

Mordechay B. Levin

Explicit digital inversive pseudorandom numbers

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 50 (2000), No. 5, 581--598

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136791>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 2000

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

EXPLICIT DIGITAL INVERSIVE PSEUDORANDOM NUMBERS

MORDECHAY B. LEVIN

(Communicated by Stanislav Jakubec)

ABSTRACT. A new algorithm, the *explicit digital inversive method*, for generating uniform pseudorandom numbers is introduced. This method can be viewed as an analog of the *explicit inversive method* and as a variant of *digital inversive method* for pseudorandom number generation. We study, in particular, the statistical independence properties of pseudorandom sequence generated over part of a period. The method of the proof rests on the classical Weil bound for exponential sums.

1. Introduction

1.1. Several nonlinear methods for generating uniform pseudorandom numbers in the interval $[0, 1)$ have been proposed in the literature. Reviews and the bibliography of the development of this area can be found in [DrTi], [Ei], [HeLa], [LEq], [Ni1], [Ni2], [NHLZ], and [Te]. In this paper we propose an explicit variant of the digital inversive method of Eichenauer-Herrmann and Niederreiter [EiNi]. First a detailed description of this method is given.

1.2. Let p be a prime, and put $q = p^k$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. Denote by F_q the finite field with q elements, and by $F_q^* = F_q \setminus \{0\}$ the multiplicative group of nonzero elements of F_q . Identify the set $\mathbb{Z}_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ of integers with a finite field $F_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ with p elements. For $\gamma \in F_q^*$ let $\bar{\gamma} = \gamma^{-1} \in F_q^*$ be the multiplicative inverse of γ in F_q and define $\bar{0} = 0$. For initial value $\kappa_0 \in F_q$ and parameters $\alpha \in F_q^*$ and $\beta \in F_q$ an inversive sequence $(\kappa_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of elements of F_q is defined by the recursion

$$\kappa_{n+1} = \alpha \bar{\kappa}_n + \beta, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 65C10; Secondary 11K45.

Key words: random number generation, discrepancy.

Work supported in part by the Israel Science Foundation Grant No. 366-1722.

In the following the finite field F_q is viewed as a k -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{Z}_p . Let $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$ be a basis of F_q over F_p , $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k)$ the dual basis of $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$ (see [LN; Definition 2.30]), and Tr denotes the trace function from F_q to F_p .

For $n = 0, 1, \dots$ let $c_n = (c_{n,1}, \dots, c_{n,k})$ be the coordinate vector of $\gamma_n \in F_q$ relative to $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$. As in [LN; p. 58]

$$c_{n,i} = \text{Tr}(\omega_i \kappa_n), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, i = 1, \dots, k. \quad (2)$$

Now a sequence $(y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of *digital inversive pseudorandom numbers* in the interval $[0, 1)$ is defined by

$$y_n = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{c_{n,i}}{p^i}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots. \quad (3)$$

1.3. Every integer $n \geq 0$ has a unique digit expansion

$$n = \sum_{j \geq 0} a_j(n)p^j \quad (4)$$

in base p , where $a_j(n) \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ for all $j \geq 0$ and $a_j(n) = 0$ for all sufficiently large j . For initial value $\gamma_0 \in F_q$ and parameters $\alpha \in F_q^*$ and $\beta \in F_q$, a sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of *explicit digital inversive pseudorandom numbers* in the interval $[0, 1)$ is defined by

$$x_n = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x_{n,i}}{p^i}, \quad x_{n,i} = \text{Tr}(\omega_i(\alpha \bar{\gamma}_n + \beta)) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_n = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \beta_{i+1} a_i(n) + \gamma_0. \quad (5)$$

Obviously, a sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is purely periodic with period length equal to q .

1.4. Equidistribution, as well as statistical independence properties of uniform pseudorandom numbers in the interval $[0, 1)$ can be analyzed by the discrepancy of certain point sets in $[0, 1]^s$ with $s \geq 1$. For N arbitrary points $t_0, \dots, t_{N-1} \in [0, 1]^s$ with $s \geq 1$, their *star discrepancy* is defined by

$$D^*((t_n)_{n=0}^{N-1}) = \sup_{v=[0, \gamma_1] \times \dots \times [0, \gamma_s] \subset [0, 1]^s} \left| \frac{1}{N} \# \{n \in [0, N) \mid t_n \in v\} - \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_s \right|.$$

In accord with [EiNi; Theorem 1]

$$D^*((y_n, \dots, y_{n+s-1})_{n=0}^{q-1}) = O(p^{-k/2} k^s)$$

for digital inversive method.

For explicit digital inversive pseudorandom numbers we obtain here a slightly worse estimate

$$D^*((x_n, \dots, x_{n+s-1})_{n=0}^{q-1}) = O(p^{-k/2} k^{s+1}).$$

But using this method we obtain a discrepancy estimate over part of the period

$$D^*((x_n, \dots, x_{n+s-1})_{n=0}^{N-1}) = O(N^{-1} p^{k/2} k^{s+2}), \quad N = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Using the approach proposed in [Le] we show that there exists $\alpha \in F_q^*$ with the following discrepancy

$$D^*((x_n, \dots, x_{n+s-1})_{n=0}^{N-1}) = O(N^{-1/2} \log^{s+3} N), \quad N = 1, 2, \dots, p^k,$$

for part of the period of the digital inversive method and the explicit digital inversive method.

2. Auxiliary results

First, some further notation is necessary. $C(l) = \mathbb{Z} \cap (-l/2, l/2]$. For real u , the abbreviation $e(u) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}u}$ is used.

Define

$$r(h, q) = \begin{cases} q \sin(\pi|h|/q) & \text{for } h \in C(q), \quad h \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{for } h = 0. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Subsequently, five known results are stated, which follow from [Ko; Lemma 2], [Ni1; Lemma 2.3], [Ko; p. 13], and [Ni2; p. 35], [Ni2; Theorem 3.12, Lemma 3.13], and [LN; p. 188–190] respectively.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let*

$$\delta_p(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\delta_p(a) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} e(an/p).$$

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $q \geq 2$ be an integer. Then*

$$\sum_{h \in C(q)} \frac{1}{r(h, q)} < \frac{2}{\pi} \log q + \frac{7}{5}.$$

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $T \geq N \geq 1$ be integers. Then*

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(u_n) \right| \leq \sum_{h \in C(T)} \frac{1}{r(h, T)} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{T-1} e\left(u_n + \frac{nh}{T}\right) \right|.$$

P r o o f. According to [Ni2; p. 35], $1/T \left| \sum_{n \in [0, N-1]} e(nh/T) \right| \leq r^{-1}(h, T)$. Now repeating the proof of [Ko; p. 13] we obtain the assertion of Lemma 2.3. \square

Let $b \geq 2$ be integer,

$$\mathbf{w}_n = (w_n^{(1)}, \dots, w_n^{(s)}) \in [0, 1)^s \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1,$$

where for an integer $m_1 \geq 1$, we have

$$w_n^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} w_{n,j}^{(i)} b^{-j} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq n \leq N-1, \quad i = 1, \dots, s, \quad (7)$$

with $w_{n,j}^{(i)} \in \{0, \dots, b-1\}$ for $0 \leq n \leq N-1$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, $1 \leq j \leq m_1$.

Let $C(b)^{s \times m_1}$ be the set of all $s \times m_1$ matrices with entries in $C(b)$, $H = (h_{ij}) \in C(b)^{s \times m_1}$.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $s, m_1 \geq 1$ and $b \geq 2$ be integers. If $((\mathbf{w}_n)_{n=0}^{N-1})$ is the point set (7), then*

$$\begin{aligned} & D^*((\mathbf{w}_n)_{n=0}^{N-1}) \\ & \leq 1 - (1 - b^{-m_1})^s + \sum_{\substack{H \in C(b)^{s \times m_1} \\ H \neq 0}} W_{b,m_1}(H) \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e\left(\frac{1}{b} \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} h_{ij} w_{n,j}^{(i)}\right) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where the weight $W_{b,m_1}(H) \geq 0$ satisfies the following inequality

$$\sum_{\substack{H \in C(b)^{s \times m} \\ H \neq 0}} W_{b,m_1}(H) < \left(\frac{2}{\pi} m_1 \log b + \frac{7}{5} m_1 - \frac{m_1 - 1}{b} \right)^s.$$

Remark. Let $\mathbf{x}_n = (x_{n,1}, \dots, x_{n,s})$, $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}_k = (\{x_{n,1}\}_k, \dots, \{x_{n,s}\}_k)$, $\{x_{n,i}\}_k = [b^k \{x_{n,i}\}] / b^k$, $i \in [1, s]$, $k \geq 1$, $n \geq 0$; $v = [0, \gamma_1) \times \cdots \times [0, \gamma_s)$; $v' = \prod_{i=1}^s [0, \{\gamma_i\}_k]$.

It is easy to see, that

$$\begin{aligned} & 1/N \#\{0 \leq n \leq N-1 \mid \{\mathbf{x}_n\} \in v\} - \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_s \\ & \leq 1/N \#\{0 \leq n \leq N-1 \mid \{\mathbf{x}_n\}_k \in v\} - \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_s \\ & \leq D^*\left(\left(\{\mathbf{x}_n\}_k\right)_{n=0}^{N-1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & 1/N \#\{0 \leq n \leq N-1 \mid \{\mathbf{x}_n\} \in v\} - \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_s \\ & \geq 1/N \#\{0 \leq n \leq N-1 \mid \{\mathbf{x}_n\}_k \in v'\} - \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_s \\ & \geq -D^*\left(\left(\{\mathbf{x}_n\}_k\right)_{n=0}^{N-1}\right) - \left| \prod_{i=1}^s \gamma_i - \prod_{i=1}^s \{\gamma_i\}_k \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} D^*\left((\mathbf{x}_n)_{n=0}^{N-1}\right) - D^*\left(\left(\{\mathbf{x}_n\}_k\right)_{n=0}^{N-1}\right) & \leq \sup_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s \in [0,1)} \left| \prod_{i=1}^s \gamma_i - \prod_{i=1}^s \{\gamma_i\}_k \right| \\ & \leq 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{b^k}\right)^s \leq \frac{s}{b^k}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$D^*\left((\mathbf{x}_n)_{n=0}^{N-1}\right) \leq \frac{s}{b^k} + D^*\left(\left(\{\mathbf{x}_n\}_k\right)_{n=0}^{N-1}\right).$$

Now from Lemma 2.4 we obtain for all $m \in [1, m_1]$ that

$$D^*\left((\mathbf{w}_n)_{n=0}^{N-1}\right) \leq 2s/b^m + \sum_{\substack{H \in C(b)^s \times m \\ H \neq 0}} W_{b,m}(H) \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e\left(\frac{1}{b} \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^m h_{ij} w_{nj}^{(i)}\right) \right|.$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 we have:

COROLLARY 2.1. *Let $1 \leq N \leq T$, $1 \leq m \leq m_1$. Then*

$$D^*\left((\mathbf{w}_n)_{n=0}^{N-1}\right) \leq 2s/b^m + \frac{T}{N} \tilde{D}_{T,m}(\mathbf{w}_n),$$

where

$$\tilde{D}_{T,m}(\mathbf{w}_n) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{\substack{H \in C(b)^s \times m \\ H \neq 0}} \sum_{h \in C(q)} \frac{W_{b,m}(H)}{r(h, q)} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{T-1} e\left(\frac{1}{b} \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^m h_{ij} w_{nj}^{(i)} + \frac{hn}{T}\right) \right|.$$

Let

$$\chi(\gamma) = e\left(\frac{1}{p} \text{Tr}(\gamma)\right) \quad \text{for } \gamma \in F_q \tag{8}$$

define a nontrivial additive character χ over F_q .

LEMMA 2.5. Let $\beta \in F_q$,

$$\delta(\beta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \beta = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\delta(\beta) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} \chi(\alpha\beta).$$

The following lemma is a convenient form of the Bombieri-Weil bound of exponential sums (see [Mo; Theorem 2]).

We denote by \bar{F}_q the algebraic closure of the field F_q and by $\bar{F}_q(x)$ the field of rational functions over \bar{F}_q .

LEMMA 2.6. Let Q/R be a rational function over F_q which is not of the form $A^p - A$ with $A \in \bar{F}_q(x)$. Let s be the number of the distinct root of the polynomial R in \bar{F}_q . Then we have

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in F_q \\ R(\gamma) \neq 0}} \chi\left(\frac{Q(\gamma)}{R(\gamma)}\right) \right| \leq (\max(\deg(Q), \deg(R)) + s^* - 2) q^{1/2} + \Delta,$$

where $s^* = s$ and $\Delta = 1$ if $\deg(Q) \leq \deg(R)$, and $s^* = s + 1$ and $\Delta = 0$ otherwise.

LEMMA 2.7. Let $1 \leq d < q$; $\eta, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d \in F_q$. Then

$$|S(\theta)| \leq d(2q^{1/2} + 1) \quad \text{with} \quad S(\theta) = \sum_{\gamma \in F_q} \chi\left(\sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \overline{\gamma + \theta_i} + \eta\gamma\right),$$

where $\theta_i \neq \theta_j$ for $i \neq j$, and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ are not all zero.

P r o o f. The case $\eta \neq 0$ see [Ni3; p. 205]. Let $\eta = 0$. We follow [Ni4; p. 164]. Clearly

$$|S(\theta)| \leq d + \left| \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in F_q \\ R(\gamma) \neq 0}} \chi\left(\frac{Q(\gamma)}{R(\gamma)}\right) \right|,$$

where Q/R is the rational function over F_q given by

$$\frac{Q(x)}{R(x)} = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\alpha_i}{x + \theta_i} \quad \text{with} \quad R(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d (x + \theta_i).$$

We claim that Q/R is not of the form $A^p - A$ with a rational function $A \in \bar{F}_q(x)$, because if we had $Q/R = (K/L)^p - K/L$ with polynomials K, L over \bar{F}_p and $\gcd(K, L) = 1$, then

$$L^p Q = (K^{p-1} - L^{p-1}) K R. \tag{9}$$

From $\gcd(K, L) = 1$ it follows that L^p divides R , but since R has only simple roots, this divisibility relation can only hold if L is a nonzero constant polynomial. Since at least one α_i is nonzero, the uniqueness of the partial fraction decomposition for rational functions implies that $Q \neq 0$. Then a comparison of degrees in (9) yields $\deg(Q) \geq \deg(R)$ and this contradiction proves the claim. Thus we can apply Lemma 2.6. \square

For reals u_n , $n = 0, 1, \dots$, and $V = \emptyset$ we pose, as usual, $\sum_{n \in V} u_n = 0$.

Let $m, d, r_1 \geq 1$ be integers with $p^{r_1-1} \leq d < p^{r_1} < p^m$, $d_1 = p^{r_1} - d - 1$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} A_{d,m}(r_1 - 1) &= \{0, 1, \dots, d_1\}, \\ A_{d,m}(r) &= \left\{ n \in [0, p^{r+1}) \mid n = l + (p-1) \sum_{r_1 \leq i < r} p^i + vp^r \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{with } v \in [0, p-2], \ l \in [d_1 + 1, p^{r_1} - 1] \right\}, \quad r \in [r_1, m-1], \\ A_{d,m}(m) &= \left\{ n \in [0, p^m) \mid n = l + (p-1) \sum_{r_1 \leq i < m} p^i, \ l \in [d_1 + 1, p^{r_1} - 1] \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

It is easy to see that

$$n_1 + i < p^{r+1} \quad \text{for } i \in [1, d], \ n_1 \in A_{d,m}(r) \text{ with } r \in [r_1 - 1, m-1];$$

$$\#A_{d,m}(m) = d, \quad \#A_{d,m}(m-1) = (p-1)d. \tag{11}$$

LEMMA 2.8. *Let $m, d, r_1 \geq 1$ be integers, $p^{r_1-1} \leq d < p^{r_1} \leq p^{m-1}$. Then we have a decomposition of the interval $[0, p^m)$ in the following union of disjoint subsets:*

$$\begin{aligned} &[0, p^m) \setminus (A_{d,m}(m) \cup A_{d,m}(m-1)) \\ &= \bigcup_{r=r_1-1}^{m-2} \bigcup_{n_1 \in A_{d,m}(r)} \left\{ n \in [0, p^m) \mid n = n_1 + v_{r+1}p^{r+1} + \dots + v_{m-1}p^{m-1}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. v_{r+1}, \dots, v_{m-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

P r o o f. It is easy to verify that

$$[0, p^m) = G_1 \cup G_2 \cup G_3 \cup G_4, \tag{12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_1 &= \{n \in [0, p^m) \mid n \equiv l \pmod{p^{r_1}}, \quad l \in [0, d_1]\}, \\
 G_2 &= A_{d,m}(m) = \left\{ n \in [0, p^m) \mid n \equiv l \pmod{p^{r_1}}, \quad l \in [d_1 + 1, p^{r_1} - 1] \right. \\
 &\quad \text{and } n = l + (p - 1) \sum_{r_1 \leq i < m} p^i \Big\}, \\
 G_3 &= A_{d,m}(m - 1) \\
 &= \left\{ n \in [0, p^m) \mid n \equiv l \pmod{p^{r_1}}, \quad l \in [d_1 + 1, p^{r_1} - 1] \text{ and} \right. \\
 &\quad n = l + (p - 1) \sum_{r_1 \leq i < m-1} p^i + vp^{m-1}, \quad v \in [0, p - 2] \Big\}, \\
 G_4 &= \left\{ n \in [0, p^m) \mid n \equiv l \pmod{p^{r_1}}, \quad l \in [d_1 + 1, p^{r_1} - 1] \text{ and} \right. \\
 &\quad (\exists r \in [r_1, m - 2]) \left(n \equiv l + (p - 1) \sum_{r_1 \leq i < r} p^i + vp^r \pmod{p^{r+1}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \& v \in [0, p - 2] \right) \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $r_1 \leq m - 2$, and $G_4 = \emptyset$ for $r_1 = m - 1$.

Using (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_1 &= \{n \in [0, p^m) \mid n = l + v_{r_1} p^{r_1} + \cdots + v_{m-1} p^{m-1} \\
 &\quad \text{with } l \in [0, d_1], \quad \text{and } v_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad i = r_1, \dots, m - 1\} \\
 &= \bigcup_{n_1 \in A_{d,m}(r_1 - 1)} \{n \in [0, p^m) \mid n = n_1 + v_{r_1} p^{r_1} + \cdots + v_{m-1} p^{m-1}, \\
 &\quad \text{and } v_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad i = r_1, \dots, m - 1\},
 \end{aligned}$$

and for $r_1 \leq m_2$

$$G_4 = \bigcup_{r \in [r_1, m-2]} G_{4,r}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{4,r} &= \left\{ n \in [0, p^m) \mid n = l + (p - 1) \sum_{r_1 \leq i < r} p^i + vp^r + v_{r+1} p^{r+1} + \cdots + v_{m-1} p^{m-1}, \right. \\
 &\quad \text{with } l \in [d_1 + 1, p^{r_1} - 1], \quad v \in [0, p - 2], \quad \text{and } v_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \\
 &\quad \left. i = r + 1, \dots, m - 1 \right\} \\
 &= \bigcup_{n_1 \in A_{d,m}(r)} \{n \in [0, p^m) \mid n = n_1 + v_{r+1} p^{r+1} + \cdots + v_{m-1} p^{m-1}, \\
 &\quad \text{where } v_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad i = r + 1, \dots, m - 1\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now from (12) and (13) we obtain the assertion of the lemma. \square

LEMMA 2.9. *Let $1 \leq s \leq q = p^k$, $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s \in F_q$, $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s) \neq 0$,*

$$S(\alpha) = \sum_{n=0}^{p^k-1} e\left(\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i \overline{\gamma_{n+i}} + \alpha_0 \gamma_n\right)\right).$$

Then

$$|S(\alpha)| \leq ps^2 k(2p^{k/2} + 1).$$

P r o o f. It is easy to see, that the assertion of the lemma is trivial for $k \in [1, 2]$, and for $k \geq 3$ with $s \geq p^{k-2} \geq p^{(k-1)/2}$.

Now let $k \geq 3$ and $s \in [1, p^{k-2}]$.

This yields that $r_1 = [\log_p s] + 1 \leq k - 2$.

Using (5), (11), and Lemma 2.8 we have, for $n_1 \in A_{s,k}(r)$, $r \in [r_1 - 1, k - 2]$, and $n = n_1 + \sum_{r+1 \leq i < k} v_i p^i$ that

$$\gamma_{n+i} = \gamma_{n_1+i} + \sum_{r+1 \leq j < k} v_j \beta_{j+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, s. \quad (14)$$

From (11) and Lemma 2.8 we obtain that

$$|S(\alpha)| \leq ps + \sum_{r=r_1-1}^{k-2} \sum_{n_1 \in A_{s,k}(r)} |\sigma(r, n_1)| \quad (15)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(r, n_1) = & \sum_{v_{r+1}, \dots, v_{k-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p} e\left(\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i \left(\overline{\gamma_{n_1+i} + v_{r+1} \beta_{r+2} + \dots + v_{k-1} \beta_k}\right)\right.\right. \\ & \left.\left.+ \alpha_0 \left(\gamma_{n_1} + \sum_{r+1 \leq j < k} v_j \beta_{j+1}\right)\right)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Put

$$\eta = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} v_j \beta_{j+1}.$$

According to [LN; p. 58],

$$v_{j-1} = \operatorname{Tr}(\eta \omega_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, k, \quad (17)$$

where $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k)$ be the dual basis of $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$.

From (16)–(17) we deduce that

$$\sigma(r, n_1) = \sum_{\eta \in F_q} e\left(\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i (\overline{\gamma_{n_1+i} + \eta}) + \alpha_0 (\gamma_{n_1} + \eta)\right)\right) \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} \delta_p(\operatorname{Tr}(\eta \omega_j)).$$

Applying Lemma 2.1, we obtain, that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(r, n_1) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{p^{r+1}} \sum_{h_1, \dots, h_{r+1} \in C_p} \sum_{\eta \in F_q} e\left(\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i (\overline{\gamma_{n_1+i} + \eta}) + \alpha_0 (\gamma_{n_1} + \eta) + \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} h_j \eta \omega_j\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma(r, n_1)| &\leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p^{r+1}} \sum_{h_1, \dots, h_{r+1} \in C_p} \left| \sum_{\eta \in F_q} \chi\left(\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i \overline{\gamma_{n_1+i} + \eta} + \alpha_0 \gamma_{n_1} + \eta \left(\alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} h_j \omega_j\right)\right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|\sigma(r, n_1)| \leq \max_{\alpha_0, \beta_0 \in F_q} \left| \sum_{\eta \in F_q} \chi\left(\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i \overline{\gamma_{n_1+i} + \eta} + \alpha_0 \eta + \beta_0\right) \right|.$$

By (5), $\gamma_{n_1+i} \neq \gamma_{n_1+j}$ for $i \neq j$, and $n_1 \in A_{s,k}(r)$ ($r \in [r_1 - 1, k - 2]$, $i, j = 1, \dots, s$). From Lemma 2.7 we obtain that

$$|\sigma(r, n_1)| \leq s(2q^{1/2} + 1). \quad (18)$$

Let $s_1 = p^{r_1} - s - 1$. From (10) and (11), we have for $r \in [r_1, k - 2]$

$$s_1 + 1 \leq ps - s, \quad \#A_{s,k}(r_1 - 1) = s_1 + 1 \leq ps - s, \quad \text{and} \quad \#A_{s,k}(r) = s(p - 1). \quad (19)$$

Hence

$$\sum_{r_1-1 \leq r \leq k-2} \#A_{s,k}(r) \leq (k - r_1)s(p - 1) \leq (k - 1)s(p - 1). \quad (20)$$

Substituting (18) and (20) into (15), we obtain that

$$|S(\alpha)| \leq sp + s(k - 1)(p - 1)s(2q^{1/2} + 1) \leq ps^2k(2q^{1/2} + 1).$$

□

Let $\eta_0, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_d$ be elements in F_q with $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d) \neq \mathbf{0}$, and define a hyperplane E in F_q^d by

$$E = \{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \in F_q^d \mid \eta_1 \xi_1 + \dots + \eta_d \xi_d = \eta_0\}.$$

Put

$$B(m, \eta, \eta_0) = \#\{n \in [0, p^m) \mid \eta_1 \overline{\gamma_{n+1}} + \dots + \eta_d \overline{\gamma_{n+d}} = \eta_0\}. \quad (21)$$

LEMMA 2.10. *For $1 \leq m \leq k$, $d \geq 2$ and every sequence $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ defined by the explicit digital inversive method, any hyperplane E in F_q^d contains at most $2d^2pm$ points (x_n, \dots, x_{n+d-1}) with $0 \leq n \leq p^m - 1 < q$:*

$$B(m, \eta, \eta_0) < 2d^2pm.$$

P r o o f. Let $r_1 = [\log_p d] + 1$ and $d_1 = p^{r_1} - d - 1$. If $r_1 \geq m$, then

$$B(m, \eta, \eta_0) \leq p^m \leq p^{2m-1} \leq p^{2r_1-1} \leq d^2p < 2d^2pm.$$

This is the assertion of the lemma.

Now let $r_1 \leq m - 1$.

Using (11), (14), Lemma 2.8 and (21), we get, that

$$\begin{aligned} & B(m, \eta, \eta_0) \leq \\ & \leq \#A_{d,m}(m) + \#A_{d,m}(m-1) \times \\ & \quad \times \sum_{r=r_1-1}^{m-2} \sum_{n_1 \in A_{d,m}(r)} \# \left\{ n = n_1 + v_{r+1}p^{r+1} + \cdots + v_{m-1}p^{m-1} \mid \right. \\ & \quad \left. v_{r+1}, \dots, v_{m-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad \eta_1 \overline{\gamma_{n+1}} + \cdots + \eta_d \overline{\gamma_{n+d}} = \eta_0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From (4), (5) and (11) we deduce, that

$$\begin{aligned} & B(m, \eta, \eta_0) \leq \\ & \leq dp + \sum_{r_1-1 \leq r \leq m-2} \sum_{n_1 \in A_{d,m}(r)} \# \left\{ (v_{r+1}, \dots, v_{m-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{m-r-1} \mid \right. \\ & \quad \left. \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \eta_i \overline{\gamma_{n_1+i} + v_{r+1}\beta_{r+2} + \cdots + v_{m-1}\beta_m} = \eta_0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & B(m, \eta, \eta_0) \leq \\ & \leq dp + \sum_{r_1-1 \leq r \leq m-2} \sum_{n_1 \in A_{d,m}(r)} \left(\# \left\{ \gamma \in F_q \mid \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \eta_i \overline{\gamma_{n_1+i} + \gamma} = \eta_0 \right\} \right) \\ & \leq dp + \sum_{r_1-1 \leq r \leq m-2} \sum_{n_1 \in A_{d,m}(r)} \left(d + \# \left\{ \gamma \in F_q \mid \gamma_{n_1+i} + \gamma \neq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, d, \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \eta_i (\gamma_{n_1+i} + \gamma)^{-1} = \eta_0 \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying (20), we obtain that

$$B(m, \eta, \eta_0) \leq dp + (m-1)d(p-1) \max_{\substack{r \in [r_1-1, m-2] \\ n_1 \in A_{d,m}(r)}} \left(d + \# \left\{ \gamma \in F_q \mid P(\gamma) = 0 \right\} \right), \quad (22)$$

where the polynomial P over F_q is given by

$$P(\gamma) = \eta_0 \prod_{i=1}^d (\gamma_{n_1+i} + \gamma) - \sum_{i=1}^d \eta_i \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq d \\ j \neq i}} (\gamma_{n_1+j} + \gamma).$$

If P were the zero polynomial, then, by looking at the coefficient of γ^d , one would obtain $\eta_0 = 0$. Furthermore, for $1 \leq i \leq d$, it follows that

$$0 = P(-\gamma_{n_1+i}) = -\eta_i \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq d \\ j \neq i}} (\gamma_{n_1+j} - \gamma_{n_1+i}).$$

Hence $\eta_i = 0$, a contradiction to $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d) \neq 0$. Thus $\deg P \in [1, d]$.

Now from (22) we have

$$B(m, \eta, \eta_0) \leq dp + 2d(m-1)d(p-1) < 2md^2p.$$

□

LEMMA 2.11. Let $N, m \geq 1$, $b \geq 2$ be integers, $N \in [1, b^m]$, $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be sequence of reals. Then

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(f_n) \right| \leq \min(b, \frac{2}{\pi} \log b + \frac{7}{5}) \sum_{r=1}^m \max_{h_1, \dots, h_r \in C(b)} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{b^m-1} e\left(f_n + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{j=1}^r h_j a_{m-j}(n)\right) \right|. \quad (23)$$

P r o o f. Let $u = u(N) = N/b^m = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} u_j b^{-j}$ with $u_j \in \{0, \dots, b-1\}$ ($1 \leq j \leq m-1$), $u_m \in \{0, \dots, b\}$; $w_n = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} w_{n,j} b^{-j} = n/b^m = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} a_{m-j}(n) b^{-j}$ (see (4)); $c(u, x)$ is the characteristic function of the interval $[0, u]$. In accord with [Ni2; p. 38]

$$c(u(N), w_n) = \sum_{r=1}^m \frac{1}{b^r} \sum_{h_1, \dots, h_r \in C(b)} e\left(\frac{1}{b} \sum_{j=1}^r h_j w_{n,j} - \frac{1}{b} \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} h_j u_j\right) \sum_{v=0}^{u_r-1} e\left(\frac{-h_r v}{b}\right).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(f_n) &= \sum_{n=0}^{b^m-1} e(f_n) c\left(\frac{N}{b^m}, \frac{n}{b^m}\right) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^m \frac{1}{b^r} \sum_{\substack{h_1, \dots, h_r \\ \in C(b)}} \sum_{n=0}^{b^m-1} e\left(f_n + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{j=1}^r h_j a_{m-j}(n)\right) e\left(-\frac{1}{b} \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} h_j u_j\right) \sum_{v \in [0, u_r)} e\left(\frac{-h_r v}{b}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$T_r(h, N) = e\left(-\frac{1}{b} \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} h_j u_j\right) \sum_{v \in [0, u_r)} e\left(\frac{-h_r v}{b}\right).$$

Then

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(f_n) \right| \leq \sum_{r=1}^m \frac{1}{b^r} \sum_{h_1, \dots, h_r \in C(b)} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{b^m-1} e\left(f_n + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{j=1}^r h_j a_{m-j}(n)\right) T_r(h, N) \right|. \quad (24)$$

Clearly

$$|T_r(h, N)| \leq \min(u_r, 1/\sin(\pi|h_r|/b)) \leq b.$$

Applying (6) and Lemma 2.2 we get, that

$$\frac{1}{b} \sum_{h_r \in C(b)} |T_r(h, N)| \leq \min(b, \frac{2}{\pi} \log b + \frac{7}{5}).$$

Now from (24) we obtain the assertion of the lemma. \square

LEMMA 2.12. Let $k, N \geq 1$ be integers, $N \leq p^k = q$, $1 \leq s < q$, $\alpha \in F_q^*$, $((h_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq k}) = H \neq 0$. Then

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e\left(\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^k h_{ij} \overline{\gamma_{n+i}} \omega_j\right)\right) \right| \leq \min(p, \frac{2}{\pi} \log p + \frac{7}{5}) p s^2 k^2 (2p^{k/2} + 1). \quad (25)$$

P r o o f. Put $\alpha \sum_{j=1}^k h_{ij} \omega_j = \alpha_i$, $i = 1, \dots, s$. Since $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k)$ is the basis of F_q over F_p , $\alpha \neq 0$ and $H \neq 0$, it follows that $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$. From (4), (5), and (17) we have, that

$$a_{k-j}(n) = \operatorname{Tr}((\gamma_n - \gamma_0) \omega_{k-j+1}), \quad j = 1, \dots, k, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p^k - 1.$$

Applying Lemma 2.11, we obtain that the left side of (25) is less than

$$\min(p, \frac{2}{\pi} \log p + \frac{7}{5}) \sum_{r=1}^k \max_{\substack{h_1, \dots, h_r \\ \in C(p)}} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{p^k-1} e\left(\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i \overline{\gamma_{n+i}} + (\gamma_n - \gamma_0) \sum_{j=1}^r h_j \omega_{k-j+1}\right)\right) \right|.$$

Now from Lemma 2.9 we obtain the assertion of the lemma. \square

3. Discrepancy bounds

3.1. Upper bounds.

THEOREM 1. Let $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of the explicit digital inversive pseudorandom numbers (5). Then

$$\begin{aligned} & D^*((x_n, \dots, x_{n+s-1})_{n=0}^{p^k-1}) \\ & \leq \frac{s}{p^k} + ps^2(2p^{-k/2} + p^{-k})k\left(\frac{2}{\pi}k \log p + \frac{7}{5}k - \frac{k-1}{p}\right)^s, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & D^*((x_n, \dots, x_{n+s-1})_{n=0}^{N-1}) \\ & \leq \frac{s}{p^k} + p^2s^2N^{-1}(2p^{k/2} + 1)k^2\left(\frac{2}{\pi}k \log p + \frac{7}{5}k - \frac{k-1}{p}\right)^s, \quad N = 1, \dots, p^k. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

P r o o f. Substituting $b = p$, $m_1 = k$, and $w_{n,j}^{(i)} = \text{Tr}((\alpha\overline{\gamma_{n+i}} + \beta)\omega_j)$ in Lemma 2.4, we obtain the assertion (27) from Lemma 2.12. Similarly, applying Lemma 2.9 instead Lemma 2.12 we get (26). \square

3.2. Lower bounds.

LEMMA 3.1. Let $(w_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence defined in (7). Then

$$\left| \sum_{0 \leq n < N} e\left(\frac{1}{p}(w_{n,1}^{(1)})\right) \right| \leq 4ND^*((w_n)_{0 \leq n < N}). \quad (28)$$

P r o o f. We apply [Ni3; Lemma 3] with $M = p$, $d = s$, $\mathbf{y}_n = (w_{n,1}^{(1)}, \dots, w_{n,1}^{(s)})$, and $\mathbf{h} = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. This yields that the left side of (28) is less than $4NE_{N,p}^*$ where $E_{N,p}^*$ is a discrete star discrepancy of the points $p^{-1}\mathbf{y}_n \in [0, 1]^s$, $0 \leq n \leq N-1$. Now, repeating the final proof of [EiNi; Lemma 2.3], we obtain the desired result. \square

THEOREM 2. Let $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of the explicit digital inversive pseudorandom numbers (5), $1 \leq N \leq q$. Then there exist values of $\alpha \in F_q^*$ such that

$$D^*((x_n, \dots, x_{n+s-1})_{n=0}^{N-1}) \geq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{N}} \sqrt{\frac{q-N}{q-1}}.$$

P r o o f. Using (5) and Lemma 2.5, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q^*} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(x_{n,1}) \right|^2 &= \sum_{n,m=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} e\left(\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{Tr}(\alpha(\overline{\gamma_n} - \overline{\gamma_m})\omega_1)\right) - N^2 \\ &= \sum_{n,m=0}^{N-1} q\delta(\overline{\gamma_n} - \overline{\gamma_m}) - N^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=m=0}^{N-1} q\delta(\overline{\gamma_n} - \overline{\gamma_m}) - N^2 = qN - N^2. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that there exist values $\alpha \in F_q^*$ with

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(x_{n,1}) \right| \geq \sqrt{\frac{N(q-N)}{q-1}}.$$

Finally, an application of Lemma 3.1 yields the desired result. \square

3.3. The choice of parameters.

For $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d) \neq 0$, $\xi_n = (\xi_{n,1}, \dots, \xi_{n,d}) \in F_q^d$ ($i = 1, \dots, d$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$) define

$$B(m) = \max_{\substack{\eta \in F_q^d, \eta \neq 0 \\ \eta' \in F_q}} \tilde{B}(m, \eta, \eta'), \quad (29)$$

where

$$\tilde{B}(m, \eta, \eta') = \#\{0 \leq n < p^m \mid \eta \cdot \xi_n = \eta'\}.$$

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $1 \leq T \leq p^m \leq q$, $\eta \in F_q^d$, $\eta \neq 0$. Then*

$$\sum_{\alpha \in F_q^*} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{T-1} \chi(\alpha(\eta \cdot \xi_n)) e\left(\frac{nh}{T}\right) \right|^2 \leq TqB(m). \quad (30)$$

P r o o f. Changing the order of the summation and bearing in mind Lemma 2.5, we obtain that the left side of (30) is less than

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{T-1} \left| \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} \chi(\alpha(\eta \cdot \xi_{n_1} - \eta \cdot \xi_{n_2})) e\left(\frac{h(n_1 - n_2)}{T}\right) \right| &= \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{T-1} q\delta(\eta \cdot \xi_{n_1} - \eta \cdot \xi_{n_2}) \\ &\leq q \sum_{n_2=0}^{T-1} \tilde{B}(m, \eta, \eta \cdot \xi_{n_2}). \end{aligned}$$

\square

In the following we use the abbreviation (see (7) and Corollary 2.1):

$$\tilde{D}_{\alpha,m}^{(s)}(T) = \tilde{D}_{T,m}(\mathbf{w}_n(\alpha)) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{w}_n(\alpha) = (w_n^{(1)}(\alpha), \dots, w_n^{(s)}(\alpha)),$$

$$w_n^{(i)}(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^k w_{n,j}^{(i)} p^{-j} \quad \text{and} \quad w_{n,j}^{(i)} = \text{Tr}(\alpha \xi_{n,i} \omega_j), \quad (31)$$

$$1 \leq i \leq s, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

LEMMA 3.3. *Let $1 \leq T \leq p^m \leq q$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q^*} \tilde{D}_{\alpha,m}^{(s)}(T) < \left(\frac{qB(m)}{(q-1)T} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} m \log p + \frac{7}{5} m - \frac{m-1}{p} \right)^s \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \log T + \frac{7}{5} \right).$$

P r o o f. Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we find from Corollary 2.1, (8) and (31) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q^*} \tilde{D}_{\alpha,m}^{(s)}(T) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{\substack{H \in C(p)^s \times m \\ H \neq \mathbf{0}}} \sum_{h \in C(T)} \frac{W_{p,m}(H)}{r(h,T)} \left(\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q^*} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{T-1} \chi \left(\alpha \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^k h_{ij} \xi_{n,i} \omega_j \right) e\left(\frac{hn}{T}\right) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Put $\sum_{j=1}^k h_{ij} \omega_j = \eta_i$, $i = 1, \dots, s$. Since $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k)$ is the basis of F_q over F_p , and $H \neq \mathbf{0}$, it follows that $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_s) \neq \mathbf{0}$. From Lemma 3.2 and (29) we have

$$\frac{1}{(q-1)} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q^*} \tilde{D}_{\alpha,m}^{(s)}(T) < \frac{1}{T} \sum_{\substack{H \in C(p)^s \times m \\ H \neq \mathbf{0}}} \sum_{h \in C(T)} \frac{W_{p,m}(H)}{r(h,T)} \left(\frac{TqB(m)}{q-1} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Now from Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4 we deduce the desired result. \square

LEMMA 3.4. *With the notation defined above we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(q-1)} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q^*} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq k} \tilde{D}_{\alpha,m}^{(s)}(p^m) \frac{\sqrt{p^m/2B(m)}}{(m+3) \log^{3/2}(m+3)} \times \\ & \quad \times \left(\frac{2}{\pi} m \log p + \frac{7}{5} m - \frac{m-1}{p} \right)^{-s} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} m \log p + \frac{7}{5} \right)^{-1} < 2. \end{aligned}$$

P r o o f. Bearing in mind that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(j+3) \log^{3/2}(j+3)} < \int_3^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x \log^{3/2} x} = -2 \log^{-1/2} x \Big|_3^{\infty} < 2,$$

we get the desired result from Lemma 3.3. \square

Now we obtain the following discrepancy estimate for the distribution of the sequence $\mathbf{w}_n(\alpha) \in [0, 1]^s$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$ (31):

THEOREM 3. Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. Then there exist more than $(1 - \varepsilon)(q - 1)$ values of $\alpha \in F_q^*$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} D^*((\mathbf{w}_n(\alpha))_{n=0}^{N-1}) &\leq \frac{2sp}{N} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{\frac{8pB(m)}{N}} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} m \log p + \frac{7}{5}m - \frac{m-1}{p} \right)^s \times \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{2}{\pi} m \log p + \frac{7}{5} \right) (m+3) \log^{3/2}(m+3), \quad (32) \\ N &= 1, 2, \dots, p^k, \end{aligned}$$

with $m = \lceil \log_p N \rceil$, where $[x] = [x]$ for integer x ; otherwise $[x] = [x+1]$.

P r o o f. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that there exist more $(1 - \varepsilon)(q - 1)$ values of $\alpha \in F_q^*$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq k} \tilde{D}_{\alpha,m}^{(s)}(p^m) \frac{\sqrt{p^m/2B(m)}}{(m+3) \log^{3/2}(m+3)} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} m \log p + \frac{7}{5}m - \frac{m-1}{p} \right)^{-s} \times \\ \times \left(\frac{2}{\pi} m \log p + \frac{7}{5} \right)^{-1} < \frac{2}{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exist more than $(1 - \varepsilon)(q - 1)$ values of $\alpha \in F_q^*$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_{\alpha,m}^{(s)}(p^m) &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{\frac{8B(m)}{p^m}} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} m \log p + \frac{7}{5}m - \frac{m-1}{p} \right)^s \left(\frac{2}{\pi} m \log p + \frac{7}{5} \right) \times \\ &\quad \times (m+3) \log^{3/2}(m+3), \quad m = 1, \dots, k. \end{aligned}$$

For $N = 1$ the inequality (32) is trivial. Now let $N \in (p^{m-1}, p^m]$ for any $m \in [1, k]$. Applying Corollary 2.1 with $T = p^m$ and $b = p$ we obtain the assertion of Theorem 3. \square

Let $\mathbf{w}_n(\alpha) = \mathbf{x}_n(\alpha) = (x_n, \dots, x_{n+s-1}) \in [0, 1]^s$, where $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is the sequence of *explicit digital inversive pseudorandom numbers* (see (5) and (31)). Using (21), (29) and Lemma 2.10 we have that $B(m) \leq 2s^2pm$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$. This yields:

COROLLARY 3.1. For any parameters $\beta, \gamma_0 \in F_q$ and any dimension $s \geq 1$ there exist parameters $\alpha \in F_q^*$ such that

$$D^*((\mathbf{x}_n(\alpha))_{n=0}^{N-1}) = O(N^{-1/2} \log^{s+2.5} N \log^{3/2} \log N), \quad N = 1, 2, \dots, p^k.$$

Let $\mathbf{w}_n(\alpha) = \mathbf{y}_n(\alpha) = (y_n, \dots, y_{n+s-1}) \in [0, 1]^s$, where $(y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ (1)–(3) is the sequence of *digital inversive pseudorandom numbers* with period length equal to q ([EiNi]). According to [Em; Lemma 4], $B(m) \leq 2s$. This yields:

COROLLARY 3.2. For any parameters $\beta, \kappa_0 \in F_q$ and any dimension $s \geq 1$ there exist parameters $\alpha \in F_q^*$ such that

$$D^*((\mathbf{y}_n(\alpha))_{n=0}^{N-1}) = O(N^{-1/2} \log^{s+2} N \log^{3/2} \log N), \quad N = 1, 2, \dots, p^k.$$

Acknowledgment

I am very grateful to the referee for many corrections and suggestions which improved this paper.

REFERENCES

- [DrTi] DRMOTA, M.—TICHY, R. F.: *Sequences, Discrepancies and Applications*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1651, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [Ei] EICHENAUER-HERRMANN, J.: *Pseudorandom number generation by nonlinear methods*, Internat. Statist. Rev. **63** (1995), 247–255.
- [EiNi] EICHENAUER-HERRMANN, J.—NIEDERREITER, H.: *Digital inversive pseudorandom numbers*, ACM Trans. Model. Comput. Simul. **4** (1994), 339–349.
- [Em] EMMERICH, F.: *Statistical independence properties of inversive pseudorandom vectors over parts of the period*, ACM Trans. Model. Comput. Simul. **8** (1998), 140–152.
- [HeLa] HELLEKALEK, P.—LARCHER, G.: *Random and Quasi-Random Point Sets*. Lectures Notes in Statist. 138, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [Ko] KOROBOV, N. M.: *Exponential Sums and Their Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992.
- [LEq] L'ECUYER, P.: *Uniform number generation*, Ann. Oper. Res. **53** (1994), 77–120.
- [Le] LEVIN, M. B.: *On the choice of parameters in generators of pseudorandom numbers*, Sov. Math. Dokl **40** (1989), 101–105.
- [LiNi] LIDL, R.—NIEDERREITER, H.: *Finite Fields*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1983.
- [Mo] MORENO, C. J.—MORENO, O.: *Exponential sums and Goppa codes. I*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **111** (1991), 523–531.
- [Ni1] NIEDERREITER, H.: *Pseudo-random numbers and optimal coefficients*, Adv. Math. **26** (1977), 99–181.
- [Ni2] NIEDERREITER, H.: *Random Number Generation and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods*. CBMS-NSF Regional Conf. Ser. in Appl. Math. 63, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1992.
- [Ni3] NIEDERREITER, H.: *Pseudorandom vector generation by the inversive method*, ACM Trans. Model. Comput. Simul. **4** (1994), 191–212.
- [Ni4] NIEDERREITER, H.: *On a new class of pseudorandom numbers for simulation methods*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **56** (1994), 159–167.
- [NHLZ] NIEDERREITER, H.—HELLEKALEK, P.—LARCHER, G.—ZINTERHOF, P.: *Monte Carlo and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods 1996*. Lectures Notes in Statist. 127, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [Te] TEZUKA, S.: *Uniform Random Numbers. Theory and Practice*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1995.

Received April 19, 1999

*Department of Mathematics
and Computer Science
Bar-Ilan University
Ramat-Gan, 52900
ISRAEL*

E-mail: mlevin@macs.biu.ac.il