Alain Costé Radon Nikodym property and set-valued integration

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Abstracta. 4th Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1976. pp. 77--80.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701047

Terms of use:

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, 1976

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ*: *The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

FOURTH WINTER SCHOOL (1976)

RADON NIKODYM PROPERTY AND SET-VALUED INTEGRATION

by:

Alain COSTÉ

Let E be a Banach space, and E' be its conjugate. We denote by $\mathscr{C}(E)$, the set of closed bounded convex subsets of E. On $\mathscr{C}(E)$ we consider the following addition (denoted by $\stackrel{*}{+}$)

 $C \stackrel{*}{+} C' = closure (C + C')$

We endow $\mathscr{C}(E)$ with its Hausdorff topology. For $C \in \mathscr{C}(E)$, and $y \in E'$ we denote by $\mathscr{I}^{\#}(y/C)$ the scalar

 $\delta'^{*}(y/C) = \sup \{\langle x, y \rangle / x \in C \}.$

We denote by $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{E})$, resp. $\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{E})$ the set of compact, resp. weakly compact convex subsets of E.

We consider a fixed complete positive finite measure space (Λ, J', ω) .

Definition 1. Assume that E is separable. A map \mathbf{F} from $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ to $\boldsymbol{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbf{E})$ is said to be $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ -measurable if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

(i) There exists a sequence $(\mathfrak{G}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of measurable maps from Ω to E such that $\Gamma(\omega) = \operatorname{closure} \{\mathfrak{G}_n(\omega)/n\geq 0\}$ (4 a.e.

(ii) The graph of $\Gamma = \{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times E/x \in \Gamma(\omega)\}$ belongs to the product \mathfrak{S} -algebra $\mathfrak{T} \otimes$ (Borelians of E). (The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is due to C. CASTAING.)

We call selection of Γ a measurable map $\mathcal{F}: \Omega \longrightarrow E$ such that: $\mathcal{F}(\omega) \in \Gamma(\omega)$ μ a.e.

We denote by $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)$ the set of selections of Γ .

Definition 2. Let E be a separable Banach space, and [

be a μ -measurable map from Ω to \mathcal{L} (E). We say that **T** is μ -integrable if the following two properties are satisfied:

(i) For every $y \in E'$ the map $\omega \longrightarrow \sigma'^{*}(y/T'(\omega))$ from Ω to \mathbb{R} is ω -integrable

(ii) Every selection of Γ is Pettis- ω -integrable. We denote $\int_A \Gamma d\mu$ the set = closure $\{\int_A \sigma d\mu/\sigma e \circ C(\Gamma)\}$. We have $\int_A \Gamma d\mu \in \mathcal{C}(E)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{T}$.

Theorem 1. Let $\Gamma: \Omega \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(E)$ be \mathcal{U} -integrable, then the map M from \mathcal{T} to $\mathcal{C}(E)$ defined by $M(A) = \int_A \Gamma d\mathcal{U}$, $A \in \mathcal{T}$, satisfies the following properties.

(i) Whenever $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then $M(A \cup B) = M(A) \stackrel{*}{+} M(B)$

(ii) Whenever $A = \bigcup_{\text{disjoint}} A_n$, then $M(A) = \sum_{n \ge 0} M(A_n)$,

i.e. this series is unconditionally convergent for the Hausdorff topology.

(iii) The variation /M/ of M is 6-finite.

(By definition $/M/(A) = \sup \{\sum_{i} \|x_{i}\|/(A_{i})\}$ finite partition of A and $x_{i} \in M(A_{i})\}$)

(iv) For every $y \in E'$ we have:

$$\sigma^{*}(y) \int_{A} T d\mu = \int_{A} \sigma^{*}(y/T(\omega)) \mu(a\omega).$$

This last point is due to IOFFE-TIHOMIROV.

Definition 3. Let (Ω, \mathcal{T}) be a measurable space. A map : from \mathcal{T} to $\mathcal{C}(E)$ is said to be a set-valued measure if it satisfies properties (i) and (ii) in Theorem 1. We call selector of M a vector measure $m: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow E$ such that:

$$m(A) \in M(A), \forall A \in \mathcal{T}.$$

We denote by $\mathfrak{G}(M)$ the set of selectors of M.

We say that M is rich if it satisfies the following property:

 $M(A) = Closure \{m(A)/m \in \mathcal{G}(M)\}, \forall A \in \mathcal{J}'$.

Theorem 2. Let M be a set-valued measure from \mathcal{T} to \mathfrak{C} (E).

1) If M is W(E)-valued, then M is rich.

2) If E is separable, then M is rich .

3) If E has R.N.P., then M is rich .

(The point 1) is due to PALLU DE LA BARRIERE)

Problem 1: Is every set-valued measure rich ?

Definition 4. We say that a set-valued measure M from \mathcal{O} to $\mathcal{C}(E)$ has a density with respect to μ , if there exists a μ -integrable map $\Gamma : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(E)$ such that $M(A) = \int_{A} \Gamma d\mu , \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{J}.$

Theorem 3. Let E be a separable Banach space having R.N.P. Then every set-valued measure M with 6^{-1} finite variation and absolutely continuous with respect to μ (i.e. $(L(A) = 0 \longrightarrow M(A) = \{0\}$) has a density with respect to μ .

Question 1. Assume that in Theorem 3, M is $\mathcal{W}(E)$ -valued. Is then the density of M also $\mathcal{W}(E)$ -valued μ a.e.?

Question 2. The same with SC (E)-valued .

The answer to question 2 is no (there exists a counter example in \mathcal{L}_n) .

The answer to question 1 is yes if E' is separable, and no if $\mathbf{E} \supset \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{1}}$.

More generally we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4. Let E be a separable space such that E' is separable. Let M: $\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(E)$ be a set-valued measure absolutely continuous with respect to μ , with \mathcal{O} -finite variation, and such that every selector m of M has a density with respect to μ (which is the case when E has R.N.P.). Then M has a $\mathcal{W}(E)$ -valued density with respect to μ .

Let us call (P) the following property of a separable Banach space E:

Every set valued measure M with values in $\mathcal{W}(E)$ satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 4 has a $\mathcal{W}(E)$ -valued density.

We know that:

E' separable \implies E satisfies (P) \implies E $\Rightarrow l_1$ Problem 2: What is exactly property (P) ?