

Vlastimil Pták

A combinatorial theorem on systems of inequalities and its application to analysis

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 9 (1959), No. 4, 629–630

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100386>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1959

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A COMBINATORIAL THEOREM ON SYSTEMS OF INEQUALITIES  
AND ITS APPLICATION TO ANALYSIS

(Preliminary Communication)

VLASTIMIL PTÁK, Praha

(Received September 14, 1959)

Let  $S$  be a set. Let us denote by  $C$  the set of all functions  $\lambda$  defined on  $S$  and fulfilling the following postulates:

1°  $\lambda(s) \geq 0$  for every  $s \in S$ ; 2° the set  $N(\lambda)$  of those  $s \in S$ , where  $\lambda(s) > 0$ , is finite; 3°  $\sum_{s \in S} \lambda(s) = 1$

If  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $H \subset S$  and  $W$  is a system of finite subsets of  $S$ , we shall denote by  $C(\varepsilon, H, W)$  the set of those  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $N(\lambda) \subset H$  and  $\sum_{s \in w} \lambda(s) < \varepsilon$  for each  $w \in W$ .

We intend to prove the following

**Theorem.** *Let  $S$  be an infinite set and let  $T$  be a system of finite subsets of  $S$ . The following two conditions are equivalent to each other:*

- (1) *there exists an infinite  $H \subset S$  and an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $C(\varepsilon, H, T) = 0$ .*
- (2) *there exists a strictly increasing sequence of finite sets  $B_n \subset S$  and a sequence  $t_n \in T$  such that  $B_n \subset t_n$ .*

**Proof:** Suppose first that (2) is fulfilled. Put  $H = \cup B_n$  and suppose that  $\lambda \in C(\varepsilon, H, T)$  for some  $\varepsilon < 1$ . There exists an  $n$  such that  $N(\lambda) \subset B_n$ .

It follows that  $N(\lambda) \subset t_n$  whence

$$1 = \sum_{s \in N(\lambda)} \lambda(s) \leq \sum_{s \in t_n} \lambda(s) < \varepsilon < 1,$$

which is a contradiction.

For the proof of the other part of the theorem, it is convenient to introduce the following abbreviations. If  $K \subset S$ , denote by  $T(K)$  the set of those  $t \in T$  for which  $K \cap t \neq \emptyset$ . If  $j \in S$ , denote by  $T(j)$  the set of those  $t \in T$  for which  $j \in t$ . The proof is based on the following simple proposition:

Let  $C(\varepsilon, H, T) = 0$ . Let  $F$  be an arbitrary finite subset of  $S$  and let  $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon$ . Then there exists a nonvoid finite  $K_1 \subset S$  disjoint from  $F$  such that  $C(\varepsilon_1, H - F, T(K_1)) = 0$ .

To prove this proposition, take a fixed finite  $F \subset S$  and a fixed  $\varepsilon_1$  with  $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon$ . Suppose that  $C(\varepsilon_1, H-F, T(K)) \neq 0$  for each finite  $K \subset S$  disjoint from  $F$ . Choose a finite nonvoid  $A_1 \subset H-F$ . Take  $\lambda_1 \in C(\varepsilon_1, H-F, T(A_1))$  and put  $A_2 = A_1 \cup N(\lambda_1)$  so that  $A_2 \subset H-F$ . Take  $\lambda_2 \in C(\varepsilon_1, H-F, T(A_2))$  and put  $A_3 = A_2 \cup N(\lambda_2) \subset H-F$ . Putting  $A_{n+1} = A_n \cup N(\lambda_n)$  and choosing  $\lambda_{n+1} \in C(\varepsilon_1, H-F, T(A_{n+1}))$  a sequence  $\lambda_n$  and  $A_n \subset H-F$  may be constructed.

Let  $t \in T$ . Let us show now that the sequence  $\alpha_n = \sum_{s \in t} \lambda_n(s)$  contains at most one term  $\geq \varepsilon_1$ . Indeed, let  $\alpha_p \geq \varepsilon_1$  for some  $p$ . Since  $N(\lambda_p) \subset A_{p+1}$ , we have  $A_{p+1} \cap t \neq \emptyset$  whence  $t \in T(A_{p+1})$ . If  $q > p$ , we have  $t \in T(A_{p+1}) \subset T(A_q)$ . Since  $\lambda_q \in C(\varepsilon_1, H-F, T(A_q))$ , we have  $\alpha_q < \varepsilon_1$ . It follows immediately that, for  $n$  large enough,

$$n^{-1}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n) \in C(\varepsilon, H-F, T),$$

which is a contradiction. The proof of our proposition is complete.

Apply now the same proposition with  $\varepsilon_1, K_1, T(K_1)$  instead of  $\varepsilon, F, T$ . It follows that there exists a nonvoid finite  $K_2$  disjoint from  $K_1$  and an  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$  such that  $C(\varepsilon_2, H-K_1, T(K_1) \cap T(K_2)) = 0$ . Continuing this process, we obtain a sequence  $K_1, K_2, \dots$  of mutually disjoint finite nonvoid sets such that  $T(K_1) \cap \dots \cap T(K_r) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $r$ .

Since  $T(K) = \bigcup_{k \in K} T(k)$ , it is easy to see that there exist points  $i_1 \in K_1, i_2 \in K_2, \dots$  such that  $T(i_1) \cap \dots \cap T(i_r) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $r$ . Let  $B_n$  be the set consisting of  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ . The sets  $K_r$  being mutually disjoint, the sequence  $B_n$  is strictly increasing; if  $t_n$  is chosen in  $T(i_1) \cap \dots \cap T(i_n)$ , we have clearly  $B_n \subset t_n$ . The proof is complete.

The preceding theorem yields several theorems connecting convergence and uniform convergence in double sequences and may be applied to obtain results on weak compactness in Banach spaces.

## Резюме

### КОМБИНАТОРНАЯ ТЕОРЕМА О СИСТЕМАХ НЕРАВЕНСТВ И ЕЕ ПРИМЕНЕНИЯ В АНАЛИЗЕ

(Предварительное сообщение)

ВЛАСТИМИЛ ПТАК (Vlastimil Pták), Прага

(Поступило в редакцию 14/IX 1959)

Приводится комбинаторная теорема о разрешимости некоторых систем линейных неравенств и указываются возможности ее применения в анализе.