

Tuo-Yeong Lee

A multidimensional integration by parts formula for the Henstock-Kurzweil integral

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 133 (2008), No. 1, 63–74

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/133945>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2008

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A MULTIDIMENSIONAL INTEGRATION BY PARTS FORMULA
FOR THE HENSTOCK-KURZWEIL INTEGRAL

TUO-YEONG LEE, Singapore

(Received July 4, 2006)

Abstract. It is shown that if g is of bounded variation in the sense of Hardy-Krause on $\prod_{i=1}^m [a_i, b_i]$, then $g\chi_{\prod_{i=1}^m (a_i, b_i)}$ is of bounded variation there. As a result, we obtain a simple proof of Kurzweil's multidimensional integration by parts formula.

Keywords: Henstock-Kurzweil integral, bounded variation in the sense of Hardy-Krause, integration by parts

MSC 2000: 26A39

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that if f is Henstock-Kurzweil integrable on a compact interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and g is of bounded variation there, then fg is Henstock-Kurzweil integrable there and the integration by parts formula holds; see, for example, [12] and references therein. Although higher-dimensional analogues of the above-mentioned result have been studied by various authors ([1], [2], [3], [6], [7], [10], [14], [17], [18]), a simpler proof of Kurzweil's multidimensional integration by parts formula for the Henstock-Kurzweil integral [1, Theorem 2.10] remained elusive. The purpose of this paper is to give a simpler proof of this result.

2. FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED VARIATION

Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer and let \mathbb{R}^m be the m -dimensional Euclidean space equipped with the maximum norm. An *interval* in \mathbb{R}^m is a set of the form $\prod_{i=1}^m [u_i, v_i]$,

where $u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_i \leq v_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Let $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] := \prod_{i=1}^m [a_i, b_i]$ be a fixed non-degenerate compact interval in \mathbb{R}^m , where $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$, and let $\mathcal{I}_m([\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}])$ denote the family of all non-degenerate subintervals of $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$. For each $\prod_{i=1}^m [u_i, v_i] \in \mathcal{I}_m([\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}])$, we set $[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}] := \prod_{i=1}^m [u_i, v_i]$ and $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) := \prod_{i=1}^m (u_i, v_i)$, where $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_m)$.

A *division* of $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ is a finite collection $\{I_1, \dots, I_p\}$ of non-overlapping intervals such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^p I_i = [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$. For any given real-valued function g defined on $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$, the total variation of g over $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ is defined by

$$\text{Var}(g, [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]) := \sup \left\{ \sum_{[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}] \in P} |\Delta_g([\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}])| : P \text{ is a division of } [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \right\},$$

where

$$\Delta_g([\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]) := \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{t} \in [\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}] \\ t_i \in \{u_i, v_i\} \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\}}} g(\mathbf{t}) \prod_{i=1}^m \text{sgn} \left(t_i - \frac{u_i + v_i}{2} \right)$$

for each $[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}] \in \mathcal{I}_m([\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}])$.

Definition 2.1. A function $g: [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be of bounded variation (in the sense of Vitali) on $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ if $\text{Var}(g, [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}])$ is finite.

The space of functions of bounded variation (in the sense of Vitali) on $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ is denoted by $\text{BV}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$. Set

$$\text{BV}_0[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] := \{g \in \text{BV}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] : g(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \text{ whenever } \mathbf{x} \in [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \setminus (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\},$$

where $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) := \prod_{i=1}^m (a_i, b_i)$. The next theorem is an m -dimensional analogue of [16, Theorem 1].

Theorem 2.2. *Let $g: [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $g \in \text{BV}_0[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ if and only if there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $L^1[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ such that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\varphi_n\|_{L^1[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]}$ is finite and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}]} \varphi_n(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t} = g(\mathbf{x})$ for each $\mathbf{x} \in [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$.*

The following result of Young [20] is also useful.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\mathbf{x} \in [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and let $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ such that $\operatorname{sgn}(x_{k,i} - x_k) = \operatorname{sgn}(x_{k,j} - x_k)$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. If $g \in \operatorname{BV}_0[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{x}_n = \mathbf{x}$, then the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(\mathbf{x}_n)$ exists. In particular, g is continuous everywhere on $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ except for a countable number of hyperplanes parallel to the coordinate axes.

New proofs of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 are given in [13].

3. THE m -DIMENSIONAL RIEMANN-STIELTJES INTEGRAL

The purpose of this section is to recall some useful facts concerning the m -dimensional Riemann-Stieltjes integral. In particular, we obtain a useful result (Theorem 3.4) which plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 4.10.

Definition 3.1. Let F and H be two real-valued functions defined on $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$. F is said to be Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to H on $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ if there exists $A \in \mathbb{R}$ with the following property: for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^p F(x_i) \Delta_H(I_i) - A \right| < \varepsilon$$

for each division $\{I_1, \dots, I_p\}$ of $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ such that $x_i \in I_i$ and the diameter of I_i is less than δ for $i = 1, \dots, p$. In this case, the value of A is uniquely determined and we write A as $\int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} F(\mathbf{x}) dH(\mathbf{x})$.

It is well known that if $F \in C[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and $H \in \operatorname{BV}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$, then the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} F(\mathbf{x}) dH(\mathbf{x})$ exists; in particular, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.2. If $F \in C[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$, $h \in L^1[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and $H(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}]} h(\mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t}$ for each $\mathbf{x} \in [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$, then the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} F(\mathbf{x}) dH(\mathbf{x})$ exists, $Fh \in L^1[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and

$$\int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} F(\mathbf{x}) dH(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} F(\mathbf{x}) h(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

The following convergence theorem is also well known.

Theorem 3.3. Let $F \in C[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and suppose that the following assertions hold:

- (i) $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \operatorname{BV}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ so that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{Var}(g_n, [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}])$ is finite.
- (ii) $g_n \rightarrow g$ pointwise on $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$.

Then the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} F(\mathbf{x}) dg(\mathbf{x})$ exists. Moreover, the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} F(\mathbf{x}) dg_n(\mathbf{x})$ exists and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} F(\mathbf{x}) dg_n(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} F(\mathbf{x}) dg(\mathbf{x}).$$

Using Theorems 2.2, 3.2 and 3.3, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $F \in C[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and let $g \in BV[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$. If $g(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \setminus (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ and there exists $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that F is independent of x_k , then*

$$\int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} F(\mathbf{x}) dg(\mathbf{x}) = 0.$$

Proof. We may assume that $k = 1$ and $m \geq 2$. According to Theorem 2.2, there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $L^1[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ such that

$$(1) \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\varphi_n\|_{L^1[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} < \infty$$

and

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}]} \varphi_n(\mathbf{t}) dt = g(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for each } \mathbf{x} \in [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}].$$

As a consequence of (1), (2), Theorems 3.3 and 3.2, we conclude that

$$(3) \quad \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} F(\mathbf{x}) dg(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} F(\mathbf{x}) \varphi_n(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Moreover, it follows from Fubini's theorem and our assumptions that

$$(4) \quad \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} F(\mathbf{x}) \varphi_n(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\prod_{i=2}^m [a_i, b_i]} F(\mathbf{x}) \left\{ \int_{[a_1, b_1]} \varphi_n(\mathbf{x}) dx_1 \right\} d(x_2, \dots, x_m)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. In view of (3) and (4), it suffices to prove that

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\prod_{i=2}^m [a_i, b_i]} F(\mathbf{x}) \left\{ \int_{[a_1, b_1]} \varphi_n(\mathbf{x}) dx_1 \right\} d(x_2, \dots, x_m) = 0.$$

From (1), we get

$$(6) \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\prod_{i=2}^m [a_i, b_i]} \left| \int_{[a_1, b_1]} \varphi_n(\mathbf{x}) dx_1 \right| d(x_2, \dots, x_m) \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} |\varphi_n(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x} < \infty.$$

For each $(b_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$, Fubini's theorem, (2) and our choice of g yield

$$(7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\prod_{i=2}^m [a_i, x_i]} \left\{ \int_{[a_1, b_1]} \varphi_n(\mathbf{t}) dt_1 \right\} d(t_2, \dots, t_m) = g(b_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 0.$$

Using an $(m - 1)$ -dimensional analogue of Theorem 3.2, (6), (7) and an $(m - 1)$ -dimensional analogue of Theorem 3.3, we get (5). The proof is complete.

4. A NEW PROOF OF KURZWEIL'S MULTIDIMENSIONAL INTEGRATION BY PARTS FORMULA

The aim of this section is to give a new proof of the multidimensional integration by parts formula for the Henstock-Kurzweil integral; see Theorem 4.10 for details. Unlike the original proof of [1, Theorem 2.10], our method of proof depends on our simple Theorems 4.8 and 4.5. For the definition, properties and recent results concerning the Henstock-Kurzweil integral, consult for instance [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9].

Set $\Phi_{[a,b],k}(X_k) := \prod_{i=1}^m W_i$ where $W_k = X_k$ and $W_i = [a_i, b_i]$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{k\}$.

Definition 4.1. A function $g: [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be of bounded variation (in the sense of Hardy-Krause) on $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ if $g \in \text{BV}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and, for each non-empty set $\Gamma \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$,

$$g \Big|_{\substack{\bigcap_{k=1}^m \\ k \notin \Gamma}} \Phi_{[a,b],k}(\{a_k\}) \in \text{BV} \left(\prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \in \Gamma}}^m [a_k, b_k] \right).$$

The class of functions of bounded variation (in the sense of Hardy-Krause) on $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ will be denoted by $\text{BV}_{\text{HK}}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$. As an immediate consequence of Definition 4.1, we have

Theorem 4.2. $\text{BV}_0[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \subset \text{BV}_{\text{HK}}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$.

Let χ_Y denote the characteristic function of a set Y . In order to prove a crucial result for BV_{HK} functions (cf. Theorem 4.5), we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $g \in \text{BV}_{\text{HK}}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$. If $\mathcal{T} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ is non-empty and $c_k \in [a_k, b_k]$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \mathcal{T}$, then*

$$g \Big|_{\substack{\bigcap_{k=1}^m \\ k \notin \mathcal{T}}} \Phi_{[a,b],k}(\{c_k\}) \in \text{BV} \left(\prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \in \mathcal{T}}}^m [a_k, b_k] \right).$$

P r o o f. This is an immediate consequence of Definition 4.1.

Let

$$\mathcal{P}_m := \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^m Y_k : Y_k \in \{\{a_k\}, \{b_k\}, [a_k, b_k]\} \text{ for each } k \in \{1, \dots, m\} \right\}$$

and for $\prod_{k=1}^m Y_k \in \mathcal{P}_m$, let

$$\Gamma\left(\prod_{k=1}^m Y_k\right) = \{i \in \{1, \dots, m\} : Y_i = [a_i, b_i]\}.$$

Lemma 4.4. *If $g \in \text{BV}_{\text{HK}}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and $Y \in \mathcal{P}_m$, then $g\chi_Y \in \text{BV}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$.*

P r o o f. Let $g \in \text{BV}_{\text{HK}}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$. If $Y \in \mathcal{P}_m$ and $\Gamma(Y)$ is empty, then it is clear that $g\chi_Y \in \text{BV}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$. On the other hand, for any $Y \in \mathcal{P}_m$ satisfying $\Gamma(Y) \neq \emptyset$, it follows from Lemma 4.3 that $g\chi_Y \in \text{BV}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$. \square

Let μ_0 denote the counting measure.

Theorem 4.5. *If $g \in \text{BV}_{\text{HK}}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$, then $g\chi_{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})} \in \text{BV}_0[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and*

$$(8) \quad g\chi_{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})} = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{P}_m} (-1)^{m - \mu_0(\Gamma(Y))} g\chi_Y.$$

P r o o f. It is clear that (8) holds for any real-valued function g defined on $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$. It remains to prove that $g\chi_{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})} \in \text{BV}_0[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ whenever $g \in \text{BV}_{\text{HK}}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$. But this is an immediate consequence of (8) and Lemma 4.4. The proof is complete. \square

Our next step is to prove Theorem 4.8, which is a special case of Theorem 4.10. We need the following theorems.

Theorem 4.6. *If $f \in L^1[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and $g \in \text{BV}_0[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$, then $fg \in L^1[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and*

$$\int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \left\{ \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t} \right\} dg(\mathbf{x}).$$

P r o o f. Let $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be given as in Theorem 2.2. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have, by Fubini's theorem and Theorem 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{x}) \left\{ \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}]} \varphi_n(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t} \right\} d\mathbf{x} &= \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \left\{ \int_{[\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \right\} \varphi_n(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t} \\ &= \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \left\{ \int_{[\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \right\} dg_n(\mathbf{t}), \end{aligned}$$

where $g_n(\mathbf{t}) := \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{t}]} \varphi_n(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$. Therefore Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem and Theorem 3.3 yield the desired result.

Let $|I| := \mu_m(I)$ ($I \in \mathcal{I}_m([\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}])$), where μ_m denotes the m -dimensional Lebesgue measure.

Theorem 4.7. *If $f \in \text{HK}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and $g \in \text{BV}_0[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{i=1}^p \left\{ f(\xi_i)g(\xi_i) |I_i| - \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \left((\text{HK}) \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{t}) \chi_{I_i}(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t} \right) dg(\mathbf{x}) \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^p |f(\xi_i)| \int_{I_i} |g(\xi_i) - g(\mathbf{t})| \, d\mathbf{t} \\ & \quad + \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \left| (\text{HK}) \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}]} \sum_{i=1}^p \left\{ f(\xi_i) \chi_{I_i}(\mathbf{t}) - f(\mathbf{t}) \chi_{I_i}(\mathbf{t}) \right\} d\mathbf{t} \right| (\text{Var}(g, [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}])) \end{aligned}$$

for each partial partition $\{(I_i, \xi_1), \dots, (I_p, \xi_p)\}$ of $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$.

Proof. By the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{i=1}^p \left\{ f(\xi_i)g(\xi_i) |I_i| - \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \left((\text{HK}) \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{t}) \chi_{I_i}(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t} \right) dg(\mathbf{x}) \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^p |f(\xi_i)| \left| g(\xi_i) |I_i| - \int_{I_i} g(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t} \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \sum_{i=1}^p \left\{ f(\xi_i) \int_{I_i} g(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t} - \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \left((\text{HK}) \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{t}) \chi_{I_i}(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t} \right) dg(\mathbf{x}) \right\} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

It is evident that

$$\sum_{i=1}^p |f(\xi_i)| \left| g(\xi_i) |I_i| - \int_{I_i} g(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t} \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^p |f(\xi_i)| \int_{I_i} |g(\xi_i) - g(\mathbf{t})| \, d\mathbf{t}$$

and, by Theorem 4.6,

$$\int_{I_i} g(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t} = \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \left(\int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}]} \chi_{I_i}(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t} \right) dg(\mathbf{x}),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{i=1}^p \left\{ f(\xi_i) \int_{I_i} g(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t} - \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \left((\text{HK}) \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{t}) \chi_{I_i}(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t} \right) dg(\mathbf{x}) \right\} \right| \\ & = \left| \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \left((\text{HK}) \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}]} \sum_{i=1}^p \left\{ f(\xi_i) \chi_{I_i}(\mathbf{t}) - f(\mathbf{t}) \chi_{I_i}(\mathbf{t}) \right\} d\mathbf{t} \right) dg(\mathbf{x}) \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \left| (\text{HK}) \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}]} \sum_{i=1}^p \left\{ f(\xi_i) \chi_{I_i}(\mathbf{t}) - f(\mathbf{t}) \chi_{I_i}(\mathbf{t}) \right\} d\mathbf{t} \right| (\text{Var}(g, [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}])). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates proves the theorem.

Theorem 4.8. *If $f \in \text{HK}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and $g \in \text{BV}_0[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$, then $fg \in \text{HK}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and*

$$(9) \quad (\text{HK}) \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \left\{ (\text{HK}) \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t} \right\} dg(\mathbf{x}).$$

Proof. We may assume that $\text{Var}(g, [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]) < 1$. According to the Saks-Henstock Lemma, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ_1 on $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ such that

$$(10) \quad \sum_{i=1}^q \left| f(\zeta_i) |J_i| - (\text{HK}) \int_{J_i} f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^m + 2}$$

for each δ_1 -fine partial partition $\{(J_1, \zeta_1), \dots, (J_q, \zeta_q)\}$ of $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$. For each $\mathbf{x} \in [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$, it follows from (10) that

$$(11) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^q \left\{ f(\zeta_i) \mu_m([\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}] \cap J_i) - (\text{HK}) \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{t}) \chi_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}] \cap J_i}(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t} \right\} \right| < \frac{2^m \varepsilon}{2^m + 2}$$

for each δ_1 -fine partial partition $\{(J_1, \zeta_1), \dots, (J_q, \zeta_q)\}$ of $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$.

As $f \in \text{BV}_0[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$, it follows from Theorem 2.3 that there exists a gauge δ_2 on $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^r |f(\mathbf{z}_j)| \int_{K_j} |g(\mathbf{z}_j) - g(\mathbf{t})| \, d\mathbf{t} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^m + 2}$$

for each δ_2 -fine McShane partial partition $\{(K_1, \mathbf{z}_1), \dots, (K_r, \mathbf{z}_r)\}$ of $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$.

Define a gauge δ on $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ by $\delta(\mathbf{x}) = \min\{\delta_1(\mathbf{x}), \delta_2(\mathbf{x})\}$. For each δ -fine partition $\{(I_1, \xi_1), \dots, (I_p, \xi_p)\}$ of $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$, we infer from Theorem 4.7 and the above estimates that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{i=1}^p f(\xi_i)g(\xi_i) |I_i| - \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \left((\text{HK}) \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t} \right) dg(\mathbf{x}) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^p \left\{ f(\xi_i)g(\xi_i) |I_i| - \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \left((\text{HK}) \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{t}) \chi_{I_i}(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t} \right) dg(\mathbf{x}) \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^p |f(\xi_i)| \int_{I_i} |g(\xi_i) - g(\mathbf{t})| \, d\mathbf{t} \\ &\quad + \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \left| (\text{HK}) \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}]} \sum_{i=1}^p \left\{ f(\xi_i) \chi_{I_i}(\mathbf{t}) - f(\mathbf{t}) \chi_{I_i}(\mathbf{t}) \right\} d\mathbf{t} \right| (\text{Var}(g, [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}])) \\ &< \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

thereby completing the proof of the theorem.

Our next aim is to deduce Kurzweil's multidimensional integration by parts formula [1, Theorem 2.10]. For $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t} \in [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$, we set

$$\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t} \rangle := \{(x_1, \dots, x_m) : \min\{s_i, t_i\} \leq x_i \leq \max\{s_i, t_i\} \text{ for each } i = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

For each $f \in \text{HK}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and $\alpha \in [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$, we define a function \tilde{F}_α on $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ by

$$\tilde{F}_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ (\text{HK}) \int_{\langle \alpha, \mathbf{x} \rangle} f(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t} \right\} \prod_{i=1}^m \text{sgn}(x_i - \alpha_i).$$

It is well known that $\tilde{F}_\alpha \in C[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$. The next theorem gives our multidimensional integration by parts formula.

Theorem 4.9. *If $f \in \text{HK}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$, $\alpha \in [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and $g \in \text{BV}_{\text{HK}}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$, then $fg \in \text{HK}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and*

$$(12) \quad (\text{HK}) \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{P}_m} (-1)^{\mu_0(\Gamma(Y))} \left\{ \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \tilde{F}_\alpha \, d(g\chi_Y) \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $g_0 = g\chi_{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})}$. By Theorems 4.5 and 4.8, $fg_0 \in \text{HK}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$. As $g = g_0$ μ_m -almost everywhere on $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$, we see that $fg \in \text{HK}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and

$$(\text{HK}) \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = (\text{HK}) \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{x})g_0(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}.$$

By Theorem 4.8 again,

$$(\text{HK}) \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{x})g_0(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \left\{ (\text{HK}) \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t} \right\} dg_0(\mathbf{x}).$$

Using the additivity of the indefinite HK-integral of f over $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and [1, Lemma 1.3], we see that

$$(\text{HK}) \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{t}) \, d\mathbf{t} = \Delta_{\tilde{F}_\alpha}([\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}])$$

for each $\mathbf{x} \in [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$. Thus it follows from [1, (1.9), (1.8)], the linearity of the Riemann-Stieltjes integral and Theorem 3.4 that

$$\int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \Delta_{\tilde{F}_\alpha}([\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}]) \, dg_0(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} (-1)^m \tilde{F}_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \, dg_0(\mathbf{x}).$$

Now the linearity of the Riemann-Stieltjes integral and Theorem 4.5 imply that

$$\int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} (-1)^m \tilde{F}_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \, dg_0(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{P}_m} (-1)^{\mu_0(\Gamma(Y))} \left\{ \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \tilde{F}_\alpha \, d(g\chi_Y) \right\}.$$

Combining the above equalities yields (12). The proof is complete.

Let $\sigma\left(\prod_{k=1}^m Y_k\right) := \{i \in \{1, \dots, m\} : Y_i = \{a_i\}\}$. It remains to show that Theorem 4.9 is equivalent to the following Kurzweil's multidimensional integration by parts formula [1, Theorem 2.10].

Theorem 4.10. *If $f \in \text{HK}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$, $g \in \text{BV}_{\text{HK}}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and $\alpha \in [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$, then $fg \in \text{HK}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(HK)} \quad \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} &= \sum_{\substack{\{\mathbf{c}\} \in \mathcal{P}_m \\ \mu_0(\Gamma(\{\mathbf{c}\}))=0}} (-1)^{\sigma(\{\mathbf{c}\})} \tilde{F}_\alpha(\mathbf{c})g(\mathbf{c}) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\substack{Y \in \mathcal{P}_m \\ \mu_0(\Gamma(Y))=k}} (-1)^k \int_{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \in \Gamma(Y)}}^m [a_j, b_j]} (-1)^{\sigma(Y)} \tilde{F}_\alpha|_Y \, d(g|_Y). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $Y \in \mathcal{P}_m$ and $\mu_0(\Gamma(Y)) = 0$, then there exists a vertex \mathbf{c} of $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ such that

$$\int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \tilde{F}_\alpha \, d(g\chi_Y) = (-1)^{\sigma(\{\mathbf{c}\})} \tilde{F}_\alpha(\mathbf{c})g(\mathbf{c}).$$

A similar argument shows that if $Y \in \mathcal{P}_m$ and $\mu_0(\Gamma(Y)) > 0$, then

$$\int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \tilde{F}_\alpha \, d(g\chi_Y) = \int_{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \in \Gamma(Y)}}^m [a_j, b_j]} (-1)^{\sigma(Y)} \tilde{F}_\alpha|_Y \, d(g|_Y).$$

Hence, as a consequence of Theorem 4.9, we get the desired result:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(HK)} \quad \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} &= \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{P}_m} (-1)^{\mu_0(\Gamma(Y))} \left\{ \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \tilde{F}_\alpha \, d(g\chi_Y) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{Y \in \mathcal{P}_m \\ \Gamma(Y)=\emptyset}} (-1)^{\mu_0(\Gamma(Y))} \left\{ \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \tilde{F}_\alpha \, d(g\chi_Y) \right\} + \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\substack{Y \in \mathcal{P}_m \\ \mu_0(\Gamma(Y))=k}} (-1)^k \left\{ \int_{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]} \tilde{F}_\alpha \, d(g\chi_Y) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\{\mathbf{c}\} \in \mathcal{P}_m \\ \mu_0(\Gamma(\{\mathbf{c}\}))=0}} (-1)^{\sigma(\{\mathbf{c}\})} \tilde{F}_\alpha(\mathbf{c})g(\mathbf{c}) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\substack{Y \in \mathcal{P}_m \\ \mu_0(\Gamma(Y))=k}} (-1)^k \int_{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \in \Gamma(Y)}}^m [a_j, b_j]} (-1)^{\sigma(Y)} \tilde{F}_\alpha|_Y \, d(g|_Y). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 4.10 depends heavily on (11), which is also true for some other generalized Riemann integrals; more precisely, we have

Remark 4.11. Theorem 4.10 also holds if the Henstock-Kurzweil integral is replaced by any of the following generalized Riemann integrals:

- (i) the Lebesgue integral (see also [19], [21]);
- (ii) the Cauchy-Lebesgue integral;
- (iii) the strong ϱ -integral in [6];
- (iv) the \mathcal{R} -integral in [10].

References

- [1] *J. Kurzweil*: On multiplication of Perron integrable functions. Czech. Math. J 23 (1973), 542–566. zbl
- [2] *Tuo-Yeong Lee, Tuan Seng Chew, Peng Yee Lee*: Characterisation of multipliers for the double Henstock integrals. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 54 (1996), 441–449. zbl
- [3] *Tuo-Yeong Lee*: Multipliers for some non-absolute integrals in the Euclidean spaces. Real Anal. Exchange 24 (1998/99), 149–160. zbl
- [4] *Tuo-Yeong Lee*: A full descriptive definition of the Henstock-Kurzweil integral in the Euclidean space. Proc. London Math. Soc. 87 (2003), 677–700. zbl
- [5] *Tuo-Yeong Lee*: Every absolutely Henstock-Kurzweil integrable function is McShane integrable: an alternative proof. Rocky Mountain J. Math. 34 (2004), 1353–1365. zbl
- [6] *Tuo-Yeong Lee*: A full characterization of multipliers for the strong ϱ -integral in the Euclidean space. Czech. Math. J. 54 (2004), 657–674. zbl
- [7] *Tuo-Yeong Lee*: A characterisation of multipliers for the Henstock-Kurzweil integral. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 138 (2005), 487–492. zbl
- [8] *Tuo-Yeong Lee*: Some full descriptive characterizations of the Henstock-Kurzweil integral in the Euclidean space. Czech. Math. J. 55 (2005), 625–637. zbl
- [9] *Tuo-Yeong Lee*: The Henstock variational measure, Baire functions and a problem of Henstock. Rocky Mountain J. Math. 35 (2005), 1981–1997. zbl
- [10] *Tuo-Yeong Lee*: On the dual space of BV-integrable functions in Euclidean space. Real Anal. Exchange 30 (2004/2005), 323–328. zbl
- [11] *Tuo-Yeong Lee*: Product variational measures and Fubini-Tonelli type theorems for the Henstock-Kurzweil integral II. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 323 (2006), 741–745. zbl
- [12] *Tuo-Yeong Lee*: Multipliers for generalized Riemann integrals in the real line. Math. Bohem. 131 (2006), 161–166.
- [13] *Tuo-Yeong Lee*: A Fubini’s theorem for generalized Riemann integrals. Preprint.
- [14] *G. Q. Liu*: The dual of the Henstock-Kurzweil space. Real Anal. Exchange 22 (1996/97), 105–121. zbl
- [15] *S. Lojasiewicz*: An Introduction to the Theory of Real Functions. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1988. zbl
- [16] *M. S. Macphail*: Functions of bounded variation in two variables. Duke Math. J. 8 (1941), 215–222. zbl
- [17] *P. Mikuśiński, K. Ostaszewski*: The space of Henstock integrable functions II. New integrals, (P. S. Bullen, P. Y. Lee, J. L. Mawhin, P. Muldowney and W. F. Pfeffer, eds.), Lecture Notes in Math. 1419 (Springer, Berlin, Heideberg, New York, 1990), 136–149. zbl
- [18] *K. M. Ostaszewski*: The space of Henstock integrable functions of two variables. Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. 11 (1988), 15–22. zbl

- [19] *W. H. Young*: On multiple integration by parts and the second theorem of the mean. Proc. London Math. Soc. *16* (1918), 273–293. zbl
- [20] *W. H. Young, G. C. Young*: On the discontinuities of monotone functions of several variables. Proc. London Math. Soc. *22* (1924), 124–142. zbl
- [21] *S. K. Zaremba*: Some applications of multidimensional integration by parts. Ann. Pol. Math. *21* (1968), 85–96. zbl

Author's address: *Tuo-Yeong Lee*, Mathematics and Mathematics Education, National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University, 1 Nanyang Walk, Singapore 637616, Republic of Singapore, e-mail: tuoyeong.lee@nie.edu.sg.