Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Ushangi Goginava; Károly Nagy

On the maximal operator of Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fejér means

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 61 (2011), No. 3, 673–686

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/141629

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2011

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 61 (136) (2011), 673–686

ON THE MAXIMAL OPERATOR OF WALSH-KACZMARZ-FEJÉR MEANS

Ushangi Goginava, Tbilisi, Károly Nagy, Nyíregyháza

(Received March 19, 2010)

Abstract. In this paper we prove that the maximal operator

$$\tilde{\sigma}^{\kappa,*}f := \sup_{n\in\mathbb{P}} \frac{|\sigma_n^{\kappa} f|}{\log^2(n+1)},$$

where $\sigma_n^{\kappa} f$ is the n-th Fejér mean of the Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fourier series, is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G)$ to the space $L_{1/2}(G)$.

Keywords: Walsh-Kaczmarz system, Fejér means, maximal operator

MSC 2010: 42C10

1. Introduction

The a.e. convergence of Walsh-Fejér means $\sigma_n f$ was proved by Fine [2]. In 1975 Schipp [11] showed that the maximal operator σ^* is of weak type $(1,1)$ and of type (p,p) for $1 < p \leqslant \infty$. The boundedness fails to hold for $p = 1$. But, Fujii [3] proved that σ^* is bounded from the dyadic Hardy space H_1 to the space L_1. The theorem of Fujii was extended by Weisz [21], he showed that the maximal operator σ^* is bounded from the martingale Hardy space H_p to the space L_p for $p > 1/2$. Simon gave a counterexample [13], which shows that the boundedness does not hold for $0 < p < 1/2$. The counterexample for $p = 1/2$ is due to Goginava [9]. In the endpoint case $p = 1/2$ two positive results were showed. Weisz [22] proved that σ^* is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space weak-$L_{1/2}$. In 2008 Goginava [8] proved that the maximal operator $\tilde{\sigma}^*$ defined by

$$\tilde{\sigma}^* f := \sup_{n\in\mathbb{P}} \frac{|\sigma_n f|}{\log^2(n+1)}$$

is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2}$. He also proved that for any nondecreasing function $\varphi\colon \mathbb{P} \to [1,\infty)$ satisfying the condition

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} \frac{\log^2(n+1)}{\varphi(n)} = +\infty \tag{1.1}$$

the maximal operator $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{P}} |\sigma_n f|/\varphi(n)$ is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2}$.

In 1948 Šneider [16] introduced the Walsh-Kaczmarz system and showed that the inequality

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{D_n^\kappa(x)}{\log n} \geqslant C > 0$$

holds a.e. In 1974 Schipp [12] and Young [18] proved that the Walsh-Kaczmarz system is a convergence system. Skvortsov in 1981 [15] showed that the Fejér means with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system converge uniformly to f for any continuous function f. Gát [4] proved, for any integrable function, that the Fejér means with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system converge almost everywhere to the function. He showed that the maximal operator of Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fejér means $\sigma^{\kappa,*}$ is weak type $(1,1)$ and of type (p,p) for all $1 < p \leqslant \infty$. Gát's result was generalized by Simon [14], who showed that the maximal operator $\sigma^{\kappa,*}$ is of type (H_p, L_p) for $p > 1/2$.

In the endpoint case $p = 1/2$ the first author [6] proved that maximal operator is not of type $(H_{1/2}, L_{1/2})$ and Weisz [22] showed that the maximal operator is of weak type $(H_{1/2}, L_{1/2})$.

In the present paper we prove that the maximal operator $\tilde{\sigma}^{\kappa,*}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\sigma}^{\kappa,*} := \sup_{n\in\mathbb{P}} \frac{|\sigma_n^\kappa f|}{\log^2(n+1)}$$

is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2}$. We also prove that for any nondecreasing function $\varphi\colon \mathbb{P} \to [1,\infty)$ satisfying the condition (1.1) the maximal operator $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{P}} |\sigma_n^\kappa f|/\varphi(n)$ is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2}$.

2. Definitions and notation

Now, we give a brief introduction to the theory of dyadic analysis [1], [10]. Let $\mathbb{P}$ denote the set of positive integers, $\mathbb{N} := \mathbb{P} \cup \{0\}$. Denote $\mathbb{Z}_2$ the discrete cyclic group of order 2, that is $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0,1\}$, where the group operation is the modulo 2 addition and every subset is open. The Haar measure on $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is given such that the measure of a singleton is $1/2$. Let G be the complete direct product of countably many copies of the compact group $\mathbb{Z}_2$. The elements of G are of the form $x = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k, \ldots)$ with

$x_k \in \{0,1\}$ $(k \in \mathbb{N})$. The group operation on G is the coordinate-wise addition, the measure (denoted by μ) and the topology are the product measure and topology. The compact Abelian group G is called the Walsh group. A base for the neighborhoods of G can be given in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} I_0(x) &:= G,\ I_n(x) := I_n(x_0,\dots,x_{n-1}) \\ &:= \{y \in G\colon\ y = (x_0,\dots,x_{n-1},y_n,y_{n+1},\dots)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$(x \in G,\, n \in \mathbb{N})$. These sets are called dyadic intervals. Let $0 = (0\colon\ i \in \mathbb{N}) \in G$ denote the null element of G, and $I_n := I_n(0)$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$. Set $e_n := (0,\dots,0,1,0,\dots) \in G$, the n-th coordinate of which is 1 and the rest are zeros $(n \in \mathbb{N})$.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in G$ denote

$$r_k(x) := (-1)^{x_k}$$

the k-th Rademacher function. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $n = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i 2^i$ can be written, where $n_i \in \{0,1\}$ $(i \in \mathbb{N})$, i.e. n is expressed in the number system of base 2. Denote $|n| := \max\{j \in \mathbb{N}\colon\ n_j \neq 0\}$, that is $2^{|n|} \leqslant n < 2^{|n|+1}$.

The Walsh-Paley system is defined as the sequence of Walsh-Paley functions:

$$w_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_k(x))^{n_k} = r_{|n|}(x)(-1)^{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_k x_k} \qquad (x \in G,\ n \in \mathbb{P}).$$

The Walsh-Kaczmarz functions are defined by $\kappa_0 = 1$ and for $n \geqslant 1$

$$\kappa_n(x) := r_{|n|}(x) \prod_{k=0}^{|n|-1} (r_{|n|-1-k}(x))^{n_k} = r_{|n|}(x)(-1)^{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_k x_{|n|-1-k}}.$$

The set of Walsh-Kaczmarz functions and the set of Walsh-Paley functions is the same in dyadic blocks. Namely,

$$\{\kappa_n\colon\ 2^k \leqslant n < 2^{k+1}\} = \{w_n\colon\ 2^k \leqslant n < 2^{k+1}\}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{P}$ and $\kappa_0 = w_0$.

V. A. Skvortsov (see [15]) gave a relation between the Walsh-Kaczmarz functions and the Walsh-Paley functions with the help of the transformation $\tau_A\colon\ G \to G$ defined by

$$\tau_A(x) := (x_{A-1}, x_{A-2}, \dots, x_1, x_0, x_A, x_{A+1}, \dots)$$

for $A \in \mathbb{N}$. By the definition of τ_A, we have

$$\kappa_n(x) = r_{|n|}(x) w_{n-2^{|n|}}(\tau_{|n|}(x)) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in G).$$

The Dirichlet kernels are defined by

$$D_n^\alpha := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k,$$

where $\alpha_n = w_n$ or κ_n $(n \in \mathbb{P})$, $D_0^\alpha := 0$. The 2^n-th Dirichlet kernels have a closed form (see e.g. [10])

$$D_{2^n}^w(x) = D_{2^n}^\kappa(x) = D_{2^n}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \notin I_n, \\ 2^n & \text{if } x \in I_n. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

The σ-algebra generated by the dyadic intervals of measure 2^{-k} will be denoted by F_k $(k \in \mathbb{N})$. Denote by $f = (f^{(n)},\, n \in \mathbb{N})$ a martingale with respect to $(F_n,\, n \in \mathbb{N})$ (for details see, e. g. [19], [20]). The maximal function of a martingale f is defined by

$$f^* = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f^{(n)}|.$$

In case $f \in L_1(G)$, the maximal function can also be given by

$$f^*(x) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\mu(I_n(x))} \left| \int_{I_n(x)} f(u)\, \mathrm{d}\mu(u) \right|, \quad x \in G.$$

For $0 < p < \infty$ the Hardy martingale space $H_p(G)$ consists of all martingales for which

$$\|f\|_{H_p} := \|f^*\|_p < \infty.$$

If $f \in L_1(G)$, then it is easy to show that the sequence $(S_{2^n} f\colon\ n \in \mathbb{N})$ is a martingale. If f is a martingale, that is $f = (f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}, \ldots)$ then the Walsh-(Kaczmarz)-Fourier coefficients must be defined in a little bit different way:

$$\hat{f}(i) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_G f^{(k)}(x) \alpha_i(x)\, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \quad (\alpha_i = w_i \text{ or } \kappa_i).$$

The Walsh-(Kaczmarz)-Fourier coefficients of $f \in L_1(G)$ are the same as the ones of the martingale $(S_{2^n} f\colon\ n \in \mathbb{N})$ obtained from f.

The partial sums of the Walsh-(Kaczmarz)-Fourier series are defined as follows:

$$S_M^\alpha(f; x) := \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \hat{f}(i) \alpha_i(x) \quad (\alpha = w \text{ or } \kappa).$$

For $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ and a martingale f the Fejér mean of order n of the Walsh-(Kaczmarz)-Fourier series of the function f is given by

$$\sigma_n^\alpha(f;x) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S_j^\alpha(f;x).$$

The Fejér kernel of order n of the Walsh-(Kaczmarz)-Fourier series defined by

$$K_n^\alpha(x) := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} D_k^\alpha(x).$$

For a martingale f we consider the maximal operators

$$\sigma^{\kappa,*} f = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{P}} |\sigma_n^\kappa(f;x)|,$$
$$\widetilde{\sigma}^{\kappa,*} f = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{P}} \frac{|\sigma_n^\kappa(f;x)|}{\log^2(n+1)}.$$

3. Auxiliary propositions and main results

First, we formulate our main theorems.

Theorem 3.1. *The maximal operator $\tilde{\sigma}^{\kappa,*}$ is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G)$ to the space $L_{1/2}(G)$.*

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\varphi\colon \mathbb{P} \to [1,\infty)$ be a nondecreasing function satisfying the condition* (1.1)*. Then the maximal operator*

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{P}} \frac{|\sigma_n^\kappa f|}{\varphi(n)}$$

is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G)$ to the space $L_{1/2}(G)$.

To prove our Theorem 3.1 we need the following Lemmas:

Lemma 3.3 (Skvortsov [15])**.** *For $n \in \mathbb{P}$, $x \in G$*

$$nK_n^\kappa(x) = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{|n|-1} 2^i D_{2^i}(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{|n|-1} 2^i r_i(x) K_{2^i}^w(\tau_i(x))$$
$$+ (n - 2^{|n|})(D_{2^{|n|}}(x) + r_{|n|}(x) K_{n-2^{|n|}}^w(\tau_{|n|}(x))).$$

Lemma 3.4 (Gát [4]). *Let A, $t \in \mathbb{N}$, $A > t$. Suppose that $x \in I_t \setminus I_{t+1}$. Then*

$$K_{2^A}^w(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x - x_t e_t \notin I_A, \\ 2^{t-1} & \text{if } x - x_t e_t \in I_A. \end{cases}$$

If $x \in I_A$, then $K_{2^A}^w(x) = \frac{1}{2}(2^A - 1)$.

A bounded measurable function a is a p-atom if there exists a dyadic interval I, such that

a) $\int_I a \, \mathrm{d}\mu = 0$,
b) $\|a\|_\infty \leqslant \mu(I)^{-1/p}$,
c) $\operatorname{supp} a \subset I$.

Lemma 3.5 (Weisz [20]). *Suppose that the operator T is sublinear and p-quasilocal for any $0 < p \leqslant 1$. If T is bounded from L_∞ to L_∞, then*

$$\|Tf\|_p \leqslant c_p \|f\|_{H_p} \quad \text{for all } f \in H_p.$$

Lemma 3.6 (Gát, Goginava, Nagy [5]). *Let $n < 2^{A+1}$, $A > N$ and $x \in I_N(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}, x_m = 1, 0, \ldots, 0, x_l = 1, 0, \ldots, 0) =: J_N^{m,l}$, $l = 0, \ldots, N-1$, $m = -1, 0, \ldots, l$. Then*

$$\int_{I_N} n |K_n^w(\tau_A(x+t))| \, \mathrm{d}t \leqslant c \frac{2^A}{2^{m+l}},$$

where

$$I_N(x_0, \ldots, x_m = 1, 0, \ldots, 0, x_l = 1, 0, \ldots, 0) := I_N(0, \ldots, 0, x_l = 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$$

for $m = -1$.

Lemma 3.7 (Goginava [7]). *Let $2 < A \in \mathbb{P}$ and $q_A := 2^{2A} + 2^{2A-2} + \ldots + 2^2 + 2^0$. Then*

$$q_{A-1} |K_{q_{A-1}}(x)| \geqslant 2^{2m+2s-3}$$

for $x \in I_{2A}(0, \ldots, 0, x_{2m} = 1, 0, \ldots, 0, x_{2s} = 1, x_{2s+1}, \ldots, x_{2A-1})$, $m = 0, 1, \ldots, A-3$, $s = m+2, m+3, \ldots, A-1$.

4. Proofs of the theorems

First, we prove Theorem 3.1.

P r o o f of Theorem 3.1. Lemma 3.3 yields that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\sigma}_n^\kappa f &= \frac{|f * K_n^\kappa|}{\log^2(n+1)} \\ &\leqslant \left| f * \frac{1}{n|n|^2}\left(1 + \sum_{i=0}^{|n|-1} 2^i D_{2^i}\right)\right| + \left| f * \frac{1}{n|n|^2} \sum_{i=0}^{|n|-1} 2^i r_i K_{2^i}^w \circ \tau_i \right| \\ &\quad + \left| f * \frac{n - 2^{|n|}}{n|n|^2}(D_{2^{|n|}} + r_{|n|} K_{n-2^{|n|}}^w \circ \tau_{|n|})\right| =: \sum_{i=1}^{3} |f * L_n^i|\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{\sigma}^{\kappa,*} f \leqslant \sup_{n\in\mathbb{P}} |f * L_n^1| + \sup_{n\in\mathbb{P}} |f * L_n^2| + \sup_{n\in\mathbb{P}} |f * L_n^3| =: R^1 f + R^2 f + R^3 f.$$

With the help of Lemma 3.5 we show that the operators R^i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ are of type $(H_{1/2}, L_{1/2})$. The boundedness from the space L_∞ to the space L_∞ follows from (2.1) and

$$\|K_n^w \circ \tau_i\|_1 = \|K_n^w\|_1 \leqslant 2$$

for $i \leqslant |n|$, $n \in \mathbb{P}$ (see Yano [17]). By Lemma 3.5, the proof will be complete if we show that the maximal operators R^i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ are 1/2-quasilocal. That is, there exists a constant c such that

$$\int_{\overline{I}} |R^i a|^{1/2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu \leqslant c < \infty$$

for every 1/2-atom a, where the dyadic interval I is the support of the 1/2-atom a.

Let a be an arbitrary 1/2-atom with support I, and $\mu(I) = 2^{-N}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $I := I_N$.

It is evident that $\tilde{\sigma}_n^\kappa(a) = 0$ and $a * L_n^i = 0$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ if $n \leqslant 2^N$. Therefore, we set $n > 2^N$.

By $\|a\|_\infty \leqslant c2^{2N}$ we have that

$$|a * L_n^i| \leqslant \int_{I_N} |a(s)||L_n^i(x+s)| \,\mathrm{d}\mu(s) \leqslant c2^{2N} \int_{I_N} |L_n^i(x+s)| \,\mathrm{d}\mu(s)$$

and

$$|R^i a| \leqslant c2^{2N} \sup_{n>2^N} \int_{I_N} |L_n^i(x+s)| \,\mathrm{d}\mu(s). \tag{4.1}$$

Now, we write

$$\overline{I_N} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{N-1} (I_j \setminus I_{j+1}).$$

Set $x \in I_j \setminus I_{j+1}$ and $s \in I_N$, then $x + s \in I_j \setminus I_{j+1}$ for $j = 0, \dots, N-1$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{n>2^N} \int_{I_N} |L_n^1(x+s)| \,\mathrm{d}\mu(s) &\leqslant \sup_{n>2^N} \int_{I_N} \frac{1}{n|n|^2} \Big(1 + \sum_{i=0}^{j} 2^i D_{2^i}(x+s)\Big) \,\mathrm{d}\mu(s) \\ &\leqslant \frac{c}{2^N N^2} 2^{2j} 2^{-N} \leqslant \frac{c 2^{2j}}{N^2 2^{2N}}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\overline{I_N}} |R^1 a(x)|^{1/2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \int_{I_j \setminus I_{j+1}} |R^1 a(x)|^{1/2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\ &\leqslant c 2^N \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \int_{I_j \setminus I_{j+1}} \Big(\frac{2^{2j}}{N^2 2^{2N}}\Big)^{1/2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu(x) \leqslant \frac{cN}{N} \leqslant c.\end{aligned}$$

Now, we discuss $\int_{\overline{I_N}} |R^2 a|^{1/2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu$. We use the disjoint decomposition of $\overline{I_N}$ above. That is, $\overline{I_N} = \bigcup_{t=0}^{N-1} (I_t \setminus I_{t+1})$ and we decompose the sets $I_t \setminus I_{t+1}$ as the following disjoint union:

$$I_t \setminus I_{t+1} = \bigcup_{l=t+1}^{N} J_t^l,$$

where $J_t^l := I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_t = 1, 0, \dots, 0, x_l = 1, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_{N-1})$ for $t < l < N$ and $J_t^l := I_N(e_t)$ for $l = N$.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\overline{I_N}} |R^2 a(x)|^{1/2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu(x) &= \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=t+1}^{N} \int_{J_t^l} |R^2 a(x)|^{1/2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=t+1}^{N-1} \int_{J_t^l} |R^2 a(x)|^{1/2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \int_{J_t^N} |R^2 a(x)|^{1/2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu(x) =: \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2.\end{aligned}$$

Let $x \in J_t^l$ and $s \in I_N$, then $x + s \in J_t^l$ $(0 \leqslant t < N,\ t < l \leqslant N)$.

For $0 \leqslant t < l < N$, Lemma 3.4 and $K^w_{2^i}(\tau_i(x+s)) \neq 0$ imply that $i \leqslant l$, $K^w_{2^i}(\tau_i(x+s)) = 2^{i-t-2}$ for $l > i > t$ and $K^w_{2^i}(\tau_i(x+s)) = \frac{1}{2}(2^i - 1)$ for $i \leqslant t$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{n>2^N} \int_{I_N} |L^2_n(x+s)| \,\mathrm{d}\mu(s) &\leqslant \sup_{n>2^N} \frac{1}{n|n|^2} \int_{I_N} \sum_{i=0}^{l} 2^i |K^w_{2^i}(\tau_i(x+s))| \,\mathrm{d}\mu(s) \\
&\leqslant \sup_{n>2^N} \frac{c}{n|n|^2} \int_{I_N} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} 2^{2i} + \sum_{i=t+1}^{l} 2^i 2^{i-t} \right) \mathrm{d}\mu(s) \\
&\leqslant \frac{c(2^{2t} + 2^{2l-t})}{2^{2N} N^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_1 &\leqslant c \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=t+1}^{N-1} \int_{J^l_t} 2^N \left(\frac{(2^{2t} + 2^{2l-t})}{2^{2N} N^2} \right)^{1/2} \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\
&\leqslant c \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=t+1}^{[3t/2]} \int_{J^l_t} \frac{2^t}{N} \,\mathrm{d}\mu(x) + c \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=[3t/2]+1}^{N-1} \int_{J^l_t} \frac{2^{l-t/2}}{N} \,\mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\
&\leqslant c \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=t+1}^{[3t/2]} \sum_{\substack{y_i=0 \\ i\in\{l+1,\ldots,N-1\}}}^{1} \int_{I_N(y+e_t+e_l)} \frac{2^t}{N} \,\mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\
&\quad + c \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=[3t/2]+1}^{N-1} \sum_{\substack{y_i=0 \\ i\in\{l+1,\ldots,N-1\}}}^{1} \int_{I_N(y+e_t+e_l)} \frac{2^{l-t/2}}{N} \,\mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\
&\leqslant c \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=t+1}^{[3t/2]} \frac{2^t}{N} 2^{-l} + c \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=[3t/2]+1}^{N-1} \frac{2^{l-t/2}}{N} 2^{-l} \leqslant c.
\end{aligned}$$

For $l = N$, let $x \in J^N_t$. Lemma 3.4 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{n>2^N} \int_{I_N} |L^2_n(x+s)| \,\mathrm{d}\mu(s) \leqslant \sup_{n>2^N} \frac{1}{n|n|^2} \int_{I_N} \sum_{i=0}^{|n|-1} 2^i |K^w_{2^i}(\tau_i(x+s))| \,\mathrm{d}\mu(s) \\
&\leqslant c \sup_{n>2^N} \frac{1}{n|n|^2} \left(\int_{I_N} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} 2^{2i} + \sum_{i=t+1}^{N} 2^i 2^{i-t} \right) \mathrm{d}\mu(s) + \sum_{i=N+1}^{|n|-1} \int_{I_i(x_{N,i-1})} 2^i 2^{i-t} \,\mathrm{d}\mu(s) \right) \\
&\leqslant c \sup_{n>2^N} \frac{2^{2t-N} + 2^{N-t} + 2^{|n|-t}}{n|n|^2} \leqslant \frac{c 2^{2t}}{2^{2N} N^2} + \frac{c}{2^t N^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $x_{N,i-1} := \sum_{j=N}^{i-1} x_j e_j$;

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_2 &\leqslant c \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \int_{J_t^N} 2^N \Big(\frac{2^{2t}}{2^{2N} N^2} + \frac{1}{2^t N^2} \Big)^{1/2} \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\
&\leqslant c \sum_{t=0}^{[2N/3]} \int_{J_t^N} \frac{2^N}{2^{t/2} N} \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) + c \sum_{t=[2N/3]+1}^{N-1} \int_{J_t^N} 2^N \frac{2^t}{2^N N} \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\
&\leqslant c \sum_{t=0}^{[2N/3]} \frac{1}{2^{t/2} N} + c \sum_{t=[2N/3]+1}^{N-1} \frac{2^t}{2^N N} \leqslant c.
\end{aligned}$$

To discuss $\int_{\overline{I_N}} |R^3 a|^{1/2} \, \mathrm{d}\mu$ we use Lemma 3.6 and the following disjoint decomposition of $\overline{I_N}$:

$$\overline{I_N} = \bigcup_{l=0}^{N-1} \bigcup_{m=-1}^{l} J_N^{l,m},$$

where the set $J_N^{l,m}$ is defined in Lemma 3.6.

If $x \in \overline{I_N}$ and $s \in I_N$, then $x+s \in \overline{I_N}$ and $D_{2^{|n|}}(x+s) = 0$. Moreover, if $x \in J_N^{l,m}$, then $x+s \in J_N^{l,m}$ and by Lemma 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{n>2^N} \int_{I_N} |L_n^3(x+s)| \, \mathrm{d}\mu(s) &\leqslant \sup_{n>2^N} \int_{I_N} \frac{n-2^{|n|}}{n|n|^2} |K_{n-2^{|n|}}^w(\tau_{|n|}(x+s))| \, \mathrm{d}\mu(s) \\
&\leqslant c \sup_{n>2^N} \frac{1}{n|n|^2} \frac{2^{|n|}}{2^{l+m}} \leqslant \frac{c}{2^{l+m} N^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the above

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\overline{I_N}} |R^3 a(x)|^{1/2} \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) &= \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=-1}^{l} \int_{J_N^{l,m}} |R^3 a(x)|^{1/2} \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\
&\leqslant c \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=-1}^{l} \int_{J_N^{l,m}} \frac{2^N}{2^{(l+m)/2} N} \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\
&\leqslant c \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=-1}^{l} \sum_{\substack{y_i=0 \\ i\in\{0,\dots,m-1\}}}^{1} \int_{I_N(y+e_m+e_l)} \frac{2^N}{2^{(l+m)/2} N} \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\
&\leqslant c \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=-1}^{l} \frac{2^N}{2^{(l+m)/2} N} 2^{-N+m} \leqslant c.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

Next, we prove Theorem 3.2.

P r o o f of Theorem 3.2. Let $\{n_k\colon\ k\in\mathbb{P}\}$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\log^2 n_k}{\varphi(n_k)}=+\infty.$$

It is evident that for every n_k there exists a positive integer m'_k such that

$$q_{m'_k}\leqslant n_k<q_{m'_k+1}<5q_{m'_k}.$$

Since $\varphi(n)$ is nondecreasing function we have

$$\overline{\lim_{k\to\infty}}\frac{(m'_k)^2}{\varphi(q_{m'_k})}\geqslant c\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\log^2 n_k}{\varphi(n_k)}=+\infty.$$

Let $\{m_k\colon\ k\in\mathbb{P}\}\subset\{m'_k\colon\ k\in\mathbb{P}\}$ such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{(m_k)^2}{\varphi(q_{m_k})}=+\infty.$$

Let

$$f_{m_k}(x):=D_{2^{2m_k+1}}(x)-D_{2^{2m_k}}(x).$$

It is evident that

$$\hat{f}^{\kappa}_{m_k}(i)=\begin{cases}1, & \text{if } i=2^{2m_k},\dots,2^{2m_k+1}-1,\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Then we can write

$$S^{\kappa}_i(f_{m_k};x)=\begin{cases}D^{\kappa}_i(x)-D_{2^{2m_k}}(x), & i=2^{2m_k}+1,\dots,2^{2m_k+1}-1,\\ f_{m_k}(x), & i\geqslant 2^{2m_k+1},\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}\tag{4.2}$$

Since,

$$f^*_{m_k}(x)=\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}|S_{2^n}(f_{m_k};x)|=|f_{m_k}(x)|,$$

from (2.1) we get

$$\|f_{m_k}\|_{H_p}=\|f^*_{m_k}\|_p=\|D_{2^{2m_k}}\|_p=2^{2m_k(1-1/p)}.\tag{4.3}$$

Since we have

$$D^{\kappa}_n(x)=D_{2^{|n|}}(x)+r_{|n|}(x)D^{w}_{n-2^{|n|}}(\tau_{|n|}(x)),$$

from (4.2) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{n\in\mathbb{P}}\frac{|\sigma_n^\kappa(f_{m_k};x)|}{\varphi(n)} &\geqslant \frac{|\sigma_{q_{m_k}}^\kappa(f_{m_k};x)|}{\varphi(q_{m_k})}\\
&= \frac{1}{\varphi(q_{m_k})}\frac{1}{q_{m_k}}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{q_{m_k}-1} S_j^\kappa(f_{m_k};x)\right|\\
&= \frac{1}{\varphi(q_{m_k})}\frac{1}{q_{m_k}}\left|\sum_{j=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k}-1} S_j^\kappa(f_{m_k};x)\right|\\
&= \frac{1}{\varphi(q_{m_k})}\frac{1}{q_{m_k}}\left|\sum_{i=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k}-1} (D_i^\kappa(x)-D_{2^{2m_k}}(x))\right|\\
&= \frac{1}{\varphi(q_{m_k})}\frac{1}{q_{m_k}}\left|\sum_{i=0}^{q_{m_k-1}-1} (D_{i+2^{2m_k}}^\kappa(x)-D_{2^{2m_k}}(x))\right|\\
&= \frac{1}{\varphi(q_{m_k})}\frac{1}{q_{m_k}}\left|\sum_{i=0}^{q_{m_k-1}-1} D_i^w(\tau_{2m_k}(x))\right|\\
&= \frac{1}{\varphi(q_{m_k})}\frac{q_{m_k-1}}{q_{m_k}}|K_{q_{m_k-1}}^w(\tau_{2m_k}(x))|.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $x\in J_{2m_k}^{2A-2s-1,2A-2l-1}$ for some $l<s<m_k$. Then from Lemma 3.7 we have

$$\frac{\sigma_{q_{m_k}}^\kappa(f_{m_k};x)}{\varphi(q_{m_k})}\geqslant c\frac{2^{2s+2l-2m_k}}{\varphi(q_{m_k})}.$$

Hence, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_G\left(\sup_{n\in\mathbb{P}}\frac{|\sigma_n^\kappa(f_{m_k};x)|}{\varphi(n)}\right)^{1/2}\mathrm{d}\mu(x)\\
&\geqslant c\sum_{l=0}^{m_k-3}\sum_{s=l+2}^{m_k-1}\int_{J_{2m_k}^{2A-2s-1,2A-2l-1}}\left(\sup_{n\in\mathbb{P}}\frac{|\sigma_n^\kappa(f_{m_k};x)|}{\varphi(n)}\right)^{1/2}\mathrm{d}\mu(x)\\
&\geqslant c\sum_{l=0}^{m_k-3}\sum_{s=l+2}^{m_k-1}\sum_{\substack{y_i=0\\ i\in\{0,\dots,2m_k-2s-2\}}}^{1}\int_{I_{2m_k}(y+e_{2A-2s-1}+e_{2A-2l-1})}\left(\frac{|\sigma_{q_{m_k}}^\kappa(f_{m_k};x)|}{\varphi(q_{m_k})}\right)^{1/2}\mathrm{d}\mu(x)\\
&\geqslant c\sum_{l=0}^{m_k-3}\sum_{s=l+2}^{m_k-1}\frac{2^{2m_k-2s}}{2^{2m_k}}\frac{2^{s+l-m_k}}{\sqrt{\varphi(q_{m_k})}}\\
&\geqslant \frac{c}{\sqrt{\varphi(q_{m_k})}}\frac{m_k}{2^{m_k}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then from (4.3) we obtain

$$\left\{\int_G \Big(\sup_{n\in\mathbb{P}} \frac{|\sigma_n^\kappa(f_{m_k};x)|}{\varphi(n)}\Big)^{1/2} \mathrm{d}\mu(x)\right\}^2 \Big/ \|f_{m_k}\|_{H_{1/2}}$$
$$\geqslant \frac{cm_k^2}{\varphi(q_{m_k})2^{-2m_k}2^{2m_k}} = \frac{cm_k^2}{\varphi(q_{m_k})} \to \infty \quad \text{as } k\to\infty.$$

Theorem 3.2 is proved. □

References

[1] *G. N. Agaev, N. Ya. Vilenkin, G. M. Dzhafarli, A. I. Rubinshtein*: Multiplicative systems of functions and harmonic analysis on 0-dimensional groups. "ELM" Baku (1981), 180 p. (In Russian.)

[2] *J. Fine*: Cesàro summability of Walsh-Fourier series. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA *41* (1955), 558–591.

[3] *N. J. Fujii*: Cesàro summability of Walsh-Fourier series. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *77* (1979), 111–116.

[4] *G. Gát*: On $(C,1)$ summability of integrable functions with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system. Studia Math. *130* (1998), 135–148.

[5] *G. Gát, U. Goginava, K. Nagy*: On the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of double Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system. Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica *46* (2009), 399–421.

[6] *U. Goginava*: The maximal operator of the Fejér means of the character system of the p-series field in the Kaczmarz rearrangement. Publ. Math. Debrecen *71* (2007), 43–55.

[7] *U. Goginava*: Maximal operators of Fejér means of double Walsh-Fourier series. Acta Math. Hungar. *115* (2007), 333–340.

[8] *U. Goginava*: Maximal operators of Fejér-Walsh means. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) *74* (2008), 615–624.

[9] *U. Goginava*: The maximal operator of the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of the d-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. East J. Approx. *12* (2006), 295–302.

[10] *F. Schipp, W. R. Wade, P. Simon, J. Pál*: Walsh Series. An Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis. Adam Hilger, Bristol-New York, 1990.

[11] *F. Schipp*: Certain rearrengements of series in the Walsh series. Mat. Zametki *18* (1975), 193–201.

[12] *F. Schipp*: Pointwise convergence of expansions with respect to certain product systems. Anal. Math. *2* (1976), 65–76.

[13] *P. Simon*: Cesàro summability with respect to two-parameter Walsh-system. Monatsh. Math. *131* (2000), 321–334.

[14] *P. Simon*: On the Cesàro summability with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system. J. Approx. Theory *106* (2000), 249–261.

[15] *V. A. Skvortsov*: On Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system. Analysis Math. *7* (1981), 141–150.

[16] *A. A. Šneider*: On series with respect to the Walsh functions with monotone coefficients. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Math. *12* (1948), 179–192.

[17] *S. H. Yano*: On Walsh series. Tohoku Math. J. *3* (1951), 223–242.

[18] *W. S. Young*: On the a.e converence of Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fourier series. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *44* (1974), 353–358.

[19] *F. Weisz*: Martingale Hardy spaces and their applications in Fourier analysis. Springer-Verlang, Berlin, 1994.
[20] *F. Weisz*: Summability of multi-dimensional Fourier series and Hardy space. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2002.
[21] *F. Weisz*: Cesàro summability of one and two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. Anal. Math. *22* (1996), 229–242.
[22] *F. Weisz*: θ-summability of Fourier series. Acta Math. Hungar. *103* (2004), 139–176.

Authors' addresses: Ushangi Goginava, Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences, Tbilisi State University, Chavchavadze str. 1, Tbilisi 0128, Georgia, e-mail: `z_goginava@hotmail.com`; Károly Nagy, Institute of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, College of Nyí regyháza, P.O. Box 166, Nyíregyháza, H-4400 Hungary, e-mail: `nkaroly@nyf.hu`.