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## 24 Existence and uniqueness problems for solutions of the differential equation $(\mathrm{Qq})$

### 24.1 The existence and uniqueness theorem for solutions of the differential equation (Qq)

At the basis of general transformation theory lies the following theorem:
Theorem. Let $t_{0} \in j, X_{0} \in J, X_{0}^{\prime}(\neq 0), X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ be arbitrary. Then there is precisely one "broadest" solution $Z(t)$ of the differential equation $(\mathrm{Qq})$ in a certain interval $k(\subset j)$ with the Cauchy initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z\left(t_{0}\right)=X_{0}, \quad Z^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=X_{0}^{\prime}, \quad Z^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=X_{0}^{\prime \prime} \tag{24.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where "broadest" is used in the sense that every solution of $(\mathrm{Qq})$ satisfying the same initial conditions is a portion of $Z(t)$.

Let $\alpha, \mathbf{A}$ be arbitrary phases of the differential equations $(\mathrm{q}),(\mathrm{Q})$, whose values at the points $t_{0}, X_{0}$ are linked as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(t_{0}\right)=\mathbf{A}\left(X_{0}\right) ; \quad \alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\dot{\mathbf{A}}\left(X_{0}\right) X_{0}^{\prime} ; \quad \alpha^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\ddot{\mathbf{A}}\left(X_{0}\right) X_{0}^{\prime 2}+\dot{\mathbf{A}}\left(X_{0}\right) X_{0}^{\prime \prime} \tag{24.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $Z(t)$ is the solution of the differential equation $(\mathrm{Qq})$ generated by the linked phases $\alpha, \mathbf{A}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(t)=\mathbf{A}^{-1} \alpha(t) \tag{24.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We choose one of the phases $\alpha$, $\mathbf{A}$, for instance the phase $\alpha$, arbitrarily; then the other, $\mathbf{A}$, is determined uniquely as in $\S 7.1$ by the values $\mathbf{A}\left(X_{0}\right), \dot{\mathbf{A}}\left(X_{0}\right), \ddot{\mathbf{A}}\left(X_{0}\right)$ given by the formulae (2), (§7.1).

The solution $Z(t)$ generated by the phases $\alpha$, A obviously satisfies the initial conditions (1). We have therefore to show that every solution $X(t)$ of $(\mathrm{Qq})$ defined in an interval $i(\subset j)$ with the initial values (1) is a portion of $Z(t)$. From § 23.4, 1, the function $\bar{\alpha}(t)=\mathbf{A}[X(t)]$, which is defined in the interval $i$, is a portion of a phase $\alpha_{0}$ of (q); more precisely, of that phase $\alpha_{0}$ which is determined by the same initial values (2) as for $\alpha$. It follows that $\alpha_{0}(t)=\alpha(t)$ for $t \in j$ and further that $\alpha(t)=\mathbf{A}[X(t)]$ for $t \in i$, thus $X(t)$ is the portion of $Z(t)$ which exists in the interval $i$. This completes the proof.

From § 23.4, 2 the curve defined by the function $Z(t)$ passes from boundary to boundary of the rectangular region $j \times J$.

### 24.2 Transformations of given integrals of the differential equations $(q),(Q)$ into each other

We now concern ourselves with the following question; if two integrals $y, Y$ of the differential equations $(\mathrm{q}),(\mathrm{Q})$ are given arbitrarily, can we transform one of them (say, $Y$ ) into a portion $\bar{y}$ of the other integral $y$, by means of (23.7), using a suitable solution $X(t)$ of the differential equation $(\mathrm{Qq}), t \in i(\subset j)$ ? If the answer is yes, then
naturally the integral $y$ is transformed by the solution $x$ of the differential equation (qQ), inverse to $X$, into a portion $\bar{Y}$ of $Y$ as in (23.10).

The answer to this question is in the affirmative, provided only that we be allowed, if necessary, to change the sign of one of the two integrals $y, Y$. We can even prescribe arbitrarily the value $X_{0}$ taken by the function $X$ at an arbitrary point $t_{0} \in j, X_{0}=$ $X\left(t_{0}\right)$. However, it must be emphasized that the data mentioned above cannot be chosen completely arbitrarily, since at two homologous points $T=X(t)(\in I=X(i))$, and $t=x(T)(\in i)$ the transformation formulae (23.7), (23.10) show that the two integrals $y, Y$ must have the same sign or must both vanish.

We set out the principal result more precisely in the following theorem:
Theorem. Let y, Y be arbitrary integrals of the differential equations $(\mathrm{q}),(\mathrm{Q})$. Moreover, let $t_{0} \in j, X_{0} \in J$ be arbitrary numbers, which satisfy one or other of the following conditions (a), (b):
(a) $y\left(t_{0}\right) \neq 0 \neq Y\left(X_{0}\right)$,
(b) $y\left(t_{0}\right)=0=Y\left(X_{0}\right)$.

Then there exist broadest solutions $X$ of the differential equation $(\mathrm{Qq})$, which take the value $X_{0}$ at the point $t_{0}$, i.e. $X_{0}=X\left(t_{0}\right)$, and in their intervals of definition transform the integral $Y$ into a portion $\bar{y}$ of $y$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y}(t)=\eta \frac{Y[X(t)]}{\sqrt{\left|X^{\prime}(t)\right|}} \tag{24.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case (a) there is precisely one increasing and precisely one decreasing broadest solution $X$ of the differential equation $(\mathrm{Qq})$; in the case (b) there are $\infty^{1}$ increasing and the same number of decreasing broadest solutions $X$.

In both cases (a), (b) the symbol $\eta$ denotes the number $\pm 1$, as follows:
(a) $\eta=\operatorname{sgn} y\left(t_{0}\right) Y\left(X_{0}\right)$
(b) $\eta=\left\{\begin{array}{r}\operatorname{sgn} y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \dot{Y}\left(X_{0}\right) \text { for increasing solutions, } \\ -\operatorname{sgn} y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \dot{Y}\left(X_{0}\right) \text { for decreasing solutions. }\end{array}\right.$

Proof. We first assume that there is a solution $X$ of the differential equation ( Qq ) defined in an interval $k(\subset j)$ and which is broadest in the sense of this theorem. Then the following relations hold in the interval $k$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\bar{y}(t) & =\eta \frac{Y[X(t)]}{\sqrt{\left|X^{\prime}(t)\right|}}  \tag{24.5}\\
\bar{y}^{\prime}(t) & =\eta\left[\frac{\dot{Y}[X(t)]}{\sqrt{\left|X^{\prime}(t)\right|}} X^{\prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} \frac{Y[X(t)]}{\sqrt{\left|X^{\prime}(t)\right|}} \cdot \frac{X^{\prime \prime}(t)}{X^{\prime}(t)}\right]
\end{array}\right\}
$$

It is easy to verify that the functions $X, X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}$ take the following values at the point $t_{0}$ in the two cases (a), (b):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (a) } \quad X\left(t_{0}\right)=X_{0}, \quad X^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\varepsilon \frac{Y^{2}\left(X_{0}\right)}{y^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)} \\
& \quad X^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=2 \frac{Y^{2}\left(X_{0}\right)}{y^{4}\left(t_{0}\right)}\left[Y\left(X_{0}\right) \dot{Y}\left(X_{0}\right)-\varepsilon y\left(t_{0}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right]  \tag{24.6}\\
& \text { (b) } \quad X\left(t_{0}\right)=X_{0}, \quad X^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\varepsilon \frac{y^{\prime 2}\left(t_{0}\right)}{\dot{Y}^{2}\left(X_{0}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon= \pm 1$. In case (b) the value $X^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$ is not determined by the conditions (5). Obviously, $\varepsilon=1$ or $\varepsilon=-1$ according as $X$ is increasing or decreasing in the interval $k$.

In case (a), therefore, the initial values $X\left(t_{0}\right)=X_{0}, X^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)(\neq 0)$ and $X^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$ are uniquely determined by (i) the integrals $y, Y$ (ii) the choice of the values $t_{0} \in j, X_{0} \in J$ and (iii) whether the function $X$ is increasing or decreasing. In case (b) this holds only for the initial values $X\left(t_{0}\right), X^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$. From the theorem of $\S 24.1$, it follows that the number of broadest solutions $X$ of the differential equation (Qq) satisfying the condition of the theorem cannot exceed the number stated in this theorem.

Now let $X$ be the broadest solution of the differential equation ( Qq ) determined by the initial conditions (6) (a) or (b); in the case (b) let $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ be arbitrary. The existence of this solution $X$ is ensured by the theorem of $\S 24.1$; let the interval of definition of $X$ be $k(\subset j)$.

According to $\S 23.2$, 1, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y}(t)=\frac{Y[X(t)]}{\sqrt{\left|X^{\prime}(t)\right|}}, \tag{24.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is defined in the interval $k$, is a solution of the differential equation (q) and it is in fact the portion contained in $k$ of the integral $\bar{y}$ of $(\mathrm{q})$ determined by the Cauchy initial conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{y}\left(t_{0}\right) & =\frac{Y\left(X_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\left|X^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|}} \\
\tilde{y}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) & =\frac{\dot{Y}\left(X_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\left|X^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|}} X^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \frac{Y\left(X_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\left|X^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|}} \cdot \frac{X^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)}{X^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we replace $X^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right), X^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$ by the values given in the formulae (6), then in both cases (a), (b) we have

$$
\tilde{y}\left(t_{0}\right)=\eta y\left(t_{0}\right) ; \quad \tilde{y}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\eta y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right),
$$

and it follows that for $t \in k$

$$
\tilde{y}(t)=\eta y(t)
$$

Consequently the solution $X$ of the differential equation (Qq) transforms (by (7)) the integral $\eta Y$ into the portion of the integral $y$ defined in the interval $k$. This completes the proof.

One remark needs to be added. The formula (7) can also be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=\eta \frac{Y[X(t)]}{\sqrt{\left|X^{\prime}(t)\right|}} \tag{24.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, however, validity is limited to the interval $k(\subset j)$. In special cases it can happen that (8) is valid in the whole interval $j$ and at the same time the range of the function $X$ coincides with the interval $J$. Then the function $X$ transforms (by (8)) the integral $\eta Y$ in its whole domain into the integral $y$. Naturally, this situation only occurs if the interval of definition $k$ of $X$ is identical with $j$ and also the interval of definition, $K$, of the function $x$ inverse to $X$ is identical with $J$. This occurs, in particular, if the differential equations $(\mathrm{q}),(\mathrm{Q})$ are oscillatory. Then any two arbitrary phases
$\alpha$, A of these differential equations are similar to each other; consequently the intervals $k$ and $j$ coincide and the intervals $K, J$ coincide also (§9.2).

For example, the function $\sin t$ (arising from the carrier $q=-1$ ), is transformed into the integral $\sqrt{ } T J_{v}(T)$ of the Bessel differential equation (1.24) over the whole range $t \in(-\infty, \infty)$, by means of a suitable increasing function $x_{v}(T)\left(\in C_{3}\right), T \in$ $(0, \infty)$. Hence we have the following representation of the Bessel function $J_{v}(T)$ :

$$
J_{v}(T)=\frac{\sin x_{v}(T)}{\sqrt{T \cdot \dot{x}_{v}(T)}}
$$

