

Grigore S. Sălăgean; Adela Venter

On the order of convolution consistence of the analytic functions with negative coefficients

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 142 (2017), No. 4, 381–386

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/146977>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2017

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON THE ORDER OF CONVOLUTION CONSISTENCE OF THE
ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS WITH NEGATIVE COEFFICIENTS

GRIGORE S. SĂLĂŢEAN, Cluj-Napoca, ADELA VENTER, Oradea

Received May 4, 2015. First published February 2, 2017.

Communicated by Dagmar Medková

Abstract. Making use of a modified Hadamard product, or convolution, of analytic functions with negative coefficients, combined with an integral operator, we study when a given analytic function is in a given class. Following an idea of U. Bednarz and J. Sokół, we define the order of convolution consistence of three classes of functions and determine a given analytic function for certain classes of analytic functions with negative coefficients.

Keywords: analytic function with negative coefficients; univalent function; extreme point; order of convolution consistence; starlikeness; convexity

MSC 2010: 30C45, 30C50

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of analytic functions in the unit disc $\mathcal{U} = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ normalized by $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ and let $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

Definition 1 ([4]). We define the operator $D^n: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for $z \in \mathcal{U}$ by:

- a) $D^0 f(z) = f(z)$,
- b) $D^1 f(z) = Df(z) = zf'(z)$,
- c) $D^n f(z) = D(D^{n-1} f(z))$.

Definition 2 ([4]). Let $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We define the class $\mathcal{S}_n(\alpha)$ of n -starlike functions of order α by

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{S}_n(\alpha) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A}: \operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} > \alpha, \quad z \in \mathcal{U} \right\}.$$

Denote by \mathcal{S}_n the class $\mathcal{S}_n(0)$. We note that $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{ST}$ is the class of starlike functions and $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{CV}$ is the class of convex functions.

The convolution, or the Hadamard product, of two functions f and g in \mathcal{A} of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_j z^j$$

is the function $(f * g)$ defined as

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j b_j z^j.$$

Let us consider the integral operator (see [2], [1], [4]) $\mathcal{I}^s: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{I}^s f(z) = \mathcal{I}^s \left(z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j \right) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_j}{j^s} z^j.$$

Definition 3 ([2]). Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{Z} be subsets of \mathcal{A} . We say that the triple $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ is S -closed under the convolution if there exists a number $S(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad S(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}) = \min\{s \in \mathbb{R}: \mathcal{I}^s(f * g) \in \mathcal{Z}, f \in \mathcal{X}, g \in \mathcal{Y}\}.$$

The number $S(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ is called the *order of convolution consistence* of the triple $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$.

Bednarz and Sokòł in [2] obtained the order of convolution consistence for certain classes of univalent functions (starlike, convex, uniform-starlike or uniform-convex functions). For example they proved the following statement.

Theorem 1 ([2]). *We have the following orders of convolution consistence:*

- (i) $S(\mathcal{ST}, \mathcal{ST}, \mathcal{ST}) = 1$,
- (ii) $S(\mathcal{CV}, \mathcal{CV}, \mathcal{ST}) = -1$,
- (iii) $S(\mathcal{CV}, \mathcal{ST}, \mathcal{ST}) = 0$,
- (iv) $S(\mathcal{ST}, \mathcal{ST}, \mathcal{CV}) = 2$,
- (v) $S(\mathcal{CV}, \mathcal{CV}, \mathcal{CV}) = 0$,
- (vi) $S(\mathcal{CV}, \mathcal{ST}, \mathcal{CV}) = 1$.

Let \mathcal{N} denote the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of analytic functions of the form

$$(1.4) \quad f(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j, \quad a_j \geq 0, \quad j \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{T}_n(\alpha) = \mathcal{S}_n(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{N}$ is the class of n -starlike functions of order α with negative coefficients. In particular, $\mathcal{T}_0(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha)$ are the class of starlike functions of order α with negative coefficients and the class of convex functions of order α with negative coefficients, respectively, introduced by Silverman [8]. We denote $\mathcal{T}_n(0)$ by \mathcal{T}_n .

The modified Hadamard product, or \otimes -convolution, of two functions f and g in \mathcal{N} of the form

$$(1.5) \quad f(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_j z^j, \quad a_j, b_j \geq 0,$$

is the function $(f \otimes g)$ defined as (see [7])

$$(f \otimes g)(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j b_j z^j.$$

As in Definition 3, we define the *order of \otimes -convolution consistence* of the triple $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$, where \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{Z} are subsets of \mathcal{N} , denoted S_{\otimes} by

$$(1.6) \quad S_{\otimes}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}) = \min\{s \in \mathbb{R} : \mathcal{I}^s(f \otimes g) \in \mathcal{Z}, f \in \mathcal{X}, g \in \mathcal{Y}\}.$$

In this paper we obtain similar results as in Theorem 1 but for the class \mathcal{T}_n and for \otimes -convolution.

We need the following characterization of the class \mathcal{T}_n .

Theorem 2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $f \in \mathcal{N}$ be a function of the form (1.4). Then f belongs to \mathcal{T}_n if and only if*

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{n+1} a_j \leq 1.$$

The result is sharp and the extremal functions are

$$(1.7) \quad f_j(z) = z - \frac{1}{j^{n+1}} z^j, \quad j \in \{2, 3, \dots\}.$$

A proof of this theorem in the particular cases $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ is given by Silverman in [8] and by Gupta and Jain in [3]. In a more general form (for $\mathcal{T}_n(\alpha)$) it is given in [5] and [6].

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3. *If $f \in \mathcal{T}_{n+p}$ and $g \in \mathcal{T}_{n+q}$, then $\mathcal{I}^s(f \otimes g) \in T_{n+r}$, where $p, q, r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and when*

$$(2.1) \quad s = r - p - q - n - 1.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{T}_{n+p}$ and $g \in \mathcal{T}_{n+q}$, if f and g have the form (1.5), then from Theorem 1 we have

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{n+p+1} a_j \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{n+q+1} b_j \leq 1$$

and by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we deduce

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{n+(p+q)/2+1} \sqrt{a_j b_j} \leq 1.$$

We need to find conditions on s, r, p, q, n such that

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{n+r+1-s} a_j b_j \leq 1.$$

Thus it is sufficient to show that

$$j^{n+r+1-s} a_j b_j \leq j^{n+(p+q)/2+1} \sqrt{a_j b_j},$$

that is, that

$$\sqrt{a_j b_j} \leq j^{s-r+(p+q)/2}, \quad j \in \{2, 3, \dots\}.$$

But from (2.2) we know that

$$\sqrt{a_j b_j} \leq j^{-n-(p+q)/2-1}, \quad j \in \{2, 3, \dots\}.$$

Consequently, it is sufficient to show that

$$j^{-n-(p+q)/2-1} \leq j^{s-r+(p+q)/2}, \quad j \in \{2, 3, \dots\},$$

or, equivalently, that

$$(2.3) \quad j^{r-s-n-p-q-1} \leq 1, \quad j \in \{2, 3, \dots\},$$

but the inequalities (2.3) hold for s, r, p, q, n satisfying (2.1).

Finally, by using the extremal functions (see (1.7)) $f_2(z) = z - z^2/2^{n+p+1} \in \mathcal{T}_{n+p}$ and $g_2(z) = z - z^2/2^{n+q+1} \in \mathcal{T}_{n+q}$ we can see that

$$\mathcal{I}^s(f_2 \otimes g_2) = z - \frac{z^2}{2^{2n+s+p+q+2}}.$$

But from (2.1) we deduce

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{I}^s(f_2 \otimes g_2) = z - \frac{z^2}{2^{n+r+1}} \in \mathcal{T}_{n+r},$$

and this shows that the result in Theorem 3 is sharp. \square

Theorem 4. *Let $p, q, r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let s be given by (2.1). Then the order of \otimes -convolution consistence*

$$(2.5) \quad S_{\otimes}(\mathcal{T}_{n+p}, \mathcal{T}_{n+q}, \mathcal{T}_{n+r}) = s = r - p - q - n - 1.$$

Proof. Theorem 3 shows that $S_{\otimes}(\mathcal{T}_{n+p}, \mathcal{T}_{n+q}, \mathcal{T}_{n+r}) \leq s$ and from (2.4) we have $S_{\otimes}(\mathcal{T}_{n+p}, \mathcal{T}_{n+q}, \mathcal{T}_{n+r}) \geq s$. \square

Corollary 1. *We have the following orders of \otimes -convolution consistence:*

- (a) $S_{\otimes}(\mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T}_0) = -1,$
- (b) $S_{\otimes}(\mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T}_1) = 0,$
- (c) $S_{\otimes}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T}_0) = -2,$
- (d) $S_{\otimes}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_0) = -3,$
- (e) $S_{\otimes}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T}_1) = -1,$
- (f) $S_{\otimes}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_1) = -2.$

We note that $\mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{ST} \cap \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{CV} \cap \mathcal{N}$ and it is easy to compare the results of Theorem 1 to those of Corollary 1.

References

- [1] *C. M. Bălăești:* An integral operator associated with differential subordinations. An. Ştiinţ. Univ. "Ovidius" Constanţa Ser. Mat. 17 (2009), 37–44.
- [2] *U. Bednarz, J. Sokół:* On order convolution consistence of the analytic functions. Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. 55 (2010), 45–51.
- [3] *V. P. Gupta, P. K. Jain:* Certain classes of univalent functions with negative coefficients. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 14 (1976), 409–416.
- [4] *G. S. Sălăgean:* Subclasses of univalent functions. Complex Analysis, Proceedings 5th Rom.-Finn. Semin., Bucharest 1981, Part 1 (C. Andreian Cazacu at al., eds.). Lecture Notes in Math. 1013. Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp. 362–372.

- [5] *G. S. Sălăgean*: Classes of univalent functions with two fixed points. Itinerant Seminar on Functional Equations, Approximation and Convexity, Cluj-Napoca, 1984. Univ. “Babeş-Bolyai”, 1984, pp. 181–184.
- [6] *G. S. Sălăgean*: On univalent functions with negative coefficients. Prepr., “Babeş-Bolyai” Univ., Fac. Math. Phys., Res. Semin. 7 (1991), 47–54.
- [7] *A. Schild, H. Silverman*: Convolutions of univalent functions with negative coefficients. Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, Sect. A (1975) 29 (1977), 99–107.
- [8] *H. Silverman*: Univalent functions with negative coefficients. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 51 (1975), 109–116.

Authors' addresses: *Grigore S. Sălăgean*, Babeş-Bolyai University, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Str. Kogalniceanu Nr. 1, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania, e-mail: salagean@math.ubbcluj.ro; *Adela Venter*, Faculty of Environmental Protection, University of Oradea, Str. Universitatii Nr. 1, 410087 Oradea, Romania, e-mail: adela_venter@yahoo.ro.