

Ľubica Holá

On relations approximated by continuous functions

*Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica*, Vol. 28 (1987), No. 2, 67--72

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701925>

**Terms of use:**

© Karolinum, Publishing House of Charles University, Prague, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## On relations approximated by Continuous Functions

E. HOLÁ,\*)

Bratislava, Czechoslovakia

Received 31 March, 1987

Let  $X, Y$  be metric spaces. By a relation in  $X \times Y$  we mean a nonempty subset of the product. A relation  $R$  is called closed if  $R$  is a closed subset of  $X \times Y$ .

In the papers [1], [2], [8] are given some conditions under which there exist "well-behaved" functions that approximate closed relations.

This paper studies properties of closed relations that are approximate by continuous functions in the Hausdorff metric. Properties of a special class of such closed relations are also considered in [3]. We obtain a much more inclusive result.

Let  $(Z, d)$  be a metric space. If  $Z \supset E$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $B_\varepsilon[E]$  denote the union of all open  $\varepsilon$ -balls whose centers run over  $E$  and  $B_\varepsilon[x]$  denote the open  $\varepsilon$ -ball about a point  $x$ .

If  $E$  and  $F$  are nonempty subsets of  $Z$  and for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  both  $B_\varepsilon[F] \supset E$  and  $B_\varepsilon[E] \supset F$ , then the Hausdorff distance  $h_d$  between them is given by  $h_d(E, F) = \inf \{ \varepsilon: B_\varepsilon[E] \supset F \text{ and } B_\varepsilon[F] \supset E \}$ . Otherwise we put  $h_d(E, F) = \infty$ .

If we identify the sets with the same closure, then  $h_d$  is well defined on the equivalence classes so determined. Moreover,  $h_d$  defines an extended real valued metric on the class of nonempty closed subsets of  $Z$ , called the Hausdorff metric. Basic facts about this metric can be found in [7] Castaing and Valadier.

Now, let  $(X, d_x)$  and  $(Y, d_y)$  be metric spaces. We first need a metric on  $X \times Y$  to induce the Hausdorff metric. For definiteness and computational simplicity, we take  $\varrho$  defined by  $\varrho((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = \max \{ d_x(x_1, x_2), d_y(y_1, y_2) \}$ .

Denote  $C(X, Y)$  the set of all continuous functions from  $X$  to  $Y$ . Using the metric  $\varrho$  we can restrict the Hausdorff metric  $h_\varrho$  defined on the closed subsets of  $X + Y$  to the graphs of functions in  $C(X, Y)$ . Denote this metric  $d_2$ .

Explicitly, if  $f$  and  $g$  are in  $C(X, Y)$ , let us represent their graphs by  $G(f)$  and  $G(g)$  respectively. Then  $d_2(f, g)$  is defined by the formula  $d_2(f, g) = \inf \{ \varepsilon: B_\varepsilon[G(f)] \supset G(g) \text{ and } B_\varepsilon[G(g)] \supset G(f) \}$ .

\*) Katedra teórie pravdepodobnosti a matematickej štatistiky, Komenský University, Matematický pavilón, Mlynská dolina 842 15 Bratislava, Czechoslovakia.

The Hausdorff metric on  $C(X, Y)$  was studied by Beer [3], Naimpally [9], Waterhouse [10] and some other authors.

Let  $F(X, Y)$  be the set of all functions from  $X$  to  $Y$ . In the same way we can use  $d_2$  to define the distance between any two functions from  $F(X, Y)$ : if  $f$  and  $g$  are two such functions denote the closures of their graphs by  $\text{cl } G(f)$  and  $\text{cl } G(g)$  respectively, and let  $d_2(f, g)$  be the Hausdorff distance from  $\text{cl } G(f)$  to  $\text{cl } G(g)$ . The function  $d_2$  only defines a pseudometric on the space  $F(X, Y)$ .

The terminology and notation of J. Kelley will be used throughout. Moreover, we shall use the following notions and notations.

The closure of a subset  $M$  of a topological space  $X$  will be denoted by  $\text{cl } M$ .

Let  $X, Y$  be topological spaces. Let  $\mathcal{P}(Y)$  denote the collection of all subsets of  $Y$ . A multifunction  $H$  from  $X$  to  $Y$  is a function  $H: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Y)$ .

A multifunction  $H$  is called closed if its graph  $\{(x, y): x \in X \text{ and } y \in H(x)\}$  is a closed subset of  $X \times Y$ . We shall denote the graph of a multifunction  $H$  by  $G(H)$ .

A multifunction  $H$  from  $X$  to  $Y$  is called upper semicontinuous at  $z$  in  $X$  if whenever  $V$  is an open subset of  $Y$  that contains  $H(z)$  then the set  $\{x: H(x) \subset V\}$  contains a neighbourhood of  $z$ . It is called upper semicontinuous if it is upper semicontinuous at every  $z \in X$ .

Let  $R$  be a relation in  $X \times Y$ . We shall use the following notation for vertical section at  $x$  of  $R$ :  $R(x) = \{y: (x, y) \in R\}$ . Define the multifunction  $H_R$  induced by  $R$  by  $H_R(x) = R(x)$ . Then  $G(H_R) = R$ .

$N$  will denote the set of positive integers.

Let  $Y$  be a metric space. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a functional defined on  $\mathcal{P}(Y)$  as follows  $\mathcal{X}(\emptyset) = 0$  and if  $A$  is a nonempty subset of  $Y$ , then  $\mathcal{X}(A) = \inf \{\varepsilon: A \text{ has a finite } \varepsilon\text{-dense subset}\}$ . In the literature  $\mathcal{X}$  has been called the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness functional.

**Lemma 1.** (see [4]) The Hausdorff measure of non-compactness functional acts as follows:

- (a)  $\mathcal{X}(A) = \infty$  if and only if  $A$  is unbounded
- (b)  $\mathcal{X}(A) = 0$  if and only if  $A$  is totally bounded
- (c) If  $A \subset B$ , then  $\mathcal{X}(A) \leq \mathcal{X}(B)$
- (d) If  $A$  is totally bounded, then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{X}(B_\varepsilon[A]) \leq \varepsilon$
- (e)  $\mathcal{X}(\text{cl } A) = \mathcal{X}(A)$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $X, Y$  be metric spaces. Let  $X$  be a locally compact space and  $Y$  be a complete metric space. Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence from  $C(X, Y)$  such that the graphs of the terms of  $\{f_n\}$  converge in the Hausdorff metric to a closed relation  $R$  in  $X \times Y$ . Then the multifunction  $H_R$  induced by  $R$  is upper semicontinuous and  $R(x)$  is a non-empty compact set for each  $x \in X$ .

**Proof.** Put  $A = \{x \in X: R(x) \neq \emptyset\}$ . The set  $A$  is dense in  $X$  (see [1]). Suppose that  $A \neq X$ . Let  $x \in X \setminus A$ . There is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\text{cl } B_\delta[x]$  is compact. Put  $B =$

$= \bigcup \{R(a) : a \in A \cap B_{\delta/2}[x]\}$ . We show that  $\mathcal{X}(B) = 0$ , where  $\mathcal{X}$  is the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness functional. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Put  $\eta = \min \{\varepsilon/2, \delta/2\}$ . There is  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $h_\rho(R, G(f_n)) < \eta$  for every  $n \geq j$  (1).

Let  $n \geq j$ . Then  $B \subset B_\eta[f_n(B_\delta[x])]$ . Let  $y \in B$ . There is  $a \in B_{\delta/2}[x]$  such that  $(a, y) \in R$ . By (1) there exists a point  $(b, f_n(b))$  for which  $\rho((a, y), (b, f_n(b))) < \eta$ . Then  $y \in B_\eta[f_n(b)]$  and  $b \in B_\eta[a] \subset B_{\delta/2} \subset B_\delta[x]$ . Thus we have  $B \subset B_\eta[f_n(B_\delta[x])]$ . Since  $f_n(\text{cl } B[x])$  is compact, by (d) of Lemma 1 we have  $\mathcal{X}(B_\eta[f_n(\text{cl } B_\delta[x])]) \leq \eta \leq \varepsilon/2$  and by (c) of Lemma 1 we have  $\mathcal{X}(B) \leq \varepsilon$ . Since  $\mathcal{X}(B) \leq \varepsilon$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{X}(B) = 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{X}(\text{cl } B) = 0$ . By (b) of Lemma 1,  $B$  is a totally bounded set. The completeness of  $Y$  implies that  $\text{cl } B$  is compact.

There is a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of points of  $A \cap B_{\delta/2}[x]$  such that  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x$ . Let  $\{y_n\}$  be a sequence of points of  $Y$  such that  $\text{cl } (x_n, y_n) \in R$ . Since  $\{y_n\}$  is a sequence of points of  $B$  and  $\text{cl } B$  is compact there is a cluster point  $z$  of the sequence  $\{y_n\}$ . Then  $(x, z)$  is a cluster point of the sequence  $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ , i.e.  $(x, z) \in \text{cl } R$ . But  $(x, z) \notin R$  contradicting to the fact that  $R$  is closed.

For each  $x \in X$  there are an open neighbourhood  $V_x$  and a compact set  $C_x$  such that  $\bigcup \{R(u) : u \in V_x\} \subset C_x$ . Let  $x \in X$ . There is  $\delta_x > 0$  such that  $\text{cl } B_{\delta_x}[x]$  is compact. Put  $V_x = B_{\delta_x/2}[x]$  and  $C_x = \text{cl } \bigcup \{R(v) : v \in V_x\}$ . The proof of the compactness of  $C_x$  is similar as above.

By result of Berge (see [6]) any closed multifunction with the compact range space is upper semicontinuous. Thus  $H_R$  is upper semicontinuous on  $V_x$  for each  $x$ . It is easy to see that then  $H_R$  is upper semicontinuous. Since  $R(x)$  is a closed subset of the compact set  $C_x$  for each  $x \in X$ ,  $R(x)$  is a compact set for each  $x \in X$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let  $X, Y$  be metric spaces. Let  $X$  be a locally compact metric space and  $Y$  be a complete metric space. Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of functions from  $C(X, Y)$   $d_2$ -convergent to a function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  with a closed graph. Then  $f$  is continuous.

The following example shows that the assumption of the locally compactness in Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 is essential.

**Example 1.** Let  $Y$  be the set of real numbers with the usual metric. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\{x_j^n\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of points of the open interval  $(1/n, 1/n - 1)$  which is convergent to  $1/n$ . Put  $X = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \{x_j^n : j = 1, 2, \dots\}$  and consider  $X$  with the usual metric. It is easy to verify that  $X$  is not a locally compact space. Define the function  $f$  by  $f(x) = n$  for  $x = x_j^n$   $j = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $f(0)$ . Let  $g_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) be a bijection from the set  $\{x_j^n : j = 1, 2, \dots\}$  to the set  $\{j \in \mathbb{N} : j \geq n\}$  and define the functions  $f_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) as follows:

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} g_n(x) & \text{for } x = x_j^n \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \\ f(x) & \text{for } x = x_j^m \quad m < n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of continuous functions  $d_2$ -convergent to the discontinuous function  $f$  with a closed graph.

**Proposition 1.** If a metric space  $Y$  is not complete, then there exist a compact metric space  $X$  and a sequence of continuous functions from  $X$  to  $Y$   $d_2$ -convergent to a discontinuous function with a closed graph.

*Proof.* There exists a Cauchy sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $Y$  which has no cluster point in  $Y$ . Let  $\tilde{Y}$  be a completion of  $Y$ . There exists  $y \in \tilde{Y}$  such that  $\{y_n\}$  converges to  $y$  in  $\tilde{Y}$ . Put  $X = \{y, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, \dots\}$  and consider  $X$  with the induced metric. Then  $X$  is compact. Define the functions  $f_n: X \rightarrow Y$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) by  $f_n(y_i) = y_i$  for  $i \leq n$  and  $f_n(x) = y_1$  otherwise. It is easy to see that the functions  $f_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) are continuous. Now define the function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  as follows:  $f(y_i) = y_i$  and  $f(y) = y_1$ . Since the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  has no cluster point in  $Y$  the function  $f$  has a closed graph. But  $f$  is not continuous. (There exists an open set  $V$  in  $Y$  such that  $y_1 \in V$  and  $y_n \notin V$  for every  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $f^{-1}(V) = \{y, y_1\}$  is not open in  $X$ .)

It remains to prove that  $\{f_n\}$   $d_2$ -converges to  $f$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $n, m \geq j$   $d_y(y_n, y_m) < \varepsilon$  and  $d_x(y, y_n) < \varepsilon/2$ . We show that  $G(f) \subset B_\varepsilon[G(f_n)]$  and  $G(f_n) \subset B_\varepsilon[G(f)]$  for every  $n \geq j$ . Let  $x \in X$  and  $n \geq j$ . If  $x = y_i$  for  $i \leq n$  or  $x = y$  then  $\varrho((x, f(x)), (x, f_n(x))) = 0$ . Let  $x \in X$  and  $x = y_i$  for  $i > n$ . Then  $d_x(y_i, y_n) < \varepsilon$  and thus  $\varrho((y_i, f(y_i)), (y_n, f_n(y_n))) = \varrho((y_i, y_i), (y_n, y_n)) < \varepsilon$ , i.e.  $(x, f(x)) \in B_\varepsilon[G(f_n)]$ .

Now choose  $(y_i, f_n(y_i))$  for  $i > n \geq j$ . Thus  $f_n(y_i) = y_1$ . Hence  $\varrho((y_i, f_n(y_i)), (y, f(y))) = \max\{d_x(y_i, y), d_y(y_1, y_1)\} \leq \varepsilon/2 < \varepsilon$ , i.e.  $(y_i, f_n(y_i)) \in B_\varepsilon[G(f)]$  and thus  $G(f_n) \subset B_\varepsilon[G(f)]$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $X$  be a locally connected metric space and  $Y$  be a locally compact metric space. Let  $R$  be a closed relation in  $X \times Y$  such that  $R(x)$  is a nonempty compact set for each  $x \in X$ . Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence from  $C(X, Y)$  such that the graphs of the terms of the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  converge in the Hausdorff metric to  $R$ . Then  $R(x)$  is a connected set for each  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in X$ . If  $R(x)$  is a singleton, then  $R(x)$  is connected. Otherwise, suppose that  $R(x)$  contains at least two distinct points. Then  $x$  is not an isolated point of  $X$  (see [1]).

Suppose that  $R(x)$  is not connected. The compactness of  $R(x)$  implies that there are nonempty compact sets  $C, D$  such that  $C \cap D = \emptyset$  and  $R(x) = C \cup D$ . Since  $Y$  is a locally compact metric space, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\text{cl } B_\varepsilon[C] \cap \text{cl } B_\varepsilon[D] = \emptyset$  and  $\text{cl } B_\varepsilon[C], \text{cl } B_\varepsilon[D]$  are compact sets. Fix  $u \in C, v \in D$ . Let  $\{B_n\}$  be a sequence of connected neighbourhoods of  $x$  such that  $B_n \subset B_{1/n}[x]$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The convergence of the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  to  $R$  in the Hausdorff metric implies that there are an increasing sequence of positive integers  $\{k_n\}$  and sequences  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  of points of  $X$  such that  $\varrho((x, u), (x_n, f_{k_n}(x_n))) < 1/n$ ,  $\varrho((x, v), (y_n, f_{k_n}(y_n))) < 1/n$  and  $x_n, y_n \in B_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Put  $L = \{y \in Y: \inf d_y(y, c) = \varepsilon/2\}$ . The connectivity of sets  $f_{k_n}(B_n)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) implies that there is  $j \in N$  such that  $L \cap f_{k_n}(B_n) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n \geq j$ . Let  $\{v_n\}_{n=j}^\infty$  be a sequence of points of  $Y$  such that  $v_n \in L \cap f_{k_n}(B_n)$  for each  $n \geq j$  and  $\{a_n\}_{n=j}^\infty$  be a sequence of points of  $X$  such that  $f_{k_n}(a_n) = v_n$  and  $a_n \in B_n$  for each  $n \geq j$ . Then  $\{a_n\}_{n=j}^\infty$  converges to  $x$ .

Since  $L$  is a closed subset of the compact set  $\text{cl } B_\varepsilon[C]$ ,  $L$  is compact. Thus there exists a cluster point  $z \in L$  of the sequence  $\{v_n\}_{n=j}^\infty$ , i.e.  $(x, z)$  is a cluster point of the sequence  $\{(a_n, v_n)\}_{n=j}^\infty$  (2).

We show that  $(x, z) \in R$ . Suppose that  $(x, z) \notin R$ . The closedness of  $R$  implies that there is  $\delta > 0$  for which  $(B_\delta[x] \times B_\delta[z]) \cap R = \emptyset$ . There is  $l \in N$  such that  $h_\rho(R, G(f_n)) < \delta/2$  for every  $n \geq l$  (3).

By (2) there is  $m \in N$  such that  $k_m \geq l$  and  $(a_m, f_{k_m}(a_m)) \in B_{\delta/2}[x] \times B_{\delta/2}[z]$ . By (3) there is  $(a, b) \in R$  such that  $\rho((a_m, f_{k_m}(a_m)), (a, b)) < \delta/2$ . But then  $\rho((a, b), (x, z)) < \delta$  and that is a contradiction. Thus  $(x, z) \in R$ . Then  $z \in C \cup D$ . But  $z \in L$ . Thus  $R(x)$  is connected.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $X$  be a locally connected, locally compact metric space and  $Y$  be a locally compact complete metric space. Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence from  $C(X, Y)$  such that the graphs of the terms of the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  converge in the Hausdorff metric to a closed relation  $R$  in  $X \times Y$ . Then  $H_R$  is an upper semicontinuous multifunction and  $R(x)$  is a nonempty compact connected set for each  $x \in X$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem 1  $H_R$  is an upper semicontinuous closed multifunction and  $R(x)$  is a nonempty compact set for each  $x \in X$ . By Theorem 2  $R(x)$  is a connected set for each  $x \in X$ .

Let  $f \in F(X, Y)$ . Define the limit set multifunction  $H_f$  induced by  $f$  (see [3]) as follows:  $H_f(x) = \{y \in Y: (x, y) \in \overline{G(f)}\}$  for each  $x \in X$  and put  $U(X, Y) = \{f \in F(X, Y): H_f \text{ is upper semicontinuous and } H_f(x) \text{ is a compact connected set for every } x \in X\}$ .

From Theorem 3 we can obtain the following results

**Theorem 4.** Let  $X$  be a locally compact, locally connected metric space and  $Y$  be a locally compact complete metric space. Then the closure of  $C(X, Y)$  in  $(F(X, Y), d_2)$  is a subset of  $U(X, Y)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f \in F(X, Y)$  and  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence from  $C(X, Y)$   $d_2$ -convergent to  $f$ . The graphs of the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  converge in the Hausdorff metric to the closed relation  $G(H_f)$ . By Theorem 3  $H_{G(H_f)}$  is upper semicontinuous and  $G(H_f)(x)$  is a compact connected set for each  $x \in X$ . Since  $H_{G(H_f)} = H_f$  and  $G(H_f)(x) = H_f(x)$  for every  $x \in X$  we have the assertion of Theorem.

If  $Y$  is the set of real numbers, Theorem 4 is proved in [3].

## References

- [1] BEER G.: On functions that approximate relations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1983), 643–647.
- [2] BEER G.: Approximate selections for upper semicontinuous convex valued multifunctions, J. Approx. Th. 39 (1983), 172–184.
- [3] BEER G.: The approximation of real functions in the Hausdorff metric, Houston J. of Math. 10 (1984), 325–338.
- [4] BEER G.: On a measure of noncompactness, convergence of sets, and metric projections, submitted.
- [5] BEER G.: Dense selections, to appear J. Math. Anal. Appl.
- [6] BERGE C.: Topological spaces, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 1963.
- [7] CASTAING C. and VALADIER M.: Convex analysis and measurable multifunctions, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [8] CELLINA A.: A further result on the approximation of set valued mappings, Rendiconti Acc. Naz. Lincei, 48 (1970), 412–416.
- [9] NAIMPALLY S.: Graph topology for functions spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1966), 267–272.
- [10] WATERHOUSE V. C.: Uniform convergence and graph convergence, Amer. Math. Monthly 83 (1976), 641–643.