B. D. Craven A step to Kurzweil-Henstock—an outline

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 129 (2004), No. 3, 297-304

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/134150

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

A STEP TO KURZWEIL-HENSTOCK—AN OUTLINE

B. D. CRAVEN, Melbourne

(Received October 23, 2003)

Abstract. A short approach to the Kurzweil-Henstock integral is outlined, based on approximating a real function on a compact interval by suitable step-functions, and using filterbase convergence to define the integral. The properties of the integral are then easy to establish.

Keywords: integral, Kurzweil-Henstock integral, step-function, filterbase

MSC 2000: 26A39

1. INTRODUCTION

The Kurzweil-Henstock integral is a generalization of the Riemann integral, which includes Lebesgue and Denjoy-Perron integrals. The outline in this paper may make this integral accessible to a wider audience. Most textbook treatments of the Riemann integral are burdened with many summations (and associated notation), most of which can be avoided (Craven 1982) by using integrals of *step-functions* as approximations to Riemann integrals. Since a Kurzweil-Henstock integral is the limit of integrals of a suitably constructed sequence of step-functions, a simpler presentation (omitting proofs of intuitive properties of step-functions) can be used here also. Details of δ -fine divisions are needed for certain critical theorems, but not all proofs.

The convergence of approximating sums to the Henstock integral is naturally described by filterbase convergence (as likewise for the Riemann integral though not often expressed so). While most proofs here are based on Lee (1989), the present approach allows a simpler presentation of the basic theory, and also offers a simpler approach to measure, and Lebesgue (and Lebesgue-Stieltjes) integral, than the traditional constructions. (Some proof details are omitted in this short account.) For other presentations, see Kurzweil (1980), Henstock (1991), Lee (1989), Lee and Výborný (2000), and Schwabik (1999). The traditional distinctions between bounded and unbounded integrands, or domains of integration, are unimportant here. Various integrals, e.g. $\int_0^\infty x^{-1} \sin x \, dx$, are definable directly as Kurzweil-Henstock integrals, rather than only as limits of integrals. The critical distinction is between *absolute*, when $\int f$ is defined and also $\int |f|$ is finite, and *non-absolute*, or *conditional*, when only $\int f$ is defined. In this presentation, KH(I) denotes the class of functions possessing a Kurzweil-Henstock integral on I, and AKH(I) denotes the strictly smaller class for which the integral is absolute. For comparison, the Lebesgue integral is absolute, and the class L(I) of Lebesgue integrable functions on I corresponds to AKH(I).

2. Basic constructions

Consider first integrating a real function f on a compact interval I = [a, b]. A gauge $\delta(\cdot)$ is a positive function, $\delta(\cdot) > 0$ on I. A subdivision: $a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_n = b$ is called δ -fine if $(\forall i)\xi_i \in \overline{I}_i$, with $I_i := (x_{i-1}, x_i] \subset N(\xi_i)$, where $N(\xi_i) = (\xi_i - \delta(\xi_i), \xi_i + \delta(\xi_i))$ denotes a δ -neighbourhood of ξ_i . From this, define a δ -step-function approximation (δ sfa) of f as $f^{\delta}(\cdot) := \sum_i f(\xi_i)\chi_i(\cdot)$, where χ_i is the indicator function of $I_i := (x_{i-1}, x_i]$. Denote by A_{δ} the set of all $\int_I f^{\delta}$, in which f^{δ} is a δ sfa, and the integral of a step-function has its elementary meaning.

Cousin's Lemma states that a δ -fine subdivision exists for each gauge $\delta(\cdot) > 0$ on a compact interval I. (If the subdivision does not exist, then successive binary subdivision of I gives a nested sequence of intervals \overline{I}_j (the closure of I_j), with limit point ξ , for which a δ -fine subdivision does not exist. But then some $I_j \subset N(\xi)$, a contradiction.)

Since then each A_{δ} is nonempty, the sets A_{δ} form a *filterbase*, whose defining properties are:

$$(\forall \delta(\cdot) > 0) \ A_{\delta} \neq \emptyset; \ (\forall \alpha(\cdot), \beta(\cdot) > 0) \ (\exists \gamma(\cdot) > 0) \ A_{\gamma} \subset A_{\alpha} \cap A_{\beta}.$$

For a sequence $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, \ldots\}$, the *tails* $A_{1/n} := \{x_n, x_{n+1}, \ldots\}$ form a filterbase, and the usual sequential convergence agrees with the convergence of $\{A_{1/n}\}$ as defined below. For the filterbase of sets A_{δ} , $\gamma(\cdot) = \min\{\alpha(\cdot) \cdot \beta(\cdot)\}$; and γ -fine implies α -fine. A finer subdivision keeps all subdivision points, and adds more.

The filterbase $\{A_{\delta}: \delta(\cdot) > 0\}$ converges to y if (denoting neighbourhoods of y by U(y)):

$$(\forall U(y)) (\exists \alpha(\cdot) > 0) \ A_{\alpha} \subset U(y).$$

The limit y, if it exists, is the Kurzweil-Henstock (briefly, KH) integral $\int_a^b f$; denote by KH(I) the set of functions for which this integral exists. This convergence implies

the Cauchy condition:

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0) \ (\exists \alpha(\cdot) > 0) \quad \text{diam}(A_{\alpha}) := \sup\{d(u, v) \colon u, v \in A_{\alpha} < \varepsilon\}$$

where the metric d(u, v) := |u - v| on \mathbb{R} is complete. By a theorem of Cantor (see Dugundji 1966), the Cauchy condition implies that a limit exists. (For n = 1, 2, ..., find $A_{\alpha(n)}$ with diam $(A_{\alpha(n)}) < 1/n$. Then $\{A_{\alpha}\}$ converges if the filterbase $\{B_n := \bigcap_{1}^{n} A_{\alpha(n)} : n = 1, 2, ...\}$ converges, and choosing $b_n \in B_n$, $\{b_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, convergent since the metric space is complete.)

W hat functions possess Kurzweil-Henstock integrals? Each constant function (with value c) is in KH(I), since each element of each A_{δ} equals c(b-a). Each step-function is in KH(I) (any contribution from a jump points c is $O(\delta(c))$. Each continuous function is in KH(I) (as a uniform limit of step functions). Each Riemann-integrable function is in KH(I) (take only constant functions $\delta(\cdot)$), to reproduce the definition of Riemann integral).

Extensions to Stieltjes. So far, integration has related to the usual length on \mathbb{R} . However, there is an immediate generalization from $\int_a^b f(x) \, dx$ to $\int_a^b f(x) \, dg(x)$, where $g(\cdot)$ is an increasing right-continuous function, defining a *premeasure* **g** by $\mathbf{g}((\alpha, \beta]) := g(\beta) - g(\alpha)$, and $\int_I c \, dg := c\mathbf{g}(I)$ for constant c and interval I. Thus a *Kurzweil-Henstock-Stieltjes integral* is defined immediately.

Unbounded extension. Define $\mathbb{R}^* := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\} \cup \{\infty\}$, assigning neighbourhoods $[-\infty, -c)$ to $-\infty$ and $(c, \infty]$ to ∞ , to make \mathbb{R}^* compact. Then $f : \mathbb{R}^* \to \mathbb{R}^*$ may be considered, instead of $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. Then the definition of convergence allows "convergence" to $+\infty$.

Denote then $f \in \text{KH}(I)$ if the Kurzweil-Henstock integral exists with finite value. Define also $f \in \text{AKH}(I)$ (absolute Henstock) if both $f \in \text{KH}(I)$ and $|f| \in \text{KH}(I)$ (Unlike the Lebesgue integral, $\text{KH}(I) \neq \text{AKH}(I)$. So the term *integrable* needs qualification.)

3. Some properties of Kurzweil-Henstock integrals

Linearity and additivity of integral

$$\int_{I} (\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha \int_{I} f + \beta \int_{I} g; \quad \int_{I} f + \int_{J} f = \int_{I \cup J} f$$

if the KH integrals on each side exist finitely, and I, J are intervals. Similarly $\int_I f \leq \int_I g$ when $f, g \in H(I)$ and $f \leq g$.

Proof. These results hold trivially for step-functions. They extend to KH integrals by taking limits of suitable step-functions.

Integration over a subinterval. Let $f \in KH(I)$ where I is an interval. Then $f \in KH(J)$ for each subinterval $J \subset I$.

Proof. A filterbase of elements $\int_I f^{\delta}$, convergent to $\int_I f$, is Cauchy. Then replacement of each $\int_I f^{\delta}$ by $\int_I f^{\delta}$ gives also a Cauchy filterbase.

Henstock's Lemma. Let $f \in \operatorname{KH}(I)$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a δ sfa f^{δ} , with typical subinterval J, for which $\sum_{J} |\int_{J} f - \int_{J} f^{\delta}| < \varepsilon$.

Proof. By definition of KH integral, there is a gauge β such that $|\int_{I} (f - f^{\delta'})| < \varepsilon/4$ for each β sfa $f^{\delta'}$, with $\delta' \leq \beta$, having typical interval J. Let E be the union of a finite subset of the J; let $V := I \setminus E$. The combination δ of δ' on E with some $\delta'' \leq \beta$ on \overline{V} has $\delta \leq \beta$. Since $f \in \text{KH}(V)$ by the previous result, $|\int_{V} f - \int_{V} f^{\delta}| \leq \varepsilon/4$. Substituting $\delta' = \delta$ and subtracting gives $\int_{E} f - \int_{E} f^{\delta}| \leq \varepsilon/4$. Now choose E as the union of those J for which $\int_{J} f^{\delta} - \int_{J} f \geq 0$. Then

$$\sum_{J \subset I} \left| \int_J f^{\delta} - \int_J f \right| = \sum_{J \subset E} \left[\int_J f^{\delta} - \int_J f \right] + \sum_{J \subset \overline{V}} \left| \int_J f^{\delta} - \int_J f \right| \leqslant \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2$$

Monotone Convergence Theorem. Let $I := [a,b]; f_n \in \text{KH}(I)$ $(n = 1, 2, \ldots); (f_n(\cdot)) \rightarrow f(\cdot)$ pointwise; $f_{n+1}(\cdot) \ge f_n(\cdot); \{\int_I f_n\} \le k < \infty$. Then $\{\int_I f_n\} \rightarrow \int_I f$, for Kurzweil-Henstock integrals.

Proof. Let $L = \sup \int_I f_n$; then $L \leq k < \infty$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$; then $(\exists \nu) \ (\forall n \ge \nu) \ 0 \leq L - \int_I f_n < \varepsilon$. Now

$$(\forall \xi \in I) \ (\exists m(\xi) \ge \nu) \ |f_{m(\xi)}(\xi) - f(\xi)| < \varepsilon.$$

For each n, a gauge $\delta_n > 0$ exists by Henstock's Lemma such that when δ is a δ -fine gauge with typical subinterval J, then $\Sigma_J |\int_J (f_n^{\delta n} - f_n)| < 2^{-n}\varepsilon$ for any δ_n sfa $f_n^{\delta n}$ of f_n . Choose the gauge $\delta(\xi) := \delta_{m(\xi)}(\xi)$. For such a δ -fine subdivision, with $\xi \in J$, denote $\hat{f}(x) := f_n(x)$ with $n = m(\xi)$ when $x \in J$. Then:

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leqslant L - \int_{I} f^{\delta} \leqslant \sum_{J} \left| \int_{J} [f^{\delta} - \hat{f}^{\delta}] \right| + \sum_{J} \left| \int_{I} [\hat{f}^{\delta} - \hat{f}] \right| + \left| \int_{I} \hat{f} - L \right| \\ &\leqslant \varepsilon |I| + \sum_{n} 2^{-n} \varepsilon + \varepsilon; \\ L - \varepsilon &\leqslant \int_{I} f_{\nu} \leqslant \int_{I} \hat{f} \leqslant \int_{I} f_{p} \leqslant L, \end{split}$$

where $p = \max m(\xi)$ over the finite set of ξ .

More functions have KH integrals. The limit f of a monotone increasing sequence of functions $f_n \in \text{KH}(I)$, is also in KH(I).

Lattice property. If $p \leq f_i \leq q$ (i = 1, 2), where $p, f_i, q \in \text{KH}(I)$, then $f := \max\{f_1, f_2\} \in \text{KH}(I)$.

Proof. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$. By Henstock's Lemma, a δ -fine subdivision of the interval I exists, with typical subinterval J, and δ sfa f_i^{δ} , for which:

$$\sum_{J} \left| \int_{J} (f_{i}^{\delta} - f) \right| < \varepsilon \ (i = 1, 2), \quad \left| \sum_{J} \max\left\{ \int_{J} f_{1}, \int_{J} f_{2} \right\} - L \right| < \varepsilon$$

where this $\sum \max$ increases with further subdivision, and has a finite sup L over subdivisions, because of the bounds p and q. Then

$$\sum_{J} \max\left\{\int_{J} f_{1}, \int_{J} f_{2}\right\} - 2\varepsilon \leqslant \sum_{J} \max\left\{\int_{J} f_{1}^{\delta}, \int_{J} f_{2}^{\delta}\right\} = \sum_{J} \int_{J} f\delta$$
$$\leqslant \sum_{J} \max\left\{\int_{J} f_{1}, \int_{J} f_{2}\right\} + 2\varepsilon.$$

As $\varepsilon \to 0$ and $\delta(\cdot) \to 0$, the terms at left and right $\to L$, and the middle terms $\to \int_I f$.

R e m a r k s. Let $f_+ := \max\{f, 0\}$ and $f_- := \max\{-f, 0\}$; then $f = f_+ - f_-$. In order to deduce from $f \in \operatorname{KH}(I)$ that $f_+ \in \operatorname{KH}(I)$, the least restrictive bounds for the *lattice property* are $-f_- \leq f \leq f_+$ and $-f_- \leq 0 \leq f_+$, which only apply if $f_+ \in \operatorname{KH}(I)$ and $f_- \in \operatorname{KH}(I)$, hence when $|f| = f_- + f_+ \in \operatorname{KH}(I)$.

Thus $f \in AKH(I) \Rightarrow |f| \in KH(I)$. Note that I is here restricted to intervals.

Dominated convergence theorem. Let $p \leq f_n \leq q$ (n = 1, 2, ...)with $p, q, f_n \in \text{KH}(I)$, and $f_n(x) \to f(x)$ for each $x \in I$. Then $f \in \text{KH}(I)$, and $\int_I f_n \to \int_I f$.

Proof. Since $\{h_n(x)\}$, where $h_n(x) := \inf_{n \ge j} f_j(x)$, is an increasing sequence, with limit f(x), and bounded by p and q, the result follows from monotone convergence, provided that $h_n \in \operatorname{KH}(I)$. This follows from monotone convergence, since $\{\inf_{i \le n \le j} f_n(x)\}_j$ increases to $h_n(x)$.

(There exist also convergence theorems for non-absolute integrals, see e.g. [8]).

Integration over a subset. Let $f \in AKH(I)$ and $E \subset I$, with indicator $\chi_E \in KH(I)$. Then $f\chi_E \in KH(I)$.

Proof. The indicator χ_E is the limit of a sequence $\{q_n\}$ of step-functions, with each q_n taking values 1 and 0. Since $-|f| \leq fq_n \leq |f|$, and $fq_n \in \text{KH}(I)$, dominated convergence shows that $f\chi_E \in \text{KH}(I)$. (This need not hold if $|f| \notin \text{KH}(I)$.) Newton integral. If F has a derivative F' at all points of an interval I = [a, b], then $F' \in \text{KH}(I)$, and $F(b) - F(a) = \int_I F'$.

Proof. Use a gauge δ given by $|(t-s)^{-1}(F(t) - F(s)) - F'(s)| < \varepsilon$ when $0 < |t-s| < \delta(\varepsilon)$.

4. Measure and lebesgue integral

Null sets. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, suppose that the set $N \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n^{\varepsilon}$ for open intervals I_n^{ε} satisfying $\sum |I_n^{\varepsilon}| < \varepsilon$. (Then N is called a *null set*.) Then $(\forall \varepsilon > 0) \int \chi_N < \varepsilon$, so $\int \chi_N = 0$. A choice of gauge $\delta(\cdot)$ with $(\forall \xi \in N) \ (\exists i)(\xi - \delta(\xi), \xi + \delta(\xi)) \subset I_i^{\varepsilon}$ shows again that $\int_I \chi_N = 0$. A property holding for all points except those of a null set is said to hold *almost everywhere* (*a.e.*). The value of the integral is unchanged by altering the integrand at the points of a null set. However, the null sets are different if the length $|I_n|$ is replaced by $\mathbf{g}(I_n)$ for some $g(x) \neq x$.

Measurable. A function f may be defined as *measurable* if it is the limit a.e. of a sequence of step-functions; $f \in \text{KH}(I)$ can be shown to have this property (this proof is omitted), hence is measurable. A set X is *measurable* if its indicator function χ_X is measurable; its *measure* is $mE := \int \chi_E$.

Measure properties. Let $\{E_1, E_2, \ldots\}$ be a sequence of disjoint measurable sets, with union E; let $A_n := E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \ldots \cup E_n$, and f_n the indicator of A_n , f the indicator of E. Now $0 \leq f_n \leq f$, $f_{n+1} \geq f_n$, and $f \in \text{KH}(I)$ if E is contained in a compact interval I. So from the monotone convergence theorem,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} mE_j = \int_I f_n \to \int_I f = mE.$$

Thus the measure m has the *countably-additive* property.

Let $f \in H(I)$; let $E \subset I$ and $E \subset A$ be measurable sets. Since

$$\int_{I} \chi_E - \int_{I} \chi_A = \int_{I} (\chi_E - \chi_A) = \int_{I} \chi_B,$$

holds, where $B = E \setminus A$, from $\chi_E \in \operatorname{KH}(I)$ and $\chi_A \in \operatorname{KH}(I)$, there follows that $\chi_B \in \operatorname{KH}(I)$. Thus the difference $E \setminus A$ of measurable sets is also measurable. A similar argument shows that $\int_E f = \int_A f + \int_B f$, provided that $f \in \operatorname{AKH}(E)$.

When null sets can be neglected. Suppose now that f(x) = g(x) for all $x \in I \setminus N$, with $g \in \operatorname{KH}(I)$. Then $N = \bigcup_{j} N_{j}$ with $N_{j} := (x \in N : j - 1 \leq |f(x)| \leq j\}$, and N is a null set. So $N_{j} \subset \bigcup_{k} I_{jk}$, with open intervals satisfying

 $\sum_{k} m(I_{jk}) < 2^{-j-k} i^{-1} \varepsilon. \text{ Define a gauge } \delta(\cdot) \text{ with } (\forall \xi \in N_j) (\xi - \delta(\xi), \xi + \delta(\xi)) \subset \bigcup_{k} I_{jk}.$ Then the contribution to $\int_I f^{\delta}$ is less than ε . Hence $f \in \text{KH}(I)$, and $\int_I f = \int_I g$. Consequently, in dominated convergence, $\{f_n(x)\}$ may fail to converge to f(x) for x in a set N of zero measure.

5. Functions of two (or more) variables

Intervals $(\alpha, \beta] \subset \mathbb{R}$ (or \mathbb{R}^*) are replaced by rectangles $(\alpha_1, \beta_1] \times (\alpha_2, \beta_2]$; they may still be called *intervals*. The length of an *interval I* becomes $\mathbf{g}(I) := g(\alpha_2, \beta_2) - g(\alpha_1, \beta_2) - g(\alpha_2, \beta_1) + g(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$, where g is such that $\mathbf{g}(I) \ge 0$ for each I. (The construction of a *premeasure* \mathbf{g} for *n*-dimensions is detailed in Craven (1982), where it is applied to Riemann integration.) Define $\int_I 1 d\mathbf{g}$ as $\mathbf{g}(I)$, and the integral of a stepfunction $f = \sum c_i \chi_I$ (where χ_i is the indicator of interval I_i) as $\int f d\mathbf{g} := \sum_i c_i \mathbf{g}(I_i)$. Then a Kurzweil-Henstock integral may be constructed from step-functions in the same manner as in section 2; and the basic properties are the same. In particular, if $g(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha\beta$, then $\mathbf{g}(I) = (\beta_2 - \alpha_2)(\beta_1 - \alpha_1)$, and the integral may be written in conventional notation as $\int \int f(x, y) dx dy$.

Fubini's theorem. Let $I = A \times B \subset \mathbb{R}^{*2}$ be an interval (bounded or unbounded); let $0 \leq f \in \text{KH}(I)$. Then the Kurzweil-Henstock integrals

$$\iint_{A \times B} f(x, y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y = \int_{A} \left(\int_{B} f(x, y) \, \mathrm{d}y \right) \mathrm{d}x.$$

Proof. The result is immediate for step-functions. Monotone convergence extends it to limits of increasing sequences of step-functions. Suppose now that N is a null set; then there are intervals I_{ij} with $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |I_{ij}| < 1/i$ and $(\forall i) \ N \subset E_i := \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_{ij}$. Thus $N \subset E := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$. From monotone convergence, Fubini holds for each $f_i := \chi_{E_i}$, then for χ_E (considering the sequence $\{1 - f_i\}$ for I bounded), then also for I unbounded. Hence $\{y: (x, y) \in S\}$ is null a.e. in x. Thus a limit f of an increasing sequence of step-functions may be altered on a null set without changing the integral. Fubini follows for f.

R e m a r k s. This is the same proof as for Lebesgue integrals. The integrals may have value $+\infty$. There is an extension to $f \in AKH(I)$, taking both signs. Thus, if $f \in AKH(I)$, then $f_+ := \max\{f, 0\} \in AKH(I)$, and $f_- := \max\{-f, 0\} \in AKH(I)$. Hence Fubini holds for this f, by subtracting the finite integrals for f_+ and f_- . There exist Fubini results also for non-absolute integrals (see e.g. [8]).

References

- [1] B. D. Craven: Lebesgue Measure and Integral. Pitman, Boston, 1982.
- [2] J. Dugundji: Topology. Allyn & Bacon, Boston, 1966.
- [3] R. Henstock: Linear Analysis. Butterworths, 1967.
- [4] R. Henstock: The General Theory of Integration. Clarendon Press, Oxford, U.K., 1991.
- [5] J. Kurzweil: Nichtabsolut konvergente Intgegrale. Teubner, Leipzig, 1980.
- [6] S. Leader: The Kurzweil-Henstock Integral and its Differentials. Marcel Dekker, New York, 2001.
- [7] Lee Peng-Yee: Lanzhou Lectures on Integration. World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
- [8] Lee Peng-Yee, R. Výborný: The Integral: an easy approach after Kurzweil and Henstock. Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [9] E. Schechter: Handbook of Analysis and its Foundations. Academic Press, San Diego, 1997 (Chapter 24: Generalized Riemann integrals).
- [10] Š. Schwabik: Integration on ℝ: Kurzweil Theory. Charles University, Praha, 1999. (In Czech.)

Author's address: B. D. Craven, Dept. of Mathematics & Statistics, University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia, e-mail: craven@ms.unimelb.edu.au.