

Vincenzo Aversa; Christoph Bandt  
The multifractal spectrum of discrete measures

*Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica*, Vol. 31 (1990), No. 2, 5--8

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/702163>

**Terms of use:**

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 1990

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## The Multifractal Spectrum of Discrete Measures

V. AVERSA\*), C. BANDT\*\*)

Italy, DDR

Received 11 March 1990

In recent years, multifractals and their  $f(\alpha)$ -spectrum have become so popular in numerical and experimental studies of strange attractors, diffusion-limited aggregation, turbulence and random resistor networks [1, 2, 5, 7, 8], that it seems necessary to develop solid foundations for these concepts. There are only two types of measures for which the  $f(\alpha)$ -spectrum was determined rigorously: these are Gibbs states on zero-dimensional hyperbolic attractors in  $\mathbb{R}$  (“cookie-cutters”) [2, 7] and self-similar measures with respect to two similarity mappings, when the open set condition is fulfilled [5, 8]. In both cases, the thermodynamic formalism was used and the function  $f(\alpha)$  has a parabolic shape.

The purpose of this note is to treat analytically some other examples for which the  $f(\alpha)$ -spectrum is linear. Our methods are quite elementary and all details are proved. We shall restrict ourselves to finite measures  $\mu$  on  $[0, 1]$  which assume positive values on all intervals  $[a, b] \subset [0, 1]$ . Let us start with some definitions. The local dimension of  $\mu$  at a point  $x$  is defined as

$$(1) \quad d_\mu(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \mu(U_\varepsilon(x))}{\log \varepsilon}$$

where  $U_\varepsilon(x) = ]x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon[$ .  $d_\mu(x)$  quantifies “the degree to which  $x$  belongs to  $\mu$  when  $x$  is determined more and more accurately”. The physicists’ “working definition” of the  $f(\alpha)$ -spectrum is

$$(2) \quad f(\alpha) = \dim \{x \mid d_\mu(x) = \alpha\}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq \infty$$

where  $\dim$  means Hausdorff dimension (cf. [4] for definitions). Intuitively,  $\mu$  classifies the parts of  $[0, 1]$  where  $\mu$  is strongly concentrated (small  $\alpha$ ) or sparsely distributed (large  $\alpha$ ).

Kahane and Katznelson [6] gave an example of a measure supported by a Cantor

\*) Via Nicolardi 174, 80131 Napoli, Italy

\*\*\*) Sektion Mathematik, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-Universität, DDR-2200, Greifswald

set in  $[0, 1]$  such that for every  $\alpha$ , there are at most two  $x$  with  $d_\mu(x) = \alpha$ . Moreover, our examples show that the limit (1) need not exist for many  $x$  (the set of all these  $x$  has dimension 1). We think that this is also possible in experimental studies. For these reasons we suggest to replace (2) by

$$(3) \quad f(\alpha) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \dim \{x \mid \alpha - \varepsilon \leq \mathbf{d}_\mu(x) \leq \alpha + \varepsilon\}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq \infty$$

where  $\mathbf{d}_\mu(x)$  denotes the lower local dimension, that is, the liminf in (1). For all measures treated in the literature, it is easy to see that definitions (1) and (3) agree. For  $f(\alpha)$ -functions, the differences between  $d_\mu(x)$ ,  $\mathbf{d}_\mu(x)$  and the upper local dimension  $\bar{d}_\mu(x)$  (i.e., the limsup in (1)) have apparently not been studied so far. However, for the dimension distribution of  $\mu$  which was recently introduced by Cutler and Kahane, it turned out that  $\mathbf{d}_\mu(x)$  is the appropriate function [3]. This justifies our definition (3). Without going into details, we note that the dimension distribution of  $\mu$  classifies  $\mathbf{d}_\mu(x)$  by means of the measure  $\mu$  and the  $f(\alpha)$ -spectrum of  $\mu$  classifies in terms of the Hausdorff dimension. The latter is more subtle and difficult.

Our measure  $\mu$  will be discrete. Their dimension distribution will be trivial since they will be concentrated on the countable set of rational numbers of the form  $p/2^n$ ,  $p$  an odd integer. Let  $0 < r < 1/2$ . Let  $\mu\{1/2\} = r$ ,  $\mu\{1/4\} = \mu\{3/4\} = r^2, \dots, \mu\{p/2^n\} = r^n$  for  $p = 1, 3, 5, \dots, 2^n - 1$ . Then  $\mu[0, 1] = r/(1 - 2r)$ . Note that for any  $\mu$  and  $x$ ,  $\mu(\{x\}) > 0$  implies  $d_\mu(x) = 0$ .

**Theorem.** For the measure  $\mu$  defined above,  $\bar{d}_\mu(x) = (-\log r)/(\log 2) =: \alpha^*$  whenever  $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ . For any  $\alpha$  between 0 and  $\alpha^*$ ,  $f(\alpha) = \alpha/\alpha^*$ .

**Proof.** (i) We easily see that  $\mu(\lceil p/2^k, (p+1)/2^k \rceil) = r^{k+1}(1-2r)$  for  $p = 0, 1, \dots, 2^k - 1$  and hence  $\mu(\lceil y, y + 2^{-k} \rceil) \geq r^{k+1}(1-2r)$  for each  $y$  in  $[0, 1 - 2^{-k}]$ . For  $\varepsilon \in [2^{-n}, 2^{-(n+1)}[$  [this implies  $\log \mu(U_\varepsilon(x))/\log \varepsilon \leq ((n+1) \log r + \log(1-2r))/(-(n-1) \log 2)$ ]. Thus  $\bar{d}_\mu(x) \leq \alpha^*$  for arbitrary  $x$ .

(ii) Take a point  $x \neq p/2^k$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We determine  $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$  with  $|\alpha^* - \log \mu(U_{\varepsilon'}(x))/\log \varepsilon'| \rightarrow 0$  for  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $y$  be the unique number  $p/2^k$  in  $U_\varepsilon(x)$  with odd  $p$  and smallest possible  $k$ . Let  $\varepsilon' = |x - y|$ ,  $2^{-(n+1)} < \varepsilon' < 2^{-n}$  and  $I = \lceil y, y + 2/2^n \rceil$  for  $y \leq x$ ,  $I = \lceil y - 2/2^n, y \rceil$  otherwise. Then  $U_{\varepsilon'}(x) \subseteq I$  implies  $\log \mu(U_{\varepsilon'}(x))/\log \varepsilon' \geq \log \mu(I)/\log 2^{-(n+1)} = (n \log r + \log(1-2r))/-(n+1) \log 2$  which tends to  $\alpha^*$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This proves the first part of the theorem.

(iii) Let  $\mathbf{d}_\mu(x) < \alpha < \alpha^*$ . We show that  $x$  is contained in infinitely many of the sets

$$W_k(\alpha) = \bigcup \{ \lceil p/2^k - \delta, p/2^k + \delta \rceil \mid p = 1, 3, 5, \dots, 2^k - 1 \}$$

where  $\delta = \delta_k(\alpha) = 2^{-k\alpha^*/\alpha}(1-2r)^{1/\alpha}$ . Note that  $\mathbf{d}_\mu(x) < \alpha$  means  $\mu(U_\varepsilon(x)) > \varepsilon^\alpha$  for arbitrary small  $\varepsilon$ . Take an  $\varepsilon$  for which this inequality holds, and define  $y = p/2^k$  as above. Then  $\varepsilon^\alpha < \mu(U_\varepsilon(x)) \leq \mu(\lceil (p-1)/2^k, (p+1)/2^k \rceil) = r^k(1-2r)$ . Now  $r = 2^{-\alpha^*}$  implies  $\varepsilon < 2^{-k\alpha^*/\alpha}(1-2r)^{1/\alpha}$  and  $x \in W_k(\alpha)$ .

(iv) Conversely, if  $x$  is contained in infinitely many  $W_k(\alpha)$  then  $\mathbf{d}_\mu(x) \leq \alpha$ . We can

assume  $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ , so that  $x \in W_k(\alpha)$  implies  $p/2^k \in U_\delta(x)$  and  $\mu(U_\delta(x)) \geq r^k = 2^{-k\alpha^*} = \delta^\alpha/(1-2r)$ .

(v) Let us show  $\dim \{x \mid \mathbf{d}_\mu(x) < \alpha\} \leq \alpha/\alpha^*$ . Using (iii), we verify that the  $\beta$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure is finite for  $\beta > \alpha/\alpha^*$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose  $k_0$  so that  $\delta_{k_0}(\alpha) < \varepsilon$ . We cover  $\bigcup\{W_k(\alpha) \mid k \geq k_0\}$  by intervals of length  $2\delta_k(\alpha)$ ,  $k \geq k_0$ . If we write  $\beta = (1 + \eta)\alpha/\alpha^*$  then  $2^{k-1}(2\delta_k(\alpha))^\beta = c \cdot 2^{-\eta k}$ ,  $c = \frac{1}{2}(1-2r)^{\beta/\alpha}$ , and the sum for  $k \geq k_0$  is  $c \cdot 2^{-\eta k_0}/(1-2^{-\eta})$  which tends to zero for  $k_0 \rightarrow \infty$ .

(vi) Now we show  $\dim \{x \mid \mathbf{d}_\mu(x) \leq \alpha\} \geq \alpha/\alpha^*$ , verifying that the  $\beta$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of this set is positive for  $\beta < \alpha/\alpha^*$ . Let  $\beta = (1 - \eta)\alpha/\alpha^*$ . We shall construct a sequence  $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$  and a Cantor set  $D \subseteq \bigcap\{W_{k_i}(\alpha) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  with  $\mu^\beta(D) > 0$ . For every  $k$  we have  $2^{k-1}(2\delta_k(\alpha))^\beta = c \cdot 2^{\eta k}$  with  $c$  from above. Choose  $k_1$  with  $c \cdot 2^{\eta k_1} > 1$ , and let  $V_1 = W_{k_1}(\alpha)$ . Now suppose  $k_n$  is constructed and  $V_n$  is a union of intervals with Lebesgue measure  $\lambda_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). Then choose  $k_{n+1} > k_n$  such that more than  $\frac{3}{4} \cdot \lambda_n \cdot 2^{k_{n+1}-k_n}$  of the intervals of  $W_{k_{n+1}}(\alpha)$  are contained in  $V_n$  and such that  $\frac{3}{4} \cdot \lambda_n c \cdot 2^{\eta k_{n+1}} > 1$ . Let  $V_{n+1}$  be the union of all intervals of  $W_{k_{n+1}}(\alpha)$  which are inside  $V_n$ . By induction, we built the Cantor set  $D = \bigcap\{V_n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

(vii) To estimate  $\mu^\beta(D)$ , it suffices to consider finite coverings  $\mathfrak{C} = \{I_1, \dots, I_m\}$  by intervals. Assume first that the  $I_j$  are intervals from the  $W_{k_i}(\alpha)$ ,  $i \leq n$ . Let  $\nu(I)$  denote the number of intervals of  $V_n$  which are inside  $I$  divided by the total number of intervals of  $V_n$ . For all  $I$  from a fixed  $W_{k_i}(\alpha)$ ,  $i \leq n$ , the value  $\nu(I)$  and the length  $\lambda(I)$  are constant, and since the sum of these  $\nu(I)$  is 1 and the sum of the  $\lambda(I)^\beta$  is  $> 1$  by construction, we have  $\lambda(I)^\beta > \nu(I)$ . Now taking sums over  $j = 1, \dots, m$  we see that  $\sum \lambda(I_j)^\beta > \sum \nu(I_j) \geq 1$ .

(viii) To prove  $\mu^\beta(D) > 0$ , it remains to check that there are no other “more efficient” coverings of  $D$ . Since the intervals of  $V_n$  do not always cover the endpoints of  $I_j$ , it could be possible to replace the  $I_j$  by some smaller  $I'_j$ . Nevertheless, the  $\frac{3}{4}\lambda_n$  - condition implies  $\lambda(I'_j) > \lambda(I_j)/2$ , thus  $\sum \lambda(I'_j)^\beta > 1/2$ .

A more interesting question is whether an interval  $I$  from  $W_{k_{n-1}}(\alpha)$  of length  $I$  can be covered “efficiently” by several intervals  $J_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, t$  smaller than  $I$ , but larger than the intervals of  $V_n$ . We can assume that the gap length  $\kappa$  between two neighbouring  $J_i$  is the same as that between two consecutive intervals of  $V_n$  and that the  $J_i$  have equal length  $l' = (l + \kappa)/t - \kappa$ . The covering by  $J_1, \dots, J_t$  is “most efficient” if  $f(t) = t \cdot l'^\beta/l^\beta$  is minimal. With  $\gamma = \kappa/l$  we have  $f(t) = t \cdot ((1 + \gamma)/t - \gamma)^\beta$ . For  $t \in [1, (1 + \gamma)/\gamma]$  there is only one zero of  $f'(t)$  which corresponds to a maximum of  $f$ . The minimal value of  $f$  on  $[1, t^*]$ ,  $t^* \leq (1 + \gamma)/\gamma$  is assumed at one endpoint of the interval. Thus  $\sum \lambda(J_i)^\beta$  is minimal if we have either  $t = 1$ ,  $J_1 = I$  or the  $J_i$  are the intervals of  $V_n$  inside  $I$ . Consequently, there are no other “more efficient” coverings.

(ix) From (v) and (vi) it follows by standard arguments (involving  $\alpha \pm 1/n$ ) that  $\dim \{x \mid \mathbf{d}_\mu(x) \leq \alpha\} = \dim \{x \mid \mathbf{d}_\mu(x) < \alpha\} = \alpha/\alpha^*$  for  $\alpha < \alpha^*$  and then for  $\alpha = \alpha^*$ . Hence  $f(\alpha) = \alpha/\alpha^*$  by (3).

**Remark.** The definition of  $\mu$  can be modified in various ways. Instead of the points  $p/2^k$ , one can use the endpoints of the construction intervals of a Cantor set, of the points  $(p_1/2^k, p_2/2^k)$  in  $[0, 1]^2$  (with maximum-metric), or points in a suitable Cantor set in  $[0, 1]^n$ . The  $f(\alpha)$ -function is also linear. Is it true that the  $f(\alpha)$ -function is linear for all discrete measures the weights of which form a geometric series? If the weights go down exponentially, it is clear that  $f(\alpha) = 0$  for  $\alpha < \infty$ . One can also multiply the measure  $\mu$  with Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$  to obtain a non-discrete measure  $\mu'$  with linear spectrum:  $f(1 + \alpha) = 1 + \alpha/\alpha^*$  for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha^*$ ,  $f(\gamma) = 0$  for  $\gamma < 1$  and  $\gamma > 1 + \alpha^*$ .

### References

- [1] BOHR T. and RAND D., The entropy function for characteristic exponents, *Physica D* 25, 387–398 (1987).
- [2] COLLET P., LEBOWITZ J. L. and PORZIO A., The dimension spectrum of some dynamical systems, *J. Stat. Phys.* 47 (1987), 609–644.
- [3] CUTLER C. D. and DAWSON D. A., Estimation of dimension for spatially distributed data and related limit theorems, *J. Multivariate Anal.* 28 (1989), 115–148.
- [4] FALCONER K. J.: *The geometry of fractal sets*, Cambridge Univ. Press 1985.
- [5] HALSEY T. C., JENSEN M. H., KADANOFF L. P., PROCACCIA I. and SHRAIMAN B. I., Fractal measures and their singularities, *Phys. Rev. A* 33, 1141–1151 (1986).
- [6] KAHANE J. P. and KATZNELSON Y., Decomposition des mesures selon la dimension, preprint, Paris 1989.
- [7] RAND D. A., The singularity spectrum  $f(\alpha)$  for cookie-cutters, *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* 9 (1989), 527–541.
- [8] TEL T., Fractals, multifractals and thermodynamics, *Zschr. f. Naturf. A* 43, 1154–1174 (1988).