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Abstract. Let $K / \mathbb{Q}$ be an algebraic number field of class number one and let $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ be its ring of integers. We show that there are infinitely many non-Wieferich primes with respect to certain units in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ under the assumption of the $a b c$-conjecture for number fields.
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## 1. Introduction

An odd rational prime $p$ is called Wieferich prime if

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{p-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Wieferich in [8] proved that if an odd prime $p$ is non-Wieferich prime, i.e., $p$ satisfies

$$
2^{p-1} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right),
$$

then there are no integer solutions to the Fermat equation $x^{p}+y^{p}=z^{p}$, with $p \nmid x y z$. The known Wieferich primes are 1093 and 3511 and according to the PrimeGrid project (see [5]), these are the only Wieferich primes less than $17 \cdot 10^{15}$. One of the unsolved problems in this area of research is to determine whether the number of Wieferich or non-Wieferich primes is finite or infinite. Instead of the base 2 if we take any base $a$, then $p$ is said to be a Wieferich prime with respect to the base $a$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{p-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if the congruence (1.2) does not hold then we say that $p$ is non-Wieferich prime with respect to the base $a$. Under the famous $a b c$-conjecture (defined below), Silverman in [6] proved that given any integer $a$, there are infinitely many non-Wieferich primes with respect to the base $a$. He established this result by showing that for any fixed $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}, \alpha \neq \pm 1$, and assuming the truth of the abc conjecture,

$$
\operatorname{card}\left\{p \leqslant x: \alpha^{p-1} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)\right\}>_{\alpha} \log x \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty .
$$

In [1] Graves and Murty extended this result to primes in an arithmetical progression by showing that for any $a \geqslant 2$ and any fixed $k \geqslant 2$, there are $\gg \log x / \log \log x$ primes $p \leqslant x$ such that $a^{p-1} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$ and $p \equiv 1(\bmod k)$, under the assumption of the $a b c$ conjecture.

In this paper, we study non-Wieferich primes in algebraic number fields of class number one. More precisely, we prove

Theorem 1.1. Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ be a real quadratic field of class number one and assume that the abc-conjecture holds true in $K$. Then there are infinitely many non-Wieferich primes in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ with respect to the unit $\varepsilon$ satisfying $|\varepsilon|>1$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $K$ be any algebraic number field of class number one and assume that the abc-conjecture holds true in $K$. Let $\eta$ be a unit in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ satisfying $|\eta|>1$ and $\left|\eta^{(j)}\right|<1$ for all $j \neq 1$, where $\eta^{(j)}$ is the $j$ th conjugate of $\eta$. Then there exist infinitely many non-Wieferich primes in $K$ with respect to the base $\eta$.

The plan of this article is as follows. In Section 2, we define the $a b c$-conjecture for number fields. In Section 3, a brief introduction to Wieferich/non-Wieferich primes over number fields will be given and in Sections 4 and 5, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, respectively.

## 2. The $a b c$-CONJECTURE

The $a b c$-conjecture propounded by Oesterlé and Masser (1985) states that given any $\delta>0$ and positive integers $a, b, c$ such that $a+b=c$ with $(a, b)=1$, we have

$$
c \lll \delta(\operatorname{rad}(a b c))^{1+\delta},
$$

where $\operatorname{rad}(a b c):=\prod_{p \mid a b c} p$.
The $a b c$-conjecture has several applications, the reader may refer to $[7],[2],[3]$ for details.

To state the analogue of the $a b c$-conjecture for number fields, we need some preparations, which we do below. The interested reader may refer to $[7],[2]$ for more details.

Let $K$ be an algebraic number field and let $V_{K}$ denote the set of primes on $K$, that is, any $v$ in $V_{K}$ is an equivalence class of the norm on $K$ (finite or infinite). Let $\|x\|_{v}:=N_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})^{-v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)}$ if $v$ is a prime defined by the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ in $K$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the corresponding valuation, where $N_{K / \mathbb{Q}}$ is the absolute value norm. Let $\|x\|_{v}:=|g(x)|^{e}$ for all non-conjugate embeddings $g: K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $e=1$ if g is real and $e=2$ if g is complex. Define the height of any triple $a, b, c \in K^{\times}$ as

$$
H_{K}(a, b, c):=\prod_{v \in V_{K}} \max \left(\|a\|_{v},\|b\|_{v},\|c\|_{v}\right)
$$

and the radical of $(a, b, c)$ by

$$
\operatorname{rad}_{K}(a, b, c):=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in I_{K}(a, b, c)} N_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)},
$$

where $p$ is a rational prime with $p \mathbb{Z}=\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z}$ and $I_{K}(a, b, c)$ is the set of all primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ for which $\|a\|_{v},\|b\|_{v},\|c\|_{v}$ are not equal.

The abc conjecture for algebraic number fields is stated as follows: For any $\delta>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{K}(a, b, c)<_{\delta, K}\left(\operatorname{rad}_{K}(a, b, c)\right)^{1+\delta} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, b, c \in K^{\times}$satisfying $a+b+c=0$, the implied constant depends on $K$ and $\delta$.

## 3. Wieferich/non-Wieferich primes in number fields

Let $K$ be an algebraic number field and $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ its ring of integers. A prime $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ is called a Wieferich prime with respect to the base $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_{K}^{*}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{N(\pi)-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod \pi^{2}\right), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N(\cdot)$ is the absolute value norm. If the congruence (3.1) does not hold for a prime $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$, then $\pi$ is called a non-Wieferich prime to the base $\varepsilon$.

Notation: In what follows, $\varepsilon$ will denote a unit in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ and we will write $\varepsilon^{n}-1=$ $u_{n} v_{n}$, where $u_{n}$ is the square free part and $v_{n}$ is the squarefull part, i.e., if $\pi \mid v_{n}$ then $\pi^{2} \mid v_{n}$. We will denote the absolute value norm on $K$ by $N$.

## 4. Proof of theorem 1.1

Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}), m>0$, be a real quadratic field and $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ its ring of integers. Let $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_{K}^{*}$ be a unit with $|\varepsilon|>1$. The results of Silverman in [6], Murty and Hester in [1] elucidated in the introduction the use of a key lemma of Silverman (see [6], Lemma 3). We derive an analogue of Silverman's lemma for number fields which will play a fundamental role in the proof of the main theorems.

Lemma 4.1. Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ be a real quadratic field of class number one. Let $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_{K}^{*}$ be a unit. If $\varepsilon^{n}-1=u_{n} v_{n}$, then every prime divisor $\pi$ of $u_{n}$ is a nonWieferich prime with respect to the base $\varepsilon$.

Proof. The assumption that $K$ has class number one allows us to write the element $\varepsilon^{n}-1 \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ as a product of primes uniquely. Accordingly, we will write

$$
\varepsilon^{n}-1=u_{n} v_{n}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{n}=1+\pi w \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\pi \mid u_{n}$ and $\pi$ and $w$ are coprime. As $\pi$ is a prime, we have $N(\pi)=p$ or $p^{2}$, where $p$ is a rational prime.

Case 1: Suppose $N(\pi)=p$.
From equation (4.1), we get

$$
\varepsilon^{n(p-1)} \equiv 1+(p-1) \pi w \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod \pi^{2}\right) .
$$

Case 2: Suppose $N(\pi)=p^{2}$.
Again from equation (4.1), we obtain

$$
\varepsilon^{n\left(p^{2}-1\right)}=\varepsilon^{n(N(\pi)-1)}=(1+\pi w)^{\left(p^{2}-1\right)} \equiv 1+\pi w\left(p^{2}-1\right) \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod \pi^{2}\right) .
$$

Thus in either case,

$$
\varepsilon^{(N(\pi)-1)} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod \pi^{2}\right),
$$

and hence $\pi$ is a non-Wieferich prime to the base $\varepsilon$.
The above lemma shows that whenever a prime $\pi$ divides $u_{n}$ for some positive integer $n$, then $\pi$ is a non-Wieferich prime with respect to the base $\varepsilon$. Thus, if we can show that the set $\left\{N\left(u_{n}\right): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is unbounded, then this will imply that the set $\left\{\pi: \pi \mid u_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is an infinite set. Consequently, this establishes the fact that
there are infinitely many non-Wieferich primes in every real quadratic field of class number one with respect to the unit $\varepsilon$, with $|\varepsilon|>1$. Therefore, we need only to show

Lemma 4.2. Let $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ be a real quadratic field of class number one. Let $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_{K}^{*}$ be a unit with $|\varepsilon|>1$. Then under the abc-conjecture for number fields, the set $\left\{N\left(u_{n}\right): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is unbounded.

Proof. Invoking the $a b c$-conjecture (2.1) to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{n}=1+u_{n} v_{n} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varepsilon^{n}\right| \ll\left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid u_{n} v_{n}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)}\right)^{1+\delta}=\left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid u_{n}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid v_{n}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)}\right)^{1+\delta} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\delta>0$. Here the implied constant depends on $K$ and $\delta$.
As $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p) \leqslant 2$ for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ lying above the rational prime $p$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid u_{n}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)} \leqslant N\left(u_{n}\right)^{2} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \mid v_{n}$, let $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the largest exponent of $\mathfrak{p}$ dividing $v_{n}$, i.e., $\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \| v_{n}$. As $v_{n}$ is the square-full part of $\varepsilon^{n}-1$, we have $e_{\mathfrak{p}} \geqslant 2$. Hence,
(1) $N(\mathfrak{p})^{2 v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)} \leqslant N(\mathfrak{p})^{2+e_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ with $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)=2$;
(2) $N(\mathfrak{p})^{2 v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)} \leqslant N(\mathfrak{p})^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ with $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)=1$.

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid v_{n}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{2 v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)} & \leqslant \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \mid v_{n} \\
v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)=2}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{2+e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \mid v_{n} \\
v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)=1}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \\
& \leqslant \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \mid v_{n} \\
v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)=2}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{2} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \mid v_{n} \\
v_{p}(p)=2}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \mid v_{n} \\
v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)=1}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \\
& \leqslant \prod_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} N(\mathfrak{p})^{2} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \mid v_{n} \\
v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)=2}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \mid v_{n} \\
v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)=1}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where "' indicates that the product is over all primes $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)=2$. As it is well known that there are only finitely many ramified primes in a number field, it follows that the product is bounded by a constant $A$ (say). Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid v_{n}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)} \leqslant \sqrt{A N\left(v_{n}\right)} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining equations (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varepsilon^{n}\right| \ll\left(N\left(u_{n}\right)^{2}{\sqrt{N\left(v_{n}\right)}}^{1+\delta} .\right. \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, as $|\varepsilon|>1$,

$$
N\left(u_{n}\right) N\left(v_{n}\right)=N\left(\varepsilon^{n}-1\right) \leqslant 2\left|\varepsilon^{n}-1\right|<2|\varepsilon|^{n},
$$

i.e.,

$$
N\left(v_{n}\right)<\frac{2|\varepsilon|^{n}}{N\left(u_{n}\right)} .
$$

Substituting the above expression into (4.6), we obtain

$$
\left|\varepsilon^{n}\right| \ll\left(N\left(u_{n}\right)^{2} \frac{|\varepsilon|^{n / 2}}{\sqrt{N\left(u_{n}\right)}}\right)^{1+\delta}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left(N\left(u_{n}\right)\right)^{3(1+\delta) / 2} \gg|\varepsilon|^{n(1-\delta) / 2} .
$$

Thus, for a fixed $\delta, N\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This proves the lemma and hence completes the proof of the theorem.

## 5. Non-Wieferich primes in algebraic number fields

In this section we generalize the arguments of the previous section to arbitrary number fields. From now onwards, $K$ will always denote an algebraic number field of degree $[K: \mathbb{Q}]=l$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ of class number one. Let $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ be the number of real and non-conjugate complex embeddings of $K$ into $\mathbb{C}$, respectively, so that $l=r_{1}+2 r_{2}$. We begin with an analogue of Lemma (4.1).

Lemma 5.1. Let $\varepsilon$ be a unit in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$. If $\varepsilon^{n}-1=u_{n} v_{n}$, then every prime divisor $\pi$ of $u_{n}$ is a non-Wieferich prime with respect to the base $\varepsilon$.

Proof. Let $N(\pi)=p^{k}$, where $p$ is a rational prime and $k$ is a positive integer. Then

$$
\varepsilon^{n(N(\pi)-1)}=\varepsilon^{n\left(p^{k}-1\right)}=(1+w \pi)^{\left(p^{k}-1\right)} \equiv 1+\left(p^{k}-1\right) w \pi \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod \pi^{2}\right) .
$$

This implies $\varepsilon^{N(\pi)-1} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod \pi^{2}\right)$.
Thus, the lemma shows that $\pi$ is a non-Wieferich prime to the base $\varepsilon$ whenever the hypothesis of the lemma is met. Now, under the $a b c$-conjecture for number fields, we show below the existence of infinitely many non-Wieferich primes.

Lemma 5.2. The set $\left\{N\left(u_{n}\right): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is unbounded, where $u_{n}$ 's are as defined in Lemma 5.1.

Proof. By the hypothesis of the lemma, we have $\varepsilon^{n}=1+u_{n} v_{n}$, where $\varepsilon^{n}, 1, u_{n} v_{n} \in K^{\times}$. Applying the $a b c$-conjecture for number fields to the above equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{v \in V_{K}} \max \left(\left|u_{n} v_{n}\right|_{v},|1|_{v},\left|\varepsilon^{n}\right|_{v}\right) \ll\left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid u_{n} v_{n}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)}\right)^{1+\delta} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\delta>0$.
Note that for the absolute value $|\cdot|$ in $V_{K}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varepsilon^{n}\right| \leqslant \prod_{v \in V_{K}} \max \left(\left|u_{n} v_{n}\right|_{v},|1|_{v},\left|\varepsilon^{n}\right|_{v}\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p) \leqslant l$ for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ lying above the rational prime $p$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid u_{n}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)} \leqslant N\left(u_{n}\right)^{l} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, we denote by $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the largest exponent of $\mathfrak{p}$ which divides $v_{n}$, i.e., $\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \| v_{n}$. Clearly $e_{\mathfrak{p}} \geqslant 2$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid v_{n}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{2 v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)} & \leqslant \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \mid v_{n} \\
v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p) \geqslant 2}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{2 l+e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \mid v_{n} \\
v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)=1}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \\
& \leqslant \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \mid v_{n} \\
v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p) \geqslant 2}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{2 l} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \mid v_{n} \\
v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p) \geqslant 2}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \mid v_{n} \\
v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)=1}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \\
& \leqslant \prod_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} N(\mathfrak{p})^{2 l} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \mid v_{n} \\
v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p) \geqslant 2}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \mid v_{n} \\
v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)=1}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where "' indicates that the product is over all primes $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p) \geqslant 2$. As there are only finitely many ramified primes in a number field, it is bounded by a constant $B$ (say). Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid v_{n}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p)} \leqslant \sqrt{B N\left(v_{n}\right)} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the equations (5.1)-(5.4) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varepsilon^{n}\right| \ll\left(N\left(u_{n}\right)^{l}{\left.\sqrt{N\left(v_{n}\right)}\right)^{1+\delta} .}^{1+\delta}\right. \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that in the case of real quadratic fields, the unit $\varepsilon$ satisfies $|\varepsilon|>1$ and this information was crucial in proving Theorem 1.1. However, in the case of general number fields, the following result (see [4], Lemma 8.1.5) comes to our rescue. We state this result as

Lemma 5.3. Let $E=\left\{k \in \mathbb{Z}: 1 \leqslant k \leqslant r_{1}+r_{2}\right\}$. Let $E=A \cup B$ be a proper partition of $E$. There exists a unit $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ with $\left|\eta^{(k)}\right|<1$ for $k \in A$, and $\left|\eta^{(k)}\right|>1$ for $k \in B$.

Taking $A=\left\{k: 1<k \leqslant r_{1}+r_{2}\right\}$ and $B=\{1\}$, Lemma 5.3 produces a unit $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_{K}^{*}$ such that $|\eta|>1$ and $\left|\eta^{(k)}\right|<1$, where $\eta^{(k)}$ denotes the $k$ th conjugate of $\eta, k \neq 1$. Since every unit satisfies (5.5), replacing $\varepsilon$ with $\eta$ in (5.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\eta^{n}\right| \ll\left(N\left(u_{n}\right)^{l}{\left.\sqrt{N\left(v_{n}\right)}\right)^{1+\delta}, ~}_{\text {, }}\right. \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, by abuse of notation, we will denote $\eta^{n}-1=u_{n} v_{n}$, with $u_{n}$ and $v_{n}$ denoting the same quantities as defined earlier.

Now,

$$
N\left(u_{n}\right) N\left(v_{n}\right)=N\left(\eta^{n}-1\right)=\left(\eta^{n}-1\right)\left(\eta^{(2) n}-1\right)\left(\eta^{(3) n}-1\right) \ldots\left(\eta^{(l) n}-1\right) .
$$

By Lemma $5.3,\left|\eta^{(j) n}-1\right|<2$ for all $j, 2 \leqslant j \leqslant l$.
Thus,

$$
N\left(u_{n}\right) N\left(v_{n}\right)<C\left|\eta^{n}\right| \quad \text { or } \quad N\left(v_{n}\right)<\frac{C\left|\eta^{n}\right|}{N\left(u_{n}\right)} .
$$

Now, (5.6) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(N\left(u_{n}\right)\right)^{(2 l-1)(1+\delta) / 2} \gg|\eta|^{n(1-\delta) / 2} . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a fixed $\delta$, the right hand side of (5.7) tends to $\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore the set $\left\{N\left(u_{n}\right): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is unbounded. This shows that there are infinitely many nonWieferich primes in $K$ with respect to the base $\eta$.
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