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# $H P$-ANISOTROPIC MESH ADAPTATION TECHNIQUE BASED ON INTERPOLATION ERROR ESTIMATES 

Vít Dolejší<br>Charles University Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics<br>Sokolovská 83, 18675 , Prague, Czech Republic<br>dolejsi@karlin.mff.cuni.cz


#### Abstract

We present a completely new $h p$-anisotropic mesh adaptation technique for the numerical solution of partial differential equations with the aid of a discontinuous piecewise polynomial approximation. This approach generates general anisotropic triangular grids and the corresponding degrees of polynomial approximation based on the minimization of the interpolation error. We develop the theoretical background of this approach and present a numerical example demonstrating the efficiency of this anisotropic strategy in comparison with an isotropic one.


## 1. Introduction

Adaptive methods exhibit an efficient tool for the numerical solution of partial differential equations (PDEs). Our aim is to develop an adaptive technique which is able to generate general $h p$-anisotropic grids which can be employed in the framework of discontinuous Galerkin method based on a discontinuous piecewise polynomial approximation. The shape of an anisotropic element is extended in one dominant direction.

The $h p$-adaptive method allows the adaptation in the element size $h$ as well as in the polynomial degree $p$. Several strategies of $h p$-adaptation have been proposed over the years, see, e.g., [14] or [11] for a survey. Based on many theoretical works, e.g., monographs [15] or papers [1, 5, 17] we expect that an error converges at an exponential rate in the number of degrees of freedom. However, most of $h p$-adaptive methods deal with $h$-isotropic refinement when the element marked for $h$-refinement is split (isotropically) into several (usually four in 2D) daughter elements. Some exception is, e.g., [13] where quadrilateral elements can be split onto two daughter elements by a line in a either vertical or horizontal direction.

Our goal is to generate anisotropic grids similarly to those ones developed, e.g., in $[4,6,9,12,16]$, for the first order finite volume and finite element methods. In these works, the Hessian matrices (matrices of second order derivatives) are employed for the definition of a Riemann metric. Then the highly anisotropic triangular


Figure 1: An anisotropic element $K$ characterized by $h_{K}, h_{K}^{\perp}$ and $\phi_{K}$ (left), and an anisotropic element $K$ characterized by $r_{K}^{1}, r_{K}^{2}$ and $\phi_{K}$ with the corresponding ellipse (right).
grids, which are quasi-uniform in this metric, are constructed. However, the Hessian matrices correspond to the interpolation error for a piecewise linear approximation. In [2, 3], the Riemann metric (defining the anisotropic mesh) is developed for a high degree of polynomial approximation. This approach is based on a particular definition of the magnitude, orientation, and anisotropic ratio for the higher order derivative of a function $u$ to characterize its anisotropic behaviour. Being inspired by these papers, we develop here a new strategy which is able to generate anisotropic triangular grids and the corresponding degree of polynomial approximation for each element of the mesh. This approach is based on the approximation of the interpolation error in the $L^{\infty}$-norm by the leading terms of the Taylor expansion. The aim is to keep the interpolation error under a given tolerance and to minimize the number of degree of freedom.

## 2. An anisotropic element

In this section, we describe an anisotropy of triangles in a plane domain. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be an acute isosceles triangle, see Figure 1, left. By $h_{K}$ we denote its size in the direction of its axis, $h_{K}^{\perp}$ denotes its size in the direction perpendicular of its axis and $\phi_{K} \in[0, \pi)$ denotes the angle between its axis and the axis $x_{1}$, see Figure 1, left. The triple ( $h_{K}, h_{K}^{\perp}, \phi_{K}$ ) defines the anisotropy of element $K$.

We can define the anisotropy in an alternative way. Let $\lambda_{K}^{1}>0, \lambda_{K}^{2}>0$, and $\phi_{K} \in[0, \pi)$. We define the matrix $M_{K}$ by

$$
M_{K}:=R^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\phi_{K}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{K}^{1} & 0  \tag{1}\\
0 & \lambda_{K}^{2}
\end{array}\right) R\left(\phi_{K}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{K} & b_{K} \\
b_{K} & c_{K}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $R\left(\phi_{K}\right)$ is the the rotation matrix

$$
R\left(\phi_{K}\right):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \phi_{K} & -\sin \phi_{K}  \tag{2}\\
\sin \phi_{K} & \cos \phi_{K}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $R^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\phi_{K}\right)$ is its transpose matrix. Obviously, $M_{K}$ is a symmetric positive definite matrix having eigenvalues $\lambda_{K}^{1}, \lambda_{K}^{2}$. The equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\mathrm{T}} M_{K} x=a_{K} x_{1}^{2}+2 b_{K} x_{1} x_{2}+c_{K} x_{2}^{2} \leq 1, \quad x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines an ellipse $\epsilon_{K}$ with the centre at origin, the semi-axes lengths

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{K}^{1}=1 / \sqrt{\lambda_{K}^{1}}, \quad r_{K}^{2}=1 / \sqrt{\lambda_{K}^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the angle between the axis $x_{1}$ and the major axis of $\epsilon_{K}$ is $\phi_{K}$, see Figure 1, right.
Let $K$ denotes an acute isosceles triangle which is inscribed into ellipse $\epsilon_{K}$ and which has the maximal possible area, see Figure 1, right. We say that $K$ is generated by $M_{k}$. Hence, the anisotropy of this triangle $K$ can be defined by the triple $\left(\lambda_{K}^{1}, \lambda_{K}^{2}, \phi_{K}\right)$ or the triple $\left(r_{K}^{1}, r_{K}^{2}, \phi_{K}\right)$. With the aid of techniques [7], we can derive direct relations between triples ( $h_{K}, h_{K}^{\perp}, \phi_{K}$ ) and ( $\lambda_{K}^{1}, \lambda_{K}^{2}, \phi_{K}$ ) (or $\left(r_{K}^{1}, r_{K}^{2}, \phi_{K}\right)$ ). Namely, $h_{K}=\frac{3}{2} r_{K}^{2}$ and $h_{K}^{\perp}=2 \sqrt{3} r_{K}^{1}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{e}_{i}, i=1,2,3$ denote the edges of the triangle $K$ inscribed into $\epsilon_{K}$ and having the maximal area. The edges $\boldsymbol{e}_{i}, i=1,2,3$ are considered as vectors from $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ given by their endpoints. In [6] we proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right\|_{M_{K}}=\sqrt{3}, \quad i=1,2,3 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\|\boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right\|_{M_{K}}:=\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} M_{K} \boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right)^{1 / 2}$ is the size of $\boldsymbol{e}_{i}$ in the Riemann metric generated by $M_{K}$, compare with Definition 3.1 bellow. Hence, $K$ is the equilateral triangle in the metric generated by $M_{K}$.

## 3. $h p$-anisotropic meshes

Let the computational domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be bounded with a polygonal boundary $\partial \Omega$. Let $\mathscr{T}_{h}(h>0)$ be a partition of the closure $\bar{\Omega}$ of the domain $\Omega$ into a finite number of closed triangles $K$ with mutually disjoint interiors. We call $\mathscr{T}_{h}=\{K\}_{K \in \mathscr{T}_{h}}$ a triangulation of $\Omega$ and assume that $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ is conforming.

Moreover, to each $K \in \mathscr{T}_{h}$, we assign a positive integer $p_{K}$ (=local polynomial degree of polynomial approximation on $K$ ). Then we define the set $\mathbf{p}:=\left\{p_{K} ; K \in \mathscr{T}_{h}\right\}$ and the pair

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{T}_{h \mathbf{p}}:=\left\{\mathscr{T}_{h}, \mathbf{p}\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the $h p$-mesh.
For the given $h p$-mesh $\mathscr{T}_{h \mathbf{p}}$, we construct the space of piecewise polynomial discontinuous functions by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{h \mathbf{p}}=\left\{v \in L^{2}(\Omega) ;\left.v\right|_{K} \in P^{p_{K}}(K) \forall K \in \mathscr{T}_{h}\right\}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P^{p_{K}}(K)$ is the space of polynomials or degree $\leq p_{K}$ on $K \in \mathscr{T}_{h}$. The dimension of $S_{h \mathbf{p}}$ can be expressed (for two-dimensional domain) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{h \mathbf{p}}:=\sum_{K \in \mathscr{T}_{h}}\left(p_{K}+1\right)\left(p_{K}+2\right) / 2 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call this quantity the size of the $h p$-mesh $\mathscr{T}_{h \mathbf{p}}$.

Finally, by $\mathscr{F}_{h}$ we denote the set of edges of $\mathscr{T}_{h}$. Here the edges $\boldsymbol{e} \in \mathscr{F}_{h}$ are considered as vectors from $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ given by its endpoints. The orientation of the edges is arbitrary.

Similarly as in $[4,6,9,12,16]$, we define the anisotropic triangular grid as a quasiuniform grid in a Riemann metric.
Definition 3.1. Let $\mathbf{M}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ be a continuous mapping such that for each $x \in \Omega$, the matrix $\mathbf{M}(x)$ is symmetric and positive definite. Moreover, let $\boldsymbol{v}_{0}, \boldsymbol{v}_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $\boldsymbol{v}_{0} \in \Omega$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_{0}+\boldsymbol{v}_{1} \in \Omega$. The mapping $\boldsymbol{v}:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, \boldsymbol{v}(t)=\boldsymbol{v}_{0}+t \boldsymbol{v}_{1}$, $t \in[0,1]$ defines a straight edge in $\Omega$. Furthermore, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{\mathbf{M}}:=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}(t)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{M}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{0}+t \boldsymbol{v}_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{M}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{0}+t \boldsymbol{v}_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{v}_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call $\mathbf{M}$ the Riemann metric on $\Omega$ and $\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{\mathbf{M}}$ defines the size of edge $\boldsymbol{v}$ in the Riemann metric M.

Remark 3.2. Let us note that if $\mathbf{M}$ is constant along $\boldsymbol{v}$ then (9) reduces to $\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{\mathbf{M}}=$ $\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{v}_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}$. Moreover, if $\mathbf{M}(x)=\mathbb{I} \forall x \in \boldsymbol{v}$ ( $\mathbb{I}=$ the identity matrix) then the size of $\boldsymbol{v}$ in the Riemann metric $\mathbf{M}$ is equal to its length in the Euclidean metric.

In virtue of (5), we define a triangulation corresponding to the metric M.
Definition 3.3. Let $\omega>0$ be a given constant. Let $\mathbf{M}$ be the Riemann metric defined on $\Omega, \mathscr{T}_{h}$ be a triangulation of $\Omega$ and $\mathscr{F}_{h}$ the corresponding set of edges. We say that the triangulation $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ is generated by metric $\mathbf{M}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\boldsymbol{e}\|_{\mathrm{M}}=\omega \quad \forall \boldsymbol{e} \in \mathscr{F}_{h} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.4. For the given metric $\mathbf{M}$, there does not exist (except special cases) any triangulation generated by $\mathbf{M}$ in virtue of Definition 3.3. However, we can construct a triangulation which satisfies (10) approximately by the least square technique, see [6, 9]. Therefore, we replace (10) by $\|\boldsymbol{e}\|_{\mathrm{M}} \approx \omega \forall \boldsymbol{e} \in \mathscr{F}_{h}$ in the sense of the least square method. Moreover, let us note that for practical reasons, it is sufficient to evaluate the metric $\mathbf{M}$ only in a finite number of nodes $x \in \Omega$.

Finally, let $\mathcal{P}: \Omega \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a given function. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{K}:=\operatorname{int}\left[\frac{1}{|K|} \int_{K} \mathcal{P}(x) \mathrm{d} x\right], \quad K \in \mathscr{T}_{h}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{int}[a]:=\lfloor a+1 / 2\rfloor$ denotes the integer part of the number $a+1 / 2, a \geq 0$. We call $\mathcal{P}$ the polynomial degree distribution function.

We conclude that for the given Riemann metric $\mathbf{M}$ and for the given polynomial degree distribution function $\mathcal{P}$, there exists a $h p$-mesh $\mathscr{T}_{h \mathbf{p}}=\left\{\mathscr{T}_{h}, \mathbf{p}\right\}$, where $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ is given by Definition 3.3 in the sense of Remark 3.4 and $\mathbf{p}$ by (11). Our aim is to define the metric $\mathbf{M}$ and the polynomial degree distribution function $\mathcal{P}$ such that the corresponding $h p$-mesh is optimal in the sense specified later.

## 4. Interpolation error

For simplicity, we deal with the space of functions $V:=C^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Let $\bar{x}=$ $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Omega$ be arbitrary but fixed. Let $p>0$ be an integer, we define the interpolation operator $\Pi_{h p}: V \rightarrow P^{p}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that

$$
\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x_{1}^{l} \partial x_{2}^{k-l}} \Pi_{h p} u(\bar{x})=\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x_{1}^{l} \partial x_{2}^{k-l}} u(\bar{x}) \quad \begin{align*}
& \forall l=0, \ldots, k,  \tag{12}\\
& \forall k=0, \ldots, p .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $\Pi_{h p} u$ is the polynomial function of degree $p$ on $\Omega$ which has the same value and the same values of all partial derivatives up to order $p$ at $\bar{x}$ as the function $u$.

Using the Taylor expansion at $\bar{x}=\left(\bar{x}_{1}, \bar{x}_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{p+1} \frac{1}{k!}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{k}\binom{k}{l} \frac{\partial^{k} u(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{1}^{l} \partial x_{2}^{k-l}}\left(x_{1}-\bar{x}_{1}\right)^{l}\left(x_{2}-\bar{x}_{2}\right)^{k-l}\right)+O\left(|x-\bar{x}|^{p+2}\right), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\binom{k}{l}=\frac{k!}{l!(k-l)!}$. From (12) and (13) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)-\Pi_{h p} u(x)=E_{\mathrm{I}}^{p}(x)+O\left(|x-\bar{x}|^{p+2}\right), \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{I}}^{p}(x):=\frac{1}{(p+1)!} \sum_{l=0}^{p+1}\left[\binom{p+1}{l} \frac{\partial^{p+1} u(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{1}^{l} \partial x_{2}^{p+1-l}}\left(x_{1}-\bar{x}_{1}\right)^{l}\left(x_{2}-\bar{x}_{2}\right)^{p+1-l}\right] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the interpolation error function of degree $p=0,1, \ldots$.
At this point, we consider the following task: Let $u \in V, \bar{x} \in \Omega, \omega>0$ and $p>0$ be given, we seek a triangle $K^{\prime}$ with barycentre at $\bar{x}$ such that
(C1) $E_{\mathrm{I}}^{p}(x) \leq \omega$ for all $x \in K^{\prime}$,
(C2) the area (two-dimensional Lebesgue measure) of $K^{\prime}$ is maximal.
The condition (C2) follows from the observation that a mesh having the maximal possible triangles has a small number of degree of freedom.

Let $B_{1}:=\left\{\xi ; \xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, \xi_{1}^{2}+\xi_{2}^{2}=1\right\}$ denote the unit sphere (in the Euclidean metric) in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. We define the $k^{\text {th }}$-(scaled) directional derivative of $u \in V$ in $x \in \Omega$ and in the direction $\xi$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}^{k} u(x ; \xi):=\frac{1}{k!} \sum_{l=0}^{k}\binom{k}{l} \frac{\partial^{k} u(x)}{\partial x_{1}^{l} \partial x_{2}^{k-l}} \xi_{1}^{l} \xi_{2}^{k-l}, \quad x \in \Omega, \xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in B_{1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from (15) and (16), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{I}}^{p}(x)=\mathrm{d}^{p+1} u\left(\bar{x} ; \frac{x-\bar{x}}{|x-\bar{x}|}\right)|x-\bar{x}|^{p+1}, \quad p=0,1, \ldots, x \in \Omega . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2: The curve $F^{p}$, the domain $G^{p}$ and the ellipse $H^{p}$ for $p=3,4,5,6, \bar{x}=(0,0)$ and the function $u$ given by (20).

Let $u \in V, \bar{x} \in \Omega, \omega>0$ and $p>0$ be given. We define the sets

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{p} & :=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; x=\bar{x}+\xi\left|\mathrm{d}^{p+1} u(\bar{x} ; \xi)\right|, \xi \in B_{1}\right\},  \tag{18}\\
G^{p} & :=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; x=\bar{x}+t \xi\left(\frac{\omega}{\left|\mathrm{~d}^{p+1} u(\bar{x} ; \xi)\right|}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}, t \in[0 ; 1], \xi \in B_{1}\right\}, \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p=1,2, \ldots$. If $x \in F^{p}$ then the directional derivative $\mathrm{d}^{p+1} u(\bar{x}, \cdot)$ in the direction $(x-\bar{x}) /|x-\bar{x}|$ is equal to $|x-\bar{x}|$. Moreover, in virtue of (17) and (19), $G^{p}$ is the set such that $E_{\mathrm{I}}^{p}(x) \leq \omega \forall x \in G^{p}$. The set $F^{p}$ is one-dimensional continuous curve in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ whereas $G^{p}$ is two dimensional sub-domain of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ (it may be unbounded if $\mathrm{d}^{p+1} u(\bar{x} ; \xi)=0$ for some $\xi$ ). Figure 2 shows the curve $F^{p}$ and the domain $G^{p}$ for $p=3,4,5,6, \bar{x}=(0,0)$ and the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=10 x_{1}^{10}+2 x_{1}^{10} x_{2}^{6}+x_{1}^{9} x_{2}+2 x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{3}-x_{1}^{7} x_{2}^{5}+8 x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{6}+2 x_{2}^{10} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (19) we find that if $K$ is a triangle with the barycentre $\bar{x}$ such that $K \subset G^{p}$ for some $p$ then $E_{\mathrm{I}}^{p}(x) \leq \omega$ for all $x \in K$. In order to minimize the number of degree of freedom of $S_{h \mathbf{p}}$, the aim is to have triangle $K$ such that $K \subset G^{p}$ and $K$ has the maximal possible area.

## 5. Definition of the metric

In the following, with the aid of the results from Section 4, we define the Riemann metric $\mathbf{M}$ and the polynomial degree distribution function $\mathcal{P}$ introduced in Section 3.

Let $\bar{x} \in \Omega, u \in V$ and $p \geq 1$. Let $\xi_{p}^{\max } \in B_{1}$ be the direction which maximizes $\left|\mathrm{d}^{p} u(\bar{x} ; \xi)\right|$ and $\xi_{p}^{\perp}$ the direction orthogonal, i.e,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{p}^{\max }:=\arg \max _{\xi \in B_{1}}\left|\mathrm{~d}^{p} u(\bar{x} ; \xi)\right|, \quad \xi_{p}^{\perp} \in B_{1}, \quad \xi_{p}^{\max } \cdot \xi_{p}^{\perp}=0 . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we define quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{p}^{\max }:=\left(\frac{\omega}{\left|\mathrm{d}^{p+1} u\left(\bar{x} ; \xi_{p}^{\max }\right)\right|}\right)^{1 /(p+1)}, \quad h_{p}^{\min }:=\left(\frac{\omega}{\left|\mathrm{d}^{p+1} u\left(\bar{x} ; \xi_{p}^{\perp}\right)\right|}\right)^{1 /(p+1)} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us note that $h_{p}^{\max } \leq h_{p}^{\min }$. Moreover, let $\phi_{p} \in[0,2 \pi)$ be such that $\xi_{p}^{\max }=$ $\left(\cos \phi_{p}, \sin \phi_{p}\right) \in B_{1}$. Hence, the triple

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{h_{p}^{\min }, h_{p}^{\max }, \phi_{p}\right\} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines the ellipse $H^{p}$ which touches $G^{p}$ at the nearest point to $\bar{x}$, see Figure 2. Moreover, we have observed experimentally that $H^{p}$ is almost included in $G^{p}$.

Therefore, in virtue of (1), (4) and Definition 3.1, we define the metric M at $\bar{x}$ by $\mathbf{M}(\bar{x}):=M_{p}$, where

$$
M_{p}:=R^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\phi_{p}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 /\left(h_{p}^{\max }\right)^{2} & 0  \tag{24}\\
0 & 1 /\left(h_{p}^{\min }\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right) R\left(\phi_{p}\right), \quad K \in \mathscr{T}, p \geq 1
$$

and $R\left(\phi_{p}\right)$ is given by (2).
Finally, we have to define the polynomial degree distribution function $\mathcal{P}(x)$ at $\bar{x} \in \Omega$. For each integer $p \geq 1$ we have matrix $\mathbf{M}(\bar{x}):=M_{p}$. We seek some criterion choosing giving the optimal degree of polynomial approximation $p$. The aim is to minimize $N_{h \mathbf{p}}$ (=size of the $h p$-mesh). The area of the element $K$ generated by $M_{p}$ is proportional to the area of the ellipse defined by relation $\xi^{\mathrm{T}} M_{p} \xi=1, \xi \in B_{1}$, namely $|K|=(2 \sqrt{3} / 2) h_{p}^{\max } h_{p}^{\min }$. If $|K|$ is an average volume of triangles from $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ then we need approximately $\lfloor|\Omega| /|K|\rfloor$ triangles. If $p$ is the degree of polynomial approximation, the total number of freedom for one element is $(p+1)(p+2) / 2$ and the value $N_{h \mathbf{p}}$ can be estimated (up to a constant)

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{h \mathbf{p}} \approx \frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{2} \frac{|\Omega|}{|K|} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we deduce that in order to minimize $N_{h \mathbf{p}}$, we need to choose the degree of polynomial approximation $p$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}(\bar{x})=\arg \min _{p=1,2, \ldots} \frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{h_{p}^{\max } h_{p}^{\min }} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3: Comparison of the isotropic and the anisotropic $h p$-adaptation, the dependence of the error in the $X$-norm with respect to the degree of freedom $N_{h \mathbf{p}}$, the total view (left) and the detail (right).

## 6. Numerical implementation

In Sections 2-5, we developed the method which defines the metric $\mathbf{M}(x)$ and the polynomial degree distribution function $\mathcal{P}(x)$ for $x \in \Omega$. Hence, in virtue of the conclusion of Section 3, we have defined the $h p$-mesh for a given function $u \in V_{h}$.

The aim is to employ this strategy for the numerical solution of partial differential equations. Since the exact solution $u$ is unknown, the natural approach is to apply the previous $h p$-anisotropic mesh adaptation method to some smoothing of the approximate solution $u_{h \mathbf{p}} \in S_{h \mathbf{p}}$. We obtain iteratively better and better $h p$-grids and the corresponding approximate solutions. Moreover, for practical computation, it is not necessary to evaluate $\mathbf{M}(x)$ and $\mathcal{P}(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$. It is enough to compute $\mathbf{M}\left(x_{K}\right)$ and $\mathcal{P}\left(x_{K}\right)$ for all elements $K$ of the given mesh ( $x_{K}$ is the barycentre of $K$ ), similarly as in [6, 9].

We demonstrate the potential of the proposed $h p$-anisotropic mesh adaptation method by a comparison with the isotropic $h p$-adaptation method presented in [8]. We consider the scalar linear convection-diffusion equation (similarly as in [10])

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\varepsilon \triangle u-\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}=g \quad \text { in } \Omega:=(0,1)^{2}, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is a constant diffusion coefficient. We prescribe a Dirichlet boundary condition on $\partial \Omega$ and the source term $g$ such that the exact solution has the form $u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\left(1-x_{1}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{-x_{1} / \varepsilon}\right)\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\left(1-x_{2}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{-x_{2} / \varepsilon}\right)$ with $c_{1}=-\mathrm{e}^{-1 / \varepsilon}$, $c_{2}=-1-c_{1}$. The solution contains two boundary layers along $x_{1}=0$ and $x_{2}=0$, whose width is proportional to $\varepsilon$. Here we consider $\varepsilon=10^{-3}$.

We solve (27) with the aid of discontinuous Galerkin method with an interior penalty. Figure 3 shows the convergence of the computational error in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X}^{2}:=\|\cdot\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\varepsilon|\cdot|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}$ with respect to the number of degree of freedom. We observe that the $h p$-anisotropic mesh adaptation is more efficient. Moreover, the


Figure 4: Example (E1): the final $h p$-meshes obtained be the isotropic (top) and the anisotropic (bottom) $h p$-adaptation, the total view (left), the detail around the corner (centre) and the detail of the boundary layer (right).
proposed technique is able to reduce the number of degree of freedom and to keep the level of the computational error during the optimization of the $h p$-mesh. Figure 4 shows the final grids obtained by the isotropic and the anisotropic technique.
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