

Michal Staš
Hurewicz scheme

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 49 (2008), No. 2, 75--78

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/702524>

Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 2008

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Hurewicz Scheme

MICHAL STAŠ

Košice

Received 30. March 2008

We present a simple proof of Hurewicz theorem saying that every coanalytic non- G_δ -set C in a Polish space contains a countable set $L \subseteq C$ without isolated points such that $(\overline{L} \setminus L) \cap C = \emptyset$.

Hurewicz theorem mentioned in the abstract has many important consequences, e.g., every analytic space with property E^* is σ -compact [2] or the Kechris-Louveau-Woodin Dichotomy Theorem [3]. The original proof by W. Hurewicz [1] based on the notion of a “Häufungssystem” is elementary, however rather complicated. A. Kechris [3] presents a proof based on game theory.

Main goal of this note is a simple elementary proof of a generalization of Hurewicz theorem. Actually we follow the original Hurewicz proof. We shall use common set theoretical terminology and notations, say those of [4]. In the next we assume that (X, ϱ) is a Polish space with a countable base $\mathcal{B} = \{V_n : n \in \omega\}$ of open sets. We use a little modified notion of a “Häufungssystem”.

Let ${}^n\omega$ be the set of finite sequences $v = (v(0), \dots, v(n-1))$ of length n from ω .

If $v \in {}^n\omega$ and $m \leq n$, we let $v|_m = (v(0), \dots, v(m-1))$. Let u be a finite sequence from ω of length at least n . We shall write $v \leq u$ if $v = u|_n$.

A mapping $\varphi : {}^{<\omega}\omega \rightarrow X$ is called a **Hurewicz scheme** on X if

$$(1) (\forall v \in {}^{<\omega}\omega)(\forall m, n \in \omega)(m \neq n \rightarrow \varphi(v \frown m) \neq \varphi(v \frown n)),$$

Institute of Mathematics, University of P. J. Šafárik, Jesenná 5, 040 01 Košice, Slovakia

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 03E05, 42A20; Secondary 03E75, 42A28, 26A99.
Key words and phrases. Hurewicz scheme, trees, analytic set, Suslin scheme, separating set.

The work on this research has been partially supported by the grant 1/3002/06 of Slovak Grant Agency VEGA.

E-mail address: michal.stas@upjs.sk

- (2) $(\forall v \in {}^{<\omega}\omega) \varphi(v) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(v \frown n)$,
(3) $(\forall v \in {}^{<\omega}\omega) \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam} \{ \varphi(u) : u \geq v \frown k \} = 0$,
(4) $(\forall f \in {}^\omega\omega) \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam} \{ \varphi(u) : u \geq f|k \} = 0$.

The following result describes a basic property of a Hurewicz scheme.

Lemma 1. *If φ is a Hurewicz scheme on X and $x \in \overline{\text{rng}(\varphi)} \setminus \text{rng}(\varphi)$, then there exists a branch $f \in {}^\omega\omega$ such that $x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(f|k)$.*

Proof. Assume that $x \in \overline{\text{rng}(\varphi)} \setminus \text{rng}(\varphi)$. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a sequence of $\text{rng}(\varphi)$ and $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ a sequence of elements of ${}^{<\omega}\omega$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $x_n = \varphi(u_n)$, $n \in \omega$. Denote

$$T = \{v \in {}^{<\omega}\omega : (\exists n \in \omega) v \leq u_n\}.$$

We show that the tree T has finite branching degree. Assume not, i.e. there exists a node $v \in T$ and an increasing sequence $\{m_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ such that $v \frown m_k \in T$. Then for every k there exists n_k such that $v \frown m_k \leq u_{n_k}$. Since

$$\varrho(\varphi(v), \varphi(u_{n_k})) \leq \varrho(\varphi(v), \varphi(v \frown m_k)) + \text{diam} \{ \varphi(u) : u \geq v \frown m_k \},$$

by (2) and (3) we obtain $x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(u_{n_k}) = \varphi(v) \in \text{rng}(\varphi)$ – a contradiction.

By König's lemma there is an infinite branch $f \in {}^\omega\omega$ for which $\{f|k : k \in \omega\} \subseteq T$. Let n_k be such that $f|k \leq u_{n_k}$. By (4) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(\varphi(f|k), \varphi(u_{n_k})) = 0$ and therefore $x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(f|k)$.

q.e.d.

Let A, B be sets such that $A \subseteq B$. A set C separates A, B if $A \subseteq C \subseteq B$.

Lemma 2. *Let $A, B \subseteq X$ and let $U \subseteq X$ be an open set such that $A \cap U \subseteq B$. If $A \cap U, B$ cannot be separated by an F_σ -set, then there exist infinitely many points $p \in U \setminus B$ such that for every neighborhood V of p , the sets $A \cap V, B$ cannot be separated by an F_σ -set either.*

Proof. Assume there is no such point $p \in U \setminus B$. Let

$$S = \{n \in \omega : (V_n \subseteq U) \wedge (A \cap V_n, B \text{ can be separated by an } F_\sigma\text{-set})\}.$$

For each $n \in S$ let us choose an F_σ -set F_n which separates $A \cap V_n, B$ and let us denote $W = \bigcup_{n \in S} V_n$ and $F = \bigcup_{n \in S} F_n$. Since $U \setminus B \subseteq W \subseteq U$ and $A \cap W \subseteq F \subseteq B$, the F_σ -set $(F \cap U) \cup (U \setminus W)$ separates $A \cap U, B$, what is a contradiction. If U had only finitely many points with the desired property, eliminating them from U you obtain a contradiction.

q.e.d.

Theorem 3. *Let A be an analytic subset of X . For every set B with $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$ the following are equivalent:*

- i) A, B cannot be separated by an F_σ -set,
- ii) there is a countable $L \subseteq X \setminus B$ without isolated points such that $\overline{L} \setminus L \subseteq A$.

Proof. Assume that i) holds true. Since A is analytic (see [4]), there exists a closed Suslin scheme ${}^{<\omega}\omega, \psi$, with vanishing diameter such that

$$\bigcup_{f \in {}^{<\omega}\omega} \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \psi(f|n) = A.$$

We can assume that $u < v \rightarrow \psi(u) \supseteq \psi(v)$ for any $u, v \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$. For every $v \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$ denote

$$A_v = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \psi(f|n) : f \in {}^\omega\omega \wedge v \subseteq f \right\}.$$

We construct functions $\varphi : {}^{<\omega}\omega \rightarrow X$ and $F : {}^{<\omega}\omega \rightarrow {}^{<\omega}\omega$ such that

- φ is a Hurewicz scheme on $X \setminus B$,
- F preserves ordering on ${}^{<\omega}\omega$,
- $\varphi(v) \in \psi(F(v)) \setminus A_{F(v)}$ for any $v \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$,
- there is no F_σ -set separating $A_{F(v)} \cap U, B$ for any neighborhood U of $\varphi(v)$.

Apply Lemma 2 for $U = X$ and fix $p \in X \setminus B$ from the conclusion. Therefore $p \in \overline{A} \setminus A \subseteq \psi(\emptyset) \setminus A$. We set $\varphi(\emptyset) = p$ and $F(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. Let $s \in {}^k\omega$ and $\varphi(s), F(s)$ be already defined and satisfy c), d). Fix $n \in \omega$. Since $A_{F(s)} = \bigcup_m A_{F(s) \frown m}$ and

$$U = B_\varrho \left(\varphi(s), 2^{-\sum_{i \in \text{dom}(s)} (s(i)+1)-n} \right)$$

is a neighbourhood of $\varphi(s)$, there exists an $m \in \omega$ such that $A_{F(s) \frown m} \cap U, B$ cannot be separated by an F_σ -set. Let $p \in U \setminus B$ be that of Lemma 2. We set $\varphi(s \frown n) = p$ and $F(s \frown n) = F(s) \frown m$. We can assume that $\varphi(s \frown n), n \in \omega$, are mutually distinct. If $u \geq s \frown n$ then

$$\varrho(\varphi(s), \varphi(u)) \leq 2^{-\sum_{i \in \text{dom}(s)} s(i)-n}.$$

Moreover, by d) we have

$$\varphi(s \frown n) \in \overline{A_{F(s \frown n)}} \subseteq \psi(F(s \frown n)).$$

Thus, φ is a Hurewicz scheme on $X \setminus B$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(f|n) \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \psi(F(f|n)) \subseteq A,$$

for any branch $f \in {}^\omega\omega$ and thus the set $L = \text{rng}(\varphi)$ satisfies ii).

Assume that i) does not hold true while ii) does. Then there exists a G_δ -set G separating $X \setminus B, X \setminus A$. Since G is a Polish subspace L is not closed in G and therefore $(\overline{L} \setminus L) \cap G \neq \emptyset$, which is a contradiction.

q.e.d.

Corollary 4 (W. Hurewicz). *If C is a coanalytic non- G_δ -set in a Polish space then there exists a countable set $L \subseteq C$ without isolated points such that $(\overline{L} \setminus L) \cap C = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Take $A = B = X \setminus C$.

q.e.d.

Acknowledgment. I would like to thank prof. Lev Bukovský for valuable comments.

References

- [1] HUREWICZ, W., *Relativ perfekte Teile von Punktmengen und Mengen (A)*, Fund. Math. 9 (1925), 78–109.
- [2] HUREWICZ, W., *Über Folgen stetiger Funktionen*, Fund. Math. 9 (1925), 193–204.
- [3] KECHRIS, A. S., LOUVEAU, A., *Descriptive Set Theory and the Structure of Sets of Uniqueness*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 128 (1987), 104–138.
- [4] KECHRIS, A. S., *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Springer-Verlag (1994).