Hailin Liu; Bengong Lou; Bo
 Ling Tetravalent half-arc-transitive graphs of order
 $p^2q^2\,$

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 69 (2019), No. 2, 391-401

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/147732

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2019

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

TETRAVALENT HALF-ARC-TRANSITIVE GRAPHS OF ORDER p^2q^2

HAILIN LIU, Ganzhou, BENGONG LOU, BO LING, Kunming

Received July 15, 2017. Published online February 4, 2019.

Abstract. We classify tetravalent G-half-arc-transitive graphs Γ of order p^2q^2 , where $G \leq \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$ and p, q are distinct odd primes. This result involves a subclass of tetravalent half-arc-transitive graphs of cube-free order.

Keywords: half-arc-transitive graph; normal Cayley graph; cube-free order

MSC 2010: 20B15, 05C25

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper, graphs considered are simple, connected and undirected. For a graph Γ , we denote by $V\Gamma$, $E\Gamma$, $A\Gamma$, Aut Γ and val(Γ) the vertex set, edge set, arc set, full automorphism group and the valency of Γ , respectively. A graph Γ is *G*-vertex-transitive, *G*-edge-transitive or *G*-arc-transitive if $G \leq \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$ is transitive on $V\Gamma$, $E\Gamma$ or $A\Gamma$, respectively, and Γ is *G*-half-arc-transitive if $G \leq \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$ acts transitively on $V\Gamma$ and $E\Gamma$, but not on $A\Gamma$; in particular, when $G = \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$ then Γ is said to be vertex-transitive, edge-transitive, arc-transitive or half-arc-transitive, respectively. A graph Γ is a Cayley graph if there exists a group G and a subset $S \subset G$ with $1 \notin S = S^{-1} := \{g^{-1}: g \in S\}$ such that the vertices of Γ may be identified with the elements of G in such a way that x is adjacent to y if and only if $yx^{-1} \in S$. The Cayley graph Γ is denoted by $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$. A Cayley graph $\Gamma = \operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ is connected if and only if $G = \langle S \rangle$, that is, S generates G. Let $A = \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(G, S) = \{\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(G): S^{\alpha} = S\}$. For each $g \in G$, let R(g) denote the permutation on G defined by $x \mapsto xg$. Then A contains the right regular

This work was partially supported by the NNSF of China (11861076, 11701503, 11761079), the Science and Technology Research Project of Jiangxi Education Department (GJJ180488), the Doctoral Fund Project of Jiangxi University of Science and Technology (jxxjbs18035), and the NSF of Yunnan Province (2018FB003).

representation $R(G) := \{R(g): g \in G\}$ of G, which is regular on $V\Gamma$, and the group Aut(G, S) is a subgroup of the stabilizer of 1 in A. A Cayley graph Cay(G, S) is said to be *X*-normal if $X \leq A$ contains R(G) as a normal subgroup; in particular, when $G = Aut \Gamma$ then Γ is said to be normal.

Let G be a group, N a normal subgroup and H a subgroup of G. Then we use $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$, $\operatorname{Out}(G)$, Z(G), G/N, $\operatorname{C}_G(H)$ and $\operatorname{N}_G(H)$ to denote the automorphism group, outer automorphism group, the center, quotient group of G, the centralizer and the normalizer of H in G, respectively. Let M and N be two groups. Then we use $M : N, M \times N$ and $M \cdot N$ to denote a semidirect product, direct product and an extension of M by N. For a positive integer n, we denote by \mathbb{Z}_n , \mathbb{D}_{2n} , \mathbb{A}_n and \mathbb{S}_n the cyclic group of order n, the dihedral group of order 2n, the alternating group and the symmetric group of degree n, respectively.

The investigation of half-arc-transitive graphs was initiated by Tutte, see [25], and he proved that a vertex- and edge-transitive graph with odd valency must be arctransitive. In 1970, Bouwer constructed the first family of half-arc-transitive graphs in [2]. From then on, half-arc-transitive graphs have been extensively studied over decades and more such graphs were constructed, see for example [1], [7], [8], [9], [12], [13] [16], [19], [24] [26], [27], [28], [29], [30], [32]. In particular, it is proved that for a prime p there is no tetravalent half-arc-transitive graph of order p, p^2 , 2p and $2p^2$, see [4], [5], [28]. The half-arc-transitive graphs of order 3p and 4p are classified in [1], [16], respectively. The tetravalent half-arc-transitive graphs of order p^3 , p^4 and 2pq are classified in [8], [9], [32], respectively. Recently, Pan et al. in [21] classified tetravalent edge-transitive graphs of order p^2q . Wang et al. in [30] studied tetravalent half-arc-transitive graphs of order a product of three primes.

In this paper, we will study tetravalent half-arc-transitive graphs of order p^2q^2 with p, q distinct odd primes. The main result of the paper is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. Let Γ be a tetravalent *G*-half-arc-transitive graph of order p^2q^2 , where $G \leq \text{Aut }\Gamma$ and p, q are distinct odd primes. Then one of the following statements holds:

- (1) G is soluble, $\Gamma = \mathsf{Cay}(H, S)$ is a G-normal Cayley graph, $G_1 \leq \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ and $S = \{a, a^{\tau}, a^{-1}, (a^{-1})^{\tau}\}$, where $a \in H$, and $\tau \in \mathsf{Aut}(H)$ is an involution.
- (2) G is insoluble, and one of the following holds:
 - (i) $|V\Gamma| = 225 \text{ or } 441, G \cong F \times A_5 = \mathbb{Z}_{pq} \times A_5 \text{ or } \mathbb{Z}_{pq} \times PSL(2,7), \text{ and } |G_{\alpha}| = 4$ or 8;
 - (ii) $|V\Gamma| = 225$ or 441, and $soc(G) \cong A_5^2$ or $PSL(2,7)^2$, where soc(G) is the socle of G.

2. Preliminary results

In this section, we will give some necessary preliminary results. The next lemma deals with a basic group-theoretic result.

Lemma 2.1 ([14], Theorem 4.5). Let H be a subgroup of a group G. Then $C_G(H)$ is a normal subgroup of $N_G(H)$, and the quotient $N_G(H)/C_G(H)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of Aut(H).

For a graph Γ and a positive integer s, an s-arc of Γ is a sequence $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s)$ of vertices such that α_{i-1} , α_i are adjacent for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $\alpha_{i-1} \neq \alpha_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s - 1$. A graph Γ is said to be (G, s)-arc-transitive, where $G \leq \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$, if G is transitive on the set of s-arcs of Γ . If Γ is (G, s)-arc-transitive but not (G, s + 1)-arc-transitive, then Γ is called a (G, s)-transitive graph. In particular, when $(G, s) = (\operatorname{Aut} \Gamma, s)$ then Γ is simply called an s-transitive graph. The following result characterizes the vertex stabilizers of tetravalent edge-transitive graphs of odd order.

Lemma 2.2. Let Γ be a tetravalent *G*-edge-transitive graph of odd order, where $G \leq \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$. Let $\alpha \in V\Gamma$ and $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in E\Gamma$. Then either

- (1) G_{α} is a 2-group, and Γ is G-half-arc-transitive; or
- (2) Γ is (G, s)-transitive with $1 \leq s \leq 3$. Furthermore, the pair (s, G_{α}) satisfies the following Table 1:

s	G_{lpha}					
1	2-group					
2	$A_4 \leqslant G_\alpha \leqslant S_4$					
3	$A_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \leqslant G_\alpha \leqslant S_4 \times S_3$					
Table 1.						

Proof. Assume that Γ is *G*-arc-transitive. Then the part (2) can be easily derived from [18], Lemma 2.5. Assume that Γ is not *G*-arc-transitive. Note that $|V\Gamma|$ is odd, so Γ is *G*-vertex-transitive. It follows that Γ is *G*-half-arc-transitive. By [17], Lemma 2.1, $G_{\alpha}^{\Gamma(\alpha)} \leq S_4$ is a $\{2,3\}$ -group. If $3 \mid |G_{\alpha}^{\Gamma(\alpha)}|$, then $G_{\alpha}^{\Gamma(\alpha)} = A_4$ or S_4 . It follows that G_{α} is transitive on $\Gamma(\alpha)$, and so Γ is *G*-arc-transitive, a contradiction. Thus G_{α} is a 2-group. This completes the proof of this lemma.

By [3], page 337, Table 8.1, we give the soluble maximal subgroups of GL(2, p) in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. Let M be a soluble maximal subgroup of GL(2, p). Then M is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

(1) $\mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \times (\mathbb{Z}_p : \mathbb{Z}_{p-1});$

(2) $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2-1}:\mathbb{Z}_2;$

- (3) $\mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \wr \mathbb{Z}_2;$
- (4) $2 \cdot S_4$.

By [21], we have the following lemma regarding the tetravalent edge-transitive graph with odd but not a prime power order.

Lemma 2.4 ([21], Lemma 4.3). Let Γ be a tetravalent *G*-edge-transitive graph with odd but not a prime power order, where $G \leq \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$. Suppose that *N* is a nilpotent normal subgroup of *G*. Then *N* is semiregular on $V\Gamma$.

For a group G, the largest nilpotent normal subgroup of G is called the Fitting subgroup of G.

Lemma 2.5 ([23], page 30, Corollary). Let F be the Fitting subgroup of a group G. If G is soluble, then $F \neq 1$ and the centralizer $C_G(F) \leq F$.

The next two lemmas give a characterization and classification for the tetravalent edge-transitive graphs of order p^2q with p, q distinct odd primes.

Lemma 2.6 ([30], Lemma 3.3). Let p, q be distinct odd primes and Γ a tetravalent half-arc-transitive graph of order p^2q . Then Γ is a normal Cayley graph.

Lemma 2.7 ([21], Theorem 5.3). Let Γ be a tetravalent *G*-edge-transitive graph of order p^2q , where $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$ and p, q are distinct odd primes. Then one of the following statements holds:

- Γ is of order 45, 63, 75 or 147, given in [31]. In particular, there are exactly 17 pairwise nonisomorphic graphs in this case;
- (2) $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{G}_{153}$ is a tetravalent arc-transitive graph of order 153 with Aut $\Gamma \cong PSL(2, 17);$
- (3) $\Gamma = Cay(H, S)$ is a G-normal edge-transitive Cayley graph, and either
 - (i) Γ is (G, 1)-transitive, and $S = \{a, a^{\sigma}, a^{\sigma^2}, a^{\sigma^3}\}$, where $\sigma \in Aut(H)$ is of order 4; or
 - (ii) $G_1 \leq \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ and $S = \{a, a^{\tau}, a^{-1}, (a^{-1})^{\tau}\}$, where $\tau \in Aut(H)$ is an involution.

Remark on Lemma 2.7. For the cases (1) and (2), G is insoluble; and for the case (3), G is soluble.

For a tetravalent G-edge-transitive graph Γ of odd order, where $G \leq \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$ is a insoluble group, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8 ([21], Corollary 2.4). Let Γ be a tetravalent *G*-edge-transitive graph of odd order, where $G \leq \text{Aut }\Gamma$. If *G* is insoluble, then Γ is not a *G*-normal edgetransitive Cayley graph. Let G be a finite group and let $\pi(G) = \{p: p \text{ is a prime divisor of } |G|\}$. Herzog in [11] and Huppert et al. in [15] classified nonabelian finite simple groups G for $|\pi(G)| = 3$, from which we may deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 2.9. Let G be a nonabelian simple group, if $|\pi(G)| = 3$. Then $(G, |G|, \mathsf{Out}(G))$ lies in Table 2:

G	G	Out(G)	G	G	Out(G)
A_5	$2^{2} \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	\mathbb{Z}_2	A_6	$2^{3} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5$	\mathbb{Z}_2^2
PSp(4,3)	$2^{6} \cdot 3^{4} \cdot 5$	\mathbb{Z}_2	PSL(2,7)	$2^{3} \cdot 3 \cdot 7$	\mathbb{Z}_2
PSL(2,8)	$2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7$	\mathbb{Z}_3	PSL(2, 17)	$2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 17$	\mathbb{Z}_2
$\mathrm{PSL}(3,3)$	$2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 13$	\mathbb{Z}_2	PSU(3,3)	$2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7$	\mathbb{Z}_2

Table 2. Nonabelian simple $\{2, q, p\}$ -groups

Regarding the Cayley graph $\Gamma = Cay(G, S)$, we have the following basic result.

Lemma 2.10 ([10], Lemma 2.1). Let $\Gamma = Cay(G, S)$ be a Cayley graph. Then the normalizer $N_{Aut \Gamma}(G) = G : Aut(G, S)$.

Lemma 2.11 ([21], Lemma 2.10). Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ be a transitive permutation group on Ω , and let p^m be a divisor of $|\alpha^G|$, where $\alpha \in \Omega$ and p is a prime. If Ghas a subgroup H such that (p, |G : H|) = 1, then p^m divides $|\alpha^H|$. In particular, if $(|\Omega|, |G : H|) = 1$, then H is transitive on Ω .

Let Γ be a vertex-transitive graph, and let N be a subgroup of Aut Γ . Denote by Γ_N the quotient graph corresponding to the orbits of N, that is, the graph having the orbits of N as vertices with two orbits adjacent in Γ_N if there is an edge in Γ between those orbits. Let \mathcal{B} be the set of N-orbits on $V\Gamma$. If for any adjacent orbits B, C of N, the induced subgraph [B, C] of Γ is regular, then Γ is called a multi-cover of Γ_N . If in addition [B, C] is of valency 1, then Γ is called a normal cover of Γ_N .

Lemma 2.12. Let Γ be a connected *G*-half-arc-transitive graph, where $G \leq \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$. Let $N \leq G$ and let *N* have more than two orbits on $V\Gamma$. Then Γ is a multi-cover of Γ_N , and $G/K \leq \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma_N$, where *K* is the kernel of the action of the set of *N*-orbits on $V\Gamma$. If $|\Gamma(\alpha) \cap B| = 0$ or 1 for any *N*-orbit *B* and $\alpha \in V\Gamma \setminus B$, then the following statements hold:

(1) $G/N \leq \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma_N;$

- (2) Γ is a normal cover of Γ_N ;
- (3) Γ_N is a G/N-half-arc-transitive graph.

Proof. Let \mathcal{B} be the set of N-orbits on $V\Gamma$ and let K be the kernel of the action of G on \mathcal{B} . Obviuosly, $N \leq K$. Since $N \leq G$, it is easy to show that the induced subgraph [B, C] of Γ is regular for any adjacent orbits B, C. Hence Γ is a multi-cover or a normal cover of Γ_N and $G/K \leq \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma_N$

Suppose that $|\Gamma(\alpha) \cap B'| = 1$, where B' is an N-orbit on $V\Gamma$. Since N is transitive on B and B', it follows that the subgraph [B, B'] is a perfect matching and so Γ and Γ_N have the same valency. It then follows that Γ is a normal cover of Γ_N . For $\alpha \in V\Gamma$, the stabilizer K_α fixes each member of \mathcal{B} setwise, and since distinct vertices of $\Gamma(\alpha)$ lie in distinct N-orbits, we have that K_α acts trivially on $\Gamma(\alpha)$. Since Γ is connected it follows that K_α fixes all the vertices of Γ , and hence $K_\alpha = 1$. Since this is true for all α , K acts semiregularly on $V\Gamma$, and hence so does N. Furthermore, as $N \leq K$ and acts transitively on the orbits of K, we see that K = N. Thus $G^{V\Gamma_N} \cong G/N$ and so $G/N \leq \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma_N$.

For any $(\alpha, \beta), (\gamma, \delta) \in A\Gamma$, we have $(\alpha^N, \beta^N), (\gamma^N, \delta^N) \in A\Gamma_N$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in V\Gamma$. If Γ_N is G/N-arc-transitive, then we have $g \in G$ such that $(\alpha^N)^g = \alpha^{gN} = \gamma^N$ and $(\beta^N)^g = \beta^{gN} = \delta^N$. It then follows that $(\alpha, \beta)^g = (\gamma^{n_1}, \delta^{n_2})$ for some $n_1, n_2 \in N$. And for $(\gamma^{n_1}, \delta^{n_2}), (\gamma, \delta) \in A\Gamma$, we have $n \in N$ such that $(\gamma^{n_1}, \delta^{n_2})^n = (\gamma, \delta)$. Hence $(\alpha, \beta)^{gn} = (\gamma, \delta)$. Thus Γ is G-arc-transitive, a contradiction. So Γ_N is G/N-half-arc-transitive.

For the tetravalent normal half-arc-transitive Cayley graphs, the following proposition gives a general construction.

Proposition 2.13. Let $\Gamma = \mathsf{Cay}(H, S)$ be a tetravalent *G*-half-arc-transitive Cayley graph of order p^2q^2 , where p, q are distinct odd primes. Let 1 denote the vertex of Γ corresponding to the identity element of *H*. Assume that $H \lhd G$. Then $G_1 \leq \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ and $S = \{a, a^{\tau}, a^{-1}, (a^{-1})^{\tau}\}$, where $\tau \in \mathsf{Aut}(H)$ is an involution.

Proof. By Lemma 2.10, $G_1 \leq \operatorname{Aut}(H, S)$. Since Γ is connected, $H = \langle S \rangle$ and then G_1 acts faithfully on $\Gamma(1) = S$, which implies $G_1 \leq S_4$. Since G_1 is a 2-group, $G_1 \leq D_8$. Let $a \in S$. If $G_1 \geq \langle \sigma \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$, then $\langle \sigma \rangle$ is regular on S. Hence Γ is G-arctransitive, a contradiction. Thus $G_1 \leq \mathbb{Z}_2^2$. Since Γ is a G-normal half-arc-transitive Cayley graph, $S = T^{-1} \cup T$ by [22], Proposition 1, where T is an orbit of the action of G_1 on S. So there exists an involution $\tau \in G_1$ such that $a^{\tau} \neq a$ or a^{-1} ; it follows that $S = \{a, a^{\tau}, a^{-1}, (a^{-1})^{\tau}\}$.

By Proposition 2.13, more specific constructions of the graph $\Gamma = Cay(H, S)$ depend on the automorphism group of the group H.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let Γ be a tetravalent *G*-half-arc-transitive graph of order p^2q^2 , where $G \leq \text{Aut }\Gamma$ and p, q are distinct odd primes. Let $\alpha \in V\Gamma$. By Lemma 2.2, G_{α} is a 2-group, and hence *G* is a $\{2, p, q\}$ -group. Obviously, *G* has no nontrivial normal 2-subgroup.

Now we first consider the case when G is soluble.

Lemma 3.1. If G is soluble, then Γ is a G-normal Cayley graph.

Proof. Since G_{α} is a 2-group, $|G| = 2^i p^2 q^2$ for some positive integer *i*. Let *F* be the Fitting subgroup of *G*. By Lemma 2.5, $F \neq 1$, $C_G(F) \leq F$. In particular, $F = \bigvee_p(G) \times \bigvee_q(G)$, where $\bigvee_p(G)$ and $\bigvee_q(G)$ are the largest normal *p*-subgroup and *q*-subgroup of *G*, respectively. Therefore, *F* is abelian and $C_G(F) = F$. Now *F* is semiregular on $V\Gamma$ and hence $|F| \mid p^2 q^2$.

Assume $F \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then by Lemma 2.1 $G/F \leq \operatorname{Aut}(F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$, it follows that $p^2 \nmid |G|$, which is not possible. Similarly, we can exclude the cases $F \cong \mathbb{Z}_q$ and \mathbb{Z}_{pq} .

Assume $|F| = p^2$. Then we consider the quotient graph Γ_F , induced by F. Let K be the kernel of G acting on $V\Gamma_F$. By Lemma 2.12, $G/K \leq \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma_F$ and $K = F : K_{\alpha}$. Suppose that $\operatorname{val}(\Gamma_F) = 4$. Again by Lemma 2.12, we obtain that K = F and Γ is a normal cover of Γ_F . So Γ_F is a G/F-half-arc-transitive graph of order q^2 . If $F = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$, then $G/F \leq \operatorname{Aut}(F)$ is abelian. Thus G/F is regular on $V\Gamma_F$, which is not possible. So $F \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^2$, and $G/F \leq \operatorname{Aut}(F) \cong \operatorname{GL}(2, p)$. Note that G/F is soluble, G/F is one of subgroups listed in Lemma 2.3. We consider the candidates one by one.

(1) Suppose that $G/F \leq \mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \times (\mathbb{Z}_p : \mathbb{Z}_{p-1})$. Since $p \nmid |G/F|$, hence $G/F = \mathbb{Z}_l \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ for some $l, m \mid p-1$, which is not possible.

(2) Suppose that $G/F \leq \mathbb{Z}_{p^2-1} : \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then $G/F = \mathbb{Z}_k : \mathbb{Z}_2$ for some $k \mid p-1$ and $q^2 \mid k$. Let Q be a Sylow q-subgroup of G/F. Then $|Q| = q^2$ and $Q \triangleleft G/F$. Therefore, G has a normal subgroup isomorphic to $F \cdot Q$ which is regular on $V\Gamma$. That is to say Γ is a G-normal Cayley graph in this case.

(3) Suppose that $G/F \leq \mathbb{Z}_p \wr \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then $G/F = (\mathbb{Z}_t \times \mathbb{Z}_t) : \mathbb{Z}_2$ for some $t \mid p-1$ and $q \mid t$. Similarly, the Sylow q-subgroup Q of G/F is normal, and G has a normal subgroup isomorphic to $F \cdot Q$ which is regular on $V\Gamma$. Therefore Γ is also a G-normal Cayley graph.

(4) Suppose that $G/F \leq 2 \cdot S_4$. Obviously, this is not possible since $q^2 \nmid |G/F|$. Now we consider the case $\operatorname{val}(\Gamma_F) = 2$. Then $\Gamma_F := \{B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{q^2}\}$ is a cycle of length q^2 , where B_i is adjacent to B_{i+1} in Γ_F for $1 \leq i \leq q^2 - 1$, so the induced subgraph $[B_i, B_{i+1}]$ is a cycle of length $2p^2$. This implies that $K_\alpha \leq \mathbb{Z}_2$, K = F or $F : \mathbb{Z}_2$, and $G \leq K \cdot \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma_F = K \cdot \operatorname{D}_{2q^2}$. It follows that G has a normal Hall $\{p, q\}$ subgroup which is regular on Γ , hence Γ is a G-normal Cayley graph. Similarly, Γ is also a G-normal Cayley graph when $|F| = q^2$. Assume $|F| = p^2 q$. Then $G/K \leq \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma_F$, where K is the kernel of G acting on $V\Gamma_F$. If $\operatorname{val}(\Gamma_F) = 4$, then K = F and Γ_F is G/F half-arc-transitive of order q. Note that G/F is soluble. It follows that $G/F \leq \mathbb{Z}_q : \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$ from [6], Corollary 3.5B. Thus G has a normal subgroup isomorphic to $F \cdot \mathbb{Z}_q$ which is regular on $V\Gamma$. So Γ is a G-normal half-arc-transitive Cayley graph. For $\operatorname{val}(\Gamma_F) = 2$, $K_\alpha \leq \mathbb{Z}_2$, K = F or $F : \mathbb{Z}_2$, and $G \leq K \cdot \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma_F = K \cdot D_{2q}$. It follows that G has a normal Hall- $\{p, q\}$ subgroup which is regular on Γ , hence Γ is a G-normal Cayley graph. Similarly, Γ is also a G-normal Cayley graph when $|F| = pq^2$.

Finally, assume $|F| = p^2 q^2$. Then F is regular on VT, and so T is a G-normal Cayley graph on F.

Next we consider the case when G is insoluble.

Lemma 3.2. Let M be the radical of G, and let F be the Fitting subgroup of M. If G is insoluble, then one of the following statements holds:

- (1) $M \neq 1, F \cong \mathbb{Z}_{pq}, |V\Gamma| = 225 \text{ or } 441, G \cong F \times A_5 = \mathbb{Z}_{pq} \times A_5 \text{ or } \mathbb{Z}_{pq} \times PSL(2,7),$ and $|G_{\alpha}| = 4 \text{ or } 8;$
- (2) M = 1 and $\operatorname{soc}(G) \cong A_5, A_6, \operatorname{PSL}(2,7), \operatorname{PSL}(2,8), \operatorname{PSL}(2,17), A_5^2$ or $\operatorname{PSL}(2,7)^2$.

Proof. Let N be the socle of G, that is, the product of all minimal normal subgroups of G. Let M be the radical of G, that is, the largest normal soluble subgroup of G. And let $|G| = 2^i p^2 q^2$ for some integer *i*.

Case 1. Assume $M \neq 1$. Let F be the Fitting subgroup of M. Then $F \leq G$ and $F \neq 1$ by Lemma 2.5. We consider Γ_F . Let K be the kernel of G acting on $V\Gamma_F$. Then $K = FK_{\alpha}$, and hence K is soluble as K_{α} is soluble by Lemma 2.2. If $\operatorname{val}(\Gamma_F) = 2$, then Γ_F is a cycle and $G/K \leq \operatorname{Aut}\Gamma_F = D_{2m}$, where $m = |V\Gamma_F|$. So G is soluble, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\operatorname{val}(\Gamma_F) = 4$. Then K = F and $G/F \leq \operatorname{Aut}\Gamma_F$. Further, by Lemma 2.4, F is semiregular on $V\Gamma$ and hence |F|divides p^2q^2 . Suppose $|F| = p^2q^2$, then Γ is a G-normal half-arc-transitive Cayley graph of F, which is not possible by Lemma 2.8.

Suppose $|F| = p^2$. Then Γ_F is a tetravalent G/F-half-arc-transitive graph of order q^2 . If $q \ge 5$, then we obtain a contradiction by [21], Lemma 4.2. If q = 3 then Γ_F is an edge-transitive graph of order 9. By [20], $\Gamma_F = DW(3,3)$ is a deleted wreath graph, and Aut $\Gamma_F \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^2 \cdot D_8$. It follows that G is soluble, a contradiction. Similarly, we can exclude the case $|F| = q^2$.

Suppose $|F| = pq^2$. Then Γ_F is a tetravalent G/F-half-arc-transitive graph of order p. Since $|V\Gamma_F| = p$, G/F is almost simple and 2-transitive on $V\Gamma_F$ by [6], page 99. It follows that $\Gamma_F = \mathsf{K}_p$. Since $\mathsf{val}(\Gamma_F) = 4$, p = 5. As $G/F \leq \mathsf{Aut}\,\mathsf{K}_5 = \mathsf{S}_5$ is insoluble, we have $G = F \cdot \mathsf{A}_5$ or $F \cdot \mathsf{S}_5$, and so $3 \mid |G_\alpha|$, which is a contradiction by Lemma 2.2. Similarly, we can exclude the case $|F| = p^2q$. Suppose |F| = pq. Then Γ_F is a tetravalent G/F-half-arc-transitive graph of order pq. But by [1], [26], there is no tetravalent edge-transitive graph of order pqwhich is half-arc-transitive, so Γ_F is arc-transitive. It follows that $(pq, \Gamma_F, \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma_F, (\operatorname{Aut} \Gamma_F)_{\overline{\alpha}})$ satisfies Table 1 in [21], Lemma 2.6, where $\overline{\alpha} \in V\Gamma_F$. We first consider rows 1–2 of Table 1. If pq = 15 or 21, then $|V\Gamma| = 225$ or 441, $G \cong F \times A_5 = \mathbb{Z}_{pq} \times A_5$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{pq} \times \operatorname{PSL}(2,7)$, and $|G_{\alpha}| = 4$ or 8, respectively. For rows 3–5 of Table 1. If pq = 35as in row 3, then $G/F < \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma_F = S_7$. Note that G/F is insoluble, and since G/Fis edge-transitive on $V\Gamma_F$, 70 ||G/F|, we conclude that $G/F \ge A_7$. It follows that $|G| \ge |F||A_7|$, and so 3 $||G_{\alpha}|$, which is a contradiction by Lemma 2.2. Similarly, we can also exclude the cases where pq = 55 or 253, as in rows 4 or 5, respectively.

Finally, suppose |F| = p. Then Γ_F is a tetravalent G/F-half-arc-transitive Cayley graph of order pq^2 . It follows that Aut Γ_F is half arc-transitive or arc-transitive on Γ_F . For convenience, we say $\Gamma_F = \text{Cay}(R, S)$, where $|R| = pq^2$. If Aut Γ_F is half arc-transitive on Γ_F ; then $R \triangleleft \text{Aut } \Gamma_F$ by Lemma 2.6. That is, $\Gamma_F = \text{Cay}(R, S)$ is a normal edge transitive Cayley graph. Noting that G is insoluble, Γ_F is not normal edge transitive by Lemma 2.8. A contradiction occurs. If Aut Γ_F is arc-transitive on Γ_F , by checking the tetravalent edge-transitive graphs of order pq^2 in Lemma 2.7, then $\Gamma_F = \mathcal{G}_{153}$ and Aut $\Gamma_F = \text{PSL}(2, 17)$. It follows that $G = F \cdot \text{PSL}(2, 17) =$ $F \times \text{PSL}(2, 17)$. But there exists no tetravalent half arc-transitive graph of order $3^2 \cdot 17^2$ admitting G as a graph automorphism group by simple computing.

Case 2. Assume M = 1. Then each nontrivial normal subgroup of G is insoluble. Let $\operatorname{soc}(G) = M_1 \times \ldots \times M_s$, where M_i $(1 \leq i \leq s)$ are all minimal normal subgroups of G. Suppose that $M_k = T_k^{d_k}$, where T_k is a nonabelian simple group and $1 \leq k \leq s$. Since G_{α} is a 2-group, N is a $\{2, p, q\}$ -group. By Lemma 2.9, $\operatorname{soc}(G) \cong A_5$, A_6 , PSL(2,7), PSL(2,8), PSL(2,17), A_5^2 or PSL(2,7)².

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let Γ be *G*-half-arc-transitive. If *G* is soluble, then, by Lemma 3.1, Γ is a *G*-normal half-arc-transitive Cayley graph. Combining Proposition 2.13, we complete the proof of part (1) in Theorem 1.1.

Suppose that G is insoluble. Let $\operatorname{soc}(G) \cong A_5$, A_6 , $\operatorname{PSL}(2,7)$, $\operatorname{PSL}(2,8)$, $\operatorname{PSL}(2,17)$, A_5^2 or $\operatorname{PSL}(2,7)^2$. Let $\alpha \in V\Gamma$. Then $|G| = |G_{\alpha}| \cdot p^2 q^2$. If $N := \operatorname{soc}(G) \cong A_5$, then $G = A_5$ or S_5 . Since $|A_5| = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ and $|S_5| = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$, $p^2 q^2 \nmid |G|$. Similarly, we can exclude the cases $N \cong A_6$, $\operatorname{PSL}(2,7)$, $\operatorname{PSL}(2,8)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}(2,17)$.

If $N \cong A_5^2$, then $|N| = 2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2$. Since $|N| \mid |G_{\alpha}| \cdot p^2 q^2$ and G_{α} is a 2-group, $(p^2 q^2, |G:N|) = 1$. By Lemma 2.11, N is transitive on VF. So $|N:N_{\alpha}| = 3^2 \cdot 5^2$, that is, $|V\Gamma| = 225$. Similarly, we can obtain that $|V\Gamma| = 441$ when $N \cong PSL(2,7)^2$. Apply Lemma 3.2 (1), we complete the proof of part (2) in Theorem 1.1.

References

[1]	B. Alspach, M. Y. Xu: 1/2-transitive graphs of order 3p. J. Algebr. Comb. 3 (1994), 347–355.	zbl	MB	doi
[2]	<i>I.Z. Bouwer</i> : Vertex and edge transitive, but not 1-transitive, graphs. Can. Math. Bull.	ZDI	IVII (uor
	13 (1970), 231–237.	\mathbf{zbl}	MR	doi
[3]	J. N. Bray, D. F. Holt, C. M. Roney-Dougal: The Maximal Subgroups of the Low-			
	Dimensional Finite Classical Groups. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note			
	Series 407, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.	\mathbf{zbl}	MR	doi
[4]	C. Y. Chao: On the classification of symmetric graphs with a prime number of vertices.			
[=]	Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 158 (1971), 247–256.	zbl	MR	doi
$\lfloor 5 \rfloor$	Y. Cheng, J. Oxley: On weakly symmetric graphs of order twice a prime. J. Combin.	11		1.
[6]	Theory Ser. B 42 (1987), 196–211.	zbl	MR	doi
[0]	J. D. Dixon, B. Mortimer: Permutation Groups. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 163, Springer, New York, 1996.	zbl	$_{\mathrm{MR}}$	doi
[7]	S. F. Du, M. Y. Xu: Vertex-primitive 1/2-arc-transitive graphs of smallest order. Com-	201	IVII (uor
[,]	mun. Algebra 27 (1999), 163–171.	zbl	MR	doi
[8]	Y. Q. Feng, J. H. Kwak, X. Wang, J. X. Zhou: Tetravalent half-arc-transitive graphs of			
L]	order 2pq. J. Algebr. Comb. 33 (2011), 543–553.	\mathbf{zbl}	MR	doi
[9]	Y. Q. Feng, J. H. Kwak, M. Y. Xu, J. X. Zhou: Tetravalent half-arc-transitive graphs of			
	order p^4 . Eur. J. Comb. 29 (2008), 555–567.	\mathbf{zbl}	MR	doi
[10]	C.D. Godsil: On the full automorphism group of a graph. Combinatorica 1 (1981),			
r 1	243–256.	\mathbf{zbl}	MR	doi
[11]	M. Herzog: On finite simple groups of order divisible by three primes only. J. Algebra			
[10]	10 (1968), 383–388.	zbl	MR	doi
[12]	D. F. Holt: A graph which is edge transitive but not arc transitive. J. Graph Theory 5 (1981), 201–204.	\mathbf{zbl}	MR	doi
[13]	A. Hujdurović, K. Kutnar, D. Marušič: Half-arc-transitive group actions with a small	201	IVII (uor
[10]	number of alternets. J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A 124 (2014), 114–129.	zbl	MR	doi
[14]	B. Huppert: Endliche Gruppen. I. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften			
	134, Springer, Berlin, 1967. (In German.)	\mathbf{zbl}	MR	doi
[15]	B. Huppert, W. Lempken: Simple groups of order divisible by at most four primes. Izv.			
	Gomel. Gos. Univ. Im. F. Skoriny 16 (2000), 64–75.	zbl		
[16]	K. Kutnar, D. Marušič, P. Šparl, R. J. Wang, M. Y. Xu: Classification of half-arc-			
[]	transitive graphs of order $4p$. Eur. J. Comb. 34 (2013), 1158–1176.	\mathbf{zbl}	MR	doi
[17]	C. H. Li: Semiregular automorphisms of cubic vertex transitive graphs. Proc. Am. Math.	11		1.
[10]	Soc. 136 (2008), 1905–1910.	zbl	MR	doi
[10]	C. H. Li, Z. P. Lu, H. Zhang: Tetravalent edge-transitive Cayley graphs with odd number of vertices. J. Comb. Theory. Ser. B 96 (2006), 164–181.	zbl	MR	doi
[19]	C. H. Li, H. S. Sim: On half-transitive metacirculant graphs of prime-power order.	201	IVII (uor
[10]	J. Comb. Theory Ser. B 81 (2001), 45–57.	zbl	MR	doi
[20]	B. D. McKay: Transitive graphs with fewer than twenty vertices. Math. Comput. 33			
	(1979), 1101–1121.	\mathbf{zbl}	MR	doi
[21]	J. Pan, Y. Liu, Z. Huang, C. Liu: Tetravalent edge-transitive graphs of order p^2q . Sci.			
	China Math. 57 (2014), 293–302.	\mathbf{zbl}	MR	doi
[22]	C. E. Praeger: Finite normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 60			
r 1	(1999), 207–220.	\mathbf{zbl}	MR	doi
[23]	M. Suzuki: Group Theory II. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 248,	1.1.	MD.	
[94]	Springer, New York, 1986.	zbl	WIR	
[24]	D. E. Taylor, M. Y. Xu: Vertex-primitive half-transitive graphs. J. Aust. Math. Soc. Ser. A 57 (1994), 113–124.	\mathbf{zbl}	MR	doi
	DOI: 11 07 (1001), 110 124.	2.01	IVIIU	aor

400

[25] W. T. Tutte: Connectivity in Graphs. Mathematical Expositions 15, University of Toronto Press, Toronto; Oxford University Press, London, 1966. zbl MR [26] R. J. Wang: Half-transitive graphs of order a product of two distinct primes. Commun. Algebra 22 (1994), 915-927. zbl MR doi [27] X. Wang, Y. Q. Feng: Half-arc-transitive graphs of order 4p of valency twice a prime. Ars Math. Contemp. 3 (2010), 151–163. zbl MR doi [28] X. Wang, Y. Q. Feng: There exists no tetravalent half-arc-transitive graph of order $2p^2$. Discrete Math. 310 (2010), 1721–1724. zbl MR doi [29] Y. Wang, Y. Q. Fenq: Half-arc-transitive graphs of prime-cube order of small valencies. Ars Math. Contemp. 13 (2017), 343–353. zbl MR doi [30] X. Wang, Y. Feng, J. Zhou, J. Wang, Q. Ma: Tetravalent half-arc-transitive graphs of order a product of three primes. Discrete Math. 339 (2016), 1566-1573. zbl MR doi [31] S. Wilson, P. Potočnik: A census of edge-transitive tetravalent graphs, Mini-Census. Available at https://www.fmf.uni-lj.si/~potocnik/work.htm. [32] M. Y. Xu: Half-transitive graphs of prime-cube order. J. Algebr. Comb. 1 (1992),

Authors' addresses: Hailin Liu, School of Science, Jiangxi University of Science and Technology, No. 86, Hongqi Ave, Ganzhou, Jiangxi 341000, P. R. China, e-mail: hailinliuqp @163.com; Hailin Liu, Bengong Lou (corresponding author), School of Mathematics and Statistics, Yunnan University, No. 2, Cuihubei Rd, Kunming 650091 P. R. China, e-mail: bengong188@163.com; Bo Ling, School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Yunnan Minzu University, No. 2929, Yuehua Ave, Kunming 650504, P. R. China, e-mail: bolinggxu @163.com.

275 - 282.

zbl MR doi