

Jan Mařík

Transformation of m -dimensional Lebesgue integrals

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 6 (1956), No. 2, 212–216

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100194>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1956

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

TRANSFORMATION OF m -DIMENSIONAL LEBESGUE INTEGRALS

JAN MAŘÍK, Prague.

(Received September 21, 1955.)

A substitution theorem is proved for arbitrary mappings with continuous derivatives of the first order.

Lemma 1. *Let K be an m -dimensional cube (i. e. a cartesian product of m closed intervals of equal finite and positive length). Let F be a function, which is defined on the family of all cubes $I \subset K$ and let F have the following property: If I_1, \dots, I_n, I are cubes and $\bigcup_{i=1}^n I_i = I \subset K$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n F(I_i) \geq F(I)$. Let ε be a positive number. Suppose that for every point $b \in K$ there exists a neighbourhood U of b such that for every cube I , where $b \in I \subset K \cap U$, we have $F(I) \leq \varepsilon \mu(I)$.¹⁾ Then $F(K) \leq \varepsilon \mu(K)$.*

Proof. Let $F(K)$ be greater than $\varepsilon \mu(K)$. We divide K in an obvious way into $2^m = r$ smaller cubes I_1, \dots, I_r . The relations $\varepsilon \mu(I_i) \geq F(I_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$) would imply $\varepsilon \mu(K) = \sum_{i=1}^r \varepsilon \mu(I_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^r F(I_i) \geq F(K)$; it follows that $\varepsilon \mu(I_i) < F(I_i)$ for some i . We put $I_i = K_1$. In a similar way we find a cube $K_2 \subset K_1$ such that $\varepsilon \mu(K_2) < F(K_2)$ and so on. Let $b \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$. By assumption, $F(K_n) \leq \varepsilon \mu(K_n)$ for some n ; we arrive at a contradiction.

Definition. *We say that a mapping φ of an open set $G \subset E_m^2$ into E_m is of the class C_1 , if $\varphi(x) = [\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_m(x)]$, where the functions $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$ have continuous derivatives of the first order in G . We denote by $D\varphi(x)$ the functional determinant of φ in the point $x \in G$.*

Lemma 2. *Let φ be a mapping of the class C_1 of the open set $G \subset E_m^2$ into E_m . Suppose that $b \in G$ and $D\varphi(b) = 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a neighbourhood U of b such that $\mu(\varphi(K)) \leq \varepsilon \mu(K)$ ¹⁾ for every cube K , where $b \in K \subset U$.*

¹⁾ μ is the m -dimensional Lebesgue measure (volume).

²⁾ E_m is the m -dimensional euclidean space.

Proof. Suppose, for instance, that the m -th row of the matrix $\left(\frac{\partial\varphi_i(b)}{\partial x_k}\right)$ can be expressed as a linear combination of the other rows, i. e.

$$\frac{\partial\varphi_m(b)}{\partial x_k} = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \frac{\partial\varphi_i(b)}{\partial x_k} \quad (k = 1, \dots, m).$$

If $y \in E_m$, $y = [y_1, \dots, y_m]$, we put

$$l(y) = [y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}, y_m - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i y_i].$$

Then l is a linear mapping, $Dl(y) = 1$; let $\psi(x) = l(\varphi(x))$ ($x \in G$). We have $\mu(A) = \mu(l(A))$ for every measurable set A , therefore $\mu(\varphi(A)) = \mu(l(\varphi(A))) = \mu(\psi(A))$ for every compact set $A \subset G$. Let K_1 be a cube with center b ,

$K_1 \subset G$. There exists a finite positive constant C such that $\left|\frac{\partial\psi_i(x)}{\partial x_j}\right| \leq C$ for

every $x \in K_1$ and for all i, j . But $\frac{\partial\psi_m(b)}{\partial x_k} = 0$ for all k ; consequently, there exists a cube $K_2 \subset K_1$ with center b such that

$$\left|\frac{\partial\psi_m(x)}{\partial x_k}\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{(2m)^m \cdot C^{m-1}}$$

for every $x \in K_2$ and all k . Now let K be a cube such that $b \in K \subset K_2$ and let x be an arbitrary point of K . The segment with the end-points b, x contains points $c^{(i)}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i(x) - \psi_i(b) &= \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial\psi_i(c^{(i)})}{\partial x_j} (x_j - b_j) \\ &\quad (i = 1, \dots, m) \end{aligned}$$

(where $[x_1, \dots, x_m] = x$, $[b_1, \dots, b_m] = b$). Since $|x_j - b_j| \leq \eta$, where $\eta^m = \mu(K)$, we have

$$|\psi_i(x) - \psi_i(b)| \leq mC\eta \quad (i = 1, \dots, m-1),$$

$$|\psi_m(x) - \psi_m(b)| \leq m \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{(2m)^m \cdot C^{m-1}} \cdot \eta.$$

Consequently, the set $\psi(K)$ is contained in an m -dimensional interval of the volume

$$(2mC\eta)^{m-1} \cdot 2m \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{(2m)^m \cdot C^{m-1}} \eta = \varepsilon\eta^m.$$

It follows that $\mu(\psi(K)) \leq \varepsilon\eta^m = \varepsilon\mu(K)$, which completes the proof.

Lemma 3. Let φ be a mapping of the class C_1 of the open set $G \subset E_m$ into E_m . Let $B = E[x; D\varphi(x) = 0]$. Then $\mu(\varphi(B)) = 0$.

Proof. First of all, let A be a compact subset of G and $D\varphi(x) = 0$ for

every $x \in A$. Let the cube K contain the set A . If I is a cube, $I \subset K$, put $F(I) = \mu(\varphi(A \cap I))$. If I_1, \dots, I_n, I are cubes, $\bigcup_{i=1}^n I_i = I \subset K$, then

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n A \cap I_i = A \cap I, \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^n \varphi(A \cap I_i) = \varphi(A \cap I),$$

whence

$$F(I) = \mu(\varphi(A \cap I)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(\varphi(A \cap I_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n F(I_i).$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $b \in K$. If $b \notin A$, we have $F(I) = 0 < \varepsilon\mu(I)$ for every sufficiently small cube I , where $b \in I \subset K$. Let now $b \in A$. It follows from lemma 2 that there exists a neighbourhood U of the point b such that $\mu(\varphi(I)) \leq \varepsilon\mu(I)$ for every cube I , where $b \in I \subset U$. If I is a cube such that $b \in I \subset K \cap U$, we have therefore

$$F(I) = \mu(\varphi(A \cap I)) \leq \mu(\varphi(I)) \leq \varepsilon\mu(I).$$

By lemma 1, $\mu(\varphi(A)) = \mu(\varphi(A \cap K)) = F(K) \leq \varepsilon\mu(K)$; ε being an arbitrary positive number, we obtain $\mu(\varphi(A)) = 0$.

Let now F_1, F_2, \dots be compact, $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$. Then $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (B \cap F_n)$. Since the sets $B \cap F_n$ are closed in G , they are closed in F_n ; hence they are compact. It follows $\mu(\varphi(B \cap F_n)) = 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $0 \leq \mu(\varphi(B)) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(\varphi(B \cap F_n)) = 0$, which proves this lemma.

Definition. Let N be an arbitrary set of indices; let a_n be a non-negative number for every $n \in N$. We put $\sum_{n \in N} a_n = \sup_F \sum_{n \in F} a_n$, where F is a finite subset of N .

If a_n are real numbers ($n \in N$) and if at least one of the values

$$\sum_{n \in N} (a_n)_+, \quad \sum_{n \in N} (a_n)_-^3$$

is finite, we put

$$\sum_{n \in N} a_n = \sum_{n \in N} (a_n)_+ - \sum_{n \in N} (a_n)_-$$

and say that the sum $\sum_{n \in N} a_n$ exists.

Theorem. Let G be open in E_m . Let φ be a mapping of the class C_1 of G into E_m . Let f be a function on G such that the Lebesgue integral

$$I = \int_G |D\varphi(t)| f(t) dt$$

³⁾ $b_+ = \max(b, 0)$, $b_- = \max(-b, 0)$.

exists. If $x \in \varphi(G)$, let $N(x)$ be the set of all $t \in G$ such that $\varphi(t) = x$. Then the sum $g_f(x) = \sum_{t \in N(x)} f(t)$ exists for almost all $x \in \varphi(G)$ and

$$\int_{\varphi(G)} g_f(x) dx = I.$$

Proof. I. First, suppose that $D\varphi(t) \neq 0$ for every $t \in G$. If $t_0 \in G$, there exists a bounded open neighbourhood U of t_0 ($\bar{U} \subset G$) such that $\varphi(t_1) \neq \varphi(t_2)$ for $t_1, t_2 \in U$, $t_1 \neq t_2$. For $x \in \varphi(U)$ put $\psi(x) = t$, where $\varphi(t) = x$, $t \in U$. Let f be a bounded measurable function on G such that $f(t) = 0$ for $t \notin U$. Let g be a function on $\varphi(G)$, which is defined as follows: $g(x) = f(\psi(x))$ for $x \in \varphi(U)$, $g(x) = 0$ otherwise. Evidently $g(x) = \sum_{t \in N(x)} f(t) = g_f(x)$ for every $x \in \varphi(G)$; if $t \in U$, we have $f(t) = g(\varphi(t))$. Since $\int_U |D\varphi(t)| g(\varphi(t)) dt = \int_{\varphi(U)} g(x) dx$ (see Jarník, Integrální počet II, p. 219, theorem 103), we have

$$\int_G |D\varphi(t)| f(t) dt = \int_U |D\varphi(t)| g(\varphi(t)) dt = \int_{\varphi(U)} g(x) dx = \int_{\varphi(G)} g_f(x) dx.$$

Now let K be a compact subset of G . For every $v \in K$ there exists a neighbourhood U_v with the following property: If f is a bounded measurable function on G such that $f(t) = 0$ for $t \notin U_v$, then

$$\int_G |D\varphi(t)| f(t) dt = \int_{\varphi(G)} g_f(x) dx. \quad (1)$$

There exist v_1, \dots, v_n such that $K \subset U_{v_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{v_n}$. Let $V_i = U_{v_i} - \bigcup_{j < i} U_{v_j}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Let f be a bounded and measurable function on G such that $f(t) = 0$ for $t \in G - K$. Let $f_i(t) = f(t)$ for $t \in V_i$, $f_i(t) = 0$ otherwise ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Then the relations

$$\int_G |D\varphi(t)| f_i(t) dt = \int_{\varphi(G)} g_{f_i}(x) dx \quad (2)$$

hold for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Evidently $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i = f$, $\sum_{i=1}^n g_{f_i} = g_f$. If we add the equalities (2), we obtain a relation of the form (1).

Let now f be an arbitrary non-negative measurable function on G . There exist compact sets $K_n \subset G$ and bounded non-negative measurable functions f_n such that $f_n(t) = 0$ for $t \in G - K_n$ and $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$. Adding the relations (2) for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, we obtain (1) again. If $\int_G |D\varphi(t)| f(t) dt < \infty$, then $g_f(x) < \infty$ almost everywhere in $\varphi(G)$. If f is an arbitrary function on G such that $\int_G |D\varphi(t)| \cdot f(t) dt$ exists, we apply the proved results to the functions $(f(t))_+$ and $(f(t))_-$. Thus the theorem is proved for the case $D\varphi(t) \neq 0$ on G .

II. Let now φ be an arbitrary mapping of the class C_1 ; let the integral $\int_G |D\varphi(t)| f(t) dt$ exist. Let G_1 be the set of all $t \in G$, where $D\varphi(t) \neq 0$; let $N_1(x)$

be the set of all $t \in G_1$, where $\varphi(t) = x$ ($x \in \varphi(G_1)$). By the part I of our proof, the sum $g_f^{(1)}(x) = \sum_{t \in N_1(x)} f(t)$ exists for almost all $x \in \varphi(G_1)$ and

$$\int_{G_1} |D\varphi(t)| f(t) dt = \int_{\varphi(G_1)} g_f^{(1)}(x) dx .$$

Put $Z = \varphi(B)$, where $B = G - G_1$. Evidently $N(x) = N_1(x)$ for every $x \in \varphi(G) - Z$ and

$$\varphi(G) - Z \subset \varphi(G_1) \subset \varphi(G) .$$

By lemma 3, $\mu(Z) = 0$, whence $\int_{\varphi(G_1)} g_f^{(1)}(x) dx = \int_{\varphi(G)} g_f(x) dx$. Thus we obtain

$$\int_G |D\varphi(t)| f(t) dt = \int_{G_1} |D\varphi(t)| f(t) dt = \int_{\varphi(G_1)} g_f^{(1)}(x) dx = \int_{\varphi(G)} g_f(x) dx ,$$

which proves the theorem.

Резюме

ПРЕОБРАЗОВАНИЯ m -МЕРНЫХ ИНТЕГРАЛОВ ЛЕБЕГА

ЯН МАРЖИК (JAN MAŘÍK), Прага.

(Поступило в редакцию 21/IX 1955 г.)

Теорема. Пусть φ — отображение открытого множества $G \subset E_m$ в E_m ; пусть отображение φ имеет непрерывные производные 1-ого порядка. Пусть $D\varphi(t)$ — функциональный определитель отображения φ в точке $t \in G$. Пусть f — функция на множестве G такая, что существует интеграл Лебега

$$I = \int_G f(t) |D\varphi(t)| dt .$$

Тогда для почти всех $x \in \varphi(G)$ имеет смысл сумма $g(x) = \sum_{\varphi(t)=x} f(t)$ и

$$I = \int_{\varphi(G)} g(x) dx .$$