

Jan Kraszewski

On invariant CCC σ -ideals

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 46 (2005), No. 2, 47–49

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/702106>

Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 2005

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

On Invariant CCC σ -Ideals

JAN KRASZEWSKI

Wrocław

Received 11. March 2005

We re-read Recław's proof from [6] on invariant CCC σ -ideals of subsets of reals and obtain a reasonably stronger corollary for such ideals on the Cantor space.

1. Preliminaries. In 1998 Recław in [6] investigated cardinal invariants of CCC σ -ideals of subsets of reals. In particular, he showed that if such a σ -ideal \mathcal{J} is invariant, then $p \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{J})$, where p is a pseudointersection number (cf. [8] for more details). In this paper we analyze his proof and get an apparently stronger result for σ – ideals of subsets of the Cantor space 2^ω .

We use standard set-theoretical notation and terminology derived from [1]. Let us remind that the cardinality of the set of all real numbers is denoted by c . The cardinality of a set X is denoted by $|X|$. By $[\omega]^\omega$ we denote the family of all infinite subsets of ω . If $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a function then $\text{rng}(\varphi)$ denotes the range of φ .

Let $(G, +)$ be an abelian Polish (i.e. separable, completely metrizable, without isolated points) group and let \mathcal{J} be a σ – ideal of subsets of G (we assume from now on that \mathcal{J} is proper and contains all singletons). We will consider that \mathcal{J} is invariant, that is for every $A \subseteq G$ and $g \in G$ we have $A + g = \{a + g : a \in A\} \in \mathcal{J}$ and $-A = \{-a : a \in A\} \in \mathcal{J}$. Moreover, we will assume that the σ – ideal \mathcal{J} has a Borel basis i.e. every set from \mathcal{J} is contained in a certain Borel set from the ideal.

We say that \mathcal{J} is CCC (countable chain condition) if the quotient Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}(G)/\mathcal{J}$ in CCC, where $\mathcal{B}(G)$ is the σ -algebra of all Borel subsets of G .

Mathematical Institute, University of Wrocław, pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 03E05, 03E17

Key words and phrases. Invariant σ -ideal, CCC, cardinal invariant.

We define the following cardinal invariants of \mathcal{J} .

$$\begin{aligned}\text{non } (\mathcal{J}) &= \min \{|B| : B \subseteq G \wedge B \notin \mathcal{J}\}, \\ \text{cov}_t (\mathcal{J}) &= \min \{|T| : T \subseteq G \wedge (\exists A \in \mathcal{J}) A + T = G\}.\end{aligned}$$

We define also an operation on the σ -ideal \mathcal{J} (it was introduced by Seredyński in [7], who denoted it by \mathcal{J}^*)

$$s(\mathcal{J}) = \{A \subseteq G : (\forall B \in \mathcal{J}) (\exists g \in G) (A + g) \cap B = \emptyset\}.$$

If we apply these operations to the σ -ideals of meagre sets \mathcal{M} and of null sets \mathcal{N} we obtain strongly null sets $s(\mathcal{M})$ and strongly meager sets $s(\mathcal{N})$. The following is well-known

$$\text{non}(s(\mathcal{J})) = \text{cov}_t(\mathcal{J}).$$

We define

$$Pif = \{f : f \text{ is a function} \wedge \text{dom}(f) \in [\omega]^\omega \wedge \text{rng}(f) \subseteq 2\}.$$

If $f \in Pif$ then we put

$$[f] = \{x \in 2^\omega : f \subseteq x\}.$$

Let \mathbb{S}_2 denotes the σ -ideal of subsets of the Cantor space 2^ω , which is generated by the family $\{[f] : f \in Pif\}$. It was thoroughly investigated in [2] and [4]. We recall some properties of \mathbb{S}_2 , which were proved in [2].

Fact 1.1 \mathbb{S}_2 is a proper, invariant σ -ideal which contains all singletons and has a Borel basis. Every $A \in \mathbb{S}_2$ is both meager and null. Moreover, there exists a family of size \mathfrak{c} of pairwise disjoint Borel subsets of 2^ω that do not belong to \mathbb{S}_2 . Hence \mathbb{S}_2 is not CCC. \square

Let A, S be two infinite subsets of ω . We say that S splits A if $|A \cap S| = |A \setminus S| = \omega$. Let us recall a cardinal number related with a notion of splitting, introduced by Malychin in [5], namely

$$\aleph_0\text{-s} = \min \{|\mathcal{S}| : \mathcal{S} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega \wedge (\forall \mathcal{A} \in [[\omega]^\omega]) (\exists S \in \mathcal{S}) (\forall A \in \mathcal{A}) S \text{ splits } A\}.$$

More about cardinal numbers connected with the relation of splitting can be found in [3].

2. Recław's proof revisited. In [6] Recław proved a theorem, which can be generalized as follows.

Theorem 2.1 Let \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} be two σ -ideals of subsets of an abelian Polish group G , which are invariant and have Borel bases. If \mathcal{I} is CCC then

$$\mathcal{J} \cap s(\mathcal{J}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}.$$

Proof. (Recław) Let $X \in \mathcal{J} \cap s(\mathcal{J})$. Assume that $X \notin \mathcal{I}$. We construct a sequence $\{F_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ of Borel sets from \mathcal{J} and a sequence $\{t_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ of elements

of G . Let $t_0 = 0$ and F_0 be any Borel set from \mathcal{J} containing X . Suppose that we have constructed F_β and t_β for $\beta < \alpha$. Then from the definition of $s(\mathcal{J})$ there exists $t_\alpha \in G$ such that

$$(X + t_\alpha) \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} F_\beta = \emptyset.$$

As F_α we take any Borel set from \mathcal{J} containing $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} F_\beta \cup (X + t_\alpha)$.

Let $G_\alpha = F_\alpha \setminus \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} F_\beta$. Thus $\{G_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is a family of pairwise disjoint Borel sets such that none of them belongs to \mathcal{J} , as $G_\alpha \supseteq X + t_\alpha$ and \mathcal{J} is invariant. Hence \mathcal{J} is not CCC, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 2.2 *Let \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} be as above. If \mathcal{J} is CCC then*

$$\min \{\text{non}(\mathcal{J}), \text{cov}_t(\mathcal{J})\} \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{J}).$$

Proof. It is enough to observe that $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ implies $\text{non}(\mathcal{J}) \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{I})$. \square

Corollary 2.3 *Let \mathcal{J} be a σ -ideal of subsets of the Cantor space 2^ω (endowed with a standard group structure), which is invariant and has a Borel basis. If \mathcal{J} is CCC then*

$$\aleph_0\text{-}\mathfrak{s} \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{J}).$$

Proof. In [2] it was proved that $\text{non}(\mathbb{S}_2) = \aleph_0\text{-}\mathfrak{s}$ and in [4] it was proved that $\text{cov}_t(\mathbb{S}_2) = \mathfrak{c}$. So it is enough to apply Corollary 2.2 for $G = 2^\omega$ and $\mathcal{J} = \mathbb{S}_2$. \square

Question. Let \mathcal{J} be an invariant CCC σ -ideal of subsets of the real line \mathbb{R} . Is the inequality $\aleph_0\text{-}\mathfrak{s} \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{J})$ still true?

References

- [1] BARTOSZYŃSKI, T., JUDAH, H., *Set Theory: On the structure of the real line*, A. K. Peters, Wellesley, Massachusetts 1995.
- [2] CICHÓŃ, J., KRASZEWSKI, J., *On some new ideals on the Cantor and Baire spaces*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., **126** (1998) 1549 – 1555.
- [3] KAMBURELIS, A., WĘGLORZ, B., *Splittings*, Arch. Math. Logic, **35** (1996) 263 – 277.
- [4] KRASZEWSKI, *Transitive properties of the ideal \mathbb{S}_2* , Real Anal. Ex., **29**(2) (2003/2004), 629 – 638.
- [5] MALYCHIN, V. I., *Topological properties of Cohen generic extension*, Trans. Mosc. Math. Soc., **52** (1990) 1 – 32.
- [6] RECLAW, I., *On cardinal invariants for CCC σ -ideals*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., **126** (1998) 1173 – 1175.
- [7] SEREDYŃSKI, W., *Some operations related with translation*, Colloq. Math., **57** (1989) 203 – 219.
- [8] VAUGHAN, J. E., *Small uncountable cardinals and Topology*, in: *Open Problems in Topology*, North-Holland, Amsterdam etc., (1990), 195 – 218.