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Abstract. For an ordered set $W=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\}$ of $k$ distinct vertices in a nontrivial connected graph $G$, the metric code of a vertex $v$ of $G$ with respect to $W$ is the $k$-vector

$$
\operatorname{code}(v)=\left(d\left(v, w_{1}\right), d\left(v, w_{2}\right), \ldots, d\left(v, w_{k}\right)\right)
$$

where $d\left(v, w_{i}\right)$ is the distance between $v$ and $w_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. The set $W$ is a local metric set of $G$ if $\operatorname{code}(u) \neq \operatorname{code}(v)$ for every pair $u, v$ of adjacent vertices of $G$. The minimum positive integer $k$ for which $G$ has a local metric $k$-set is the local metric dimension $\operatorname{lmd}(G)$ of $G$. A local metric set of $G$ of cardinality $\operatorname{lmd}(G)$ is a local metric basis of $G$. We characterize all nontrivial connected graphs of order $n$ having local metric dimension 1 , $n-2$, or $n-1$ and establish sharp bounds for the local metric dimension of a graph in terms of well-known graphical parameters. Several realization results are presented along with other results on the number of local metric bases of a connected graph.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

A research area in graph theory that has increased in popularity during the past few decades is that of studying various methods that can be used to distinguish all of the vertices in a connected graph or to distinguish the two vertices if they are adjacent. Many of these methods involve graph colorings or distance in graphs.

If all of the vertices of a graph $G$ of order $n$ are distinguished as a result of being assigned distinct colors, then of course $n$ colors are needed to accomplish this. On the other hand, if the goal is to distinguish every two adjacent vertices in $G$ by a vertex coloring, then this can be accomplished by means of a proper coloring of $G$ and the minimum number of colors needed to do this is the chromatic number $\chi(G)$ of $G$. There are, however, other methods that have been used to distinguish every
two adjacent vertices in $G$ by means of vertex colorings which may require fewer than $\chi(G)$ colors (see [12], for example).

With a given edge coloring $c$ (proper or not) of a graph $G$, each vertex of $G$ can be labeled with the set of colors of its incident edges. If distinct vertices have distinct labels, then $c$ is a vertex-distinguishing edge coloring (see [3], [14] for example); while if every two adjacent vertices have distinct labels, then $c$ is a neighbor-distinguishing edge coloring (see [2], [16] for example). With a given vertex coloring $c$ (proper or not) of a graph $G$, each vertex of $G$ can also be labeled with the set of colors of its neighboring vertices. Again, if distinct vertices have distinct labels, then $c$ is a vertex-distinguishing vertex coloring (see [1], [7], [18] for example); while if every two adjacent vertices have distinct labels, then $c$ is a neighbor-distinguishing vertex coloring (see [8], [9], [11] for example).

Distance in graphs has also been used to distinguish all of the vertices of a graph. The distance $d(u, v)$ between two vertices $u$ and $v$ in a connected graph $G$ is the length of a shortest path between these two vertices. Suppose that $W=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\}$ is an ordered set of vertices of a nontrivial connected graph $G$. For each vertex $v$ of $G$, there is associated a $k$-vector called the metric code, or simply the code of $v$ (with respect to $W$ ), which is denoted by $\operatorname{code}_{W}(v)$ and defined by

$$
\operatorname{code}_{W}(v)=\left(d\left(v, w_{1}\right), d\left(v, w_{2}\right), \ldots, d\left(v, w_{k}\right)\right)
$$

(or simply $\operatorname{code}(v)$ if the set $W$ under consideration is clear). If code $(u) \neq \operatorname{code}(v)$ for every pair $u, v$ of distinct vertices of $G$, then $W$ is called a metric set or a resolving set. The minimum $k$ for which $G$ has a metric $k$-set is the global metric dimension, or simply the metric dimension of $G$, which is denoted by $\operatorname{dim}(G)$. Resolving sets and metric dimensions of graphs were introduced, independently, by Harary and Melter [13] and Slater [20], [21], although, as indicated in [4], these concepts were studied earlier for hypercubes under the guise of a coin weighing problem. In recent years, this concept has been studied widely (see [4], [5], [13], [15], [17], [19], [20], [21], for example) with a variety of applications.

Consequently, the major problem dealing with resolving sets is to minimize the number of vertices in a subset $W$ of the vertex set of a connected graph $G$ so that the distances to the vertices of $W$ are not the same for any two vertices of $G$. When using colorings to distinguish the vertices of $G$, the goal is to minimize the number of colors needed so that every two vertices of $G$ can be distinguished in some way by the type of coloring being used.

A more common problem in graph theory concerns distinguishing every two neighbors in a graph $G$ by means of some coloring rather than distinguishing all the vertices of $G$ by a graph coloring. Since distinguishing all the vertices of a connected graph
$G$ has been studied with the aid of distances in $G$, this suggests the topic of using distances in $G$ to distinguish the two vertices in each pair of neighbors.

The foregoing discussion then gives rise to a local version of resolving sets. In this case, we consider those ordered sets $W$ of vertices of $G$ for which any two vertices of $G$ having the same code with respect to $W$ are not adjacent in $G$. If $\operatorname{code}(u) \neq \operatorname{code}(v)$ for every pair $u, v$ of adjacent vertices of $G$, then $W$ is called a local metric set of $G$. The minimum $k$ for which $G$ has a local metric $k$-set is the local metric dimension of $G$, which is denoted by $\operatorname{lmd}(G)$. A local metric set of cardinality $\operatorname{lmd}(G)$ in $G$ is a local metric basis of $G$. The local metric dimension exists for every nontrivial connected graph $G$. In fact, $V(G)$ is always a local metric set of $G$. Indeed, for each independent set $U$ of vertices in $G$, the set $V(G)-U$ is a local metric set. Thus we have the following observation. The independence number of a graph $G$ is denoted by $\alpha(G)$.

Observation 1.1. For every nontrivial connected graph $G$ of order $n$,

$$
\operatorname{lmd}(G) \leqslant n-\alpha(G)
$$

While each metric set of a nontrivial connected graph $G$ is vertex-distinguishing (since every two vertices of $G$ have distinct codes), each local metric set is neighbordistinguishing (since every two adjacent vertices of $G$ have distinct codes). Thus every metric set is also a local metric set and so if $G$ is a nontrivial connected graph of order $n$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leqslant \operatorname{lmd}(G) \leqslant \operatorname{dim}(G) \leqslant n-1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To illustrate these concepts, consider the graph $G$ of Figure 1. In this case, $W_{1}=$ $\left\{v_{1}, v_{4}\right\}$ is a local metric 2 -set and $W_{2}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{5}\right\}$ is a metric 3 -set. The corresponding codes for the vertices of $G$ with respect to the sets $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$, respectively, are shown in Figure 1. In fact, $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=2$ and $\operatorname{dim}(G)=3$.


Figure 1: A graph with local metric dimension 2 and metric dimension 3

These examples illustrate a useful observation. When determining whether a given set $W$ of vertices of a nontrivial connected graph $G$ is a local metric set of $G$, one need only investigate the pairs of adjacent vertices in $V(G)-W$ since $w \in W$ is the only vertex of $G$ whose distance from $w$ is 0 . Furthermore, if $W$ is a subset of the vertex set of a graph $G$ containing a local metric set of $G$, then $W$ is also a local metric set of $G$.

## 2. Graphs with prescribed order and local metric dimension

We refer to the book [6] for graph theory notation and terminology not described in this paper. It is known that if $G$ is a nontrivial connected graph of order $n$, then $\operatorname{dim}(G)=n-1$ if and only if $G=K_{n}$ and $\operatorname{dim}(G)=1$ if and only if $G=P_{n}$. In the case of local metric dimensions, there is an analogous result. Before stating this result, we present some additional terminology. Two vertices $u$ and $v$ in a connected graph $G$ are twins if $u$ and $v$ have the same neighbors in $V(G)-\{u, v\}$. If $u$ and $v$ are adjacent, they are referred to as true twins; while if $u$ and $v$ are nonadjacent, they are false twins. If $u$ and $v$ are true twins and $v$ and $w$ are true twins, then so too are $u$ and $w$. Hence two vertices being true twins produces an equivalence relation on $V(G)$. If the resulting true twin equivalence classes are $U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{l}$, then every local metric set of $G$ must contain at least $\left|U_{i}\right|-1$ vertices from $U_{i}$ for each $i$ with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant l$. Thus we have the following observation.

Observation 2.1. If $G$ is a nontrivial connected graph of order $n$ having $l$ true twin equivalence classes, then $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \geqslant n-l$.

Observe also that there is no connected graph having exactly two true twin equivalence classes. To see this, suppose that $G$ is a nontrivial connected graph having $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ as its only true twin equivalence classes. Since $G$ is connected, there exist two adjacent vertices $x \in U_{1}$ and $y \in U_{2}$. However, this implies that every vertex in $U_{1}$ is adjacent to $y$, which in turn implies that every vertex in $U_{2}$ is adjacent to every vertex in $U_{1}$. Therefore, $G$ is a complete graph, which is impossible. We state this observation below.

Observation 2.2. There is no nontrivial connected graph having exactly two true twin equivalence classes.

For a vertex $v$ of $G$, the eccentricity $e(v)$ of $v$ is the distance between $v$ and a vertex farthest from $v$. The diameter $\operatorname{diam}(G)$ of $G$ is the largest eccentricity among all vertices of $G$. If $G$ is a nontrivial connected graph of order $n$ with diameter $d$
and $v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}$ is a path of length $d$ in $G$, then $V(G)-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{d}\right\}$ is a local metric set of $G$. This yields another observation.

Observation 2.3. If $G$ is a nontrivial connected graph of order $n$ and diameter $d$, then $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \leqslant n-d$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $G$ be a nontrivial connected graph of order $n$. Then $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=$ $n-1$ if and only if $G=K_{n}$ and $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=1$ if and only if $G$ is bipartite.

Proof. Since the complete graph $K_{n}$ has only one true twin equivalence class, $\operatorname{lmd}\left(K_{n}\right) \geqslant n-1$ (by Observation 2.1). It then follows, by (1), that $\operatorname{lmd}\left(K_{n}\right)=$ $n-1$. On the other hand, if $G \neq K_{n}$, then $\alpha(G) \geqslant 2$ and so $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \leqslant n-2$ by Observation 1.1.

It remains to show that $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=1$ if and only if $G$ is bipartite. Suppose first that $G$ is a bipartite graph with partite sets $U$ and $V$. Let $W=\{w\}$, where $w \in U$ say. Since $d(u, w)$ is even for each $u \in U$ and $d(u, v)$ is odd for each $v \in V$, it follows that $W$ is a local metric basis and so $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=1$. To verify the converse, let $G$ be a nontrivial connected graph having local metric dimension 1 and let $W=\{w\}$ be a local metric basis of $G$. For $0 \leqslant i \leqslant e(w)$, let $N_{i}=\{v \in V(G): d(v, w)=i\}$. (Therefore, $N_{0}=W$ and $N_{1}=N(w)$.) Since $W$ is a local metric basis, each set $N_{i}$ is an independent set. Furthermore, if $i$ and $j$ are integers with $0 \leqslant i$, $j \leqslant e(w)$ and $|i-j| \geqslant 2$, then no vertex in $N_{i}$ is adjacent to any vertex in $N_{j}$. Therefore, $G$ is a bipartite graph with partite sets $U=N_{0} \cup N_{2} \cup \ldots \cup N_{2\lfloor e(w) / 2\rfloor}$ and $V=N_{1} \cup N_{3} \cup \ldots \cup N_{2\lceil e(w) / 2\rceil-1}$.

Next, we characterize all nontrivial connected graphs of order $n \geqslant 3$ having local metric dimension $n-2$. The clique number $\omega(G)$ of a graph $G$ is the order of a largest complete subgraph (clique) in $G$.

Theorem 2.5. A connected graph $G$ of order $n \geqslant 3$ has local metric dimension $n-2$ if and only if $\omega(G)=n-1$.

Proof. First, let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geqslant 3$ with clique number $n-1$. Since $G \neq K_{n}$, it follows that $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \leqslant n-2$. Let $H=K_{n-1}$ be a clique in $G$ and let $v \in V(G)-V(H)$ with $d=\operatorname{deg} v$. Then $1 \leqslant d \leqslant n-2$. Observe that $U_{1}=\{v\}$, $U_{2}=N(v)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{d}\right\}$, and $U_{3}=V(G)-N[v]=\left\{v_{d+1}, v_{d+2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\}$ are the true twin equivalence classes. Therefore, $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \geqslant n-3$ by Observation 2.1.

Assume, to the contrary, that $G$ contains a local metric set $W^{\prime}$ consisting of $n-3$ vertices. Then again, by Observation 2.1, $V(G)-W^{\prime}=\left\{v, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$, where $x_{i} \in U_{i}$ for $i=2,3$. However, this implies that $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ are adjacent and $d\left(x_{2}, w\right)=d\left(x_{3}, w\right)$
for all $w \in W^{\prime}$. Thus code $\left(x_{2}\right)=\operatorname{code}\left(x_{3}\right)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=n-2$.

For the converse, let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geqslant 3$ with $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=n-2$. We show that $\omega(G)=n-1$. Since $G \neq K_{n}$ (by Theorem 2.4), it follows that $\omega(G) \leqslant n-1$. The result follows immediately for $n=3$ since $G=P_{3}$. Since every connected graph $G$ of order 4 with $\omega(G) \leqslant 2$ is bipartite, it follows that $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=1$ (by Theorem 2.4). Therefore, $\omega(G)=3$ for all connected graphs $G$ of order 4 with $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=2$. Hence we may now assume that $n \geqslant 5$. Suppose that there is some graph $G$ of order $n \geqslant 5$ for which $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=n-2$ and $\omega(G) \leqslant n-2$. By Observation 2.3, $\operatorname{diam}(G)=2$. Also, there exists a set $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$ consisting of four distinct vertices such that $x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3} x_{4} \notin E(G)$. Consider the induced subgraph $H=\langle X\rangle$.

If $\Delta(H)=2$, say $\operatorname{deg}_{H}\left(x_{1}\right)=2$, then let $W_{1}=V(G)-\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$. Since $d\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)=d\left(x_{1}, x_{4}\right)=1$ while $d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=2$ and $x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$ are not adjacent in $G$, it follows that $W_{1}$ is a local metric set. Similarly, if $\delta(H)=0$, say $\operatorname{deg}_{H}\left(x_{1}\right)=0$, then $W_{2}=V(G)-\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$ is a local metric set since the three vertices $x_{1}, x_{3}$, and $x_{4}$ are mutually nonadjacent. Therefore, $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \leqslant n-3$ in each case, which cannot occur.

Therefore, we consider the case where $\Delta(H)=\delta(H)=1$ and we may assume that $E(H)=\left\{x_{1} x_{3}, x_{2} x_{4}\right\}$. If there exists a vertex $v^{*} \in V(G)-X$ such that $d\left(x_{1}, v^{*}\right) \neq$ $d\left(x_{3}, v^{*}\right)$ or $d\left(x_{2}, v^{*}\right) \neq d\left(x_{4}, v^{*}\right)$, say the former, then $\operatorname{code}_{W_{2}}\left(x_{1}\right) \neq \operatorname{code}_{W_{2}}\left(x_{3}\right)$ since $v^{*} \in W_{2}$. Since $x_{4}$ is adjacent to neither $x_{1}$ nor $x_{3}$, it follows that $W_{2}$ is a local metric set. On the other hand, if $d\left(x_{1}, v\right)=d\left(x_{3}, v\right)$ and $d\left(x_{2}, v\right)=d\left(x_{4}, v\right)$ for every $v \in V(G)-X$, then there exists a vertex $v^{\prime}$ in $V(G)-X$ which is adjacent to every vertex in $X$ since $\operatorname{diam}(G)=2$. Then let $W_{3}=V(G)-\left\{x_{3}, x_{4}, v^{\prime}\right\}$ and observe that $d\left(v^{\prime}, x_{1}\right)=d\left(v^{\prime}, x_{2}\right)=1$ while $d\left(x_{4}, x_{1}\right)=d\left(x_{3}, x_{2}\right)=2$. Therefore, $\operatorname{code}_{W_{3}}\left(v^{\prime}\right) \notin\left\{\operatorname{code}_{W_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right), \operatorname{code}_{W_{3}}\left(x_{4}\right)\right\}$. Since $x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$ are not adjacent, it follows that $W_{3}$ is a local metric set. Hence, $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \leqslant n-3$, which is again a contradiction.

We have seen that if $G$ is a nontrivial connected graph of order $n$ with $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=k$, then $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$. In fact, every pair $k, n$ of integers with $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$ is realizable as the local metric dimension and order of a connected graph, respectively, as we show next.

Theorem 2.6. For each pair $k, n$ of integers with $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$, there exists a connected graph $G$ of order $n$ with $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=k$.

Proof. By Theorems 2.4 and 2.5, there exists a connected graph $G$ of order $n$ with $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=k$ for $k \in\{1, n-2, n-1\}$. Thus we may assume that $2 \leqslant k \leqslant n-2$.

Let $G$ be the graph obtained from $K_{k+1}$ with vertex set $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k+1}\right\}$ and the path $v_{k+2}, v_{k+3}, \ldots, v_{n}$ by joining $v_{k+1}$ and $v_{k+2}$. Since $V-\left\{v_{k+1}\right\}$ is a local metric set, $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \leqslant k$. Assume, to the contrary, that $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \leqslant k-1$ and let $W^{\prime}$ be a local metric set of $k-1$ vertices. Since every local metric set must contain at least $k-1$ vertices from $V-\left\{v_{k+1}\right\}$ by Observation 2.1, we may assume that $W^{\prime}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right\}$. However then $\operatorname{code}_{W^{\prime}}\left(v_{k}\right)=\operatorname{code}_{W^{\prime}}\left(v_{k+1}\right)=(1,1, \ldots, 1)$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=k$.

We noted that if $G$ is a nontrivial connected graph with $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=a$ and $\operatorname{dim}(G)=$ $b$, then $a \leqslant b$. On the other hand, every pair $a, b$ of positive integers with $a \leqslant b$ can be realized as the local metric dimension and metric dimension, respectively, of some connected graph. In order to verify this, we state (without proofs) two useful lemmas which provide the metric dimension and local metric dimension of all complete multipartite graphs.

Lemma 2.7. Let $G=K_{n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}}$ be a complete $k$-partite graph of order $n$, where $k \geqslant 2$, $n=n_{1}+n_{2}+\ldots+n_{k}$, and $n_{1} \leqslant n_{2} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant n_{k}$. If $n_{2}=1$, then let $p$ be the largest integer such that $n_{p}=1$; otherwise let $p=1$. Then $\operatorname{dim}(G)=n-k+p-1$.

Lemma 2.8. For each complete $k$-partite graph $G$, where $k \geqslant 2, \operatorname{lmd}(G)=k-1$.
Theorem 2.9. For each pair $a, b$ of positive integers with $a \leqslant b$, there is a nontrivial connected graph $G$ with $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=a$ and $\operatorname{dim}(G)=b$.

Proof. Consider the complete ( $a+1$ )-partite graph $G=K_{1,1, \ldots, 1, b-a+2}$ of order $b+2$. Then $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=(a+1)-1=a$ by Lemma 2.8 and $\operatorname{dim}(G)=(b+2)-(a+$ 1) $+a-1=b$ by Lemma 2.7.

## 3. Bounds for the local metric dimension of a graph

In this section we establish bounds for the local metric dimension of a nontrivial connected graph in terms of its order and other well-known graphical parameters. Other results involving the local metric dimension and the number of true twin equivalence classes of a graph are also presented.

Theorem 3.1. If $G$ is a nontrivial connected graph with clique number $\omega$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{lmd}(G) \geqslant\left\lceil\log _{2} \omega\right\rceil \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, for each integer $\omega \geqslant 2$, there exists a connected graph $G_{\omega}$ with clique number $\omega$ such that $\operatorname{lmd}\left(G_{\omega}\right)=\left\lceil\log _{2} \omega\right\rceil$.

Proof. Let $F=K_{\omega}$ be a clique in $G$ with $V(F)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{\omega}\right\}$. Suppose that $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=k$ and let $W$ be a local metric basis. For each $v_{i} \in V(F)$, let $\operatorname{code}\left(v_{i}\right)=\left(a_{1, i}, a_{2, i}, \ldots, a_{k, i}\right)$. Since $\left|d\left(v_{i}, x\right)-d\left(v_{i^{\prime}}, x\right)\right| \leqslant 1$ for every two vertices $v_{i}, v_{i^{\prime}} \in V(F)$ and every vertex $x$ in $G$, it follows that $\left|\left\{a_{j, i}: 1 \leqslant i \leqslant \omega\right\}\right| \leqslant 2$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant k$. Therefore, there are at most $2^{k}$ possible codes for the $\omega$ vertices in $F$ with respect to $W$. Since every vertex in $F$ must have a distinct code, it follows that $\omega \leqslant 2^{k}$ or $k \geqslant \log _{2} \omega$. Therefore, $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \geqslant\left\lceil\log _{2} \omega\right\rceil$.

We now construct a connected graph $G_{\omega}$ with clique number $\omega$ such that $\operatorname{lmd}\left(G_{\omega}\right)=\left\lceil\log _{2} \omega\right\rceil$ for each integer $\omega \geqslant 2$. If $\omega=2$, then let $G_{2}$ be a nontrivial tree and so $\operatorname{lmd}\left(G_{2}\right)=1=\left\lceil\log _{2} \omega\right\rceil$. Thus we may assume that $\omega \geqslant 3$. Then there exists a unique integer $k \geqslant 2$ such that $2^{k-1}+1 \leqslant \omega \leqslant 2^{k}$. Let $\omega=2^{k-1}+p$, where $p$ is an integer with $1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2^{k-1}$. Construct the graph $G_{\omega}$ from the complete graph $K_{\omega}$ with vertex set $V\left(K_{\omega}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{\omega}\right\}$ by adding the $k$ new vertices in the set $W=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\}$ as follows: Let $X=\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{2^{k}}\right\}$ be the set of the $2^{k}$ distinct ordered $k$-tuples whose coordinates are elements in $\{1,2\}$, where $\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{2^{k}}$ are listed in the lexicographic order. Thus $\mathbf{x}_{1}=(1,1, \ldots, 1)$ and $\mathbf{x}_{2^{k}}=(2,2, \ldots, 2)$. For each $j$ with $1 \leqslant j \leqslant k$, the vertex $w_{j}$ is joined to the vertex $v_{i}\left(1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{k-1}+p\right)$ if and only if the $j$-th coordinate of $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ is 1 . Thus $v_{1}$ is adjacent to every vertex in $W$ while $v_{\omega}$ is adjacent to none of the vertices in $W$ if $\omega=2^{k}$. Since $G_{\omega}$ is a connected graph with $\omega\left(G_{\omega}\right)=\omega=2^{k-1}+p$, it follows that $\operatorname{lmd}\left(G_{\omega}\right) \geqslant k$ by (2). On the other hand, $\operatorname{code}\left(v_{i}\right)=\mathbf{x}_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{k-1}+p$ and so $W$ is a local metric set of $G_{\omega}$. Therefore, $\operatorname{lmd}\left(G_{\omega}\right)=k$.

The graph $G_{\omega}$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.1 illustrates an interesting feature of the local metric dimension, namely if $H$ is a subgraph of a graph $G$, then it is possible that $\operatorname{lmd}(H)>\operatorname{lmd}(G)$. For example, let $H=K_{\omega}$ be the complete subgraph of order $\omega$ in the graph $G_{\omega}$. Then $\operatorname{lmd}(H)=\omega-1>\left\lceil\log _{2} \omega\right\rceil=\operatorname{lmd}\left(G_{\omega}\right)$ for each $\omega \geqslant 4$.

We now present another lower bound for the local metric dimension of a graph in terms of its order and clique number. This lower bound is particularly useful when $n$ is large and $n-\omega$ is small.

Theorem 3.2. If $G$ is a nontrivial connected graph of order $n$ with $\omega=\omega(G)$, then

$$
\operatorname{lmd}(G) \geqslant n-2^{n-\omega}
$$

Furthermore, for each pair $n, \omega$ of integers with $2^{n-\omega} \leqslant \omega \leqslant n$, there exists a connected graph $G$ of order $n$ whose clique number is $\omega$ such that $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=n-2^{n-\omega}$.

Proof. The result is immediate if $\omega \in\{n-1, n\}$ and so assume that $2 \leqslant \omega \leqslant$ $n-2$. Suppose that $H=K_{\omega}$ is a clique in $G$ and let $X=V(H)$ and $Y=V(G)-X$. Consider an arbitrary local metric set $W$. We show that $|W| \geqslant n-2^{n-\omega}$.

Let $p=|Y \cap W|$. Therefore, $0 \leqslant p \leqslant n-\omega$. Since $d(x, w)=1$ for all $x \in X-X \cap W$ and $w \in X \cap W$, there are at most $2^{p}$ possible codes for the vertices in $X-X \cap W$. Hence, $|X-X \cap W| \leqslant 2^{p}$ and so $|X \cap W| \geqslant \omega-2^{p}$. Therefore, $|W| \geqslant p+\left(\omega-2^{p}\right)$. If $p=0$, then

$$
|W| \geqslant \omega-1=n-(n-\omega+1)>n-2^{n-\omega}
$$

since $n-\omega \geqslant 2$. If $1 \leqslant p \leqslant n-\omega$, then consider the function $f$ from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x)=x+\left(\omega-2^{x}\right)$. Observe that $f^{\prime}(x)=1-2^{x} \ln 2<0$ for $x \geqslant 1$ and so $f(x) \geqslant f(n-\omega)=n-2^{n-\omega}$ for $1 \leqslant x \leqslant n-\omega$. Therefore,

$$
|W| \geqslant f(p) \geqslant n-2^{n-\omega}
$$

Next, let $n$ and $\omega$ be positive integers with $2^{n-\omega} \leqslant \omega \leqslant n$. Clearly $G=K_{n}$ possesses the desired property for $\omega=n$. Therefore, suppose that $2^{n-\omega} \leqslant \omega<n$. Let $X, Y$, and $Z$ be pairwise disjoint sets of vertices, where $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-\omega}\right\}$, $Y=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{2^{n-\omega}}\right\}$, and $Z=\emptyset$ if $2^{n-\omega}=\omega$ and $Z=\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{\omega-2^{n-\omega}}\right\}$ otherwise. Also, let $\mathcal{P}(A)=\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{2^{n-\omega}}\right\}$ be the power set of the set $A=$ $\{1,2, \ldots, n-\omega\}$. We construct a graph $G$ with $V(G)=X \cup Y \cup Z$ such that $\langle X \cup Z\rangle \cong K_{n-2^{n-\omega}},\langle Y \cup Z\rangle \cong K_{\omega}$, and $x_{i} y_{j} \in E(G)$ if and only if $i \in S_{j}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-\omega$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2^{n-\omega}$. Therefore, the order of $G$ is $n$ and since $n-\omega \geqslant 1$, it follows that $\omega(G)=\max \left\{\omega, n-2^{n-\omega}+1\right\}=\omega$. Furthermore, $X \cup Z$ is a local metric basis of $G$ and so $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=|X \cup Z|=n-2^{n-\omega}$.

The following is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2.
Corollary 3.3. If $G$ is a nontrivial connected graph of order $n$ and $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=n-k$, then $\omega(G) \leqslant n-\left\lceil\log _{2} k\right\rceil$.

We have seen in Observations 1.1 and 2.3 that if $G$ is a nontrivial connected graph of order $n$ having independence number $\alpha$ and diameter $d$, then $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \leqslant n-\alpha$ and $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \leqslant n-d$. In fact, more can be said.

Theorem 3.4. For each pair $\alpha, n$ of integers with $1 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant n-1$, there exists a nontrivial connected graph $G$ of order $n$ and independence number $\alpha$ such that $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=n-\alpha$.

Proof. For integers $\alpha$ and $n$ with $1 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant n-1$, let $G=K_{n-\alpha}+\bar{K}_{\alpha}$. Since $V\left(K_{n-\alpha}\right)$ is a local metric set, it follows that $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \leqslant n-\alpha$. By Observation 2.1, every local metric set must contain at least $n-\alpha-1$ vertices from the set $V\left(K_{n-\alpha}\right)$.

Let $W$ be any subset of $V\left(K_{n-\alpha}\right)$ with $|W|=n-\alpha-1$. If $V\left(K_{n-\alpha}\right)-W=\{v\}$, then $\operatorname{code}_{W}(v)=\operatorname{code}_{W}(x)$ for each vertex $x$ in $\bar{K}_{\alpha}$. Thus $W$ is not a local metric set and so $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=n-\alpha$.

Theorem 3.5. For each pair $d, n$ of integers with $1 \leqslant d \leqslant n-1$, there exists a nontrivial connected graph $G$ of order $n$ and diameter $d$ such that $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=n-d$.

Proof. Let $d$ and $n$ be integers with $1 \leqslant d \leqslant n-1$. For $d=1$, the complete graph $K_{n}$ has the desired property. Also, consider the path $P_{n}$ for $d=n-1$. Hence suppose that $2 \leqslant d \leqslant n-2$. Let $G$ be the graph obtained from a complete graph $H=K_{n-d}$ of order $n-d$ and a path $P: v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{d}$ of order $d$ by joining every vertex in $H$ to $v_{1}$. Then $\operatorname{diam}(G)=d$ and $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \leqslant n-d$ by Observation 2.3. Also, observe that $U_{i}=\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d$ and $U_{d+1}=V\left(K_{n-d}\right)$ are the $d+1$ true twin equivalence classes. Hence, $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \geqslant n-d-1$ by Observation 2.1 and furthermore, every local metric set contains at least $n-d-1$ vertices in $U_{d+1}$. Assume, to the contrary, that $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=n-d-1$ and let $W$ be a local metric basis. Then there exists a vertex $x \in U_{d+1}-W$. However then, $\operatorname{code}(x)=\operatorname{code}\left(v_{1}\right)$, which contradicts the fact that $W$ is a local metric set. Therefore, $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=n-d$.

By Observations 2.1 and 2.2, if $G$ is a nontrivial connected graph of order $n$ having $l$ true twin equivalence classes, then $l \neq 2$ and $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \geqslant n-l$. For a fixed integer $n \geqslant 2$, we next determine all possible values of $l$ for which there is a connected graph $G$ of order $n$ with $l$ true twin equivalence classes such that $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=n-l$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $n$ and $l$ be integers with $1 \leqslant l \leqslant n-1$. There exists a connected graph $G$ of order $n$ with $l$ true twin equivalence classes such that $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=n-l$ if and only if $l=1$ or $3 \leqslant l \leqslant n-2$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$ with $l$ true twin equivalence classes $U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{l}$. Then $l=1$ or $3 \leqslant l \leqslant n-1$ by Observation 2.2. If $l=n-1$, then we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\left|U_{i}\right|=1$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-2$ and $U_{n-1}=\{x, y\}$. Then $x$ and $y$ are adjacent. Since $G$ is connected and $d(x, v)=d(y, v)$ for every $v \in V(G)-\{x, y\}$, there exists a vertex $z$ that is adjacent to both $x$ and $y$. This implies that $G$ contains a triangle and so $G$ is not bipartite. By Theorem 2.4, $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \geqslant 2$ and so $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \neq n-(n-1)=n-l$.

To verify the converse, first observe that $G=K_{n}$ has the desired property for $l=1$. If $3 \leqslant l \leqslant n-2$, then let $G$ be the graph obtained from vertex-disjoint complete graphs $H_{1}=K_{n-l}$ and $H_{2}=K_{2}$ of orders $n-l$ and 2, respectively, and a path $P: v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{l-2}$ of order $l-2$ by joining (i) every vertex in $H_{1}$ to $v_{1}$ and (ii) the two vertices in $H_{2}$ to $v_{l-2}$. Then $U_{i}=\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant l-2, U_{l-1}=V\left(H_{1}\right)$, and $U_{l}=V\left(H_{2}\right)$ are the true twin equivalence classes. Let $x \in V\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $y \in V\left(H_{2}\right)$
and observe that the set $W=V(G)-[V(P) \cup\{x, y\}]$ is a local metric set containing $n-l$ vertices. Therefore, $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \leqslant n-l$. Since $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \geqslant n-l$ by Observation 2.1, we obtain the desired result.

The following result presents a sharp upper bound for the local metric dimension of a nontrivial connected graph in terms of its order, the number of true twin equivalence classes, and the number of singleton true twin equivalence classes.

Theorem 3.7. Let $G$ be a nontrivial connected graph of order $n$ having $l$ true twin equivalence classes. If $p$ of these $l$ true twin equivalence classes consist of a single vertex, then

$$
\operatorname{lmd}(G) \leqslant n-l+p
$$

Proof. If $l=1$ or $p \in\{l-1, l\}$, then the result immediately follows by (1). Since $l \neq 2$ by Observation 2.2, we may assume that $l \geqslant 3$ and $0 \leqslant p \leqslant l-2$. Suppose that $U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{l}$ are the true twin equivalence classes and $\left|U_{i}\right| \geqslant 2$ for $p+1 \leqslant i \leqslant l$. For each $i$ with $p+1 \leqslant i \leqslant l$, let $u_{i} \in U_{i}$. Now let $U=\left\{u_{p+1}, u_{p+2}, \ldots, u_{l}\right\}$ and $W=V(G)-U$. Then $|W|=n-(l-p)=n-l+p$. We show that $W$ is a local metric set of $G$. Let $x$ and $y$ be two adjacent vertices of $U$. Then $x$ and $y$ belong to distinct true twin equivalence classes. Therefore, there exists $z \in V(G)-\{x, y\}$ such that $d(x, z) \neq d(y, z)$. If $z \in W$, then $\operatorname{code}_{W}(x) \neq \operatorname{code}_{W}(y)$, as desired. Thus we may assume that $z \notin W$ and so $z \in U$. Then $z=u_{j}$ for some $j$ with $p+1 \leqslant j \leqslant l$. Let $z^{\prime} \in U_{j}-\{z\}$. Then $z^{\prime} \in W$ and $d\left(x, z^{\prime}\right)=d(x, z) \neq d(y, z)=d\left(y, z^{\prime}\right)$. Thus $W$ is a local metric set and so $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \leqslant|W|=n-l+p$.

The upper bound in Theorem 3.7 is sharp. To see this, let $k \geqslant 3$ be an integer, $A=\{1,2, \ldots, k-2\}$, and let $\mathcal{P}(A)=\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{2^{k-2}}\right\}$ be the power set of $A$. Define the sets $S_{2^{k-2}+1}, S_{2^{k-2}+2}, \ldots, S_{2^{k}}$ by

$$
S_{i+2^{k-2}}=S_{i} \cup\{k-1\}, \quad S_{i+2^{k-1}}=S_{i} \cup\{k\}, \quad S_{i+2^{k-1}+2^{k-2}}=S_{i} \cup\{k-1, k\}
$$

for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{k-2}$. Thus $\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{2^{k}}\right\}$ is the power set of $A \cup\{k-1, k\}=$ $\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$. Let $H=K_{2^{k}}$ be a complete graph of order $2^{k}$ with $V(H)=$ $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{2^{k}}\right\}$. We construct $G$ from $H$ by adding $k$ new vertices in the set $W_{0}=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\}$ and joining $u_{i}$ to $w_{j}$ if and only if $j \in S_{i}$. Note that $G=G_{\omega}$ $\left(\omega=2^{k}\right)$ is described in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Hence $W_{0}$ is a local metric basis and $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=k$. Furthermore, $\operatorname{deg} w_{i}=2^{k-1}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. We show that $\operatorname{lmd}\left(G-w_{i}\right)=k+2^{k-1}-1$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. By symmetry, it suffices to show that $\operatorname{lmd}\left(G-w_{k}\right)=k+2^{k-1}-1$. Since the set $W=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{2^{k-1}}\right\} \cup\left(W_{0}-\left\{w_{k}\right\}\right)$ is a local metric set of $G-w_{k}$ containing $k+2^{k-1}-1$ vertices, $\operatorname{lmd}\left(G-w_{k}\right) \leqslant k+2^{k-1}-1$.

Observe that each set $U_{i}=\left\{u_{i}, u_{i+2^{k-1}}\right\}$ is a true twin equivalence class in $G-w_{k}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{k-1}$. Thus, if there exists a local metric set $W^{\prime}$ in $G-w_{k}$ containing at most $k+2^{k-1}-2$ vertices, then we may assume that $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{2^{k-1}}\right\} \subseteq W^{\prime}$ and $w_{k-1} \notin W^{\prime}$. On the other hand, $d_{G-w_{k}}\left(u_{i+2^{k-1}}, v\right) \neq d_{G-w_{k}}\left(u_{i+2^{k-1}+2^{k-2}}, v\right)$ if and only if $v=w_{k-1}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{k-2}$. Therefore, we may assume that $\left\{u_{2^{k-1}+1}, u_{2^{k-1}+2}, \ldots, u_{2^{k-1}+2^{k-2}}\right\} \subseteq W^{\prime}$ as well. However then, $2^{k-1}+2^{k-2} \leqslant$ $\left|W^{\prime}\right|<2^{k-1}+k-1$, which is impossible. Therefore, $\operatorname{lmd}\left(G-w_{k}\right)=k+2^{k-1}-1$ as claimed. Since the order of $G$ is $n=2^{k}+k-1$ and $G$ has $l=2^{k-1}+k-1$ true twin equivalence classes, namely the 2-sets $U_{i}\left(1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{k-1}\right)$ and the singleton sets $\left\{w_{j}\right\}$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant k-1)$, it follows that $p=k-1$ and so $\operatorname{lmd}\left(G-w_{k}\right)=n-l+p$, as desired.

The following is an immediate consequence of Observation 2.1 and Theorem 3.7.
Corollary 3.8. If $G$ is a nontrivial connected graph of order $n$ with $l$ true twin equivalence classes none of which is a singleton set, then $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=n-l$.

Suppose that $G$ is a nontrivial connected graph of order $n$ having $l$ true twin equivalence classes, $p$ of which consist of a single vertex. Theorem 3.6 provides all possible values of $l$ for which $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=n-l$. We now study the structures of such graphs. If $p=0$, then $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=n-l$ by Corollary 3.8 ; while if $p=l$, then every true twin equivalence class is a singleton set and so $n=l$. Since $G$ is nontrivial, $\operatorname{lmd}(G)>0=n-l$ and so $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \neq n-l$. Therefore, it remains to consider the case where $1 \leqslant p \leqslant l-1$. We first establish some additional definitions. For two subsets $X$ and $Y$ of the vertex set $V(G)$ of a connected graph $G$, define the distance $d(X, Y)$ between $X$ and $Y$ by

$$
d(X, Y)=\min \{d(x, y): x \in X \text { and } y \in Y\}
$$

Thus $d(X, Y)=0$ if and only if $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ and $d(X, Y)=1$ if and only if $X \cap Y=\emptyset$ and some vertex in $X$ is adjacent a vertex in $Y$. Suppose that $\mathcal{S}=\left\{U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{l}\right\}$ is the set of all true twin equivalence classes of a nontrivial connected graph $G$. For an ordered subset $X=\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{S}$ and an element $U$ of $\mathcal{S}$, the code $\operatorname{code}_{X}^{*}(U)$ of $U$ (with respect to $X$ ) is defined as the ordered $k$-tuple

$$
\operatorname{code}_{X}^{*}(U)=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)
$$

where

$$
a_{i}= \begin{cases}d\left(U, X_{i}\right) & \text { if } U \neq X_{i} \\ 1 & \text { if } U=X_{i}\end{cases}
$$

In other words, $a_{i}=1$ if and only if $d\left(U, X_{i}\right) \leqslant 1$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. We are now prepared to present a necessary and sufficient condition for a nontrivial connected graph $G$ of
order $n$ having $l$ true twin equivalence classes (where $p$ of these classes consist of a single vertex and $1 \leqslant p \leqslant l-1$ ) to have local metric dimension $n-l$.

Theorem 3.9. Let $G$ be a nontrivial connected graph of order $n$ and let $\mathcal{S}=$ $\left\{U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{l}\right\}$ be the set of true twin equivalence classes of $G$. Suppose that $\left|U_{i}\right| \geqslant 2$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant l-p$ and $\left|U_{i}\right|=1$ for $l-p+1 \leqslant i \leqslant l$, where $1 \leqslant p \leqslant l-1$. Let $X=\left\{U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{l-p}\right\}$. Then $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=n-l$ if and only if $\operatorname{code}_{X}^{*}(U) \neq \operatorname{code}_{X}^{*}\left(U^{\prime}\right)$ for every two elements $U, U^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{S}$ with $d\left(U, U^{\prime}\right)=1$.

Proof. Suppose first that $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=n-l$ and let $W_{0}$ be a local metric basis of $G$. Then $V(G)-W_{0}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{l}\right\}$, where $u_{i} \in U_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant l$ and $W_{0} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{l-p} U_{i}$. Suppose that $U_{i}, U_{j} \in \mathcal{S}$ and $d\left(U_{i}, U_{j}\right)=1$. Then $i \neq j$. Let $\operatorname{code}_{X}^{*}\left(U_{i}\right)=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{l-p}\right)$ and $\operatorname{code}_{X}^{*}\left(U_{j}\right)=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{l-p}\right)$. Since $u_{i} u_{j} \in$ $E(G)$ and $\operatorname{code}_{W_{0}}\left(u_{i}\right) \neq \operatorname{code}_{W_{0}}\left(u_{j}\right)$, there exists a vertex $w \in W_{0} \cap U_{s}$, where $1 \leqslant s \leqslant l-p$, such that $d\left(u_{i}, w\right) \neq d\left(u_{j}, w\right)$. Observe that $s \neq i, j$, since otherwise $d\left(u_{i}, w\right)=d\left(u_{j}, w\right)=1$. Then $U_{s} \neq U_{i}, U_{j}$ and so $a_{s}=d\left(U_{i}, U_{s}\right)=d\left(u_{i}, w\right) \neq$ $d\left(u_{j}, w\right)=d\left(U_{j}, U_{s}\right)=b_{s}$, implying that $\operatorname{code}_{X}^{*}\left(U_{i}\right) \neq \operatorname{code}_{X}^{*}\left(U_{j}\right)$.

For the converse, let $u_{i} \in U_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant l$ and consider the set $W_{1}=V(G)-$ $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{l}\right\}$. We show that $W_{1}$ is a local metric set of $G$. Suppose that $u_{i}$ and $u_{j}$ are adjacent in $G$. Let $\operatorname{code}_{X}^{*}\left(U_{i}\right)=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{l-p}\right)$ and $\operatorname{code}_{X}^{*}\left(U_{j}\right)=$ $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{l-p}\right)$. These codes are different and so we may assume, without loss of generality, that $a_{1} \neq b_{1}$. Since $\left|U_{1}\right| \geqslant 2$, there exists a vertex $w \in U_{1}-\left\{u_{1}\right\}$. Then observe that $d\left(u_{i}, w\right)=a_{1} \neq b_{1}=d\left(u_{j}, w\right)$. Since $w \in W_{1}$, it follows that $W_{1}$ is a local metric set of $G$ and so $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \leqslant\left|W_{1}\right|=n-l$. Therefore, $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=n-l$ by Observation 2.1.

Corollary 3.10. Let $G$ be a nontrivial connected graph of order $n$ having $l$ true twin equivalence classes, $p$ of which consist of a single vertex. Then $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=n-l$ if and only if (i) $p=0$ or (ii) $1 \leqslant p \leqslant l-1$ and $G$ satisfies the conditions described in Theorem 3.9.

## 4. On the uniqueness and non-uniqueness of LOCAL METRIC bASES IN A GRAPH

We now turn our attention to determining those positive integers $k$ for which there exists a nontrivial connected graph $G$ with local metric dimension $k$ such that either (i) $G$ has a single local metric basis or (ii) $G$ contains two local metric bases that are arbitrarily far apart. We begin with (i).

Theorem 4.1. There exists a nontrivial connected graph $G$ with $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=k$ having a unique local metric basis if and only if $k \geqslant 2$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a nontrivial connected graph having local metric dimension $k$. If $k=1$, then $G$ is bipartite and any singleton set $W \subseteq V(G)$ is a local metric basis. Therefore, if $G$ has a unique local metric basis, then $k \geqslant 2$.

To verify the converse, suppose that $k \geqslant 2$. Consider the set $A=\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ and let $\mathcal{P}(A)=\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{2^{k}}\right\}$ be the power set of $A$. Let $H=K_{2^{k}}$ be a complete graph of order $2^{k}$ with $V(H)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{2^{k}}\right\}$. We construct $G$ from $H$ by adding $k$ new vertices in the set $W=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\}$ and joining $u_{i}$ to $w_{j}$ if and only if $j \in S_{i}$. Note that $G=G_{\omega}$, where $\omega=2^{k}$, described in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Hence $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=k$ and furthermore, $W$ is a local metric basis.

We show that $W$ is the only local metric basis of $G$. Let $W^{\prime}$ be a local metric basis and assume, to the contrary, that $W^{\prime} \neq W$. By symmetry, we may assume that $w_{k} \notin W^{\prime}$. Let $\mathcal{P}(B)=\left\{S_{1}^{\prime}, S_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, S_{2^{k-1}}^{\prime}\right\}$ be the power set of $B=A-\{k\}$. We may also assume that $S_{i}=S_{i}^{\prime}$ and $S_{i+2^{k-1}}=S_{i}^{\prime} \cup\{k\}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{k-1}$. Since $W^{\prime}$ is a local metric set of $G$ and $w_{k} \notin W^{\prime}$, this implies that for each $i\left(1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{k-1}\right)$, at least one of $u_{i}$ and $u_{i+2^{k-1}}$ belongs to $W^{\prime}$. Hence, $k=\left|W^{\prime}\right| \geqslant 2^{k-1}$. This is impossible if $k \geqslant 3$. If $k=2$, on the other hand, then $W^{\prime} \subseteq V(H)$, say $W^{\prime}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$. However then, $\operatorname{code}_{W^{\prime}}\left(u_{3}\right)=\operatorname{code}_{W^{\prime}}\left(u_{4}\right)$, a contradiction. Therefore, if $W^{\prime}$ is a local metric basis of $G$, then $W^{\prime}=W$.

To describe a solution to the problem stated in (ii), we first present some preliminary information, beginning with a lemma, which gives the local metric dimension $\operatorname{lmd}(G \times H)$ of the Cartesian product $G \times H$ of two graphs $G$ and $H$ in terms of $\operatorname{lmd}(G)$ and $\operatorname{lmd}(H)$. For the metric dimension of graphs, it was shown in [5] that $\operatorname{dim}(G) \leqslant \operatorname{dim}\left(G \times K_{2}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{dim}(G)+1$ for every connected graph $G$. In [4] bounds (or exact values) have been established on $\operatorname{lmd}(G \times H)$ for many well-known classes of graphs $G$ and $H$.

Lemma 4.2. For every two connected graphs $G$ and $H$,

$$
\operatorname{lmd}(G \times H)=\max \{\operatorname{lmd}(G), \operatorname{lmd}(H)\}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $G$ and $H$ are connected graphs of orders $p$ and $q$, respectively, with $V(G)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{p}\right\}$ and $V(H)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{q}\right\}$. Also, let $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=k$ and $\operatorname{lmd}(H)=l$ and assume, without loss of generality, that $k \geqslant l$. Rename the vertices of $G$ and $H$, if necessary, so that $W_{G}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\}$ and $W_{H}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{l}\right\}$ are local metric bases of $G$ and $H$, respectively. Construct
$G \times H$ from $q$ disjoint copies $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{q}$ of $G$ with $V\left(G_{i}\right)=\left\{u_{1, i}, u_{2, i}, \ldots, u_{p, i}\right\}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant q$ by joining $u_{j, i}$ and $u_{j^{\prime}, i^{\prime}}$ if and only if $j=j^{\prime}$ and $v_{i} v_{i^{\prime}} \in E(H)$.

Now consider the set $W=\left\{u_{1,1}, u_{2,2}, \ldots, u_{l, l}\right\} \cup W^{\prime}$, where $W^{\prime}=\emptyset$ if $k=l$ and $W^{\prime}=\left\{u_{l+1,1}, u_{l+2,1}, \ldots, u_{k, 1}\right\}$ if $k>l$. We show that $W$ is a local metric set of $G \times H$. Let $x$ and $y$ be adjacent vertices in $G \times H$ not belonging to $W$. We consider two cases.

Case 1. Both $x$ and $y$ belong to $V\left(G_{\alpha}\right)$ for some $\alpha(1 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant q)$. Since $x, y \in$ $V\left(G_{\alpha}\right)$, observe that $x=u_{a, \alpha}$ and $y=u_{b, \alpha}$ for some $a$ and $b$ and $u_{a} u_{b} \in E(G)$. Since $W_{G}$ is a local metric basis of $G$, there exists a vertex $u_{c} \in W_{G}$ such that $d_{G}\left(u_{a}, u_{c}\right) \neq d_{G}\left(u_{b}, u_{c}\right)$. Then the set $W$ contains a vertex $w_{1}=u_{c, \beta}$ for some $\beta$ and observe that

$$
d_{G \times H}\left(x, w_{1}\right)=d_{G}\left(u_{a}, u_{c}\right)+d_{H}\left(v_{\alpha}, v_{\beta}\right) \neq d_{G}\left(u_{b}, u_{c}\right)+d_{H}\left(v_{\alpha}, v_{\beta}\right)=d_{G \times H}\left(y, w_{1}\right) .
$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{code}_{W}(x) \neq \operatorname{code}_{W}(y)$.
Case 2. $x \in V\left(G_{\alpha}\right)$ and $y \in V\left(G_{\beta}\right)$ for some $\alpha$ and $\beta$, where $\alpha \neq \beta(1 \leqslant \alpha$, $\beta \leqslant q$ ). Then $x=u_{a, \alpha}$ and $y=u_{a, \beta}$ for some $a$ and $v_{\alpha} v_{\beta} \in E(H)$. Since $W_{H}$ is a local metric basis of $H$, there exists a vertex $v_{\gamma} \in W_{H}$ such that $d_{H}\left(v_{\alpha}, v_{\gamma}\right) \neq$ $d_{H}\left(v_{\beta}, v_{\gamma}\right)$. Then the set $W$ contains a vertex $w_{2}=u_{b, \gamma}$ for some $b$ and observe that

$$
d_{G \times H}\left(x, w_{2}\right)=d_{G}\left(u_{a}, u_{b}\right)+d_{H}\left(v_{\alpha}, v_{\gamma}\right) \neq d_{G}\left(u_{a}, u_{b}\right)+d_{H}\left(v_{\beta}, v_{\gamma}\right)=d_{G \times H}\left(y, w_{2}\right) .
$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{code}_{W}(x) \neq \operatorname{code}_{W}(y)$.
Hence, every two adjacent vertices in $G \times H$ have distinct codes with respect to $W$ and so $\operatorname{lmd}(G \times H) \leqslant|W|=k=\operatorname{lmd}(G)$.

To show that $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \leqslant \operatorname{lmd}(G \times H)$, let $W$ be a local metric basis of $G \times H$ and let $W_{1}$ be the subset of $V\left(G_{1}\right)$ such that $u_{i, 1} \in W_{1}$ if and only if $u_{i, j} \in W$. We show that $W_{1}$ is a local metric set of $G_{1}$. Let $x, y \in V\left(G_{1}\right)-W_{1}$ be two adjacent vertices in $G_{1}$. Since $W$ is a local metric set of $G \times H$, there exists a vertex $w=u_{a, \alpha} \in W$ such that $d_{G \times H}(x, w) \neq d_{G \times H}(y, w)$. Therefore, $W_{1}$ contains a vertex $w^{\prime}=u_{a, 1}$. Then observe that

$$
d_{G_{1}}\left(x, w^{\prime}\right)=d_{G \times H}(x, w)-d_{H}\left(v_{1}, v_{\alpha}\right) \neq d_{G \times H}(y, w)-d_{H}\left(v_{1}, v_{\alpha}\right)=d_{G_{1}}\left(y, w^{\prime}\right),
$$

implying that $\operatorname{code}_{W_{1}}(x) \neq \operatorname{code}_{W_{1}}(y)$. Hence $\operatorname{lmd}\left(G_{1}\right) \leqslant\left|W_{1}\right| \leqslant|W|=\operatorname{lmd}(G \times H)$.

By Observation 2.1, if $U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{l}$ are the true twin equivalence classes of a graph $G$, then every local metric basis of $G$ must contain at least $\left|U_{i}\right|-1$ vertices from $U_{i}$ for each $i(1 \leqslant i \leqslant l)$. Therefore, if $G$ has two local metric bases $W$ and
$W^{\prime}$ that are disjoint, then $1 \leqslant d\left(W, W^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{diam}(G)$ and $\left|U_{i}\right| \leqslant 2$ for each $i$. Let $d\left(W, W^{\prime}\right)=t$. Then $|W|+\left|W^{\prime}\right|+(t-1) \leqslant n$ and so $t \leqslant n-2 \operatorname{lmd}(G)+1$. Also, if $\left|U_{i}\right|=2$ for some $i$, say $U_{1}=\{u, v\}$, then $u \in W$ and $v \in W^{\prime}$, implying that $d\left(W, W^{\prime}\right)=1$. Hence, if $G$ contains two local metric bases $W$ and $W^{\prime}$ such that $d\left(W, W^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 2$, then every true twin equivalence class of $G$ is a singleton set.

Theorem 4.3. For each pair $k$, $t$ of positive integers, there exists a connected graph $G$ with $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=k$ having two local metric bases $W$ and $W^{\prime}$ such that $d\left(W, W^{\prime}\right)=s$ for each $s$ with $1 \leqslant s \leqslant t$.

Proof. Construct $G=K_{k+1} \times P_{t+1}$ from $t+1$ copies $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{t+1}$ of $K_{k+1}$, where $V\left(H_{i}\right)=\left\{u_{1, i}, u_{2, i}, \ldots, u_{k+1, i}\right\}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t+1$, by joining $u_{j, i}$ to $u_{j, i+1}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant k+1$. By Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 2.4, $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=k$. Furthermore, each set $W_{i}=V\left(H_{i}\right)-\left\{u_{k+1, i}\right\}$ is a local metric basis for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t+1$. Since $d\left(W_{1}, W_{s+1}\right)=s$ for $1 \leqslant s \leqslant t$, we obtain the desired result.

By the proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 4.3, there exists a connected graph with a local metric basis $W$ for which the subgraph $\langle W\rangle$ induced by $W$ is an empty graph and there also exists a connected graph with a local metric basis $W^{\prime}$ for which the subgraph $\left\langle W^{\prime}\right\rangle$ induced by $W^{\prime}$ is a complete graph. In fact, for every graph $H$, there is a connected graph with a local metric basis $W$ such that $\langle W\rangle=H$.

Theorem 4.4. For every graph $H$, there exists a connected graph $G$ having local metric basis $W$ such that $\langle W\rangle=H$.

Proof. Suppose that $H$ is a graph of order $k \geqslant 1$ with $V(H)=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.w_{k}\right\}$. Let $\mathcal{P}(A)=\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{2^{k}}\right\}$ be the power set of the set $A=\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$. Construct $G$ from $H$ by adding $2^{k}$ new vertices in the set $U=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{2^{k}}\right\}$ such that $\langle U\rangle=K_{2^{k}}$ and joining $w_{i}$ to $u_{j}$ if and only if $i \in S_{j}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2^{k}$. Observe that $\omega(G)=2^{k}$ and so $\operatorname{lmd}(G) \geqslant k$ by Theorem 3.1. Since $V(H)$ is a local metric set containing $k$ vertices, it follows that $\operatorname{lmd}(G)=k$ and $V(H)$ is a local metric basis of $G$.
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