Václav Nýdl Reconstructing equivalences

In: Zdeněk Frolík and Vladimír Souček and Jiří Vinárek (eds.): Proceedings of the 13th Winter School on Abstract Analysis, Section of Topology. Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1985. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 11. pp. [71]--75.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/702157

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1985

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

RECONSTRUCTING EQUIVALENCES

Václav Nýdl

<u>Abstract:</u> A graph is called an equivalence if each of its components of connectivity is a complete graph. We ask whether an equivalence is uniquely determined with its k-point subobjects. For each k we prove: 1/Every equivalence on less than k.ln(k/2)-point set is uniquely determined with k-point subobjects; 2/It is not true that every equivalence on at least $(k+1).2^{k-1}$ -point set is uniquely determined with its k-point subobjects.

0. Introduction

We denote $\langle V, W \rangle$ the ordered pair where the first member is V and the second one is W. $P_2(X)$ denotes the set of all 2-point subsets of the set X. An ordered pair $G = \langle X, R \rangle$ where $R \subset P_2(X)$ is called a <u>graph</u> and we denote |G| = card X the number of points of X. The <u>complete graph</u> on X is the graph $\langle X, P_2(X) \rangle$ and we denote K_n the standard complete graph on n-point set. For the graph $G = \langle X, R \rangle$ and the set $Y \subset X$ we define the <u>induced graph</u> $G/Y = \langle Y, R \cap P_2(Y) \rangle$. In usual sense we work with concepts in graph theory, namely the connectivity of graphs, components of connectivity, isomorphism of graphs. The number of components of G is denoted cp G; isomorphic graphs are denoted $G \simeq H$ and nonisomorphic graphs $G \neq H$.

For every sequence of complete graphs K_{n_1} , ..., K_{n_s} it is the <u>standard sum</u> $K = K_{n_1} + \ldots + K_{n_s}$ with components of connectivity C_1 , ..., C_s satisfying $K/C_i \simeq K_{n_i}$; if $n_1 = \ldots = n_s = n$ we write simply $K = s.K_n$.

<u>Definition 0.1.</u> A graph E is called an <u>equivalence</u> if E is isomorphic to a sum of complete graphs.

Definition 0.2. The frequency of the graph H in the graph G

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

is the number frq(H,G) = card {Y; G/Y \simeq H}. For an integer k the notation G₁ $\stackrel{k}{\sim}$ G₂ /G₁ $\stackrel{\leq k}{\sim}$ G₂, respectively/ means that for every graph H such that |H| = k /|H| \leq k, respectively/ the equality frq(H,G₁) = frq(H,G₂) holds.

<u>Remark 0.3.</u> An induced graph of an equivalence is an equivalence. Thus, if E is an equivalence then frq(H,E) > 0 if and only if H is an equivalence.

We have showed in [6] the following theorem.

<u>Theorem 0.4.</u> Let k be an integer, G_1, G_2 be graphs. Following three properties are equivalent /i/ $G_1 \stackrel{k}{\sim} G_2$, /ii/ $G_1 \stackrel{\leq k}{\sim} G_2$, /iii/ for every connected graph H, $|H| \leq k$, it is frq(H,G₁) = frq(H,G₂) Now, for the case of equivalences we get:

<u>Theorem 0.5.</u> Let k be an integer, E_1, E_2 be equivalences. Following three properties are equivalent /i/ $E_1 \approx E_2$, /ii/ $E_1 \approx E_2$, /ii/ for every $j \leq k \operatorname{frq}(K_i, E_1) = \operatorname{frq}(K_i, E_2)$.

Proof. Use Remark 0.3., Theorem 0.4. and the fact, that only complete graphs are connected equivalences.

1. Frequencies in equivalences

Throughout this part of paper let A, B, C be equivalences, $A = s.K_u$, $B = K_v$ where s > 0, u > 0, v > 0, $Q = s.K_u + K_v$ and for every $i \le u+v Q_i = (s-1).K_u + K_i$.

<u>Definition 1.1.</u> We define two numbers for any equivalence E $(A,B) \neq E = \text{card } \{Y,Z\}; E/Y \simeq A, E/Z \simeq B\} = frq(A,E).frq(B,E),$

Proof. The number of the sets W such that $C/W \simeq E$ is frq(E,C). For each such a set W we have $(A,B) \downarrow \downarrow E$ ordered pairs (Y,Z) satisfying $C/Y \simeq A$, $C/Z \simeq B$, W = Y $\cup Z$.

<u>Remark 1.3.</u> Equivalences A,B in Lemma 1.2. can be arbitrary. <u>Lemma 1.4.</u> The following equality is true

 $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \neq \mathbf{C} = \underbrace{\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} - 1}_{\mathbf{i} = 1} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \neq \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{i}} \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{C}) + \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \neq \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}} \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}}, \mathbf{C}) + \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \neq \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{i}} \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{C}) \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \neq \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{i}} \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{C}) \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \neq \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{i}} \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{C}) + \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \neq \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{i}} \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{C}) \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \neq \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{i}} \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{C}) + \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \neq \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{i}} \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{C}) \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \neq \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{i}} \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{C}) + \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \neq \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{i}} \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{C}) \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \neq \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{i}} \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{C}) + \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \neq \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{i}} \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{C}) \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{C}) + \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \neq \mathbf{C} \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{C}) + \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \neq \mathbf{C} \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{C}) + \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \neq \mathbf{C} \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{C}) \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{C}) + \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \neq \mathbf{C} \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}) + \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \neq \mathbf{C} \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}) + \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \neq \mathbf{C} \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}) \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}) + \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \neq \mathbf{C} \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}) + \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \neq \mathbf{C} \right] \cdot \mathbf{frq}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}) + \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{C} \right] \cdot \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{C} \right] + \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{C} \right] \cdot \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{C} \right] + \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{C} \right] + \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{C} \right] \cdot \mathbf{C} \right] \cdot \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{C} \right] + \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{C} \right] \cdot \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{C} \right] + \mathbf{C} \left[\langle \mathbf$

Proof. We denote $M_0 = \{ \langle Y, Z \rangle; C/Y \cong A, C/Z \cong B \}$ and further for every $i \le u+v M_i = \{ \langle Y, Z \rangle; C/Y \cong A, C/Z \cong B, C/(Y \cup Z) \cong Q_i \}$. Finally, $M = \{ \langle Y, Z \rangle; C/Y \cong A, C/Z \cong B, C/(Y \cup Z) \cong Q_i \}$. We have the disjoint decomposition $M_0 = M_1 \cup \cdots \cup M_{u+v-1} \cup M_{u+v} \cup M$ and we can write card $M_0 =$ $= \frac{u+v-1}{i=1}$ card M_i + card M_{u+v} + card M. Using Lemma 1.2. we obtain the needed equality.

Lemma 1.5. Let j+1 = s.u+v, let E_1, E_2 be two equivalences such that $E_1 \xrightarrow{j} E_2$ and $frq(Q, E_1) = frq(Q, E_2)$. Then $frq(Q_{u+v}, E_1) = frq(Q_{u+v}, E_2)$.

Proof. For $i \le u+v-1$ it is $|Q_1| = (s-1).u+1 \le s.u+v-1 = j$ and by Theorem 0.5. $frq(Q_1, E_1) = frq(Q_1, E_2)$. Analogiously, since $v \le j$ and $s.u \le j$ we have $frq(K_v, E_1) = frq(K_v, E_2)$ and $frq(s.K_u, E_1) =$ $frq(s.K_u, E_2)$, i.e. $(s.K_u, K_v) \nmid E_1 = (s.K_u, K_v) \nmid E_2$. Now, we calculate using Lemma 1.4. $0 = (s.K_u, K_v) \lor E_1 - (s.K_u, K_v) \lor E_2 = \underbrace{u+v-1}_{1-1} (s.K_u, K_v)$

<u>Definition 1.6.</u> An equivalence E is called <u>pseudoregular</u> if there exist numbers $s \ge 0$, u > 0, v > 0 such that $E \simeq s.K_{u} + K_{v}$.

Now, we are able to prove the main theorem.

<u>Theorem 1.7.</u> Let k be an integer, E_1, E_2 be equivalences. Following four properties are equivalent $/i/E_1 \stackrel{k}{\sim} E_2$, $/ii/E_1 \stackrel{\leq k}{\sim} E_2$, /iii/ for every $j \leq k$ frq $(K_j, E_1) =$ frq (K_j, E_2) , /iv/ for every $j \leq k$ there is a pseudoregular equivalence S_j such that $|S_j| = j$ and frq $(S_i, E_1) =$ frq (S_i, E_2) .

Proof. To prove the theorem it suffices to show that the implication $/iv/\Rightarrow /iii/$ is true. We use an indirect argument. If the implication is false there exist $i \le k$ such that $frq(K_i, E_1) \ne frq(K_i, E_2)$. Let $i^{\overline{m}} = \min \{i; frq(K_i, E_1) \ne frq(K_i, E_2)\}$. Obviously $1 < i^{\overline{m}} \le k$ and for $j = i^{\overline{m}} - 1$ we have by Theorem 0.5. $E_1 < E_2$. We know that $frq(S_{j+1}, E_1) = frq(S_{j+1}, E_2)$. Let $c = \min \{cp \ S; \ S \ is$ pseudoregular, |S| = j+1, $frq(S, E_1) = frq(S, E_2)$. Then $1 < c \le cp \ S_1 = c = s+1$. By Lemma 1.5. $frq((s-1).K_u + K_{u+v}, E_1) = frq((s-1).K_u + K_{u+v}, E_2)$ contradicting the minimality of c because op $[(s-1).K_u + K_{u+v}] = s < c$.

<u>Theorem 1.8.</u> Let k > 0, E_1, E_2 be equivalences, $E_1 \stackrel{k}{\sim} E_2$. If there exists a pseudoregular equivalence S such that $|S| \le k$ and $frq(S, E_1) = frq(S, E_2) = 0$ then $E_1 \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} E_2$.

Proof. Let $n = |E_1| = |E_2|$, let $S = s.K_u + K_v$, $|S| \le k$, frq(S,E₁) = frq(S,E₂). For every integer w define $S_w = s.K_u + K_w$. For $w \le v$ we have frq(S_w, E_1) = frq(S_w, E_2) = 0 and by Theorem 1.7. /property /iv// $E_1 \stackrel{n}{\sim} E_2$. It is 1 = frq(E_1, E_1) = frq(E_1, E_2) and clearly $E_1 \stackrel{n}{\sim} E_2$. 74

2. Bounds of reconstructibility and nonreconstructibility

We are interested in the problem: for given k find n satisfying the implication $(|E_1| = |E_2| = n \text{ et } E_1 \stackrel{k}{\sim} E_2) \Longrightarrow (E_1 \stackrel{\sim}{\simeq} E_2)$ where E_1, E_2 are arbitrary equivalences.

We denote cp_iE the number of components of the equivalence E having at least i elements. Let us indicate two elementary facts: /fact 1/ $|E| = \sum_{i \ge 1} cp_iE$, /fact 2/ if $frq(s.K_i,E) \ge 1$ then $cp_iE \ge s$.

<u>Theorem 2.1.</u> Let $\tilde{k} > 2$, E_1, E_2 be equivalences, $|E_1| = |E_2| \le \le k \cdot \ln(k/2)$ where ln denotes the logarithmus naturalis. If $E_1 \stackrel{k}{\sim} E_2$ then $E_1 \cong E_2$.

Proof. Suppose $E_1 \not= E_2$ and define for every $i \le k$ the integral part of k/i denoted $t_i = [k/i]$. Now, for every $i \le k$ we have frq $(t_i \cdot K_i, E_1) \ge 1$ by Theorem 1.8. and moreover $cp_i E_1 \ge t_i$ by /fact 2/. We calculate $n = |E_1| = \sum_{i\ge 1} cp_i E_1 \ge \frac{k}{1-1} t_i \ge \frac{k}{1-1} (k/i - 1) = (k, \frac{k-1}{1-1} 1/i) + 1 \ge k \cdot \ln(k/2) + 1$. We get a contradiction with the assumption that $n \le k \cdot \ln(k/2)$.

 $\begin{array}{c} \underline{\text{Construction 2.2.}} \text{ For every } k \geq 1 \text{ we construct two equivalences} \\ E_1, E_2 \text{ such that } E_1 \stackrel{k}{\sim} E_2, E_1 \not\leftarrow E_2, |E_1| = |E_2| = (k+1) \cdot 2^{k-1} \cdot \\ \text{Proof. For } i=1, \ldots, k+1 \text{ we define the numbers } a_i, b_i \\ a_i = \binom{n+1}{i} \text{ if } i \text{ is even} \qquad b_i = 0 \text{ if } i \text{ is even} \\ 0 \text{ if } i \text{ is odd} \qquad \qquad \binom{n+1}{i} \text{ if } i \text{ is odd} \end{array}$

The numbers $\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i$ satisfy $\mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{b}_i = (-1)^i \binom{n+1}{i}$, $\mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{b}_i = \binom{n+1}{i}$. We define $\mathbf{E}_1 = \underbrace{k+1}_{i=1}^{k+1} \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{K}_i$, $\mathbf{E}_2 = \underbrace{k+1}_{i=1}^{k+1} \mathbf{b}_i \cdot \mathbf{K}_i$. It is obvious that $\mathbf{E}_1 \not\leftarrow \mathbf{E}_2$ because \mathbf{E}_2 has 1-point components but \mathbf{E}_1 has not. For every j, $1 \leq \mathbf{j} \leq \mathbf{k}$ we calculate $\operatorname{frq}(\mathbf{K}_j, \mathbf{E}_1) - \operatorname{frq}(\mathbf{K}_j, \mathbf{E}_2) = \underbrace{k+1}_{i=j}^{k+1} \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \binom{i}{j} - \underbrace{k+1}_{i=j}^{k+1} \mathbf{b}_i \cdot \binom{i}{j}$ $= \underbrace{k+1}_{i=j} (\mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{b}_i) \cdot \binom{i}{j} = \underbrace{k+1}_{i=j} (-1)^i \cdot \binom{k+1}{i} \cdot \binom{i}{j} = 0$ and we get $\operatorname{frq}(\mathbf{K}_j, \mathbf{E}_1) =$ $= \operatorname{frq}(\mathbf{K}_j, \mathbf{E}_2)$. It is $\mathbf{E}_1 \leftarrow \mathbf{E}_2$ by Theorem 1.7. Finally, we calculate $|\mathbf{E}_1| + |\mathbf{E}_2| = \underbrace{k+1}_{i=1}^{k+1} \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{i} + \underbrace{k+1}_{i=1}^{k+1} \mathbf{b}_i \cdot \mathbf{i} =$ $= \underbrace{k+1}_{i=i} (\mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{b}_i) \cdot \mathbf{i} = \underbrace{k+1}_{i=1} \binom{k+1}{i} \cdot \mathbf{i} = (k+1) \cdot 2^k$, which yields $|\mathbf{E}_1| = |\mathbf{E}_2| =$

<u>Remark 2.3.</u> In [6] we have defined reconstructibility indicating function $u_{\mathcal{C}}$ of the class of graphs \mathcal{C} . If we denote \mathcal{C} the class of all equivalences we can write the result of this paper in the form: for every k > 2 $k.\ln(k/2) \le u_{\mathcal{C}}(k) < (k+1).2^{k-1}$.

 $^{= (}k+1) \cdot 2^{k-1}$

REFERENCES

- Bondy, J.A., Hemminger, R.L. "Graph reconstruction a survey", J. Graph Theory, <u>1</u>(1977), 227-286.
- [2] Manvel, B. "Some basic observations on Kelly's conjecture for graphs", Discrete Math., 8(1974), 181-185.
- [3] Müller, V. "Probabilistic reconstruction from subgraphs", Comment. Math. Univ. Carol., <u>17</u>(1976), 709-719.
- [4] Nýdl, V. "Reconstruction of an Equivalence Object from Its Subobjects", thesis(Czech), Charles University, Prague, 1980.
- [5] Nýdl,V. "Finite graphs and digraphs which are not reconstructible from their cardinality restricted subgraphs", Comment. Math. Univ. Carol., 22(1981), 281-287.
- [6] Nýdl,V. "Some results concerning reconstruction conjecture", Proceedings of the 12th Winter School on Abstract Analysis /Supplemento ai Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo/, 1984, 243-245.

VÁCLAV NÝDL VŠZ PEF SINKULEHO 13 370 05 Č. BUDĚJOVICE CZECHOSLOVAKIA