Nicolae Popa Symmetric *p*-normed space for 0

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Proceedings of the 11th Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1984. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 3. pp. [209]--217.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701313

# Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## SYMMETRIC p-NORMED SPACES FOR 0

#### Nicolae Popa

In this paper we introduce the notion of a symmetric p-normed space, 0 , a natural extension of that of a symmetric normed space. (See [4]).

For these spaces we extend (usually without proofs) some results of [1], [2], [4]. For instance we prove that for a symmetric p-normed sequence space E such that the Boyd indices  $p_E$  and  $q_E$  are not trivial, the triangular projection T acts continuously on the corresponding symmetric p-normed space  $C_E$  and conversely, if T acts continuously on  $C_E$  then the Boyd indices of E are not trivial. Particularly the space  $C_{q,p}$ , for 0 and <math>l + l/q > l/p, has this property but  $C_p$  for 0 has it not.

Another interesting result is that the spaces  $C_p$ , for 0 , are primary, obtaining thus an extension of a previous result of J. Arazy [2]. As a general remark we point out that the proofs follow the lines of these of the papers [1], [2] and [4].

1 - General theory of symmetric p-normed spaces, <math>0 .As a general rule we use the terminology of [4] and [1].First we introduce the notion of a symmetric p-norm.

<u>Definition 1.1</u>. Let's denote  $B(\ell_2)$  the space of all linear bounded operators on  $\ell_2$ . A positive function  $|X|_s$  defined on an ideal C of  $B(\ell_2)$  is called a <u>symmetric</u> p-<u>norm</u> if the following properties hold:

1)  $|X|_{s} = 0$  if and only if X = 0.

2)  $|\lambda X|_{\mathbf{s}} = |\lambda| \cdot |X|_{\mathbf{s}}$  for  $X \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

3) (p-convexity property). For every two sequences  $(\xi_{1j})_{j=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $(\xi_{2j})_{j=1}^{\infty}$  of real numbers and for every orthonormal system  $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$  of elements of  $\ell_2$  the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{split} \big| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}_{1j}^{p} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}_{2j}^{p} \right)^{1/p} \left( \cdot , \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{j} \right) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{j} \big|_{s} &\leqslant \\ &\leqslant \left( \big| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}_{1j} \left( \cdot , \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{j} \right) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{j} \big|_{s}^{p} + \big| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}_{2j} \left( \cdot , \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{j} \right) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{j} \big|_{s}^{p} \right)^{1/p}. \end{split}$$

(Here  $\tilde{\{}_{lj}^{p}$  means the real number  $|\tilde{\{}_{lj}|^{p}$  sign  $\tilde{\{}_{lj}$ ). 4)  $|A \times B|_{s} \leq ||A|| \cdot |x|_{s} \cdot ||B||$ , for  $A, B \in B(\ell_{2})$  and  $X \in C$ . 5) If X is a one-dimensional operator we have  $|X|_{s} = ||X|| = s_{1}(X)$ . If instead of 4) we have the following property: 4')  $|UX|_{s} = |XU|_{s} = |X|_{s}$  for all unitary operators U and all  $X \in C$ ; then  $|X|_{s}$  is called a <u>unitary p-norm</u>. Later we show that  $|X|_{s}$  satisfies the inequality

(1) 
$$|X + Y|_{s}^{p} \leq |X|_{s}^{p} + |Y|_{s}^{p}$$
 for all  $X, Y \in C$ .

Thus the name of a symmetric p-norm for  $|X|_s$  in justified.

It is easy to see that a symmetric p-norm is a unitary p-norm. In fact the converse is also true for the separable symmetric p-normed spaces.

It is possible to prove by standard methods (see [4] pp. 68-69) the following result:

Proposition 1.2. a) Let  $|X|_s$  be a symmetric p-norm on C. Then  $|X|_s = |X^*|_s = |(XX^*)^{1/2}|_s = |(X^*X)^{1/2}|_s$  for all  $X \in C$ . b) If the inequalities hold  $s_j(Y) \leq c \cdot s_j(X)$  j=1,2,3,...

where  $X \in C$ , Y is a compact operator and c > 0 is a constant, then it follows that  $Y \in C$  and moreover we have

 $|\mathbf{Y}|_{\mathbf{s}} \leq c |\mathbf{X}|_{\mathbf{s}}$ 

It is an easy consequence of Proposition 1.2 that a symmetric p-morm  $[X]_{j}$  depends only on the singular numbers  $(s_j(X))_{j=1}^{\infty}$  of the operator X.

perator X. Thus on the ideal  $\mathcal{F}$  of all finite rank operators a symmetric p--norm  $|X|_{\mathcal{S}}$  defines a function  $\Phi$  on the set of all decreasing sequences of positive numbers with at most a finite nonzero terms by the formula  $|X|_{s} = \phi(s_{1}(X), s_{2}(X), \dots).$ 

The study of this function is useful to show that  $|X|_{x}$  verifies the inequality (1).

Let c be the space of null converging sequences of real numbers and let c the subspace of c consisting only of sequences with at most a finite number of nonzero terms.

Definition 1.3. A function  $\phi: \hat{c} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a p-normant function if the following conditions hold:

 $\Phi(i)>0$  if  $0 \neq i \in \hat{c}$ . Τ

II  $\Phi(\propto 3) = |\propto| \Phi(3)$  for  $\ll \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $3 \in \widehat{C}$ . III  $\Phi((3^p + \gamma^p)^{1/p}) \leq (\Phi(3)^p + \Phi(\gamma)^p)^{1/p}$  for  $3, h \in \widehat{C}$ .

(This property is called the p-convexity of the function  $\Phi$ )

IV  $\phi$  (1,0,0,...) = 1.

A p-normant function  $\Phi(3)$  is called a <u>symmetric</u> p-normant function (briefly s.p.n.) if

$$\nabla \Phi(\xi_1, \xi_2, ..., \xi_n, 0, ...) = \Phi(|\xi_{\pi(1)}|, |\xi_{\pi(2)}|, ..., |\xi_{\pi(n)}|, 0, ...)$$

for all  $\tilde{f} = (\tilde{f}_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \hat{c}$  and for all permutations  $\Re$  of the set {1,2,...,n}.

The following proposition is an easy consequence of the definition 1.3 and of the considerations made in 4 pp.71-74.

<u>Proposition 1.4.</u> a) If  $|\gamma_j| \leq |\eta_j|$  j=1,2,... hold for the vectors  $\xi = (\xi_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $h = (h_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$  of  $\hat{c}$ , then

$$\Phi(f) \leqslant \Phi(h).$$

b) (The extension of Ky Fan's lemma). Assume that

$$\mathfrak{F} = (\mathfrak{F}_{j})_{j=1}^{\infty}, \ \mathfrak{h} = (\mathfrak{h}_{j})_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{c}}. \ \underline{\mathrm{If}} \ \mathfrak{F}_{1} \geq \mathfrak{F}_{2} \geq \ldots \geq 0, \ \mathfrak{h}_{1} \geq \mathfrak{h}_{2} \geq \ldots \geq 0$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \{j_{j}^{p} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} \eta_{j}^{p} \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

then we have

for each  $s_{p_n} \phi$ . Easy examples of s.p.n. functions are  $\Phi_{\infty}(z) = \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |z_n|$  and  $\Phi_p(\xi) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\zeta_j|^p\right)^{1/p}$  for  $\zeta \in \widehat{c}$ . It is also clear that a s.p.n. function  $\Phi$  is continuous and that  $\Phi_{\infty}(\xi) \leq \Phi(\xi) \leq \Phi_{n}(\xi) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \widehat{c}.$ 

<u>Theorem 1.5.</u> Let  $|A|_s$  a unity p-norm on  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then the equality  $\Phi(s(A)) = |A|_s$  for  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $s(A) := (s_j(A))_{j=1}^{\infty}$ ; defines a s.p.n. function  $\Phi(\mathcal{F})$ . Conversely, if  $\Phi(\mathcal{F})$  is a s.p.n. function, then the equality

$$|A|_{\mathfrak{T}} = \Phi(\mathbf{s}(A)) \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{F}$$

defines a unitary p-norm on F.

Sketch of the proof. If  $|A|_s$  is a unitary p-norm then  $s_j(A) = s_j(B)$  for j = 1, 2, 3, ... implies that  $|A|_s = |B|_s$ .

Let  $\Phi(\tilde{i}) = \left|\sum_{j} \tilde{i}_{j}^{*}(\cdot, \varphi_{j})\varphi_{j}\right|_{s}$ , where  $(\varphi_{j})_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is a fixed orthonormal system in  $\ell_{2}$  and  $(\tilde{i}_{j}^{*})_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is the decreasing rearrangement of a sequence  $(\tilde{i}_{j})_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \hat{c}$ .

Then 
$$\oint$$
 is a s.p.n. function. Let's verify the property III  
 $\Phi(\mathfrak{z})^{p} + \Phi(\mathfrak{z})^{p} = |\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{z}_{j}^{*}(\cdot, \mathfrak{q}_{j})\mathfrak{q}_{j}|_{\mathfrak{s}}^{p} + |\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{h}_{j}^{*}(\cdot, \mathfrak{q}_{j})\mathfrak{q}_{j}|_{\mathfrak{s}}^{p} =$   
 $= |\sum_{j} \mathfrak{z}_{j}(\cdot, \mathfrak{q}_{j})\mathfrak{q}_{j}|_{\mathfrak{s}}^{p} + |\sum_{j} \mathfrak{z}_{j}(\cdot, \mathfrak{q}_{j})\mathfrak{q}_{j}|_{\mathfrak{s}}^{p} \ge (\text{by the p-convexity})$   
 $\geq |\sum_{j} (\mathfrak{z}_{j}^{p} + \mathfrak{h}_{j}^{p})^{1/p} (\cdot, \mathfrak{q}_{j})\mathfrak{q}_{j}|_{\mathfrak{s}}^{p} = \Phi((\mathfrak{z}^{p} + \mathfrak{h}_{j}^{p})^{1/p}).$ 

The converse is also true using the property III for  $\Phi$ . <u>Corollary 1.6</u>. Every unitary p-norm on the ideal  $\mathcal{F}$  is a symmetric p-norm.

Now we justify that  $|\mathtt{A}|_{\mathtt{s}}$  is a p-norm on  $\mathfrak{F}.$ 

Corollary 1.7. Every unitary p-norm  $|A|_s$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  verify the following inequality

 $|A + B|_{s}^{p} \leq |A|_{s}^{p} + |B|_{s}^{p}$  for  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

The proof is based on the very important Theorem 2.8-[3], which asserts that

$$\sum_{j} s_{j}^{p} (A+B) \leqslant \sum_{j} s_{j}^{p} (A) + \sum_{j} s_{j}^{p} (B) \text{ for all } A, B \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Indeed

$$|A+B|_{\Phi}^{p} = \Phi(s(A+B))^{p} \leq \Phi((s^{p}(A)+s^{p}(B))^{1/p})^{p} \leq \Phi(s(A))^{p} + \Phi(s(B))^{p} =$$
$$= |A|_{\Phi}^{p} + |B|_{\Phi}^{p} \cdot \blacksquare$$

<u>Definition 1.8</u>. An ideal C of  $B(\ell_2)$  endowed with a symmetric p-norm, such that C becomes a p-Banach space is called a <u>symmetric</u> p-normed ideal (briefly a <u>s.p.n</u>. ideal).

For instance each  $C_p := \{ X \in B(\ell_2); |X|_p = (\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_j^p(X))^{1/p} < \infty \}$ for 0 is either a symmetric p-normed space for <math>0 , or asymmetric normed space for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

We present now a general method to generate symmetric p-normed ideals.

Let  $\oint be a s.p.n.$  function and let  $c_{\mathbf{p}} = \{ \{ \in c_0; \sup \Phi(z^{(n)}) < \infty \}$ where  $z^{(n)} = (z_1, \dots, z_n, 0, \dots)$  for  $z \in c_0$ . We extend  $\Phi$  to the space  $c_{\Phi}$  by the formula

$$\Phi(\mathbf{z}) = \lim_{n} \Phi(\mathbf{z}^{(n)}) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{c}_{\Phi}$$

Definition 1.9. For a s.p.n. function & we consider the set Co of all compact operators X such that  $s(X) = (s_j(X))_{j=1}^{\infty} \in c_{\overline{\Phi}}$ .

For each  $X \in C_{\overline{D}}$  put

$$|\mathbf{x}|_{\Phi} = \Phi(\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})).$$

Now we can state a similar result to that of [4] p.80.

Theorem 1.10. Let  $\phi(z)$  be a sp.n. function. Then the set  $C_{\overline{\Phi}}$  is a s.p.n. ideal with respect to the symmetric p-norm.

 $|A| = |A|_{C} = \phi(s(A))$  for  $A \in C_{\overline{D}}$ 

For the ideals C  $_{\overline{\mathrm{d}}}$  we can extend almost all the statements proved in [4] pp.80-90.

Let's denote by  $C^{o}_{a}$  the closure of the space  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $C_{a}$ . Then the following theorem is true.

Theorem 1.11. Every separable s.p.n. ideal coincides with a certain ideal Co.

We have already shown that a unitary p-norm on  $\mathcal{F}$  verifies the generalized triangle inequality. An important role in the proving of this fact is played by the p-convexity of the p-norm.

By Corollary 1.7 it follows, in the case p=1, that the set of properties 1)-5). Definition 1.1 is equivalent to the same set of properties, where instead of property 3) we put the usual triangle inequality.

In the case 0 the situation is quite different.

The russian mathematician Y.Rotfeld shown in [6] that  $C_{p,\infty}$ : = = {T \in B(\ell\_2); |T|\_{p,\infty} = sup k^{1/p} \cdot s\_k(T) < \infty} has an equivalent p-norm, but cannot be renormed such that it becomes a symmetric p-normed ideal. This fact shows us the importance of the property 3) of Definition 1.1.

### NICOLAE POPA

§ 2 - Interpolation theorems for s.p.n. ideals and applications

We show some extensions of the results of J.Arazy [1], [2]. As a general rule we dont give proofs.

Let E be a separable symmetric p-normed space of sequences. Then  $C_E = \{T \in B(\ell_2); s(T) \in E\}$  endowed with the p-norm  $||T|| = ||s(T)||_E$ , is a separable s.p.n. ideal.

We define now the triangular projection  ${\tt T}:{\tt C}_{\underline{\rm E}}\longrightarrow{\tt C}_{\underline{\rm E}}$  by the formula

$$T(A)(i,j) = \begin{cases} a(i,j) & i \leq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where the matrix  $(a(i,j))_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$  gives the operator  $A \in C_E$  with respect to two fixed orthonormal bases  $(e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in  $\ell_2$ .

It is natural to ask about the continuity of T. We need the definition of Boyd indices for sequende spaces.

For every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $D_m$  and  $D_{1/m}$  be the operators defined on the symmetric p-normed space of sequences E by:

$$D_{m}x = (\underbrace{x(1), \dots, x(1)}_{m \text{ terms}}, \underbrace{x(2), \dots, x(2)}_{m \text{ terms}}, \dots, \underbrace{x(n), \dots, x(n)}_{m \text{ terms}}, \dots)$$
  
$$m \text{ terms} \qquad m \text{ terms}$$
  
$$D_{1/m}x = (\sum_{i=1}^{m} x(i)/m, \sum_{i=m+1}^{2m} x(i)/m, \dots, \sum_{i=(n-1)m+1}^{nm} x(i)/m, \dots)$$

The Boyd indices of a symmetric p-normed space E are given by

$$p_{E} = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\log m}{\log \|D_{m}\|}, \quad q_{E} = \inf_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\log |m|}{\log \|D_{1/m}\|}$$

We remark that  $p_{l_n} = q_{l_n} = r$ .

Let's recall that a p-Banach space E is called <u>interpolation</u> <u>space for the pair</u> (F,G) if every linear operator which is bounded on these both spaces is also bounded on the space E. As in the Corollary 3.4 - [1] we can prove the following result.

<u>Proposition 2.1.</u> Let  $p \leq p_1 < q_1 \leq \infty$  and let E be a symmetric p-normed space of sequences. If  $p_1 < p_E$  and  $q_E < q_1$  then  $C_E$  is an interpolation space for the pair  $(C_{p_1}, C_{q_1})$ .

Now we can prove the main result.

<u>Theorem 2.2.</u> Let E be a symmetric p-normed space of sequences. The triangular projection T is bounded on  $C_E$  if and only if  $1 < p_E \leq \leq q_E < \infty$ .

<u>Proof</u>. If  $1 < p_E \leq q_E < \infty$ , let  $p_1$ ,  $q_1$  such that  $1 < p_1 < p_E \leq q_E < \infty$ 

214

 $<q_1 < \infty$  . Since T is bounded on  $C_{p_1}$  and on  $C_{q_1}$  (see Proposition 4.2 -[1]) and since, by Proposition 2.1,  $C_E$  is an interpolation space for the pair  $(C_{p_1}, C_{q_1})$ , it follows that T is bounded on  $C_E$ .

Let now T be bounded on  $C_E$  and let  $M = ||T|| < \infty$ . We show that  $l < p_E$  (the other inequality can be proved likewise). If  $p_E < 1$ , by Proposition 4.2 - [1] it follows that there exists a matrix  $y = (y(i,j))_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $||y||_{p_E} = 1$ ,  $||Ty||_{p_E} > 4M$  and  $y(i,j) \neq 0$  for a finite number of indices (i,j). Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that y(i,j) = 0 if  $\max(i,j) > n$ .

By Theorem 3.28 - [5] it follows that  $\ell_{p_E}$  (n) are uniformly contained (modulo the constants 1- $\epsilon$ , 1+ $\epsilon$ ) in E,  $\ell_{p_E}$  (n) being generated by n disjoint functions having the same distribution function.

Consequently there exists n normalized vectors  $(x_j)_{j=1}^n$  of E having the same distribution, which satisfy the inequality:

(\*) (2/3) 
$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_j|^{p_E}\right)^{1/p_E} \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j x_j \|_E \leq (4/3) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_j|^{p_E}\right)^{1/p_E}$$

for all the scalars  $(a_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ .

Define now, for  $1 \le i, j \le n$  the matrix  $z_{i,j}$  which is a  $n \ge n$  operator-matrix and whose unique nonzero entry is the element of the coordinates (i,j) equal to  $x_1$  (where  $x_1$  is identified with the diagonal matrix  $(x_1(i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$ ). Let a =  $(a(i,j))_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$  a  $n \ge n$  matrix. We claim that

(\*\*) 
$$(4/3) \|a\|_{p_E} \ge \|\sum_{i,j} a(i,j)z_{i,j}\|_{C_E} \ge (2/3) \|a\|_{p_E}$$

where the norms are calculated in the space  $C_{p_{T}}$ .

Indeed, let  $u = (u(i,j))_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$  and  $v = (v(i,j))_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$  two unitary n ~n matrices such that  $b = uav = diag(s_j(a))_{j=1}^{n}$ .

Let  $\tilde{u}$ ,  $\tilde{v}$  the n×n operator-matrices whose (i,j)-entries are respectively  $u(i,j) \cdot I$  and  $v(i,j) \cdot I$ . It is clear that  $\tilde{u}$ ,  $\tilde{v}$  are unitary operators and that, for  $\tilde{a} = \sum_{i,j} a(i,j)z_{i,j}$ , then

$$\widetilde{u} \ \widetilde{a} \ \widetilde{v} = \operatorname{diag}(s_j(a)x_l)_{j=l}^n \cdot$$
 It follows that  $\|\widetilde{a}\|_{\mathcal{C}_{E}} = \|\widetilde{u} \ \widetilde{a} \ \widetilde{v}\|_{\mathcal{C}_{E}} = \|\operatorname{diag} \ (s_j(a)x_l)_{j=l}^n\|_{\mathcal{C}_{E}} =$ 

$$= (\operatorname{since} (x_{j})_{j=1}^{n} \text{ have the same distribution}) = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{j}(a)x_{j} \right\|_{E},$$

$$(4/3) \|a\|_{p_{E}} = (4/3) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} (s_{j}(a))^{p_{E}} \right)^{1/p_{E}} \ge (\operatorname{by} (*)) \ge \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{j}(a)x_{j} \right\|_{E} =$$

$$= \left\| \sum_{i,j} a(i,j)z_{i,j} \right\|_{C_{E}} \ge (2/3) \left( \sum_{j} (s_{j}(a))^{p_{E}} \right)^{1/p_{E}} = (2,3) \left\|a\right\|_{p_{E}}.$$

$$\operatorname{Thus} (**) \text{ is proved.}$$

$$\operatorname{Let now} \widetilde{y} = \sum_{l \le i, j \le n} y(i,j)z_{i,j}. \text{ Then } \widetilde{y} \in C_{E} \text{ and}$$

$$(***) \qquad T \widetilde{y} = \sum_{l \le i \le j \le n} y(i,j)z_{i,j} = \widetilde{T} \widetilde{y}.$$

Hence

$$M = \|T\| \ge \|Ty\|_{C_{E}} \cdot \|y\|_{C_{E}}^{-1} \ge (by (**) \text{ and } (***)) \ge (\frac{1}{2}) \|Ty\|_{p_{E}} \|y\|_{p_{E}}^{-1} = 2 M,$$

that is we obtained a contradiction.

We present now an example of a non locally-convex space of type C\_E such that  $l\!<\!p_E\!\leqslant\!q_E\!<\!\infty\!\cdot$ 

Let 
$$0 < q < 1 < p, 1 + 1/p > 1/q$$
 and let  $\ell_{p,q} := \{x \in c_0; |x|_{p,q} = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^*(n)^q \cdot n^{q/p-1})^{1/q} < \infty\}$ .  
Then  $C_{p,q} := C_{\ell_{p,q}}$  is our space.

Indeed  $l_{p,q}$  is a non locally-convex space, thus  $C_{p,q}$  is also a non locally-convex space.

Using the elementary inequalities  $k^{q/p}$ -  $(k-1)^{q/p} \leq k^{q/p-1}$  and  $(km+1)^{q/p} - [(k-1)m+1]^{q/p} \geq (q/2p) \cdot k^{q/p-1} \cdot m^{q/p}$  for  $k, m \geq 1$ , we get that  $(1/2)^{1/q} \cdot m^{1/p} \leq ||D_m||_{p,q} \leq m^{1/p} (p/q)^{1/q}$ .

Consequently  $p_{\ell_{p,q}} = p$ . It is sufficient now to show that  $q_{\ell_{p,q}} < \infty$ . But  $||D_{1/m}||_{p,q} \le m^{1/q-1/p-1}$  for every  $m \ge 1$ , that is  $||D_{1/m}||_{p,q} <^{1}$ . Hence  $q_{\ell_{p,q}}$ .

Recall now that a topological vector space X is called a <u>prima</u>-<u>ry</u> space of  $X = Y \bigoplus Z$  implies that either  $Y \approx X$  or  $Z \approx X$ .

Using essentially the same proof as in [2] we can state:

<u>Theorem 2.3.</u> The spaces  $C_p$ , where 0 , are primary.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] ARAZY J. Some memarks on interpolation theorems and the boundness of the triangular projection in unitary matrix spaces, Int. Eq. and Operator Th., 1 (1978), 453-495.
- [2] ARAZY J. A remark on complemented subspaces of unitary matrix spaces. Proc.Amer. Math.Soc. 79 (1980), 601-608.
- [3] MC CARTHY CH.A. Cp. Israel J.Math.5 (1967), 249-272.
   [4] GOHBERG I.C., KREIN M.G. Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators. Amer.Math.Soc. Translations , vol. 18.
- [5] POPA N. Rearrangement invariant p-spaces of functions, 0 <p <l (Romanian) in press, Academiei Publ.House,Bucharest.
- [6] ROTFELD S.YU. The singular numbers of the sum of completely continuous operators. Topics in Math. Phys. 3 (1969), 73-81.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS INCREST - BUCHAREST 79622 ROMANIA