

Tsang-Hai Kuo

Some existence result to elliptic equations with semilinear coefficients

In: Marek Fila and Angela Handlovičová and Karol Mikula and Milan Medved' and Pavol Quittner and Daniel Ševčovič (eds.): Proceedings of Equadiff 11, International Conference on Differential Equations. Czecho-Slovak series, Bratislava, July 25-29, 2005, [Part 2] Minisymposia and contributed talks. Comenius University Press, Bratislava, 2007. Presented in electronic form on the Internet. pp. 197--200.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700412>

Terms of use:

© Comenius University, 2007

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*
<http://project.dml.cz>

SOME EXISTENCE RESULT TO ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS WITH SEMILINEAR COEFFICIENTS*

TSANG-HAI KUO†

Abstract. For the quasilinear elliptic equation

$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij}(x, u) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + c(x, u)u = f(x, u, \nabla u)$$

on a bounded smooth domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^N with $c(x, r) \geq \alpha_0$ and $|f(x, r, \xi)| \leq C_0 + h(|r|)|\xi|^\theta$, $0 \leq \theta < 2$, we note that every solution $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $p \geq \frac{2N}{N+2}$, is L^∞ -bounded by $\frac{C_0}{\alpha_0}$. Consequently, the existence of such solution is irrelevant to $a_{ij}(x, r)$ on $|r| \geq \frac{C_0}{\alpha_0}$. It is then shown that if the oscillation $a_{ij}(x, r)$ with respect to r are sufficiently small for $|r| \leq \frac{C_0}{\alpha_0}$, then there exists a solution $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Key words. Quasilinear elliptic problem, strong solution, $W^{2,p}$ estimate

1. Introduction. For a bounded domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 3$, which is $C^{1,1}$ diffeomorphic to a ball in \mathbb{R}^N , let L_v, L, D_v , and D are elliptic operators defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L_v u &= -\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij}(x, v) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + c(x, v)u, \\ Lu &= L_u u, \\ D_v u &= -\sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} a_{ij}(x, v) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} + c(x, v)u, \\ Du &= D_u u, \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients a_{ij}, c , and $\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial r}$ are bounded Carathéodory functions, $c \geq \alpha_0 > 0$ and $\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \geq \lambda |\xi|^2$ for some constants α_0 and λ .

Let $f(x, r, \xi)$ be a Carathéodory function satisfying $|f(x, r, \xi)| \leq C_0 + h(|r|)|\xi|^2$, where $h(|r|)$ is a locally bounded function. It is shown in [1] that there exists a solution $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ to the equation

$$Du = f(x, u, \nabla u) \quad \text{in } \Omega. \tag{1.1}$$

Moreover, every solution $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ to (1.1) is L^∞ -bounded by $r_0 = \frac{C_0}{\alpha_0}$. In pursuit of strong solutions, we note that (1.1) can be reformulated as

$$Lu = f(x, u, \nabla u) + \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}. \tag{1.2}$$

*Partially supported by the National Science Council of R.O.C. under Project NSC 93-2115-M182-002.

† Center for General Education, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan, (thkuo@mail.cgu.edu.tw)

It then suffices to examine the existence of solutions to (1.2) with $a_{ij}(x, r)$ replaced by

$$b_{ij}(x, r) = \begin{cases} a_{ij}(x, r), & \text{if } |r| < r_0 \\ a_{ij}(x, r_0), & \text{if } |r| \geq r_0 \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

For the main purpose of this paper, we shall study the existence of solutions $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to the equation

$$Lu = f(x, u, \nabla u) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$|f(x, r, \xi)| \leq C_0 + h(|r|)|\xi|^\theta, \quad 0 \leq \theta < 2. \tag{1.5}$$

Recall that in the linear case when $a_{ij} = a_{ij}(x)$ and $f = f(x) \in L^p(\Omega)$, one has the $W^{2,p}$ estimate from [2] that

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C(\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)})$$

for every solution $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to (1.4). This estimate remains valid if the oscillation $a_{ij}(x, r)$ with respect to r are sufficiently small [3]. A $W^{2,p}$ estimate was then performed to deduce the existence of solutions to (1.4). In Section 2, we observe that every solution $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to (1.4), $p \geq \frac{2N}{N+2}$, is L^∞ -bounded by $r_0 = \frac{C_0}{\alpha_0}$. Thus, the existence of solution is irrelevant to $a_{ij}(x, r)$ on $|r| \geq r_0$. Our main result in THEOREM 2.4 shows that if the oscillation of $a_{ij}(x, r)$ with respect to r for $|r| \leq r_0$ are sufficiently small, then there exists a solution $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

2. Existence of Strong Solutions. Our main result in this section aims to show the existence of solutions $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to (1.4). In the light of [1, p. 45], every solution $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ to (1.1) is L^∞ -bounded by r_0 , one gets readily that

LEMMA 2.1. *Every solution $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $p \geq \frac{2N}{N+2}$, is L^∞ -bounded by r_0 .*

Proof. Let

$$\tilde{f}(x, r, \xi) = f(x, r, \xi) - \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}. \tag{2.1}$$

(1.4) can be rewritten as

$$Du = \tilde{f}(x, u, \nabla u).$$

For every $\varepsilon > 0$, one deduces from (1.5) that $|\tilde{f}(x, r, \xi)| \leq C_0 + \varepsilon + h_1(|r|)|\xi|^2$, where $h_1(|r|)$ is a locally bounded function. Notice that a solution $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ to (1.1) is L^∞ -bounded by $r_0 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha_0}$. Therefore, every solution $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $p \geq \frac{2N}{N+2}$, is L^∞ -bounded by r_0 . \square

For a fixed x in \mathbb{R}^N , let $a_{ij}(x, r; s)$ denote the oscillation of $a_{ij}(x, r)$ with respect to r for $|r| \leq s$, i.e.,

$$\text{osc } a_{ij}(x, r; s) = \sup\{|a_{ij}(x, r_1) - a_{ij}(x, r_2)| : |r_1|, |r_2| \leq s\}.$$

Let $\text{osc } a(x, r; s) = \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \text{osc } a_{ij}(x, r; s)$ and $\text{osc } a(x, r) = \text{osc } a(x, r; +\infty)$.

For operators L_v , we quote the following result from [3, p. 191]

LEMMA 2.2. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N which is $C^{1,1}$ diffeomorphic to a ball in \mathbb{R}^N , and the coefficients $a_{ij} \in C^{0,1}(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R})$, $|a_{ij}|, |c| \leq \Lambda$, where Λ is a positive constant, $i, j = 1, \dots, N$. Assume that $\text{osca}_{ij}(x, r)$ is sufficiently small with respect to r and uniformly for $x \in \Omega$. Then if $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $L_v u \in L^p(\Omega)$, $1 < p < \infty$. One has the estimate*

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C(\|L_v u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}),$$

where C is a constant (independent of v) dependent on $N, p, \lambda, \Lambda, \partial\Omega$, and Ω , the diffeomorphism and the moduli of continuity of $a_{ij}(x, r)$ with respect to x in $\bar{\Omega}$.

Denote $\tilde{L}v$ and \tilde{L} the elliptic operators with $a_{ij}(x, r)$ replaced by $b_{ij}(x, r)$, i.e.,

$$\tilde{L}_v u = - \sum_{i,j=1}^N b_{ij}(x, v) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + c(x, v)u,$$

and

$$\tilde{L}u = \tilde{L}_v u.$$

Consider now the equation

$$\tilde{L}u = f(x, u, \nabla u) \tag{2.2}$$

Let f_n be the truncature of f by $\pm n$. For $v \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, the Dirichlet problem $\tilde{L}_v u = f_n(x, v, \nabla v)$ has a unique solution $u_n \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and by LEMMA 2.2

$$\|u_n\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C(\|u_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|f_n(x, v, \nabla v)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}).$$

An application of the weak maximum principle of A. D. Aleksandrov [2, p. 220] together with the Schauder Fixed Point Theorem implies that there exists a solution $u_n \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to (2.2). Moreover, by the constraint (1.5) on f , one has the following estimate from [3].

LEMMA 2.3. *The approximating solutions (u_n) are $W^{2,p}$ -bounded.*

The existence of solutions can now be deduced from above lemmas.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let Ω be a bounded $C^{1,1}$ -smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 3$, $a_{ij} \in C^{0,1}(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R})$, $\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial r}, c$ be bounded Carathodory functions, $c(x, r) \geq \alpha_0 > 0$. Assume that $f(x, r, \xi)$ satisfies (1.5) and $\text{osca}(x, r; r_0)$ is sufficiently small uniformly for $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. Then there exists a solution $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to Equation (1.4).*

Proof. By LEMMA 2.3 we get the approximating solutions (u_n) which are $W^{2,p}$ -bounded. It follows from the compact imbedding $W^{2,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ that there exists a convergent subsequence in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, which is still denoted by (u_n) , such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e., $\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u$ a.e. and $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Moreover, since $\|u_n\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq M$ and the set $\{v \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \mid \|v\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq M\}$ is closed in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, the limit u of (u_n) belongs to $W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Passing to the limit and using Vitali Convergence Theorem, one can show that $Lu_n \rightarrow Lu$ in $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$ and $f_n(x, u, \nabla u_n) \rightarrow f(x, u, \nabla u)$ in $L^1(\Omega)$, which proves that u is a solution to (2.2). \square

Notice that $\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq r_0$ by LEMMA 2.1, hence $b_{ij}(x, u(x)) = a_{ij}(x, u(x))$ a.e. Therefore one concludes that u is in fact a solution to (1.4).

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Boccardo, F. Murat and J. P. Puel, *Existence de solutions faibles pour des équations elliptiques quasi-linéaires à croissance quadratique*, in: Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Their Applications, J. L. Lions and H. Brezis eds., Collge de France Seminar, Vol. IV, Research Notes in Math. 84, Pitman, London, 1983, 19-73.
- [2] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [3] T. H. Kuo and Y. J. Chen, *Existence of strong solutions to some quasilinear elliptic problems on bounded smooth domains*, Taiwanese J. Math. **6**(2) (2002), 187–204.