Applications of Mathematics

Nguyen Van Ho

Locally most powerful rank tests for testing randomness and symmetry

Applications of Mathematics, Vol. 43 (1998), No. 2, 93--102

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/134377

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1998

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

LOCALLY MOST POWERFUL RANK TESTS FOR TESTING RANDOMNESS AND SYMMETRY

Nguyen Van Ho, Hanoi

(Received December 20, 1995)

Abstract. Let X_i, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$, be N independent random variables (i.r.v.) with distribution functions (d.f.) $F_i(x, \Theta)$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$, respectively, where Θ is a real parameter. Assume furthermore that $F_i(\cdot, 0) = F(\cdot)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$.

Let $R = (R_1, \ldots, R_N)$ and $R^+ = (R_1^+, \ldots, R_N^+)$ be the rank vectors of $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_N)$ and $|X| = (|X_1|, \ldots, |X_N|)$, respectively, and let $V = (V_1, \ldots, V_N)$ be the sign vector of X. The locally most powerful rank tests (LMPRT) $S = S(R)$ and the locally most powerful signed rank tests (LMPSRT) $S = S(R^+, V)$ will be found for testing $\Theta = 0$ against $\Theta > 0$ or $\Theta < 0$ with F being arbitrary and with F symmetric, respectively.

Keywords: locally most powerful rank tests, randomness, symmetry

MSC 2000: 62G10

1. Introduction and notation

Let

$$\mathscr{F}_0 = \{F\colon\ F \quad \text{is an absolute continuous d.f. on } \mathbb{R}\},$$
$$\mathscr{F}_1 = \{F\colon\ F \in \mathscr{F}_0,\ F(-x) = 1 - F(x),\ x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Let $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_N)$ be a vector of N i.r.v's. The hypothesis $\mathscr{H}_0$ ($\mathscr{H}_1$) means that $X_1, \ldots, X_N$ have the same d.f. $F \in \mathscr{F}_0 (F \in \mathscr{F}_1)$.

For $h = 0, 1$ let us consider the following alternatives:

$$(1.1)\quad \mathscr{K}_h^1(\Delta) = \Big\{X \quad \text{has a density} \quad q_\Theta(x) = \prod_{i=1}^N f_i(x_i; \Theta),\ \Theta \in \Delta\Big\},$$

$$(1.2)\quad \mathscr{K}_h^2(\Delta) = \Big\{X \quad \text{has a d.f.} \quad Q_\Theta(x) = \prod_{i=1}^N G_i(F(x_i); \Theta),\ F \in \mathscr{F}_h,\ \Theta \in \Delta\Big\}$$

where $\Delta = \Delta^+ = (0, a)$ or $\Delta = \Delta^- = (-a, 0)$ for some $a \in (0, \infty]$, and for each $\Theta \in \widetilde{\Delta} = \Delta \cup \{0\}$ we have:

(i) $f_i(x, \Theta)$ is a density on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $f_i(x, 0) = f(x)$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$, and for the case $h = 1$, $f(-x) = f(x)$.

(ii) $G_i(y, \Theta)$ is a d.f. on $(0, 1)$ such that $G_i(y, 0) = y$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$.

Recall that

$$P(R = r \big| \mathscr{H}_0) = 1/N! \tag{1.3}$$

for each $r \in \mathscr{R}$—the space of $N!$ permutations of $(1, \ldots, N)$,

$$P(R^+ = r, V = v \big| \mathscr{H}_1) = 1/2^N \cdot N! \tag{1.4}$$

for $r \in \mathscr{R}$, $v \in \mathscr{V}$—the space of 2^N sequences $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_N)$ with $v_i = 1$ or -1.

Let $X_{(1)} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant X_{(N)}$ ($|X|_{(1)} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant |X|_{(N)}$) be the order statistics of X (of $|X|$). Then $X_{(\cdot)} = (X_{(1)}, \ldots, X_{(N)})$ and R are mutually independent under $\mathscr{H}_0$. The same conclusion is true for $|X|_{(\cdot)} = (|X|_{(1)}, \ldots, |X|_{(N)})$, R^+ and V under $\mathscr{H}_1$.

The LMPRT's for testing $\mathscr{H}_0$ against $\mathscr{K}_0^j(\Delta)$ (abbr. for $\{\mathscr{H}_0, \mathscr{K}_0^j(\Delta)\}$), $j = 1, 2$, are investigated in Section 2, and the LMPSRT for $\{\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{K}_1^j(\Delta)\}$, $j = 1, 2$, in Section 3.

2. The locally most powerful rank tests of randomness

Two theorems will be given in this section for $\{\mathscr{H}_0, \mathscr{K}_0^1(\Delta)\}$ and $\{\mathscr{H}_0, \mathscr{K}_0^2(\Delta)\}$, respectively. These results generalize Theorem II.4.8. [3] as well as those of Lehmann [5], Gibbons [1].

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathscr{K}_0^1(\Delta)$ be defined by* (1.1). *Suppose for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$*

(i) *$f_i'(x, \Theta) = \partial f_i(x, \Theta)/\partial\Theta$ exists, $\Theta \in \widetilde{\Delta}$, and it is continuous at $\Theta = 0$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where $f_i'(x, 0)$ is understood to be a one-sided derivative.*

(ii) $\lim\limits_{\Theta \to 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f_i'(x, \Theta)| \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f_i'(x, 0)| \, \mathrm{d}x < \infty$.

Denote

$$A(k, i) = E\{f_i'(X_{(k)}, 0)/f(X_{(k)})\} \tag{2.1}$$

where $X_{(1)}, \ldots, X_{(N)}$ are order statistics of N i.r.v.'s with the same density $f(x)$.

Then the test with critical region

$$S(R) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} A(R_i, i) \geqslant \lambda \quad (\textit{resp.} \ \leqslant \lambda) \tag{2.2}$$

is the LMPRT for $\{\mathscr{H}_0, \mathscr{K}_0^1(\Delta^+)\}$ *(for* $\{\mathscr{H}_0, \mathscr{K}_0^1(\Delta^-)\}$*) at the corresponding level.*

P r o o f. This theorem generalizes Th.II.4.8 in [3], and it is proved similarly. One must replace in the proof of the latter the density $\mathrm{d}(x, \Delta c_i)$ by $f_i(x, \Theta)$, $\dot{\mathrm{d}}$ by f_i', Δ by Θ, and note that $f_i(x, 0) = f(x)$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let* $\mathscr{K}_0^2(\Delta)$ *be defined by* (1.2). *Suppose for* $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$

(iii) $g_i(y, \Theta) = \partial G_i(y, \Theta)/\partial y$ *exists for* $\Theta \in \widetilde{\Delta}$, $0 < y < 1$,

(iv) $g_i'(y, \Theta) = \partial g_i(y, \Theta)/\partial \Theta$ *exists for* $\Theta \in \widetilde{\Delta}$, $0 < y < 1$, *and it is continuous at* $\Theta = 0$ *for a.e.* $y \in (0, 1)$, *where* $g_i'(y, 0)$ *is the one-sided derivative,*

(v) $\lim\limits_{\Theta \to 0} \int_0^1 |g_i'(y, \Theta)| \, \mathrm{d}y = \int_0^1 |g_i'(y, 0)| \, \mathrm{d}y < \infty$.

Denote

$$a(k, i) = E\{g_i'(U_{(k)}, 0)\}, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant N \tag{2.3}$$

where $U_{(1)}, \ldots, U_{(N)}$ *are order statistics of* N *i.r.v.'s with the same uniform distribution on* $(0, 1)$.

Then the test with critical region

$$S(R) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a(R_i, i) \geqslant \lambda \quad (\textit{resp. } \leqslant \lambda) \tag{2.4}$$

is the LMPRT for $\{\mathscr{H}_0, \mathscr{K}_0^2(\Delta^+)\}$ $(\{\mathscr{H}_0, \mathscr{K}_0^2(\Delta^-)\})$ *at the respective level.*

P r o o f. It follows from Th.2.1. In fact, for

$$f_i(x, \Theta) = g_i(F(x), \Theta) f(x), \quad \text{where} \quad f(x) = \mathrm{d}F(x)/\,\mathrm{d}x,$$

the conditions (iv)–(v) are equivalent to (i)–(ii). Since $G_i(y, 0) = y$, $g(y, 0) = 1$, $0 < y < 1$, then $f_i'(x, 0)/f(x) = g_i'(F(x), 0)$. Therefore $A(k, i) = a(k, i)$. □

E x a m p l e 2.1. Let, for $0 < y < 1$,

$$G_i(y, \Theta) = \begin{cases} (1 - \Theta)y + \Theta y^2, & 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m, \\ y, & m + 1 \leqslant i \leqslant N. \end{cases}$$

Then, for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant N$,

$$a(k, i) = \begin{cases} -1 + 2k/(N + 1), & 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m, \\ 0, & m + 1 \leqslant i \leqslant N, \end{cases}$$

because $E\{U_{(k)}\} = k/(N + 1)$, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant N$.

Theorem 2.2 implies that the two-sample test with critical region

$$S(R) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} R_i \geqslant \lambda \tag{2.5}$$

is the LMPRT for testing $\mathscr{H}_0$ against

$$\mathscr{K}_0^2(\Delta^+) = \Big\{ Q_\Theta^F(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} [(1-\Theta)F(x_i) + \Theta F^2(x_i)] \cdot \prod_{i=m+1}^{N} F(x_i),\ 0 < \Theta < 1,\ F \in \mathscr{F}_0 \Big\}$$

at the respective level.

This is the case considered by Lehmann [5].

E x a m p l e 2.2. If $G_i(y, \Theta) = (1 - \Theta c_i)y + \Theta c_i y^2$, $0 < \Theta c_i < 1$, then $a(k, i) = c_i[2k/(N+1) - 1]$. Theorem 2.2 implies that the test of Wilcoxon type with critical region

$$S(R) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i R_i \geqslant \lambda \tag{2.6}$$

is the LMPRT for testing $\mathscr{H}_0$ against

$$\mathscr{K}_0^2(\Delta^+) = \Big\{ Q_\Theta^F = \prod_{i=1}^{N} [(1 - \Theta c_i)F(x_i) + \Theta c_i F^2(x_i)],\ \Theta > 0,\ 0 < \Theta c_i < 1,\ F \in \mathscr{F}_0 \Big\}$$

at the respective level.

E x a m p l e 2.3. For

$$G_i(y, \Theta) = \begin{cases} y^{1+\Theta}, & 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m, \\ 1 - (1-y)^{1+\Theta}, & m+1 \leqslant i \leqslant N, \end{cases}$$

noting that

$$E\{\ln U_{(k)}\} = -\sum_{j=0}^{N-k} 1/(N-j),$$

$$E\{\ln (1 - U_{(k)})\} = -\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} 1/(N-j) \text{ (see (25)–(26), p. 83, [3])}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} 1/(N-j) = N,$$

one obtains from Theorem 2.2 that the test with critical region

$$S(R) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a(R_i) \geqslant \lambda, \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$a(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} [1/(N-j)] - \sum_{j=0}^{N-k} [1/(N-j)], \ 1 \leqslant k \leqslant N, \tag{2.8}$$

is the LMPRT for testing $\mathscr{H}_0$ against

$$\mathscr{K}_0^2(\Delta^+) = \Big\{ Q_\Theta^F(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} [F(x_i)]^{1+\Theta} \prod_{i=m+1}^{N} [1-(1-F(x_i))^{1+\Theta}], \\ \Theta > 0, \ F \in \mathscr{F}_0 \Big\}$$

at the corresponding level.

This is the case considered by Gibbons [1].

3. The locally most powerful signed rank tests of symmetry

The following theorems for the symmetry hypothesis generalize the results in [4] and Theorems II.4.9–10 [3].

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathscr{K}_1^1(\Delta)$ be defined by* (1.1) *with f_i satisfying* (i)–(ii) *of Th.* 2.1. *For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$, $j = 1, 2$ denote*

$$f_{j,i}(x) = (1/2)[f_i'(x,0) + (-1)^j f_i'(-x,0)], \tag{3.1}$$

$$A_j(k,i) = E\{f_{j,i}(|X|_{(k)})/f(|X|_{(k)})\} \tag{3.2}$$

where $|X|_{(1)}, \ldots, |X|_{(N)}$ are order statistics in absolute value of N i.r.v.'s with the same symmetric density $f(x)$. Then the test with critical region

$$S(R^+, V) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} [A_1(R_i^+, i)V_i + A_2(R_i^+, i)] \geqslant \lambda \quad (\leqslant \lambda) \tag{3.3}$$

is the LMPSRT for $\{\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{K}_1^1(\Delta^+)\}$ (for $\{\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{K}_1^1(\Delta^-)\}$) at the corresponding level.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is similar to that of Theorems 1–2 in [4]. Therefore we outline only its principal steps.

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}Q_\Theta = q_\Theta\,\mathrm{d}x &= \prod_{i=1}^N f_i(x,\Theta)\,\mathrm{d}x_i, \quad \Theta \in \widetilde{\Delta}, \\ B(r,v) &= \{x\colon\ R^+ = r,\ V = v\},\ r \in \mathscr{R},\ v \in \mathscr{V}, \\ Q_\Theta(r,v) &= Q_\Theta\{B(r,v)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $Q_0 \in \mathscr{H}_1$ and $\mathrm{d}Q_0 = \prod\limits_{i=1}^N f(x_i)\,\mathrm{d}x_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L_\Theta(r,v) &= (1/\Theta)[Q_\Theta(r,v) - Q_0(r,v)] \\ &= \int_{B(r,v)} \dots \int \frac{1}{\Theta}\Big[\prod_{i=1}^N f_i(x_i,\Theta) - \prod_{i=1}^N f(x_i)\Big]\,\mathrm{d}x \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{B(r,v)} \dots \int L_i(x,\Theta)\,\mathrm{d}x, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$L_i(x,\Theta) = (1/\Theta)[f_i(x_i,\Theta) - f(x_i)] \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} f(x) \prod_{s=i+1}^N f_s(x_s,\Theta),\ \prod_{j=1}^0 = \prod_{s=N+1}^N = 1,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\Theta\to 0} \int \dots \int |L_i(x,\Theta)|\,\mathrm{d}x &\leqslant \int |f_i'(x,0)|\,\mathrm{d}x_i \\ &= \int \dots \int |f_i'(x,0)/f(x_i)|\,\mathrm{d}Q_0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

The convergence theorem of Scheffé [6] (see also Theorem II.4.2. [3]) implies

$$\lim_{\Theta\to 0} L_\Theta(r,v) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{B(r,v)} \dots \int \{f_i'(x,0)/f(x_i)\}\,\mathrm{d}Q_0. \tag{3.6}$$

Since $f(-x_i) = f(x_i)$ and by (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,i}(-x_i) &= -f_{1,i}(x_i), \\ f_{2,i}(-x_i) &= f_{2,i}(x_i), \\ f_i'(x_i,0) &= f_{1,i}(x_i) + f_{2,i}(x_i), \end{aligned}$$

it follows from (1.4) and (3.6) that

$$\lim_{\Theta\to 0} L_\Theta(r,v) = (1/2^N N!)\sum_{i=1}^N \int\dots\int \Big\{\frac{f_i'(x_i,0)}{f(x_i)}\Big\}\,\mathrm{d}Q_0(x\big|R^+=r,V=v)$$

$$= (1/2^N N!)\sum_{i=1}^N \int\dots\int \Big\{\Big[\frac{f_{1,i}(|x_i|)}{f(|x_i|)}\Big]v_i + \Big[\frac{f_{2,i}(|x_i|)}{f(|x_i|)}\Big]\Big\}\,\mathrm{d}Q_0(x|R^+=r,V=v)$$

$$= (1/2^N N!)\sum_{i=1}^N E\{[f_{1,i}(|X_i|)/f(|X_i|)]V_i + [f_{2,i}(|X_i|)/f(|X_i|)]|R^+=r,V=v\}$$

$$= (1/2^N N!)\sum_{i=1}^N E\{[f_{1,i}(|X|_{(r_i)})/f(|X|_{(r_i)})]V_i + [f_{2,i}(|X|_{(r_i)})/f(|X|_{(r_i)})]\}$$

$$= (1/2^N N!)\sum_{i=1}^N [A_1(r_i,i)v_i + A_2(r_i,i)].$$

This implies the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 in the same manner as in [4]. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathscr{K}_1^2(\Delta)$ be defined by* (1.2). *Let the conditions* (iii)–(v) *of Theorem* 2.2 *be satisfied. Denote for $j=1,2$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant N$*

$$g_{j,i}(u) = (1/2)\{g_i'[(1+u)/2;0] + (-1)^j g_i'[\tfrac{1}{2}(1-u);0]\}, \tag{3.7}$$

$$a_j(k,i) = E\{g_{j,i}(U_{(k)})\}, \tag{3.8}$$

where $U_{(1)},\dots,U_{(N)}$ are order statistics of N i.r.v.'s with the same uniform distribution on $(0,1)$.

Then the test with critical region

$$S(R^+,V) = \sum_{i=1}^N \{a_1(R_i^+,i)V_i + a_2(R_i^+,i)\} \geqslant \lambda \quad (\leqslant \lambda) \tag{3.9}$$

is the LMPSRT for $\{\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{K}_1^2(\Delta^+)\}$ (for $\{\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{K}_1^2(\Delta^-)\}$) at the respective level.

P r o o f. Note that

$$f_i(x,0) = f(x) = \mathrm{d}F(x)/dx,\ F\in\mathscr{F}_1,$$
$$f_i(x,\Theta) = g_i(F(x),\Theta)\cdot f(x),$$
$$f_i'(x,\Theta) = g_i'(F(x),\Theta)\cdot f(x),$$
$$f_i'(x,0)/f(x) = g_i'(F(x),0).$$

Then, by (3.1) and $F(-x) = 1 - F(x)$,

$$f_{j,i}(x)/f(x) = (1/2)[g_i'(F(x), 0) + (-1)^j g_i'(1 - F(x), 0)]. \tag{3.10}$$

Since $|X|$ has a d.f. $2F(x) - 1$ provided X has a d.f. $F(x)$, hence setting

$$2F(|X|) - 1 = U,$$

we see that U has the uniform distribution on $(0, 1)$. Therefore

$$F(|X|_{(k)}) = [\tfrac{1}{2}(1 + U_{(k)})],$$

and $1 - F(|X|_{(k)})$ has the same distribution as $\frac{1}{2}(1 - U_{(k)})$ and, by (3.2), (3.8), (3.10),

$$A_j(k, i) = a_j(k, i), \ j = 1, 2, \ 1 \leqslant i, \ k \leqslant N.$$

Thus Theorem 3.1 implies Theorem 3.2. □

E x a m p l e 3.1. For $\mathscr{K}_1^2(\Delta)$ with $G_i(y, \Theta)$ as in Example 2.1:

$$G_i(y, \Theta) = \begin{cases} (1 - \Theta)y + \Theta y^2, & 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m, \\ y, & m + 1 \leqslant i \leqslant N, \end{cases}$$

one has for $0 < u < 1$

$$g_i'([\tfrac{1}{2}(1 \pm u)], 0) = \begin{cases} \pm u, & 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m, \\ 0, & m + 1 \leqslant i \leqslant N, \end{cases}$$

$$g_{1,i}(u) = \begin{cases} u, & 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m, \\ 0, & m + 1 \leqslant i \leqslant N, \end{cases}$$

$$g_{2,i}(u) = 0, \qquad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant N.$$

Then the test with critical region

$$S(R^+, V) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} R_i^+ V_i \geqslant \lambda \tag{3.11}$$

is the LMPSRT for $\{\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{K}_1^2(\Delta^+)\}$ at the respective level.

E x a m p l e 3.2. For $\mathscr{K}_1^2(\Delta)$ with Q_Θ^F as in Example 2.2:

$$Q_\Theta^F(x) = \prod_{i=1}^N [(1-\Theta c_i)F(x_i) + \Theta c_i F^2(x_i)],\ F \in \mathscr{F}_1,\ 0 < \Theta c_i < 1,$$

one can verify that the LMPSRT for $\{\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{K}_1^2(\Delta^+)\}$ is determined by the critical region

$$S(R^+, V) = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i R_i^+ V_i \geqslant \lambda. \tag{3.12}$$

E x a m p l e 3.3. For $\mathscr{K}_1^1(\Delta^+)$ with $q_\Theta(x) = \prod\limits_{i=1}^N f(x_i - \Theta),\ \Theta > 0$, where f is symmetric and continuously differentiable, one has

$$\begin{aligned} &f_i'(x,\Theta) = -f'(x-\Theta),\ f_i'(x,0) = -f'(x),\ f_i'(-x,0) = f'(x),\\ &f_{1,i}(x) = -f'(x),\ f_{2,i} = 0,\\ &A_1(k,i) = -E\{f'(|X|_{(k)})/f(|X|_{(k)})\} = A_1^f(k), \quad A_2(k,i) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Theorem 3.1 that the test with critical region

$$\sum_{i=1}^N A_1^f(R_i^+) \cdot V_i \geqslant \lambda$$

is the LMPSRT for $\{\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{K}_1^1(\Delta^+)\}$. This coincides with Th.II.4.9. [3].

E x a m p l e 3.4. For $\mathscr{K}_1^1(\Delta^+)$ with

$$q_\Theta(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m e^{-\Theta} f(e^{-\Theta} x_i) \prod_{i=m+1}^N f(x_i), \Theta > 0,$$

where f is symmetric and continuously differentiable, one has

$$f_i'(x,0) = \begin{cases} -f(x) - xf'(x), & 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m,\\ 0, & m+1 \leqslant i \leqslant N, \end{cases}$$

hence $f_{2,i} = f_i'$, $f_{1,i} = 0$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &A_1(k,i) = 0, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant N, \qquad A_2(k,i) = 0,\ m+1 \leqslant i \leqslant N,\\ &A_2(k,i) = E\{-1 - |X|_{(k)} \cdot f'(|X|_{(k)})/f(|X|_{(k)})\} = A_2^f(k), \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m. \end{aligned}$$

This result is identical with Th. II.4.10. [3]: The test with critical region

$$\sum_{i=1}^m A_2^f(R_i^+) \geqslant \lambda$$

is the LMPSRT for $\{\mathscr{H}_1, \mathscr{K}_1^1(\Delta^+)\}$ at the respective level.

References

[1] *Gibbons, J.D.*: On the power of two-sample rank tests on the quality of two distribution functions. J. Royal Stat. Soc., Series B *26* (1964), 293–304.

[2] *Hájek, J.*: A course in nonparametric statistics. Holden-Day, New York, 1969.

[3] *Hájek, J., Šidák, Z.*: Theory of Rank Tests. Academia, Praha, 1967.

[4] *Nguyen Van Ho*: The locally most powerful rank tests. Acta Mathematica Vietnamica, T3, N1 (1978), 14–23.

[5] *Lehmann, E.L.*: The power of rank tests. AMS *24* (1953), 23–43.

[6] *Scheffé, H.*: A useful convergence theorem for probability distributions. AMS *18* (1947), 434–438.

Author's address: *Nguyen Van Ho*, Department of mathematics, Polytechnic Institute of Hanoi, Hanoi, Vietnam.