

Ivo Vrkoč

A note to the unicity of generalized differential equations

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 8 (1958), No. 4, 510–512

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100325>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1958

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A NOTE TO THE UNICITY OF GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

IVO VRKOČ, Praha

(Received October 25, 1957)

It is shown that a certain assumption in the Theorem 1 of the previous paper must not be omitted.

We shall construct an equation, which shows that the assumption $x(\tau) = c$ in Theorem 1 of the previous paper must not be omitted.

Let $\frac{1}{2} < \beta < 1$ and let us put $f(x, t) = 1 - (t - x)^\beta$ for $x < t$, $f(x, t) = 1$ for $x \geq t$,

$$F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, \tau) d\tau.$$

$f(x, t)$ is continuous and according to the results of section 2, [1] the solutions of the generalized differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DF(x, t) \tag{1}$$

are identical with the ones of the classical equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x, t).$$

The functions $x_1(t) = t$ and $x_2(t) = t$ for $t \leq 0$, $x_2(t) = t - [(1 - \beta)t]^{1-\beta}$ for $t > 0$ are obviously solutions of (2) and of (1).

We shall prove that

$$F(x, t) \in \mathbf{F}(E_2, \eta, 3\eta^\beta, 1) \subset \mathbf{F}(E_2, 3\eta^\beta, 3\eta^\beta, 1). \tag{3}$$

As $|f(x, t)| \leq 1$, we have

$$|F(x, t_2) - F(x, t_1)| = \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} f(x, \tau) d\tau \right| \leq |t_1 - t_2|. \tag{4}$$

Let us denote by U (V) the set of such points $[x, t]$ that $x \geq t$ ($x \leq t$) and by R the rectangle with vertices $[x_2, t_2]$, $[x_2, t_1]$, $[x_1, t_2]$, $[x_1, t_1]$ (where $x_2 > x_1$, $t_2 > t_1$). We put

$$\Delta(R) = F(x_2, t_2) - F(x_2, t_1) - F(x_1, t_2) + F(x_1, t_1).$$

If $R \subset U$, then obviously $\Delta(R) = 0$. If $R \subset V$, then

$$\Delta(R) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \{(\tau - x_1)^\beta - (\tau - x_2)^\beta\} d\tau = \frac{1}{\beta + 1} \{(t_2 - x_1)^{\beta+1} - (t_1 - x_1)^{\beta+1} - (t_2 - x_2)^{\beta+1} + (t_1 - x_2)^{\beta+1}\} = (x_2 - x_1) \{(t_2 - \xi)^\beta - (t_1 - \xi)^\beta\},$$


where $x_1 < \xi < x_2 (\leq t_1)$. As $(t_2 - \xi)^\beta - (t_1 - \xi)^\beta$ is increasing in ξ for $\xi \leq t_1$, we obtain

$$0 \leq \Delta(R) \leq (x_2 - x_1)(t_2 - t_1)^\beta. \quad (5)$$

Finally let us denote by W the set of rectangles R with $x_1 = t_1$, $x_2 = t_2$. If $R \in W$, then

$$\Delta(R) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\tau - x_1)^\beta d\tau = \frac{1}{\beta + 1} (t_2 - x_1)^{\beta+1},$$

so that (5) holds again.



Let R be a given rectangle. In the manner indicated on the figure we find that there exist at most three rectangles R_1, R_2, R_3 such that

$$\Delta R = \Delta R_1 + \Delta R_2 + \Delta R_3, \quad R_i \subset R \text{ and } R_i \subset U \text{ or } R_i \subset V \text{ or } R_i \in W$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Consequently

$$0 \leq \Delta R \leq 3(x_2 - x_1)(t_2 - t_1)^\beta \quad (6)$$

and (3) holds according to (4) and (6). It follows that Theorem 1 of the previous paper becomes false if we omit the assumption that the solution $x(\tau)$ is constant.

LITERATURE

- [1] *J. Kurzweil*: Generalized Ordinary Differential Equations and Continuous Dependence on a Parameter, Czech. Math. Journal 7 (82) 1957, 418—449.

Резюме

ЗАМЕТКА О ЕДИНСТВЕННОСТИ РЕШЕНИЙ
ОБОБЩЕННЫХ ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНЫХ
УРАВНЕНИЙ

ИВО ВРКОЧ (Ivo Vrkoč), Прага

(Поступило в редакцию 25/X 1957 г.)

В этой статье приводится пример, который доказывает, что условие $x(\tau) = c$ в теореме 1 предыдущей статьи Я. Курцвейля „Однозначность решений обобщенных дифференциальных уравнений“, стр. 502, нельзя выпустить.