Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Saieed Akbari; Maryam Aliakbarpour; Naryam Ghanbari; Emisa Nategh; Hossein Shahmohamad

Join of two graphs admits a nowhere-zero 3-flow

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 64 (2014), No. 2, 433–446

Persistent URL: `http://dml.cz/dmlcz/144007`

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2014

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* `http://dml.cz`

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 64 (139) (2014), 433–446

JOIN OF TWO GRAPHS ADMITS A NOWHERE-ZERO 3-FLOW

Saieed Akbari, Maryam Aliakbarpour, Naryam Ghanbari,
Emisa Nategh, Tehran, Hossein Shahmohamad, Rochester

(Received January 22, 2013)

Abstract. Let G be a graph, and λ the smallest integer for which G has a nowhere-zero λ-flow, i.e., an integer λ for which G admits a nowhere-zero λ-flow, but it does not admit a $(\lambda-1)$-flow. We denote the minimum flow number of G by $\Lambda(G)$. In this paper we show that if G and H are two arbitrary graphs and G has no isolated vertex, then $\Lambda(G \vee H) \leqslant 3$ except two cases: (i) One of the graphs G and H is K_2 and the other is 1-regular. (ii) $H = K_1$ and G is a graph with at least one isolated vertex or a component whose every block is an odd cycle. Among other results, we prove that for every two graphs G and H with at least 4 vertices, $\Lambda(G \vee H) \leqslant 3$.

Keywords: nowhere-zero λ-flow; minimum nowhere-zero flow number; join of two graphs

MSC 2010: 05C20, 05C21, 05C78

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper all graphs are simple with no multiple edges. Let G be a graph. We denote the vertex set and the edge set of G by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. Let $v \in V(G)$. We denote the neighbors of v in G by $N_G(v)$. Let $S \subseteq V(G)$. For every $v \in V(G)$, define $N_S(v) = N_G(v) \cap S$. The complement of a graph G is denoted by $\overline{G}$. The degree of the vertex v in G is denoted by $d_G(v)$ (for abbreviation $d(v)$). For every positive integer k, a k-regular graph is a graph in which each vertex has degree k. For every integer r, rG denotes the disjoint union of r copies of G. An *even graph* is a graph in which all degrees are even (an *odd graph* is similarly defined). A *block* of G is a maximal connected subgraph having no cut vertex. A *leaf block* of a connected graph G is a block of G containing exactly one cut vertex of G. A *bracelet graph* is a connected graph whose each block is an odd cycle. A *broken bracelet graph* is a graph one of whose the components is a bracelet graph or an isolated vertex. The complete graph and the cycle of order n is denoted by K_n

and C_n, respectively. Let D denote the graph which is the union of K_1 and K_2. For positive integers $m_1, \ldots, m_k$ ($k \geqslant 2$), let $K_{m_1,\ldots,m_k}$ denote the complete k-partite graph with part sizes $m_1, \ldots, m_k$. The *join* of two graphs G and H, $G \vee H$, is the graph obtained from $G \cup H$ by joining each vertex of G to each vertex of H. Let (D, f) be an ordered pair, where D is an orientation of $E(G)$ and let $f\colon E(G) \to \mathbb{Z}$ be an integer-valued function called a *flow*. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, let $E_G^+(v)$ and $E_G^-(v)$ denote the sets of all edges of G with tails at v and heads at v, respectively. Let λ be a positive integer. A λ-*flow* of a graph G is a flow f such that $|f(e)| < \lambda$ for every $e \in E(G)$ and for every $v \in V(G)$,

$$\sum_{e \in E^+(v)} f(e) = \sum_{e \in E^-(v)} f(e).$$

A *nowhere-zero* λ-*flow* (abbreviated as a λ-NZF) of a graph G is an ordered pair (D, f) such that for every edge $e \in E(G)$, $f(e) \in \{1, \ldots, \lambda - 1\}$. Let G be a graph, and λ the smallest integer for which G has a λ-NZF, i.e., an integer λ for which G admits a λ-NZF, but it does not admit a $(\lambda - 1)$-NZF. We denote the minimum flow number of G by $\Lambda(G)$. Let A be an abelian additive group. An A-NZF is a flow with values in $A \setminus \{0\}$. The *boundary* of f is a function $\partial f\colon V(G) \to A$ defined by

$$\partial f(v) = \sum_{e \in E^+(v)} f(e) - \sum_{e \in E^-(v)} f(e).$$

The concept of a nowhere-zero λ-flow was introduced by Tutte [8] as a generalization for face coloring problems in planar graphs. The Four-Color Theorem says that every planar graph is 4-colorable. The Four-Color Theorem is equivalent to saying that every bridgeless planar graph has a 4-NZF. However, in [7], Tutte formulated his famous 5-flow conjecture which is still open:

Conjecture. Every bridgeless graph admits a 5-NZF.

Jaeger [1] proved that every bridgeless graph has an 8-NZF, and Seymour [4] improved Jaeger's result by showing that every bridgeless graph has a 6-NZF. Tutte conjectured that every 4-edge connected graph admits a 3-NZF. Jaeger [2] proved that every 4-edge connected graph has a 4-NZF. In [3], the authors showed that if every edge of a graph G is contained in a cycle of length at most 4, then G admits a 4-NZF. In this paper, we determine the exact minimum flow number of $G \vee H$ for all graphs G and H.

Tutte [7] proved the following interesting result.

Theorem 1. *A multigraph admits a* λ-NZF if and only if it admits a $\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$-NZF.

The following theorem characterizes all graphs which admit a 2-NZF, see [9], page 308.

Theorem 2. *A graph has a* 2-NZF if and only if it is an even graph.

In this paper, we show that if G is a connected graph of order $n \geqslant 2$ which is not a bracelet graph, then $\Lambda(K_1 \vee G) \leqslant 3$. Also, if G is a graph of order at least 2 and it is not a union of one isolated vertex and a 1-regular graph, then $\Lambda(\overline{K_2} \vee G) \leqslant 3$. If $r \geqslant 3$ a positive integer, then we show that $\Lambda(\overline{K_r} \vee G) \leqslant 3$, unless $G \in \{K_1, D\}$. Let $r \geqslant 4$ be an integer. We prove that for every graph G of order at least 4, $\Lambda(\overline{K_r} \vee G) \leqslant 3$. Also it is shown that for every two arbitrary graphs G and H of order at least 4, $\Lambda(G \vee H) \leqslant 3$. Moreover, we prove that if G and H are two graphs such that G has no isolated vertex, then $\Lambda(G \vee H) \leqslant 3$, with the following two exceptions:

(i) One of the graphs G and H is K_2 and the other is 1-regular.
(ii) $H = K_1$ and G is a broken bracelet graph.

2. Minimum NZF for $\overline{K_r} \vee G$

Let G be a graph. In this section, we will determine the minimum flow number of $\overline{K_r} \vee G$ for every positive integer r. The next interesting result was proved by Thomassen and Toft [6].

Theorem 3. *Let G be a 2-connected graph of minimum degree at least 4. Then G contains an induced cycle C such that $G \setminus V(C)$ is connected and $G \setminus E(C)$ is 2-connected.*

The following theorem shows that if every edge of a graph is contained in a small cycle, then the minimum flow number does not exceed 4.

Theorem 4 ([3])**.** *If every edge of a graph is contained in a cycle of length at most 4, then the graph admits a* 4-NZF.

Now, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let G and H be two graphs. Then $\Lambda(G \vee H) \leqslant 4$, if one of the following holds:*

(i) *$|V(G)| = 1$ and H has no isolated vertices.*
(ii) *$|V(G)| \geqslant 2$ and $|V(H)| \geqslant 2$.*

Corollary 2. *Let G be a bracelet graph. Then $\Lambda(K_1 \vee G) = 4$.*

P r o o f. By Corollary 1, Part (i), $\Lambda(K_1 \vee G) \leqslant 4$. By Theorem 1, it suffices to prove that G has no $\mathbb{Z}_3$-NZF. We prove the corollary by induction on $n = |V(G)|$. Consider a leaf block of G and suppose that this block is C_{2k+1}. Let $V(C_{2k+1}) = \{v_0, \ldots, v_{2k}\}$, where v_0 is a cut vertex of G. By contradiction assume that $K_1 \vee G$ admits a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-NZF. With no loss of generality, assume that 3 edges incident with v_1 are outgoing with value 1 (note that in every $\mathbb{Z}_3$-NZF of a graph one can reverse the orientation of all edges with value 2 and change them to 1). Thus 3 edges incident with v_2 are incoming edges with value 1. By repeating this method, we conclude that 3 edges incident with v_{2k} are incoming edges with value 1. Let $H = K_1 \vee (G \setminus \{v_1, \ldots, v_{2k}\})$. Then H admits a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-NZF, which contradicts the induction hypothesis. Note that by a similar method one can see that, $\Lambda(K_1 \vee C_{2r+1}) > 3$ for every positive integer r. So by induction the proof is complete. $\square$

The next lemma plays a key role in the proofs.

Lemma 1. *Assume that G is a connected even graph of order n and size m and $S \subseteq V(G)$, where $|S|$ is even. Then G admits an orientation in which every edge has value 1 and $\partial f(v) = 2$ for every $v \in S_1$ and $\partial f(v) = -2$ for every $v \in S_2$, where $S_1, S_2 \subset S$ and $|S_i| = |S|/2$ for $i = 1, 2$. Moreover, for any $v \in V(G) \setminus S$, $\partial f(v) = 0$.*

P r o o f. Let $V(G) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$. With no loss of generality suppose that $V(S) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{2k}\}$. If $S = \emptyset$, then by Theorem 2, we are done. Thus assume that $S \neq \emptyset$. Since G is even, G has an Eulerian circuit with value 1, say $\mathcal{C}$: $v_1, v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \ldots, v_{i_{m-1}}, v_1$. Let j_1 be the smallest index for which $1 < i_{j_1} \leqslant 2k$. Orient the trail $v_1, v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \ldots, v_{i_{j_1}}$ is such a way that we obtain a directed trail from v_1 to $v_{i_{j_1}}$. Let $j_1 < j_2$ be the smallest index for which $i_{j_2} \leqslant 2k$ and $i_{j_2} \notin \{1, i_{j_1}\}$. Now, orient the trail $v_{i_{j_1}}, v_{i_{1+j_1}} \ldots, v_{i_{j_2}}$ in such a way that we obtain a directed trail from $v_{i_{j_2}}$ to $v_{i_{j_1}}$. Continue this procedure $2k-3$ times. Finally, orient the edges of the trail $v_{i_{j_{2k-1}}}, v_{i_{1+j_{2k-1}}}, \ldots, v_1$ in such a way that we obtain a directed trail from v_1 to $v_{i_{j_{2k-1}}}$. Now, let

$$S_1 = \{v_1, v_{i_{j_2}}, v_{i_{j_4}}, \ldots, v_{i_{j_{2k-2}}}\}, \quad S_2 = \{v_{i_{j_1}}, v_{i_{j_3}}, \ldots, v_{i_{j_{2k-1}}}\}.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 2. *Let G and H be two graphs such that $\Lambda(G), \Lambda(H) \leqslant 3$. Then $\Lambda(L) \leqslant 3$, where L is the graph shown in Figure 1.*



Figure 1. The graph L.

P r o o f. By Theorem 1, both G and H have a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-NZF, say f_1 and f_2, respectively, in which the orientation of e is the same. Now, define the following $\mathbb{Z}_3$-NZF f for L as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} f_1(x), & x \notin E(H), \\ if_2(x), & x \notin E(G), \\ f_1(e) + if_2(e), & x = e, \end{cases}$$

where $i = 1$ if $f_1(e) = f_2(e)$, and $i = 2$, otherwise. Now, by Theorem 1, we obtain the result. $\square$

Before we determine the minimum flow number of $K_1 \vee G$ for a graph G, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *If G has one of the following properties, then $\Lambda(K_1 \vee G) \leqslant 3$.*

(i) *Every component of G has an even number of vertices.*

(ii) *Every component of G has at least one vertex of odd degree.*

P r o o f. Obviously, it is enough to prove the lemma for a connected graph.

(i) Let $S = \{v \in V(G);\ d_G(v) \text{ is even}\}$. Since G has an even number of odd vertices, $|S|$ is even. Add a new vertex x and join this vertex to all vertices of odd degree in G and call the new graph H. If G has no odd vertex, then let $H = G$. By Lemma 1, we can find an orientation for H such that $S_1, S_2 \subset S$, $|S_i| = |S|/2$ for $i = 1, 2$, and S_1 and S_2 have the desired property. Now, join x to all vertices of S to form $K_1 \vee G$. Orient all edges incident with x and one endpoint in S_1 from x to S_1 and label them by 2. Do the same for S_2 and orient the edges from S_2 to x. This achieves a 3-NZF for $K_1 \vee G$.

(ii) If $|V(G)|$ is even, then by Part (i), we are done. So suppose that $|V(G)|$ is odd. Now, add a new vertex x to G and join it to all vertices of odd degree in G. Name this new graph H. Clearly, H is even. Define $S = \{v \in V(G);\ d_G(v) \text{is even}\} \cup \{x\}$. By Lemma 1, we can find an orientation for H such that $S_1, S_2 \subset S$, $|S_i| = |S|/2$ for $i = 1, 2$, and S_1 and S_2 have the desired property. Now, join x to v for every $v \in S_1 \setminus \{x\}$ and orient the edge xv from x to v with value 2. Then join x to every $u \in S_2 \setminus \{x\}$ and orient the edge ux from u to x with value 2. Now, the proof is complete. $\square$

Theorem 5. *If G is a connected graph of order $n \geqslant 2$ which is not a bracelet graph, then $\Lambda(K_1 \vee G) \leqslant 3$.*

Proof. We apply induction on $|V(G)| + |E(G)|$. By Lemma 3, we can assume that G is an even graph of odd order. We consider two cases:

Case 1. G is 2-connected. We divide the proof of this case into two subcases:

Case 1.1. Assume that for every $v \in V(G)$, $d(v) \geqslant 4$. In this case, by Theorem 3 there exists an induced cycle C such that $H = G \setminus E(C)$ is 2-connected. Since C is an induced cycle, it is not hard to see that H is not a bracelet graph. Therefore by induction hypothesis $\Lambda(K_1 \vee G) \leqslant 3$.

Case 1.2. G has a vertex of degree 2. Since G is an even graph which is not an odd cycle, G has a vertex of degree at least 4. Let $V(G) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$. Since G is connected, there are two adjacent vertices v_1 and v_2 such that $d(v_1) = 2$ and $d(v_2) \geqslant 4$. Consider the following Eulerian circuit of G:

$$\mathcal{C}\colon\ v_1 v_2 v_{m_1} v_{m_2} \ldots v_{m_{|E(G)|-2}} v_1.$$

Since $d(v_2) \geqslant 4$, v_2 appears at least twice in $\mathcal{C}$. Suppose that t is the smallest index such that $v_2 = v_{m_t}$. We claim that there exists a sequence $j_1 < j_2 < \ldots < j_{n-2}$ such that $\{v_3, v_4, \ldots, v_n\} = \{v_{m_{j_1}}, v_{m_{j_2}}, \ldots, v_{m_{j_{n-2}}}\}$ and $S = \{v_{m_{j_k}}\ ;\ j_k > t,\ 1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-2\}$ has even cardinality. Since every vertex of G appears at least once in $\mathcal{C}$, we conclude that there exists a sequence $s_1 < s_2 < \ldots < s_{n-2}$ such that $\{v_3, v_4, \ldots, v_n\} = \{v_{m_{s_1}}, v_{m_{s_2}}, \ldots, v_{m_{s_{n-2}}}\}$. Let $S' = \{v_{m_{s_i}}\ ;\ s_i > t\}$. If $|S'|$ is even, then we are done. So, suppose that $|S'|$ is odd. Two closed trails $v_2 v_{m_1} v_{m_2} \ldots v_{m_t}$ and $v_{m_t} \ldots v_{m_{|E(G)|-2}} v_1 v_2$ partition all edges of G. If these two trails have only v_2 as a common vertex, then v_2 is a cut vertex and this contradicts the 2-connectedness of G. Thus there is a vertex $u \neq v_2$ in both trails. Since $d(v_1) = 2$, we have $u \neq v_1$. Therefore there exist positive integers p and q such that $p < t < q$ and $u = v_{m_p} = v_{m_q}$. Assume that $u = v_{m_{s_l}}$. If $s_l < t$, then we replace s_l by q. Otherwise, we replace s_l by p. This relabeling of indices makes $|S'|$ even and the claim is proved.

Let $r = n - 2 - |S|$. Since n is odd and $|S|$ is even, $r \neq 0$. Thus $j_r < t < j_{r+1}$. Add a new vertex x and join it to v_1 and v_2, then remove the edge $v_1 v_2$. Clearly, the resultant graph is even. Consider the directed Eulerian circuit $v_1 x v_2 v_{m_1} \ldots v_{m_{|E(G)|-2}} v_1$ in which the values of all edges are 1. Now, if $r \neq n-2$, define the following $n+1$ trails:

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= v_1 x, \\ T_2 &= x v_2 v_{m_1} \ldots v_{m_{j_1}}, \\ T_3 &= v_{m_{j_1}} \ldots v_{m_{j_2}}, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{r+1} &= v_{m_{j_{r-1}}} \dots v_{m_{j_r}}, \\
T_{r+2} &= v_{m_{j_r}} \dots v_{m_t}, \\
T_{r+3} &= v_{m_t} \dots v_{m_{j_{r+1}}}, \\
&\vdots \\
T_n &= v_{m_{j_{n-3}}} \dots v_{m_{j_{n-2}}}, \\
T_{n+1} &= v_{m_{j_{n-2}}} \dots v_{m_{|E(G)|-2}} v_1,
\end{aligned}
$$

and if $r = n-2$, define the trails T_i, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r+2$, as before, define $T_{r+3} = v_{m_t} \dots v_{m_{|E(G)|-2}} v_1$. For $i = 1, \dots, (n+1)/2$, reverse the orientation of all edges of T_{2i}. Since $|S|$ is even, r is odd. It is not hard to see that $\partial f(v_1) = 2$, $\partial f(v_2) = \partial f(x) = -2$ and there are $(n-1)/2$ other vertices whose boundaries are 2 and the boundaries of other vertices are -2. Join x to all vertices with boundary -2 except v_2 and orient these edges with head at x. Also, join x to all vertices with boundary 2 except v_1 and orient them with tail at x. It is straightforward to see that we obtain a 3-NZF for $K_1 \vee G$.

Case 2: G is not 2-connected. First, assume that G has a leaf block, say B, which is an odd cycle. Let $V(K_1) = \{x\}$. Therefore, $K_1 \vee G$ is in Figure 2:



Figure 2. Graph G with an odd cycle as a leaf block.

Let $N_B(y) = \{z, t\}$ and $M = (\{x\} \vee B) \setminus \{xy\}$. Remove y from M and join z to t. By Lemma 3, Part (i), the resultant graph admits a 3-NZF. Since $d_M(y) = 2$, so $\Lambda(M) \leqslant 3$. Since B is an odd cycle and G is not a bracelet graph, $G \setminus (V(B) \setminus \{y\})$ is not a bracelet graph. By induction hypothesis, $\{x\} \vee (G \setminus (V(B) \setminus \{y\}))$ has a 3-NZF. This implies that $\Lambda(K_1 \vee G) \leqslant 3$.

Now, assume that no leaf block is an odd cycle. Consider a leaf block of G, say B. By induction hypothesis, $\Lambda(\{x\} \vee B) \leqslant 3$ and $\Lambda(K_1 \vee (G \setminus (V(B) \setminus \{y\}))) \leqslant 3$. Now, by Lemma 2, $\Lambda(K_1 \vee G) \leqslant 3$ and the proof is complete. $\square$

Now, we have an immediate corollary.

Corollary 3. *Let G be a graph which is not a broken bracelet graph. Then* $\Lambda(K_1 \vee G) \leqslant 3$.

Corollary 4. *If G is not a 1-regular graph, then* $\Lambda(K_2 \vee G) \leqslant 3$.

Proof. We wish to show that if G is not 1-regular, then $K_1 \vee G$ cannot be a bracelet graph. By contradiction, suppose that all blocks of $K_1 \vee G$ are odd cycles. Clearly, for each graph H, $K_1 \vee H$ has at most one cut vertex. Therefore, $K_1 \vee G$ can only be in Figure 3:



Figure 3. The graph $K_1 \vee G$.

Since each vertex other than the cut vertex has degree 2, G should be 1-regular, which is a contradiction. So by Theorem 5, $\Lambda(K_1 \vee (K_1 \vee G) = K_2 \vee G) \leqslant 3$. $\square$

Remark 1. Let $H = nK_2$ for some positive integer n, and $G = K_2 \vee H$. We show that $\Lambda(G) = 4$. To see this, by Corollary 1, Part (ii), we have $\Lambda(G) \leqslant 4$. By contradiction assume that $\Lambda(G) = 3$. By Theorem 1, G has a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-NZF. We can assume that the value of each edge is 1, because by reversing the orientation of any edge labeled by 2 and changing 2 to 1, we achieve a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-NZF with value 1. If $v \in V(H)$ and $d_G(v) = 3$, then clearly all edges incident with v are outgoing or incoming. This yields that the value of the edge xy should be zero, where $V(K_2) = \{x, y\}$, a contradiction. Therefore $\Lambda(G) = 4$.

Theorem 6. *If G is a graph of order at least 2 and G is not a union of one isolated vertex and a 1-regular graph, then* $\Lambda(\overline{K_2} \vee G) \leqslant 3$.

Proof. If G contains an isolated vertex t, then by Corollary 4, $\Lambda(K_2 \vee (G \setminus \{t\})) \leqslant 3$. Since the degree of t is 2 in $\overline{K_2} \vee G$, it is not hard to see that $\Lambda(\overline{K_2} \vee G) \leqslant 3$. Thus we can assume that G has no isolated vertex. We claim that if H is a graph and $\Lambda(K_1 \vee H) \leqslant 3$, then $\Lambda(\overline{K_2} \vee H) \leqslant 3$. Let $V(\overline{K_2}) = \{x, y\}$. Assume that f is a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-NZF for $\{x\} \vee H$. Now, we define a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-NZF, say g, for $\overline{K_2} \vee H$ as follows:

For every $v \in V(H)$ orient the edge yv, in the same way as the edge xv, and keep the orientation of all edges of H and define $g(xv) = g(yv) = f(xv)$, $g(e) = 2f(e)$, for every $e \in E(H)$. It is straightforward to see that g is a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-NZF for $\overline{K_2} \vee H$ and so $\Lambda(\overline{K_2} \vee H) \leqslant 3$ and the claim is proved.

Let $G_1, \ldots, G_r$ be the connected components of G, for some positive integer r. If G_i is not a bracelet graph, then by Theorem 5, $\Lambda(K_1 \vee G_i) \leqslant 3$. So by the claim, we conclude that $\Lambda(\overline{K_2} \vee G_i) \leqslant 3$. Now, if G_i is a bracelet graph, then $\Lambda(G_i) = 2$. On the other hand by [5], $\Lambda(K_{2,|V(G_i)|}) \leqslant 3$. Therefore $\Lambda(\overline{K_2} \vee G) \leqslant 3$. $\square$

Remark 2. If G is a union of an isolated vertex and a 1-regular graph and $|V(G)| \geqslant 2$, then $\Lambda(\overline{K_2} \vee G) = 4$, since if t is an isolated vertex, the existence of a λ-NZF for $\overline{K_2} \vee G$ is equivalent to the existence of a λ-NZF for $K_2 \vee (G \setminus \{t\})$. Now, by Remark 1, $\Lambda(\overline{K_2} \vee G) = 4$.

In the next result we obtain the minimum flow number of the join of $\overline{K_r}$, $(r \geqslant 3)$ and an arbitrary graph.

Theorem 7. *Let $r \geqslant 3$ be a positive integer. Then $\Lambda(\overline{K_r} \vee G) \leqslant 3$, unless $G \in \{K_1, D\}$.*

Proof. If $n = |V(G)| = 2$, then by Corollary 4 and [5], the assertion holds. Thus assume that $n \geqslant 3$. Let $V(\overline{K_r}) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$. Suppose there is no positive integer s such that $G = K_1 \cup sK_2$. By Theorem 6, $\Lambda(\overline{K_2} \vee G) \leqslant 3$. First, let $r = 4$. By [5], $\Lambda(K_{2,n}) \leqslant 3$ and so $\Lambda(\overline{K_4} \vee G) \leqslant 3$. Now, assume that $r = 3$. Consider a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-NZF, say f_1, for $\{v_1, v_2\} \vee G$ and a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-NZF, say f_2, for $\{v_2, v_3\} \vee G$ such that the orientation and the value of all edges of G and all edges incident with v_2 in f_1 and f_2 are the same. Clearly, $f_1 + f_2$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-NZF for $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \vee G \cong \overline{K_3} \vee G$.

Now, let $r \in \{3, 4\}$ and $G = K_1 \cup sK_2$, for some positive integer $s > 1$. By induction on s, we show that $\Lambda(\overline{K_r} \vee G) \leqslant 3$. For $s = 2$, the result follows from Figure 4 (the value of each edge is 1):



Figure 4. A $\mathbb{Z}_3$-NZF for $\overline{K_r} \vee (K_1 \cup 2K_2)$, $r = 3, 4$.

Now, assume that $s \geqslant 3$. By induction hypothesis, $\Lambda(\overline{K_r} \vee (K_1 \cup (s-1)K_2)) \leqslant 3$. On the other hand, by Corollary 4, $\Lambda(\overline{K_r} \vee K_2) \leqslant 3$. These, yield that $\Lambda(\overline{K_r} \vee G) \leqslant 3$.

Finally, let $r \geqslant 5$. We know that $\Lambda(\overline{K_3} \vee G) \leqslant 3$. On the other hand facts by [5], $\Lambda(K_{r-3,n}) \leqslant 3$ and so $\Lambda(\overline{K_r} \vee G) \leqslant 3$. $\square$

Remark 3. For every positive integer $r \geqslant 2$, $\Lambda(\overline{K_r} \vee D) = 4$. To see this by Theorem 4, it suffices to prove that $\overline{K_r} \vee D$ does not admit a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-NZF. By contradiction assume that $\overline{K_r} \vee D$ admits a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-NZF, f, in which the value of each edge is 1. In such a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-NZF of $\overline{K_r} \vee D$, 3 edges incident with each vertex of $\overline{K_r}$ are incoming or outgoing edges. This yields that $0 = \partial f(z) = \partial f(x) - f(xy)$, where $V(K_1) = \{z\}$ and $V(K_2) = \{x, y\}$. Thus $f(xy) = 0$, a contradiction. So $\Lambda(\overline{K_r} \vee D) = 4$.

3. Minimum NZF for join of two graphs

In this section, we show that except a few cases, the join of two arbitrary graphs has minimum flow number at most 3.

Theorem 8. *Let G and H be two graphs such that G has no isolated vertex. Then $\Lambda(G \vee H) \leqslant 3$, with the following two exceptions:*

(i) *One of the graphs G and H is K_2 and the other is 1-regular.*

(ii) *$H = K_1$ and G is a broken bracelet graph.*

Proof. Let $n_1 = |V(G)|$ and $n_2 = |V(H)|$. We use induction on $|V(G)| + |V(H)| + |E(G)| + |E(H)|$. We divide the proof into three cases:

Case 1. First assume that H has at least two isolated vertices. If $H = \overline{K_2}$, then since G has no isolated vertex by Theorem 6, $\Lambda(G \vee H) \leqslant 3$. Thus assume that $H \neq \overline{K_2}$. Remove two isolated vertices of H and call the resultant graph by H'. If none of the above exceptions holds for two graphs G and H', then by induction hypothesis, $\Lambda(G \vee H') \leqslant 3$. Moreover, by [5], $\Lambda(K_{2,n_1}) \leqslant 3$. So, $\Lambda(G \vee H) \leqslant 3$. Now, suppose that one of the exceptions holds for G or H'. So we have the following subcases:

Case 1.1. Let $G = K_2$ and $H' = rK_2$, for some positive integer r. We have $H = \overline{K_2} \cup H'$. Now, by Corollary 4, $\Lambda(G \vee H) \leqslant 3$.

Case 1.2. Let $H' = K_2$ and $G = rK_2$, for some positive integer r. By Theorem 6, $\Lambda(\overline{K_2} \vee G) \leqslant 3$. Also by Corollary 4, $\Lambda(K_2 \vee \overline{K_{2r}}) \leqslant 3$ and so $\Lambda(G \vee H) \leqslant 3$.

Case 1.3. G is a broken bracelet graph and $H' = K_1$. In this case $H = \overline{K_3}$ and by Theorem 7 we are done.

Case 2. Now, assume that H has exactly one isolated vertex. If $H = K_1$, then by Corollary 3, we are done. Thus let $n_2 \geqslant 2$. If G is not connected and G_1 is a component of G, then by induction hypothesis, $\Lambda((G \setminus V(G_1)) \vee H) \leqslant 3$ and $\Lambda(G_1 \vee \overline{K_{n_2}}) \leqslant 3$. These facts imply that $\Lambda(G \vee H) \leqslant 3$. Now, assume that G is

connected. If G is not a bracelet graph, then by Theorem 5, $\Lambda(G \vee K_1) \leqslant 3$. On the other hand, by induction hypothesis $\Lambda(\overline{K_{n_1}} \vee (H \setminus V(K_1))) \leqslant 3$. Hence $\Lambda(G \vee H) \leqslant 3$. Now, let G be a bracelet graph. Call the isolated vertex of H by v. First, assume that $G = C_{2k+1}$ and $V(C_{2k+1}) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{2k+1}\}$, for some positive integer k. Let $P\colon v_1v_2v_3$ be a 3-path on the cycle C_{2k+1}. We have $\Lambda(\{v\} \vee P) \leqslant 3$. Also, the union of triangles $vv_4v_5, vv_6v_7, \ldots, vv_{2k}v_{2k+1}$ has a 2-NZF. On the other hand, since $H \setminus \{v\}$ has no isolated vertex, by induction hypothesis $\Lambda((K_1 \cup kK_2) \vee (H \setminus \{v\})) \leqslant 3$. Thus in this case $\Lambda(G \vee H) \leqslant 3$. Now, assume that G is not an odd cycle. Therefore G is in Figure 5:



Figure 5. A bracelet graph with a leaf block isomorphic to C_k.

Let $G' = G \setminus (V(C_k) \setminus \{u\})$. By induction hypothesis $\Lambda(G' \vee H) \leqslant 3$. Moreover, $\Lambda(C_k) = 2$. Now, by [5], $\Lambda(K_{k-1,n_2}) \leqslant 3$. Thus $\Lambda(G \vee H) \leqslant 3$.

Case 3. Now, assume that H has no isolated vertex. If one of the graphs G and H is a broken bracelet graph, then by removing the edges of a leaf block of a bracelet component and using induction we obtain a 3-NZF for $G \vee H$. Thus assume that neither of the graphs G and H is a broken bracelet graph. If $n_1 = 2$ or $n_2 = 2$, then by Corollary 4, we are done. So let $n_1, n_2 \geqslant 3$. Now, let $x \in V(G)$ and $y \in V(H)$. By Corollary 3, $\Lambda(\{x\} \vee H) \leqslant 3$ and $\Lambda(\{y\} \vee G) \leqslant 3$. Moreover, by [5], $\Lambda(K_{n_1-1,n_2-1}) \leqslant 3$. Now, using Lemma 2, it is not hard to see that $\Lambda(G \vee H) \leqslant 3$ and the proof is complete. $\square$

The next result proves that the minimum flow number of the join of any two graphs of order at least 4 does not exceed 3.

Theorem 9. *Let G and H be two graphs of order at least 4. Then $\Lambda(G \vee H) \leqslant 3$.*

Proof. Let $n_1 = |V(G)|$ and $n_2 = |V(H)|$. We prove the theorem by induction on $|E(G)| + |E(H)|$. First, let one of the graphs G and H, say G, have at least two isolated vertices. If H has no isolated vertex, then by Theorem 8, $\Lambda(G \vee H) \leqslant 3$.

Thus we can assume that H has at least one isolated vertex. If $G = \overline{K_{n_1}}$, then by Theorem 7, we are done. Thus assume that G has at least one edge. Let $G = G_1 \cup \overline{K_r}$, for some positive integer $r (r \geqslant 2)$, where $\delta(G_1) \geqslant 1$. Now, by Theorem 8, $\Lambda(G_1 \vee H) \leqslant 3$. By [5], $\Lambda(K_{r,n_2}) \leqslant 3$ and so $\Lambda(G \vee H) \leqslant 3$.

Therefore, by Theorem 8, we can assume that both G and H have exactly one isolated vertex. Let $G = G_1 \cup \{u\}$ and $H = H_1 \cup \{v\}$, where u and v are isolated vertices of G and H, respectively. If G contains a cycle, then remove all edges of this cycle and apply the induction to obtain a 3-NZF for $G \vee H$. Thus one can assume that G_1 is not a broken bracelet graph. Similarly, H_1 is not a broken bracelet graph. Let $x \in V(G_1)$ and $y \in V(H_1)$. By Corollary 3, $\Lambda(\{x\} \vee H_1) \leqslant 3$ and $\Lambda(\{y\} \vee G_1) \leqslant 3$. Let $M = \{xp, yq;\ p \in V(H_1), q \in V(G_1)\}$. Now, by Lemma 2, the induced subgraph of $G_1 \vee H_1$ on $E(G_1) \cup E(H_1) \cup M$, L, has a 3-NZF. Let $T = (G \vee H) \setminus (\{x, y\} \cup E(L))$. Clearly, $T \cong K_{n_1-1,n_2-1}$. Consider the 4-cycle with vertex set $\{x, y, u, v\}$ and call it by C. We know that $\Lambda(C) = 2$. We have $E((G \vee H) \setminus (E(L) \setminus \{xy\})) = E(T) \cup E(C)$. Now, by Lemma 2 and [5], $\Lambda((G \vee H) \setminus (E(L) \setminus \{xy\})) \leqslant 3$. Again, using Lemma 2, $\Lambda(G \vee H) \leqslant 3$. The proof is complete. $\square$

We close the paper with the following result.

Theorem 10. *Let G be a graph. Then $\Lambda(D \vee G) = 3$, unless $G = \overline{K_r}$ for some positive integer r.*

Proof. First, notice that since $D \vee G$ has at least one vertex of odd degree, by Theorem 2, $\Lambda(D \vee G) \geqslant 3$. We use induction on $|V(G)| + |E(G)|$. Assume that G has at least one edge and $G_1, \ldots, G_t$ are all components of G of order at least 2 and G has s isolated vertices ($s \geqslant 0$). Suppose that $G \neq K_1 \cup 2K_2$. If $t \geqslant 2$, then there exists a component of G, say G_1, such that $G \setminus V(G_1) \neq D$. Hence by induction hypothesis, $\Lambda(D \vee G_1) = 3$ and by Theorem 7, $\Lambda(\overline{K_3} \vee (G \setminus V(G_1))) \leqslant 3$. Therefore $\Lambda(D \vee G) = 3$. Now, if $G = K_1 \cup 2K_2$, then Figure 6 as well as Theorem 1, show that $\Lambda(D \vee G) = 3$.



Figure 6. A $\mathbb{Z}_3$-flow for $D \vee (K_1 \cup 2K_2)$.

Now, suppose that $t = 1$. If $s \geqslant 2$, then using induction hypothesis and [5], we have $\Lambda(D \vee G_1) = 3$ and $\Lambda(K_{3,s}) \leqslant 3$, and we are done. Hence, let $s \leqslant 1$. If $s = 0$,

then the result follows from Theorem 8. Now, let $s = 1$. If G_1 is a bracelet graph and G_1 is not an odd cycle, then by removing all edges of a block of G_1 and using induction hypothesis the assertion holds. Thus assume that $G_1 = C_{2k+1}$, for some positive integer k. Now, by induction on k, we show that $\Lambda(D \vee (C_{2k+1} \cup K_1)) = 3$. Figure 7 shows that the assertion holds for $k = 1$:



Figure 7. A $\mathbb{Z}_3$-flow for $D \vee (C_3 \cup K_1)$.

Assume that $\Lambda(D \vee (C_{2k-1} \cup K_1)) = 3$. Let $V(C_{2k-1}) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{2k-1}\}$. Take a 3-NZF for $D \vee (C_{2k-1} \cup K_1)$. Replace the edge v_1v_2 by a path P of order 4, $P\colon\ v_1pqv_2$. Orient and label all edges of P in the same way as v_1v_2. Now, since $\Lambda(K_{2,3}) \leqslant 3$, we find that $\Lambda(D \vee (C_{2k+1} \cup K_1)) = 3$.

Now, suppose that G_1 is not a bracelet graph. If $G_1 = K_2$, since $\Lambda(D \vee D) = 3$, the assertion holds. Hence, let $G_1 \neq K_2$. Thus, $D \vee G$ is shown in Figure 8:



Figure 8. $D \vee (G_1 \cup \{t\})$.

Now, let $L = (D \vee \{u, t\}) \setminus \{xu\}$. Obviously, L admits a 3-NZF. On the other hand, $\{y, z\} \vee (G_1 \setminus (E(G_1) \cup \{u\})) \cong K_{2,n-2}$, where $n = |V(G)|$. By Theorem 5, $\Lambda(\{x\} \vee G_1) \leqslant 3$. Therefore, $\Lambda(D \vee G) = 3$ and the proof is complete. $\square$

References

[1] *F. Jaeger*: Flows and generalized coloring theorems in graphs. J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B *26* (1979), 205–216.

[2] *F. Jaeger*: Nowhere-zero flow problems. Selected Topics in Graph Theory 3. Academic Press, San Diego, 1988, pp. 71–95.

[3] *R. Luo, W. Zang, C. Zhang*: Nowhere-zero 4-flows, simultaneous edge-colorings, and critical partial Latin squares. Combinatorica *24* (2004), 641–657.

[4] *P. D. Seymour*: Nowhere-zero 6-flows. J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B *30* (1981), 130–135.

[5] *H. Shahmohamad*: On minimum flow number of graphs. Bull. Inst. Comb. Appl. *35* (2002), 26–36.

[6] *C. Thomassen, B. Toft*: Non-separating induced cycles in graphs. J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B *31* (1981), 199–224.

[7] *W. T. Tutte*: A contribution to the theory of chromatic polynomials. Can. J. Math. *6* (1954), 80–91.

[8] *W. T. Tutte*: On the imbedding of linear graphs in surfaces. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser. *51* (1949), 474–483.

[9] *D. B. West*: Introduction to Graph Theory. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, 1996.

Authors' addresses: S. Akbari, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Sharif University of Technology; School of Mathematics, Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics, P. O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran, e-mail: `s_akbari@sharif.edu`; M. Aliakbarpour, Department of Computer Engineering, Sharif University of Technology, P. O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran, e-mail: `aliakbarpour@ce.sharif.edu`; N. Ghanbari, Department of Electrical Engineering, Sharif University of Technology, P. O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran, e-mail: `niloofar.ghanbari@yahoo.com`; E. Nategh, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Sharif University of Technology, P. O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran, e-mail: `e.nategh@gmail.com`; H. Shahmohamad, School of Mathematical Sciences, RIT, Rochester, New York 14623, USA, e-mail: `hxssma@rit.edu`.