

Béla Bollobás; Imre Leader

Continuous restrictions of linear functionals

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 33 (1992), No. 1, 37--38

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/142643>

Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 1992

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Continuous Restrictions of Linear Functionals

BÉLA BOLLOBÁS*) AND IMRE LEADER**)

England, USA

Received 6 September 1990

We construct a linear map from l_1 to \mathbb{R} which does not have a continuous restriction to any closed infinite-dimensional subspace of l_1 . This answers a question of Bogachev, Kirchheim and Schachermayer.

In [1], Bogachev, Kirchheim and Schachermayer proved that if X is a separable Banach space not containing l_1 isomorphically, and Y is any infinite-dimensional Banach space, then there is a linear map from X to Y without a continuous restriction to any closed infinite-dimensional subspace of X . They asked what happens for $X = l_1$. More precisely, they asked the following question. Let Y be a Banach space, and let T be a linear map from l_1 to Y . Does T always have a continuous restriction to some closed infinite-dimensional subspace of l_1 ?

Our aim in this short note is to show that this is not the case. In fact, it is not the case even when $Y = \mathbb{R}$. Our proof, which is based on a well-ordering argument, does not rely on any particular properties of l_1 . Indeed, the same proof shows that if X is any separable Banach space then there is a linear map from X to \mathbb{R} without a continuous restriction to any closed infinite-dimensional subspace of X . This strengthens the result of Bogachev, Kirchheim and Schachermayer mentioned above. The proof also works if X has a dense subset of size c , the cardinality of \mathbb{R} .

Our notation and terminology follow [2].

The following lemma is based on the existence of a family of c subsets of \mathbb{N} with pairwise finite intersections.

Lemma 1. *Let X be an infinite-dimensional Banach space. Then the algebraic dimension of X is at least c .*

Proof. Choose a normalised basic sequence $(x_n)_0^\infty$ in X . Let \mathcal{A} be a family of c subsets of \mathbb{N} with pairwise finite intersections. To see the existence of such a family \mathcal{A} , take, for example, the collection of all sets of the form $\{\sum_{i=0}^n 2^i: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $r_0 < r_1 < \dots$ is an increasing sequence of natural numbers.

For $A \in \mathcal{A}$, set $x_A = \sum_{a \in A} 2^{-a} x_a$. Thus if $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A \neq A'$ then the supports

*) Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, University of Cambridge, England.

***) Department of Mathematics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, USA. Research partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-8806097.

of the vectors x_A and $x_{A'}$ have finite intersection, and hence the vectors x_A , $A \in \mathcal{A}$ are linearly independent. \square

We remark that, of course, in the presence of the Continuum Hypothesis, Lemma 1 follows immediately from the fact that a Banach space cannot have countably infinite algebraic dimension.

Theorem 2. *Let X be an infinite-dimensional separable Banach space. Then there is a linear map $T: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which does not have a continuous restriction to any closed infinite-dimensional subspace of X .*

Proof. Since X is separable, the cardinality of X is c . Now, any closed infinite-dimensional subspace of X is the closure of a countable subset of X , and hence there are at most c such subspaces. Well-order the closed infinite-dimensional subspaces of X as $(Y_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda}$, where the ordinal λ is such that any predecessor of λ has less than c predecessors.

Let us construct, by transfinite induction on α , a family of linearly independent vectors $x_{\alpha,n}$, $\alpha < \lambda$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_{\alpha,n} \in Y_\alpha$ for all n and α . That this is possible is immediate by Lemma 1, since, when we have chosen linearly independent $x_{\beta,n}$, $\beta < \alpha$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have chosen less than c vectors, while the dimension of Y_α is c .

Define a linear map $T: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting $T(x_{\alpha,n}) = n \|x_{\alpha,n}\|$, $\alpha < \lambda$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and taking an arbitrary linear extension to the whole of X . Then it is clear that, for each α , the restriction of T to Y_α is not continuous. \square

In fact, one can weaken the assumption that X is separable.

Theorem 2'. *Let X be an infinite-dimensional Banach space which has a dense subset of cardinality c . Then there is a linear map $T: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which does not have a continuous restriction to any closed infinite-dimensional subspace of X .*

Proof. As before, the cardinality of X is c , and so there are at most c separable closed infinite-dimensional subspaces of X . Well-order these subspaces, and proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2. \square

By considering the kernel of T , we obtain the following reformulation of Theorem 2'.

Corollary 3. *Let X be an infinite-dimensional Banach space which has a dense subset of cardinality c . Then there is a hyperplane of X which does not contain any infinite-dimensional closed subspace of X .* \square

We do not know what happens when X is so large that it does not contain a dense subset of cardinality c .

References

- [1] V. I. BOGACHEV, B. KIRCHHEIM and W. SCHACHERMAYER., Continuous restrictions of linear maps between Banach spaces, *Acta Univ. Carolinae* 30 (1989), 31–35.
- [2] J. LINDENSTRAUSS and L. TZAFIRI, *Classical Banach Spaces 1: Sequence Spaces*, Springer Verlag, 1977, xiii + 190 pp.