  $\mathbf{y} \in \text{st} \cap \ell_\infty$  and so  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} \in V_\sigma$  with  $s(\text{st-lim } \mathbf{y}) = 0 = \sigma\text{-lim } \mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}$ . Also

$$\mathbf{A}_n^{[E]}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{y}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

which implies that  $\mathbf{A}^{[E]}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{A}_n^{[E]}(\mathbf{x}))_{n=1}^\infty \in V_\sigma$  and  $\sigma\text{-lim } \mathbf{A}^{[E]}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ . Thus  $\mathbf{A}^{[E]} \in (\ell_\infty, V_\sigma)$  for every index set  $E$  with  $\delta(E) = 0$  and so, by condition (1.5) with  $u_k = 0$  (for each  $k$ ), we must have (ii).

*Sufficiency.* Suppose that conditions (i) and (ii) hold and  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{st} \cap \ell_\infty$  with  $\text{st} - \lim \mathbf{x} = \ell$ . Write  $E := \{k : |x_k - \ell| \geq \varepsilon\}$  for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , so that  $\delta(E) = 0$ .

Since  $\mathbf{A} \in (c, V_\sigma)_s$ ,  $\sigma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} = s$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma - \lim \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} &= \sigma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(x_k - \ell) + \ell \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \right) \\ &= \sigma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(x_k - \ell) + \ell s. \end{aligned} \tag{*}$$

Since

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(x_k - \ell) \right| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| \sum_{k \in E} |a_{nk}| + \varepsilon \|\mathbf{A}\|,$$

applying condition (ii), we have

$$\sigma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(x_k - \ell) = 0.$$

Hence, by (\*),  $\sigma - \lim \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \ell s = s(\text{st} - \lim \mathbf{x})$ ; i.e.  $\mathbf{A} \in (\text{st} \cap \ell_\infty, V_\sigma)_s$ .

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

For  $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ , we have the following:

**COROLLARY 3.2.**  $\mathbf{A} \in (\text{st} \cap \ell_\infty, f)_s$  if and only if

(i)  $A \in (c, f)_s$ ,

and

(ii)  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k \in E} \frac{1}{p+1} \left| \sum_{j=0}^p a_{j+n,k} \right| = 0$  uniformly in  $n$  for every  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  with  $\delta(E) = 0$ .

**Remark 1.** For  $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ , and  $s = 1$ , the class  $(\text{st} \cap \ell_\infty, V_\sigma)_s$  is reduced to the class of statistically almost regular matrices, which we denote by  $(\text{st} \cap \ell_\infty, f)_{\text{reg}}$  (see [4]).

### 4. Main result

**THEOREM 4.1.**  $Q(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}) \leq sS(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \ell_\infty$  if and only if

(4.1.1)  $\mathbf{A} \in (\text{st} \cap \ell_\infty, V_\sigma)_s$ ,

and

(4.1.2)  $\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |t(n, k, p)| = s$ ,

where  $S(\mathbf{x}) = \text{st} - \lim \sup \mathbf{x}$ , and  $Q$  is defined by (2).

*Proof.*

*Necessity.* Suppose that  $Q(\mathbf{Ax}) \leq sS(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \ell_\infty$ . Then

$$s(-S(-\mathbf{x})) \leq -Q(-\mathbf{Ax}) \leq Q(\mathbf{Ax}) \leq sS(\mathbf{x}),$$

or

$$s(\text{st-lim inf } \mathbf{x}) \leq -Q(-\mathbf{Ax}) \leq Q(\mathbf{Ax}) \leq s(\text{st-lim sup } \mathbf{x}). \tag{4.1.3}$$

If  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{st} \cap \ell_\infty$ , then we have (see Fridy and Orhan [7])

$$\text{st-lim inf } \mathbf{x} = \text{st-lim sup } \mathbf{x} = \text{st-lim } \mathbf{x}.$$

Thus (4.1.3) implies

$$-Q(-\mathbf{Ax}) = Q(\mathbf{Ax}) = s(\text{st-lim } \mathbf{x})$$

or

$$\sigma\text{-lim } \mathbf{Ax} = s(\text{st-lim } \mathbf{x}).$$

Hence  $\mathbf{A} \in (\text{st} \cap \ell_\infty, V_\sigma)_s$ , i.e. (4.1.1) holds.

Since, by (4.1.1),  $\mathbf{A} \in (c, V_\sigma)_s$ , we have

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |t(n, k, p)| \geq \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t(n, k, p) = s.$$

Hence

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |t(n, k, p)| \geq s. \tag{4.1.4}$$

By Lemma 2 of Das [3], for  $\mathbf{y} \in \ell_\infty$  with  $\|\mathbf{y}\| \leq 1$ , we have

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t(n, k, p)y_k = \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |t(n, k, p)|. \tag{4.1.5}$$

Also by the hypothesis

$$Q(\mathbf{Ay}) \leq sS(\mathbf{y}) \leq sL(\mathbf{y}) = s\|\mathbf{y}\| \leq s,$$

that is

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t(n, k, p)y_k \leq s.$$

Therefore by (4.1.5) we get

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |t(n, k, p)| \leq s, \tag{4.1.6}$$

which together with (4.1.4) gives (4.1.2).

*Sufficiency.* Let the conditions (4.1.1) and (4.1.2) hold and  $\mathbf{x} \in \ell_\infty$ . Then  $S(\mathbf{x})$  is finite. For given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $E := \{k : x_k > S(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon\}$ . Thus  $\delta(E) = 0$ , and if  $k \notin E$ , then  $x_k \leq S(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon$ . Now for a fixed positive integer  $m$ ,

$$t_{pn}(\mathbf{Ax}) \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| \sum_{k < m} |t(n, k, p)| + (S(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon) \sum_{k \geq m, k \notin E} |t(n, k, p)| \\ + \|\mathbf{x}\| \sum_{k \geq m} (|t(n, k, p)| - t(n, k, p)) + \|\mathbf{x}\| \sum_{k \geq m, k \in E} |t(n, k, p)|.$$

Applying (4.1.1) and (4.1.2) we have

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t_{pn}(\mathbf{Ax}) \leq sS(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, we conclude that

$$Q(\mathbf{Ax}) \leq sS(\mathbf{x})$$

for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \ell_\infty$ .

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

**Remark.** Similarly we can show that

$$s(\text{st-lim inf } \mathbf{x}) = -sS(-\mathbf{x}) \leq -Q(-\mathbf{Ax}).$$

Hence, finally we have

$$\sigma\text{-core}\{\mathbf{Ax}\} \subseteq \text{st-core}\{s\mathbf{x}\} \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \in \ell_\infty$$

if and only if (4.1.1) and (4.1.2) hold.

## 5. Consequences of Theorem 4.1

From Theorem 4.1 we deduce the following results.

For  $s = 1$ , we get:

**THEOREM 5.1.**  $Q(\mathbf{Ax}) \leq S(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \ell_\infty$  if and only if

$$(5.1.1) \quad \mathbf{A} \in (\text{st} \cap \ell_\infty, V_\sigma)_{\text{reg}},$$

and

$$(5.1.2) \quad \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |t(n, k, p)| = 1.$$

For  $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ , we get:

**THEOREM 5.2.**  $L^*(\mathbf{Ax}) \leq sS(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \ell_\infty$  if and only if

$$(5.2.1) \quad \mathbf{A} \in (\text{st} \cap \ell_\infty, f)_s,$$

and

$$(5.2.2) \quad \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p+1} \left| \sum_{j=0}^p a_{j+n,k} \right| = s.$$

For  $\sigma(n) = n + 1$  and  $s = 1$ , we get:

**THEOREM 5.3.** (see [4])  $L^*(\mathbf{Ax}) \leq S(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \ell_\infty$  if and only if

$$(5.3.1) \quad \mathbf{A} \in (\text{st} \cap \ell_\infty, f)_{\text{reg}},$$

and

$$(5.3.2) \quad \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p+1} \left| \sum_{j=0}^p a_{j+n,k} \right| = 1,$$

where

$$L^*(\mathbf{x}) = \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{j=0}^p x_{j+n}$$

is a bounded linear functional on  $\ell_\infty$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] AHMAD, Z. U.—SARASWAT, S. K.—MURSALEEN: *Invariant means and multiplicative matrices*, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Sér. A1 Math. Stat. **29** (1980), 55–61.
- [2] CONNOR, J. S.: *The statistical and strong  $p$ -Cesáro convergence of sequences*, Analysis (Munich) **8** (1988), 47–63.
- [3] DAS, G.: *Sublinear functionals and a class of conservative matrices*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **15** (1987), 89–106.
- [4] EDELY, OSAMA H. H.: *Statistical Convergence and Infinite Matrices*. Ph.D. Thesis, A. M. U., Aligarh, 2001.
- [5] FAST, H.: *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math. **2** (1951), 241–244.
- [6] FRIDY, J. A.: *On statistical convergence*, Analysis (Munich) **5** (1985), 301–313.
- [7] FRIDY, J. A.—ORHAN, C.: *Statistical limit superior and limit inferior*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 3625–3631.
- [8] FRIDY, J. A.—ORHAN, C.: *Statistical core theorems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **208** (1997), 520–527.
- [9] KOLK, E.: *Matrix summability of statistically convergent sequences*, Analysis (Munich) **13** (1993), 77–83.
- [10] LORENTZ, G. G.: *A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences*, Acta Math. **80** (1948), 167–190.
- [11] MISHRA, S. L.—SATAPATHY, B.—RATH, N.: *Invariant means and  $\sigma$ -core*, J. Indian Math. Soc. **60** (1984), 151–158.
- [12] MURSALEEN: *On some new invariant matrix methods of summability*, Q. J. Math. **34** (1983), 77–86.

STATISTICALLY  $\sigma$ -MULTIPLICATIVE MATRICES AND SOME INEQUALITIES

- [13] MURSALEEN—GAUR, A. K.—CHISHTI, T. A.: *On some new sequence spaces of invariant means*, Acta Math. Hungar. **753** (1997), 209–214.
- [14] ORHAN, C.: *Some inequalities involving sublinear functionals*, Comment. Math. Prace Mat. **31** (1991), 89–96.
- [15] ŠALÁT, T.: *On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers*, Math. Slovaca **30** (1980), 139–150.
- [16] SCHAEFER, P.: *Infinite matrices and invariant means*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1972), 104–110.

Received October 23, 2001

Revised May 14, 2003

\* *Department of Mathematics*  
*Aligarh Muslim University*  
*Aligarh-202002*  
*INDIA*  
*E-mail: mursaleen@postmark.net*

\*\* *Department of Mathematics*  
*Aligarh Muslim University*  
*Aligarh-202002*  
*INDIA*  
*Current address:*  
*P.O.Box 1070*  
*Taif Teacher College*  
*Deputy for Teacher College*  
*Ministry of Education*  
*KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA*  
*E-mail: osamaedely@yahoo.com*

\*\*\* *P.O. Box-150382*  
*Zarqa*  
*JORDAN*  
*E-mail: amukhmer@mail.com*