Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Péter Komjáth

Another proof of a result of Jech and Shelah

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 63 (2013), No. 3, 577–582

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/143476

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2013

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 63 (138) (2013), 577–582

ANOTHER PROOF OF A RESULT OF JECH AND SHELAH

Péter Komjáth, Budapest

(Received December 1, 2011)

Abstract. Shelah's pcf theory describes a certain structure which must exist if $\aleph_\omega$ is strong limit and $2^{\aleph_\omega} > \aleph_{\omega_1}$ holds. Jech and Shelah proved the surprising result that this structure exists in ZFC. They first give a forcing extension in which the structure exists then argue that by some absoluteness results it must exist anyway. We reformulate the statement to the existence of a certain partially ordered set, and then we show by a straightforward, elementary (i.e., non-metamathematical) argument that such partially ordered sets exist.

Keywords: partially ordered set; pcf theory

MSC 2010: 03E05

Using Shelah's pcf theory, Jech and Shelah described in [1] a certain structure that must be present on ω_1 if $\aleph_\omega$ is strong limit and $2^{\aleph_\omega} > \aleph_{\omega_1}$ (the consistency of the latter statement is one of the major problems of the set theory). They proved the surprising fact that such a structure exists in ZFC. The original proof was given first by a forcing argument then arguing that structures supplemented by forcing notions with certain properties exist outright in ZFC (the main result of [2]). Here we offer a more direct, forcing-free argument.

Notation and definitions. If f is a function, A is a subset of its domain, then we denote $\{f(x)\colon x \in A\}$ by $f[A]$.

In what follows we construct partially ordered sets of the following type. The underlying set is $T = \bigcup\{T_\alpha\colon \alpha < \omega_1\}$ where each $T_\alpha = \{t_i^\alpha\colon i < \omega\}$ is countable. Set $T_{<\alpha} = \bigcup\{T_\beta\colon \beta < \alpha\}$, $T_{>\alpha} = \bigcup\{T_\beta\colon \beta > \alpha\}$, $T(\beta,\alpha) = \bigcup\{T_\gamma\colon \beta < \gamma < \alpha\}$, $T(\beta,\alpha] = \bigcup\{T_\gamma\colon \beta < \gamma \leqslant \alpha\}$. Further, define functions $h\colon T \to \omega_1$, $d\colon T \to \omega$ by $h(t_i^\alpha) = \alpha$, $d(t_i^\alpha) = i$.

The research has been supported by Hungarian National Research Grant OTKA 81121.

We are going to construct a partial ordering $<$ of the following type: if $y < x$, $y \in T_\beta$, $x \in T_\alpha$ then $\beta < \alpha$. The construction of the partial order requires that we specify for every element $x \in T$ the set $\{y\colon\ y < x\}$. For technical reasons we construct a function f such that $f(x) \subseteq T_{<\alpha}$ if $x \in T_\alpha$ and then set $y \in f^*(x)$ if there is a sequence $y = x_n$, x_{n-1}, $\ldots$, $x_0 = x$ such that $x_{i+1} \in f(x_i)$ $(i < n)$. Specifically, $x \in f^*(x)$. That is, f^* is the transitive closure of f, it is the set of all elements y for which $y \leqslant x$ holds.

We are going to construct partially ordered sets which are sufficiently "random" in the sense that for any finite subset there are points being in a predetermined position, assuming that some trivial conditions hold.

Theorem 1. *There is a function f as above such that*

(1) *if $y \in f(x)$, then $d(y) > d(x)$;*
(2) *if $y \neq y' \in f(x)$, then $d(y) \neq d(y')$;*
(3) *if $\beta < \omega_1$, $W, Z \subseteq T_{>\beta}$, $|W|$, $|Z| < \omega$, $f^*[Z] \cap W = \emptyset$, then there are infinitely many $r \in T_\beta$ such that $r \in f(w)$ $(w \in W)$, $r \notin f^*(z)$ $(z \in Z)$.*

P r o o f. We construct $f(x)$ for all $x \in T_\alpha$, by transfinite recursion on α. Assume that we are at stage α and $f(y)$ is determined for all $y \in T_{<\alpha}$.

At step $i = 0, 1, \ldots$ we construct finite sets $f_i(x)$, $g_i(x)$ for $x \in T_\alpha$ such that $f_i(x), g_i(x) \subseteq T_{<\alpha}$, $\emptyset = f_0(x) \subseteq f_1(x) \subseteq \ldots$, $\emptyset = g_0(x) \subseteq g_1(x) \subseteq \ldots$, and $g_i(x) \cap f^*[f_i(x)] = \emptyset$ (specifically $f_i(x) \cap g_i(x) = \emptyset$) will always hold. After ω steps we define $f(x) = \bigcup\{f_i(x)\colon\ i < \omega\}$ for $x \in T_\alpha$. Our sets $f_i(x)$, $g_i(x)$ are approximations: at step i; we determine that the elements of $f_i(x)$ will be in $f(x)$, and that the elements of $g_i(x)$ will not be in $f^*(x)$.

We fix an enumeration $\{(\beta_0, W_0, Z_0), (\beta_1, W_1, Z_1), \ldots\}$ of all triples (β, W, Z) where $\beta < \alpha$, W, Z are finite subsets of $T(\beta, \alpha)$ such that each triple occurs infinitely often. At step $i < \omega$ we either determine that (β_i, W_i, Z_i) is such that it cannot occur in (3) of the theorem or we construct f_{i+1}, g_{i+1} such that it will guarantee the existence of an element $r \in T_\beta$ to satisfy (3) of the theorem.

Assume that we have arrived at step i and we are given $(\beta, W, Z) = (\beta_i, W_i, Z_i)$. Set $W^+ = W \cap T_\alpha$, $W^- = W \cap T_{<\alpha}$, $Z^+ = Z \cap T_\alpha$, $Z^- = Z \cap T_{<\alpha}$. We have to treat the triple (β, W, Z) only if $W \cap f^*[Z] = \emptyset$ holds after the construction is finished. This implies that $W^- \cap f^*[Z^-] = \emptyset$ and

$$W^- \cap (f_i(x) \cup f^*[f_i(x)]) = \emptyset$$

holds for $x \in Z^+$. If either of them does not hold, then we let $f_{i+1}(x) = f_i(x)$, $g_{i+1}(x) = g_i(x)$ for $x \in T_\alpha$.

We therefore assume that the above two equalities do hold. Set

$$Z^* = Z^- \cup (f_i[Z^+] \cap T(\beta,\alpha)) \cup \bigcup\{f^*[f_i(x)] \cap T(\beta,\alpha)\colon\ x \in Z^+\}.$$

As $W \cap f^*[Z^*] = \emptyset$, we can find $r \in T_\beta$ which is appropriate for (W, Z^*), $r \notin f_i(x) \cap T_\beta$ $(x \in Z^+)$, and $d(r) > d(x)$ for every $x \in W^+ \cup f_i[W^+]$.

Define

$$f_{i+1}(x) = \begin{cases} f_i(x) \cup \{r\} & x \in W^+, \\ f_i(x) & x \in T_\alpha - W, \end{cases}$$

and

$$g_{i+1}(x) = \begin{cases} g_i(x) \cup \{r\} & x \in Z^+, \\ g_i(x) & x \in T_\alpha - Z. \end{cases}$$

We have to show that $f^*[f_{i+1}(x)] \cap g_{i+1}(x) = \emptyset$ still holds for $x \in T_\alpha$. If $x \in W^+$, then we have to show that $f^*(r) \cap g_i(x) = \emptyset$. But this is true, as for every element u of $f^*(r)$ and every element v of $g_i(x)$ we have $d(u) \geqslant d(r) > d(v)$ by our choice. If $x \in Z^+$, we have to show that $r \notin f^*[f_i(x)]$. Indeed, if $u \in f_i(x)$, then this holds for $u \in T(\beta,\alpha)$ by our definition of Z^*; if $u \in T_\beta$, then it holds as $r \neq u$ by our choice of r, and finally, if $u \in T_{<\beta}$, then it trivially holds, as $f^*(u) \subseteq T_{<\beta}$.

We claim that r will be as required for the triple (β, W, Z). Indeed, $r \in f(w)$ for $w \in W^-$ as r is good for (W, Z^*). If $w \in W^+$, then $r \in f(w)$, as $r \in f_{i+1}(w) \subseteq f(w)$. If $z \in Z^-$, then $r \notin f^*(z)$, as r was appropriate for (W, Z^*) and $Z^* \supseteq Z^-$. Finally, if $z \in Z^+$, then $r \in g_{i+1}(z)$, therefore r will not be an element of $f^*(z)$. $\square$

Lemma 2. *If f is as in Theorem 1, then for every $x \in T$, $i < \omega$, the set $A(x,i) = \{\beta\colon\ t_i^\beta \in f^*(x)\}$ is finite.*

Proof. We prove this by induction on α, where $x \in T_\alpha$. By our construction, we either have

$$A(x,i) = A(y_0,i) \cup \ldots \cup A(y_m,i)$$

or

$$A(x,i) = \{x\} \cup A(y_0,i) \cup \ldots \cup A(y_m,i)$$

where $\{y \in f(x)\colon\ d(x) < d(y) \leqslant i\} = \{y_0,\ldots,y_m\}$. As $h(y) < h(x)$ whenever $y \in f(x)$, the sets on the right hand side are finite, and then so is $A(x,i)$. $\square$

Corollary 3 (Jech-Shelah). *There exist a partition* $\{A_n\colon n < \omega\}$ *of* ω_1 *and a family* $\{X_\alpha\colon \alpha < \omega_1\}$ *of subsets of* ω_1 *such that*

(1) $\max(X_\alpha) = \alpha$ $(\alpha < \omega_1)$;

(2) *if* $\beta \in X_\alpha$ *then* $X_\beta \subseteq X_\alpha$;

(3) $|X_\alpha \cap A_n| < \aleph_0$ $(\alpha < \omega_1, n < \omega)$;

(4) *if* $\lambda < \omega_1$ *is limit,* $\lambda \leqslant \alpha < \omega_1$, $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k < \alpha$, $\gamma < \lambda$ *then*

$$X_\alpha \cap \lambda \not\subseteq \gamma \cup X_{\alpha_1} \cup \ldots \cup X_{\alpha_k}.$$

P r o o f. Let f be a function as in Theorem 1. Define

$$X_{\alpha\omega+i} = \{\beta\omega + j\colon t_j^\beta \in f^*(t_i^\alpha)\}$$

for $\alpha < \omega_1$, $i < \omega$, that is, identify T_α with the α-th interval of type ω, $[\omega\alpha, \omega(\alpha+1))$. Set $A_n = \{\alpha\omega + n\colon \alpha < \omega_1\}$ for $n < \omega$. Then (1) is obvious. (2) follows as $f^*(y) \subseteq f^*(x)$ for $y \in f^*(x)$. (3) holds by the Lemma 2. Finally, for (4) we have to show that

$$[\beta\omega, (\beta+1)\omega) \cap X_{\alpha\omega+m} - (X_{\alpha\omega} \cup \ldots \cup X_{\alpha\omega+m-1} \cup X_{\beta_1\omega+i_1} \cup \ldots \cup X_{\beta_k\omega+i_k})$$

is infinite when $\beta < \alpha$, $m < \omega$, $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k < \alpha$, and $i_1, \ldots, i_k < \omega$. Translating this back to our construction, we have to prove that

$$(T_\beta \cap f^*(t_m^\alpha)) - (f^*(t_0^\alpha) \cup \ldots \cup f^*(t_{m-1}^\alpha) \cup f^*(t_{i_1}^{\beta_1}) \cup \ldots \cup f^*(t_{i_k}^{\beta_k}))$$

is infinite. This follows from Theorem 1 if we set $W = \{t_m^\alpha\}$,

$$Z = \{t_0^\alpha, \ldots, t_{m-1}^\alpha, t_{i_1}^{\beta_1}, \ldots, t_{i_k}^{\beta_k}\}$$

and notice that $f^*[Z] \cap W = \emptyset$ as $f^*[Z] \cap T_\alpha = \{t_0^\alpha, \ldots, t_{m-1}^\alpha\}$. $\square$

Theorem 4. *There is a function* f *such that if* $\beta < \omega_1$, $U, V \subseteq T_{<\beta}$, $W, Z \subseteq T_{>\beta}$ *are finite sets such that*

(1) $U \subseteq f^*(w)$ $(w \in W)$,

(2) $f^*[U] \cap V = \emptyset$,

(3) $f^*[Z] \cap W = \emptyset$, *then there are infinitely many* $r \in T_\beta$ *such that*

(a) $U \subseteq f^*(r)$;

(b) $V \cap f^*(r) = \emptyset$;

(c) $r \in f^*(w)$ $(w \in W)$;

(d) $r \notin f^*(z)$ $(z \in Z)$.

P r o o f. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1, we determine $f(x)$, hence $f^*(x)$ for every $x \in T_\alpha$, by transfinite recursion on α. Assume, therefore, that we are at stage α, and so $f(x)$ is already defined for $x \in T_{<\alpha}$.

We call a quintuple (β, U, V, W, Z) satisfying (1)–(3) *consistent*. If $r \in T_\beta$ satisfies (a)–(d), we say that r *is good for* (β, U, V, W, Z). Enumerate all quintuples (β, U, V, W, Z) with $\beta \leqslant \alpha$, $U, V \subseteq T_{<\beta}$, $W, Z \subseteq T(\beta, \alpha]$ such that U, V, W, Z are finite, either $\beta = \alpha$ or else $(W \cup Z) \cap T_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ as $\{(\beta_i, U_i, V_i, W_i, Z_i)\colon\ i < \omega\}$ so that each such quintuple occurs infinitely many times.

We are going to define finite sets $f_i(x)$, $g_i(x) \subseteq T_{<\alpha}$ for all $x \in T_\alpha$ and $i < \omega$ such that

(1) $\emptyset = f_0(x) \subseteq f_1(x) \subseteq \ldots$,
(2) $\emptyset = g_0(x) \subseteq g_1(x) \subseteq \ldots$,
(3) for every $i < \omega$, $f_i(x) = g_i(x) = \emptyset$ holds for all but finitely many $x \in T_\alpha$,
(4) $g_i(x) \cap f^*[f_i(x)] = \emptyset$ $(i < \omega,\ x \in T_\alpha)$.

After ω steps we let $f(x) = \bigcup\{f_i(x)\colon\ i < \omega\}$ for $x \in T_\alpha$. This means that at step $i < \omega$, for every x we have finitely many commitments: if $y \in f_i(x)$ we promise that $y \in f(x)$, if $y \in g_i(x)$, we promise that $y \notin f^*(x)$ will hold.

Assume first that we are at step $i < \omega$ and we have to treat a quintuple $(\beta_i, U_i, V_i, W_i, Z_i)$ where $\beta_i = \alpha$, and consequently $W_i = Z_i = \emptyset$. If $f^*[U_i] \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$, we do nothing, i.e., leave $f_{i+1}(x) = f_i(x)$, $g_{i+1}(x) = g_i(x)$ $(x \in T_\alpha)$ as this quintuple will not occur among those for which the theorem applies. If, however, $f^*[U_i] \cap V_i = \emptyset$, then select an $x \in T_\alpha$ such that $f_i(x) = g_i(x) = \emptyset$ and set $f_{i+1}(x) = U_i$, $g_{i+1}(x) = V_i$, and $f_{i+1}(y) = f_i(y)$, $g_{i+1}(y) = g_i(y)$ $(y \in T_\alpha - \{x\})$.

Assume next that we are at step $i < \omega$ and we are to handle $(\beta, U, V, W, Z) = (\beta_i, U_i, V_i, W_i, Z_i)$ with $\beta < \alpha$. Set $W^+ = W \cap T_\alpha$, $W^- = W \cap T(\beta, \alpha)$, $Z^+ = Z \cap T_\alpha$, $Z^- = Z \cap T(\beta, \alpha)$.

We do nothing, if either (β, U, V, W^-, Z^-) is inconsistent, or there is an $x \in W^+$ such that $g_i(x) \cap f^*[U] \neq \emptyset$, or there is an $x \in Z^+$ such that $f^*[f_i(x)] \cap W^- \neq \emptyset$. In these cases we set $f_{i+1}(x) = f_i(x)$, $g_{i+1}(x) = g_i(x)$ for every $x \in T_\alpha$. After finishing the construction of f on T_α, we will have that (β, U, V, W, Z) is inconsistent.

We can, therefore, assume that (β, U, V, W^-, Z^-) is consistent, $g_i(x) \cap f^*[U] = \emptyset$ $(x \in W^+)$ and $f^*[f_i(x)] \cap W^- = \emptyset$ $(x \in Z^+)$.

Define

$$V^* = V \cup \bigcup\{g_i(x) \cap T_{<\beta}\colon\ x \in W^+\}$$

and

$$Z^* = Z^- \cup \bigcup\{f_i(x) \cap T(\beta, \alpha)\colon\ x \in Z^+\}.$$

Claim 1. $(\beta, U, V^*, W^-, Z^*)$ *is consistent.*

Proof. We have to check (1)–(3) for $(\beta, U, V^*, W^-, Z^*)$.

(1) holds as (β, U, V, W^-, Z^-) is consistent.

For (2), we have to show that $f^*[U] \cap V^* = \emptyset$. On the one hand, $f^*[U] \cap V = \emptyset$, as (β, U, V, W^-, Z^-) is consistent, on the other hand, $f^*[U] \cap g_i(x) = \emptyset$ holds for every $x \in W^+$ by our assumptions.

For (3), we have to show that $f^*[Z^*] \cap W^- = \emptyset$. This holds as, on the one hand, $f^*[Z^-] \cap W^- = \emptyset$, as (β, U, V, W^-, Z^-) is consistent, on the other hand, $f^*[f_i(x)] \cap W = \emptyset$ holds by our assumptions ($x \in Z^+$). $\square$

By Claim 1 and by the inductive hypothesis, we can find an $r \in T_\beta$ which satisfies (a)–(d) for $(\beta, U, V^*, W^-, Z^*)$ and $r \notin \bigcup\{g_i(x) \colon x \in W^+\}$.

Now define

$$f_{i+1}(x) = \begin{cases} f_i(x) \cup \{r\} & x \in W^+, \\ f_i(x) & x \in T_\alpha - W, \end{cases}$$

and

$$g_{i+1}(x) = \begin{cases} g_i(x) \cup \{r\} & x \in Z^+, \\ g_i(x) & x \in T_\alpha - Z. \end{cases}$$

Claim 2. $g_{i+1}(x) \cap f^*[f_{i+1}(x)] = \emptyset$ $(x \in T_\alpha)$.

Proof. As $g_i(x) \cap f^*[f_i(x)] = \emptyset$ holds by the inductive hypothesis, we have to show that $r \notin f^*[f_i(x)]$ $(x \in Z^+)$, and $f^*(r) \cap g_i(x) = \emptyset$ $(x \in W^+)$. The former holds, as r is good for $(\beta, U, V^*, W^-, Z^*)$ and $f_i(x) \cap T_{>\beta} \subseteq Z^*$. The latter holds, as $r \notin g_i(x)$ by our choice and r is good for $(\beta, U, V^*, W^-, Z^*)$ and $g_i(x) \subseteq V^*$ and therefore $f^*(r) \cap T_{<\beta} \cap g_i(x) = \emptyset$. $\square$

Finally we claim that r will be good for (β, U, V, W, Z). Indeed, if $x \in W^+$, then $r \in f_{i+1}(x) \subseteq f(x)$, if $x \in Z^+$, then $r \in g_{i+1}(x)$, so $r \notin f^*(x)$. All other statements are obvious. $\square$

References

[1] *T. Jech, S. Shelah*: Possible pcf algebras. J. Symb. Log. *61* (1996), 313–317.

[2] *S. Shelah, C. Laflamme, B. Hart*: Models with second order properties V: A general principle. Ann. Pure Appl. Logic *64* (1993), 169–194.

Author's address: Péter Komjáth, R. Eötvös University, Budapest, Hungary, e-mail: `kope@cs.elte.hu`.