

Jaroslav Kurzweil

Unicity of solutions of generalized differential equations

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 8 (1958), No. 4, 502–509

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100324>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1958

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

UNICITY OF SOLUTIONS OF GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

JAROSLAV KURZWEIL, Praha

(Received October 25, 1957)

This paper contains two unicity theorems concerning generalized differential equations introduced in [1]. Theorem 1 gives a new criterion for the unicity of solutions of classical differential equations at the same time.

0. We shall use the notations of [1]. Let us say that the function  $\psi(\eta)$ ,  $0 \leq \eta \leq \sigma$  fulfils the condition (A) if  $\psi(\eta) \geq 0$ ,  $\eta^{-1} \psi(\eta)$  is non-decreasing and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i \psi\left(\frac{\sigma}{2^i}\right) < \infty$ . In this case we put  $\Psi(\eta) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \psi\left(\frac{\eta}{2^i}\right) \cdot \frac{2^i}{\eta}$ .

1. **Theorem 1.** Let  $F(x, t) \in F(G, \omega_2, \omega_2, \sigma)$  and let  $\psi(\eta) = \omega_2^2(\eta)$  fulfil the condition (A). Let us further suppose that  $x(\tau) = c$  for  $\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2$  is a solution of

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DF(x, t) \tag{1}$$

and let  $\tau_0 \in \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$ . Then  $x(\tau)$  is the unique regular solution of (1) satisfying  $x(\tau_0) = c$ .

Theorem 1 has the following precise meaning: Let  $y(\tau)$ ,  $\tau \in \langle \tau_3, \tau_4 \rangle$  be a regular solution of (1),  $y(\tau_0) = c$  for a  $\tau_0 \in \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle \cap \langle \tau_3, \tau_4 \rangle$ . Then  $y(\tau) = c$  for  $\tau \in \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle \cap \langle \tau_3, \tau_4 \rangle$ .

Note 1. The solution  $y(\tau)$  is called regular, if there exists such a number  $\sigma_1 > 0$  that  $\|y(\tau_5) - y(\tau_6)\| \leq 2\omega_2(|\tau_5 - \tau_6|)$  for  $|\tau_5 - \tau_6| \leq \sigma_1$ ,  $\tau_5, \tau_6 \in \langle \tau_3, \tau_4 \rangle$ . Definition 4, 2, 1 of [1] is obviously equivalent.

Note 2. Let  $\omega_3(\eta)$  be a continuous increasing function,  $\omega_3(0) = 0$  and let  $\psi_3(\eta) = \omega_3(\eta) \omega_2(\eta)$  fulfil the condition (A). Let the solution  $y(\tau)$  of (1) fulfil the inequality  $\|y(\tau_5) - y(\tau_6)\| \leq 2\omega_3(|\tau_5 - \tau_6|)$  for  $|\tau_5 - \tau_6| \leq \sigma_2$ ,  $\tau_5, \tau_6 \in \langle \tau_3, \tau_4 \rangle$  where  $\sigma_2$  is positive. It follows from Lemma 4,1,1, [1] that  $y(\tau)$  is a regular solution.

Note 3. The unicity of solutions of generalized linear differential equations ([1], section 5,1) is a consequence of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let the interval  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle \cap \langle \tau_3, \tau_4 \rangle$  be non-degenerate. Let us find such a positive number  $\sigma_3 < \frac{1}{2}\sigma$  that

$$\omega_2(\sigma_3) < \frac{1}{4}, \quad \eta \Psi(\eta) \leq \frac{1}{4n} \omega_2(\eta) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \eta \leq 2\sigma_3 \quad (2)$$

and that  $(z, \tau) \in G$  for  $\tau \in \langle \tau_3, \tau_4 \rangle$ ,  $\|z - y(\tau)\| \leq 2\omega_2(\sigma_3)$ .

Let us suppose that

$$\|y(\tau_6) - y(\tau_5)\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} 2\omega_2(|\tau_6 - \tau_5|) \quad (3)$$

holds for  $\tau_5, \tau_6 \in \langle \tau_0 - \sigma_3, \tau_0 + \sigma_3 \rangle \cap \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle \cap \langle \tau_3, \tau_4 \rangle = \langle \tau_7, \tau_8 \rangle$ ,  $k$  natural. Then

$$\|F(y(\tau + \eta), \tau + \eta) - F(y(\tau + \eta), \tau) - F(y(\tau), \tau + \eta) + F(y(\tau), \tau)\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} 2\omega_2^2(\eta)$$

for  $\tau, \tau + \eta \in \langle \tau_7, \tau_8 \rangle$  according to (3) and according to the properties of  $F(x, t)$ .

It follows from Theorem 3,1 of [1] (we use this Theorem for each component separately) that

$$y(\tau_6) - y(\tau_5) = \int_{\tau_5}^{\tau_6} DF(y(\tau), t) = F(y(\tau_5), \tau_6) - F(y(\tau_5), \tau_5) + R \quad (4)$$

where  $\|R\| \leq n \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} 2|\tau_6 - \tau_5| \Psi(|\tau_6 - \tau_5|)$ ,  $\tau_5, \tau_6 \in \langle \tau_7, \tau_8 \rangle$ . As  $x(\tau) = c$  is a solution of (1) it follows that

$$0 = c - c = \int_{\tau_5}^{\tau_6} DF(c, t) = F(c, \tau_6) - F(c, \tau_5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F(y(\tau_5), \tau_6) - F(y(\tau_5), \tau_5)\| = \\ & = \|F(y(\tau_5), \tau_6) - F(y(\tau_5), \tau_5) - F(c, \tau_6) + F(c, \tau_5)\| \leq \\ & \leq \|y(\tau_5) - c\| \omega_2(|\tau_6 - \tau_5|) = \|y(\tau_5) - y(\tau_0)\| \omega_2(|\tau_6 - \tau_5|) \leq \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} 2\omega_2(\sigma_3) \omega_2(|\tau_6 - \tau_5|) \leq \frac{1}{2^k} \omega_2(|\tau_6 - \tau_5|) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

according to (3) and (2). From (4), (5) and (2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|y(\tau_6) - y(\tau_5)\| & \leq \frac{1}{2^k} \omega_2(|\tau_6 - \tau_5|) + \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} 2n|\tau_6 - \tau_5| \Psi_2(|\tau_6 - \tau_5|) \leq \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2^k} 2\omega_2(|\tau_6 - \tau_5|). \end{aligned}$$

<sup>1)</sup> It is supposed that  $\omega_2(\eta) \geq c\eta$ ,  $c > 0$  (cf. [1], section 4);  $n$  is the dimension of the space.

As  $y(\tau)$  is a regular solution, (3) holds for  $k = 1$  and consequently for every natural  $k$ . It follows that  $y(\tau) = c$  for  $\tau \in \langle \tau_7, \tau_8 \rangle$  and the proof may be finished without difficulties.

Example. Let us examine the (classical) differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x, t) \quad (6)$$

where  $x \in E_1$  and  $f(x, t)$  is defined in the following manner:

Let us choose a number  $\beta, \frac{1}{2} < \beta < 1$  and a sequence of numbers  $\varphi_k, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . We put  $f(x, t) = 0$  if  $x \geq 1$  or  $x = 0$ ,

$$f\left(\frac{1}{2^k}, t\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\beta k} \cos(2^k t + \varphi_k), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

If

$$\frac{1}{2^k} < x < \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}, \quad x = \lambda \frac{1}{2^k} + \mu \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad \mu \geq 0, \quad \lambda + \mu = 1,$$

we put

$$f(x, t) = \lambda f\left(\frac{1}{2^k}, t\right) + \mu f\left(\frac{1}{2^{k-1}}, t\right)$$

and if  $x < 0$ , we define  $f(x, t) = f(-x, t)$ .

$f(x, t)$  is obviously continuous. By means of Theorem 1 we shall prove that  $x(t) = 0$  is the unique solution of (6) satisfying  $x(t_0) = 0$ . According to the results of section 2, [1] it will do to prove that  $x(\tau) = 0$  is the only solution of

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DF(x, t) \quad (7)$$

(where  $F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, \tau) d\tau$ ) satisfying  $x(t_0) = 0$ .

We shall prove that  $F(x, t) \in F(E_2, \eta, 16\eta^\beta, 1)$ . As  $|f(x, t)| \leq 1$  we have  $|F(x, t + \eta) - F(x, t)| \leq \eta$ . Let us write  $F(x, t) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_k(x, t)$  where  $F_0(x, t) = 0$ ,

$$F_k\left(\frac{1}{2^k}, t\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{(\beta+1)k} [\sin(2^k t + \varphi_k) - \sin \varphi_k], \quad F_k(x, t) = 0$$

if  $x \geq \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}$  or  $x \leq \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}$ ,  $F_k(x, t)$  is linear in  $x$  on the intervals  $\left\langle \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{1}{2^k} \right\rangle$ ,

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{2^k}, \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \right\rangle,$$

$$F_{-k}(x, t) = F_k(-x, t) \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Let us prove that

$$|F_k(x_2, t_2) - F_k(x_2, t_1) - F_k(x_1, t_2) + F_k(x_1, t_1)| \leq 4|x_2 - x_1| \cdot |t_2 - t_1|^\beta. \quad (8)$$

Let us suppose that  $k > 0$  and that  $x_1 = \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}$ ,  $x_2 = \frac{1}{2^k}$ . If  $|t_2 - t_1| \leq \frac{1}{2^k}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & |F_k(x_2, t_2) - F_k(x_2, t_1) - F_k(x_1, t_2) + F_k(x_1, t_1)| = \\ & = |F_k(x_2, t_2) - F_k(x_2, t_1)| = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{(\beta+1)k} |\sin(2^k t_2 + \varphi_k) - \sin(2^k t_1 + \varphi_k)| \leq \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\beta k} |t_2 - t_1| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\beta k} \left(\frac{1}{2^k}\right)^{1-\beta} |t_2 - t_1|^\beta = 2|x_2 - x_1| \cdot |t_2 - t_1|^\beta; \end{aligned}$$

if  $|t_2 - t_1| > \frac{1}{2^k}$  then

$$|F_k(x_2, t_2) - F_k(x_2, t_1)| \leq 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{(\beta+1)k} = \frac{4}{2^{k+1}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2^k}\right)^\beta < 4|x_2 - x_1| |t_2 - t_1|^\beta,$$

so that (8) holds in this case. Hence it follows without difficulties that (8) holds for all  $x_1, x_2, t_1, t_2$  and all  $k$ . If we consider that for fixed  $x_1, x_2$  there exist at most four indices  $k$  in such a way that  $|F_k(x_1, t)| + |F_k(x_2, t)| \neq 0$ , we obtain that

$$|F(x_2, t_2) - F(x_2, t_1) - F(x_1, t_2) + F(x_1, t_1)| \leq 16|x_2 - x_1| \cdot |t_2 - t_1|^\beta$$

for arbitrary  $x_1, x_2, t_1, t_2$ .

We have proved that  $F(x, t) \in F(E_2, \eta, 16\eta^\beta, 1) \subset F(E_2, 16\eta^\beta, 16\eta^\beta, 1)$ . As  $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\psi_2(\eta) = 256\eta^{2\beta}$  fulfils the condition (A) and we may use Theorem 1.

2. In this section we shall prove other results concerning the unicity.<sup>2)</sup>

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $F(x, t) \in F(G, \omega_1, \omega_2, \sigma)$ , let  $\psi(\eta) = \omega_1(\eta) \cdot \omega_2(\eta)$  fulfil the condition (A) and let for a positive  $\lambda$*

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \Psi(\eta) \frac{\exp(\lambda\eta^{-1} \omega_2(\eta))}{\eta^{-1} \omega_2(\eta)} = 0. \quad (9)$$

If  $(x_0, t_0) \in G$ , then there is at most one regular solution  $x(\tau)$  of

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DF(x, t) \quad (10)$$

satisfying  $x(t_0) = x_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x(\tau), y(\tau)$  be regular solutions of (10) for  $\tau \in \langle t_0, t_0 + \zeta \rangle$  (or  $\tau \in \langle t_0 - \zeta, t_0 \rangle$ ) satisfying  $x(t_0) = y(t_0) = x_0$ , where  $0 < \zeta < \min(\sigma, \lambda)$  and

<sup>2)</sup> Corollary 2 and Theorem 3 are due to JAN MAŘÍK.

$(x(\tau), t) \in G, (y(\tau), t) \in G$ , if  $\tau \in \langle t_0, t_0 + \zeta \rangle$  and  $t \in \langle t_0, t_0 + \zeta \rangle$ . Let  $k$  be a natural number,  $0 \leq \xi \leq \zeta$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & x\left(t_0 + \frac{l+1}{k}\xi\right) - y\left(t_0 + \frac{l+1}{k}\xi\right) = x\left(t_0 + \frac{l}{k}\xi\right) - y\left(t_0 + \frac{l}{k}\xi\right) + \\ & + \int_{t_0 + \frac{l}{k}\xi}^{t_0 + \frac{l+1}{k}\xi} DF(x(\tau), t) - \int_{t_0 + \frac{l}{k}\xi}^{t_0 + \frac{l+1}{k}\xi} DF(y(\tau), t) = x\left(t_0 + \frac{l}{k}\xi\right) - y\left(t_0 + \frac{l}{k}\xi\right) + \\ & + F\left(x\left(t_0 + \frac{l}{k}\xi\right), t_0 + \frac{l+1}{k}\xi\right) - F\left(x\left(t_0 + \frac{l}{k}\xi\right), t_0 + \frac{l}{k}\xi\right) - \\ & - F\left(y\left(t_0 + \frac{l}{k}\xi\right), t_0 + \frac{l+1}{k}\xi\right) + F\left(y\left(t_0 + \frac{l}{k}\xi\right), t_0 + \frac{l}{k}\xi\right) + r_1 - r_2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|r_1\|, \|r_2\| \leq \frac{\zeta}{k} \Psi\left(\frac{\zeta}{k}\right)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| x\left(t_0 + \frac{l+1}{k}\xi\right) - y\left(t_0 + \frac{l+1}{k}\xi\right) \right\| \leq \\ & \leq \left\| x\left(t_0 + \frac{l}{k}\xi\right) - y\left(t_0 + \frac{l}{k}\xi\right) \right\| \left( 1 + \omega_2\left(\frac{\zeta}{k}\right) \right) + 2\frac{\zeta}{k} \Psi\left(\frac{\zeta}{k}\right), \\ & \|x(t_0 + \xi) - y(t_0 + \xi)\| \leq 2\frac{\zeta}{k} \Psi\left(\frac{\zeta}{k}\right) \left( 1 + \left( 1 + \omega_2\left(\frac{\zeta}{k}\right) \right) + \dots + \right. \\ & \left. + \left( 1 + \omega_2\left(\frac{\zeta}{k}\right) \right)^{k-1} \right) \leq 2\frac{\zeta}{k} \Psi\left(\frac{\zeta}{k}\right) \left( 1 + \omega_2\left(\frac{\zeta}{k}\right) \right)^k \left[ \omega_2\left(\frac{\zeta}{k}\right) \right]^{-1} \leq \quad (11) \\ & \leq 2\Psi\left(\frac{\zeta}{k}\right) \exp\left\{ \zeta \cdot \frac{k}{\zeta} \omega_2\left(\frac{\zeta}{k}\right) \right\} \left[ \frac{k}{\zeta} \omega_2\left(\frac{\zeta}{k}\right) \right]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\zeta \leq \lambda$ , the proof of Theorem 2 is finished by passing to the limit for  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in (11).

**Corollary 1.** *If  $\omega_2(\eta) = K\eta$ ,  $K > 0$  and if  $\psi(\eta) = \omega_1(\eta)\omega_2(\eta)$  satisfies the condition (A), then the assumptions of Theorem 2 are fulfilled.*

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $K_1, K_2$  be positive. Suppose that*

$$0 < \alpha < 1, \quad \varepsilon > 0 \quad (12)$$

or

$$\alpha = 1, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1. \quad (13)$$

Let

$$\omega_1(\eta) = K_1 \exp\{-\varepsilon|\log \eta|^\alpha\}, \quad \omega_2(\eta) = K_2 \eta |\log \eta|^\alpha.$$

Then the assumptions of Theorem 2 are fulfilled.

Corollary 2 is a consequence of the following lemmas:

**Lemma 1.** If  $\psi(\eta)$ ,  $0 \leq \eta \leq \sigma$  is non-decreasing, then  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i \psi\left(\frac{\sigma}{2^i}\right) < \infty$  if and only if  $\int_0^{\sigma} t^{-2}\psi(t) dt < \infty$ . If  $\int_0^{\sigma} t^{-2}\psi(t) dt < \infty$ , then

$$2 \int_0^{\eta} t^{-2}\psi(t) dt \geq \Psi(\eta) \geq \int_0^{\eta^2} t^{-2}\psi(t) dt.$$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\psi(\eta) = \omega_1(\eta) \omega_2(\eta)$  ( $\omega_1(\eta)$  and  $\omega_2(\eta)$  are defined in Corollary 2). If  $0 < \lambda < \frac{\varepsilon}{K_2}$ , then

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \Psi(\eta) \frac{\exp\{\lambda\eta^{-1}\omega_2(\eta)\}}{\eta^{-1}\omega_2(\eta)} = 0. \quad (14)$$

**Proof.** Let us put  $\varphi(\eta) = (-\log \eta)^\alpha$ ,  $\varepsilon_1 = \lambda K_2$ . As

$$\varphi'(\eta) = -\frac{\alpha}{\eta} (-\log \eta)^{\alpha-1} = -\frac{\alpha}{\eta} (\varphi(\eta))^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}},$$

and as  $\varphi(\eta)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq \exp\{(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_1)\varphi(\eta)\}$  (for  $\eta$  small enough), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^{-2}\psi(\eta) &= K_1 K_2 \eta^{-1}\varphi(\eta) \exp(-\varepsilon\varphi(\eta)) \leq \\ &\leq K_3 \eta^{-1}\varphi(\eta)^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \exp(-\varepsilon_1\varphi(\eta)) \leq -K_4 \varphi'(\eta) \exp(-\varepsilon_1\varphi(\eta)) = \\ &= K_5 (\exp\{-\varepsilon_1\varphi(\eta)\})', \\ \int_0^{\eta} t^{-2}\psi(t) dt &\leq K_5 \exp\{-\varepsilon_1\varphi(\eta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\exp\{\lambda\eta^{-1}\omega_2(\eta)\} = \exp\{\varepsilon_1\varphi(\eta)\}$ , (14) holds according to Lemma 1.

**Note 4.** Lemma 2 holds, if  $\alpha$  and  $\varepsilon$  are positive. Inequality (12) or (13) ensures that  $\omega_1(\eta) \geq c\eta$  ( $c > 0$ ,  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ ).

The following theorem shows that (9) cannot hold if

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \omega_2(\eta)[\eta |\log \eta|]^{-1} = \infty.$$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\omega_2(\eta) = \eta |\log \eta| \mu(\eta)$ ,  $\mu(\eta) \geq c_1 > 0$ . Let (9) hold for a positive  $\lambda$ . Then  $\mu(\eta)$  is bounded.

**Proof.** We suppose that  $\omega_1(\eta) \geq c\eta$ ,  $c > 0$ . According to Lemma 1

$$\Psi(\eta) \geq \int_0^{\frac{\eta}{2}} t^{-2}\psi(t) dt \geq cc_1 \int_0^{\frac{\eta}{2}} (-\log t) dt = cc_1 \frac{\eta}{2} \left(1 + \left|\log \frac{\eta}{2}\right|\right) \geq c_2 \eta |\log \eta|$$

(for  $\eta$  small enough). From (9) it follows that

$$\eta |\log \eta| \frac{\exp\{\lambda |\log \eta| \mu(\eta)\}}{|\log \eta| \mu(\eta)} = \exp\{\lambda |\log \eta| \mu(\eta) + \log \eta - \log \mu(\eta)\}$$

tends to zero with  $\eta \rightarrow 0^+$ . Consequently  $\mu(\eta)$  is bounded.

LITERATURE

- [1] *J. Kurzweil: Generalized Ordinary Differential Equations and Continuous Dependence on a Parameter, Czech. mat. journal, 7 (82) 1957, 418—449.*

Резюме

ОДНОЗНАЧНОСТЬ РЕШЕНИЙ ОБОБЩЕННЫХ  
ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНЫХ УРАВНЕНИЙ

ЯРОСЛАВ КУРЦВЕЙЛЬ (Jaroslav Kurzweil), Прага

(Поступило в редакцию 25/X 1957 г.)

Мы пользуемся определениями и обозначениями, введенными в [1]. Мы говорим, что функция  $\psi(\eta)$ ,  $0 \leq \eta \leq \sigma$  удовлетворяет условию (A), если  $\eta^{-1}\psi(\eta)$  не убывает,  $\psi(\eta) \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i \psi\left(\frac{\sigma}{2^i}\right) < \infty$ . В таком случае положим  $\Psi(\eta) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \psi\left(\frac{\eta}{2^i}\right) \frac{2^i}{\eta}$ . Доказываются следующие главные результаты:

**Теорема 1.** Пусть  $F(x, t) \in F(G, \omega_1, \omega_2, \sigma)$  и пусть функция  $\psi(\eta) = \omega_2^2(\eta)$  удовлетворяет условию (A). Пусть  $x(\tau) = c$  для  $\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2$  является решением уравнения

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DF(x, t) \quad (1)$$

и пусть  $\tau_0 \in \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$ . Тогда  $x(\tau)$  является единственным регулярным решением уравнения (1), выполняющим начальное условие  $x(\tau_0) = x_0$ .

Показано, как эта теорема используется при решении дифференциального уравнения первого порядка (в классическом смысле).

**Теорема 2.** Пусть  $F(x, t) \in F(G, \omega_1, \omega_2, \sigma)$ , пусть функция  $\psi(\eta) = \omega_1(\eta) \omega_2(\eta)$  удовлетворяет условию (A) и пусть для некоторого положительного  $\lambda$

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \psi(\eta) \frac{\exp(\lambda \eta^{-1} \omega_2(\eta))}{\eta^{-1} \omega_2(\eta)} = 0; \quad (9)$$

тогда каждое решение уравнения

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DF(x, t) \quad (10)$$

однозначно определяется начальным условием.



Теорема 2 особенно полезна в следующих двух случаях:

1.  $\omega_2(\eta) = c\eta$ ,  $c > 0$ ,  $\psi(\eta) = \omega_1(\eta) \omega_2(\eta)$  удовлетворяет условию (A),
2.  $\omega_1(\eta) = K_1 \exp \{-\varepsilon |\log \eta|^\alpha\}$ ,  $\omega_2(\eta) = K_2 \eta |\log \eta|^\alpha$ , где  $K_1 > 0$ ,  $K_2 > 0$ , а числа  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\alpha$  удовлетворяют условиям

$$0 < \alpha < 1, \quad \varepsilon > 0 \quad (12)$$

или

$$\alpha = 1, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1. \quad (13)$$

Наконец, доказывается, что (9) не может иметь места, если

$$\omega_2(\eta) = \eta |\log \eta| \mu(\eta),$$

где  $\mu(\eta) \geq c_1 > 0$ ,  $\limsup_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \mu(\eta) = \infty$ .