

Zdeněk Frolík

Remarks concerning the invariance of Baire spaces under mappings

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 11 (1961), No. 3, 381–385

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100466>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1961

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

REMARKS CONCERNING THE INVARIANCE OF BAIRE SPACES UNDER MAPPINGS

ZDENĚK FROLÍK, Praha

(Received March 12, 1960)

Let f be a mapping of a space P onto a space Q . Under what conditions on f may we assert that if P is a Baire space then Q is a Baire space. Analogously, under what conditions, if Q is a Baire space then P is a Baire space.

A space is said to be a Baire space if every non-void open subset of P is of the second category. The term Baire space was introduced in [1], Chapter 9. In F. HAUSDORFF [3], Baire spaces are called O_H -spaces. For the basic properties of Baire spaces see [1], Chapter 9, and [2].

Let f be a mapping of a space P onto a space Q . Under what conditions on f may we assert that if P is a Baire space then Q is a Baire space? It may be noticed that the image of a Baire space under a continuous mapping may fail to be a Baire space. Moreover, the image of a complete normed linear space under a linear continuous mapping f may fail to be a Baire space. This is the case when f is not open. The image of a Baire space under an open mapping (f is open if images of open sets are open) may fail to be a Baire space. For example, denoting by S the Euclidean plane, put

$$P_1 = \{(x, y); (x, y) \in S, y \neq 0\}, \quad P_2 = \{(x, 0); (x, 0) \in S, x \text{ rational}\}.$$

Consider $P = P_1 \cap P_2$ as a subspace of S . On the other hand, let us define a topology for the set P such that P_1 and P_2 are open and P_1 and P_2 are subspaces of S (in the relative topology). Denote this space by Q . It is easy to see that P is a Baire space, Q is not a Baire space and the identity mapping from P onto Q is open (since the inverse mapping is continuous).

On the other hand, the image of a Baire space under a continuous and open mapping is a Baire space. We shall prove a generalization of this theorem. We shall introduce concepts of almost continuous and feebly open mappings and we shall prove that the image of a Baire space under an almost continuous and feebly open mapping is a Baire space.

The following unsolved problem is more interesting: Let f be a continuous and open mapping of a space P onto a Baire space Q and let us suppose that the "point-

inverses" of f are Baire spaces (we call a point-inverse of f every set of the form $f^{-1}[y]$, $y \in Q$). May we assert that P is a Baire space? In particular, may we assert that the topological product of two Baire spaces is a Baire space? In [2], theorem 1.7, the following result is proved:

(*) *Let P and Q be a Baires spaces. Suppose that P contains a dense countable set N such that every point of N is of a countable character. Then $P \times Q$ is a Baire space.*

This theorem is a generalization of the following older result:

(**) *If P and Q are separable metrizable spaces, then $P \times Q$ is a Baire space provided that P and Q are Baire spaces.*

In the present note we shall give a generalization of this result, assuming more generally that f is a continuous open mapping of a separable metrizable space R onto a Baire space S and the point-inverses of f are Baire spaces. Of course, (**) is an immediate consequence of this theorem. It is sufficient to put $P \times Q = R$, $Q = S$, f the projection of $P \times Q$ onto Q .

IMAGES OF BAIRE SPACES

Definition 1. Let f be a mapping of a space P onto a space Q . f will be called almost continuous if for every open subset V of Q

$$\overline{\text{int } f^{-1}[V]} \supset f^{-1}[V].$$

f will be called feebly continuous if

$$M \subset Q, \quad \text{int } M \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \text{int } f^{-1}[M] \neq \emptyset.$$

f will be called feebly open if

$$N \subset P, \quad \text{int } N \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \text{int } f[N] \neq \emptyset.$$

It is easy to prove.

Proposition 1. A mapping f of a space P onto a space Q is feebly open if and only if

$$N \text{ is dense in } Q \Rightarrow f^{-1}[N] \text{ is dense in } P.$$

The mapping f is feebly continuous if and only if

$$M \text{ is dense in } P \Rightarrow f[M] \text{ is dense in } Q.$$

Evidently, an almost continuous mapping is feebly continuous. In general the converse is not true. Indeed, it may be noticed that if f is a mapping of a space P onto a space Q and if R is an open subset of P with $f[R] = Q$, then f is feebly continuous provided that the restriction of f to R is a feebly continuous mapping. However, every one-to-one feebly continuous mapping is almost continuous. For clearness we shall prove

Proposition 2. A mapping f of a space P onto a space Q is almost continuous if and only if for every open subset U of P the restriction of f to U is a feebly open mapping.

Proof. Suppose that for every open subset U of P the restriction of f to U is feebly continuous. Let V be a non-void open subset of Q and put $H = f^{-1}[V]$, $U = \text{int } H$. We have to prove $\bar{U} \supset H$. Suppose not and consider the restriction g of f to $W = P - \bar{U}$. Then g being feebly continuous, the interior U' of $g^{-1}[V \cap g[U]]$ (with respect to W) is non-void. Since W is open, U' is open in P and hence $U' \subset U$. This contradiction establishes the almost continuity of f . The converse implication is obvious.

Theorem 1. Let us suppose that f is an almost continuous and feebly open mapping of a space P onto a space Q . If P is a Baire space (of the second category in itself) then Q is a Baire space (of the second category in itself, respectively).

Proof. First suppose that P is a Baire space. To prove that Q is a Baire space it is sufficient to show that if $\{U_n\}$ is a sequence of open dense subsets of Q , then the set $U = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$ is dense in Q . Put $V_n = \text{int } f^{-1}[U_n]$ and $V = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$. Since f is feebly open, the sets $f^{-1}[U_n]$ are dense in P . By almost continuity of f the sets V_n are dense in $f^{-1}[U_n]$, and consequently they are dense in P . As P is a Baire space, the set V is dense in P . Again by continuity of f , the set $f[V]$ is dense in Q . Since $f[V] \subset U$, U is dense in Q . Thus the proof of the assertion concerning Baire spaces is complete. The second assertion is an immediate consequence of the first.

Corollary. Let f be a one-to-one feebly open and feebly continuous mapping of a space P onto a space Q . Then P is a Baire space if and only if Q is a Baire space. P is of the second category if and only if Q is of the second category.

Proof. Since f is one-to-one and feebly continuous, f is almost continuous. f being feebly open and one-to-one, the mapping f^{-1} is feebly continuous, and consequently, almost continuous.

INVERSE IMAGES OF BAIRE SPACES

We shall prove the following

Theorem 2. Let us suppose that f is an open and continuous mapping of a metrizable separable space P onto a space Q . If Q is a Baire space and if the point-inverses f (that is, the sets of the form $f^{-1}[y]$, $y \in Q$) are Baire spaces, then P is a Baire space.

The theorem 2 is an immediate consequence of the following

Theorem 2'. Let f be an open and continuous mapping of a metrizable separable space P onto a space Q . If Q is of the second category (in itself) and if the point-

inverses of f are of the second category (in themselves), then P is of the second category (in itself).

First we shall prove the following

Lemma. *Let f be an open and continuous mapping of a metrizable separable space P onto a space Q . Let F be a nowhere-dense closed subset of P . Denote by $M(F)$ the set of all $y \in Q$ for which the interior of $f^{-1}[y] \cap F$ is non-void. Then the set $M(F)$ is of the first category in Q .*

Proof of the lemma. Let $\{U_n\} = \{U_n; n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be an open base for P . For every $n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ put

$$(*) \quad M_n = \{y; y \in Q, \Phi \neq f^{-1}[y] \cap U_n \subset F\}$$

$\{U_n\}$ being an open base, we have at once

$$M(F) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n.$$

Therefore it is sufficient to prove that the M_n are nowhere-dense in Q . We shall show that the M_n are closed and their interiors empty. Evidently

$$\begin{aligned} Q - M_n &= \{y; y \in Q, f^{-1}[y] \cap U_n \cap (P - F) \neq \emptyset\} = \\ &= f[U_n \cap (P - F)]. \end{aligned}$$

The mapping f being open, the set $Q - M_n$ is open, and consequently, M_n is closed.

Now suppose $V = \text{int } M_n \neq \emptyset$. According to the definition (*) of M_n

$$\Phi \neq f^{-1}[V] \cap U_n \subset F.$$

By continuity of f the set $f^{-1}[V]$ is open, and hence F is not nowhere-dense. This contradiction establishes the lemma.

Proof of the theorem 2'. Let us suppose that Q is of the second category (in itself) and the point-inverses of f are of the second category (in themselves). Finally, suppose that P is of the first category in itself. There exists a sequence $\{F_n\}$ of closed nowhere-dense subsets of P such that

$$P = \bigcup \{F_n; n = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

According to the lemma the set $M = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M(F_n)$ is of the first category in Q . Thus we may choose a point y_0 in $Q - M$. Put $K = f^{-1}[y_0]$. The sequence $\{F_n \cap K\}$ of closed subsets of K covers K , and hence there exists an n such that the interior U (with respect to K) of $F_n \cap K$ is non-void. But this is impossible since M is the set of all $y \in Q$ for which there exists an $n, n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that the interior of $F_n \cap f^{-1}[y]$ (with respect to $f^{-1}[y]$) is non-void. The proof is complete.

References

- [1] *N. Bourbaki*: Topologie générale, Chap. 9, Paris 1958.
- [2] *Z. Frolík*: Baire Spaces and some Generalizations of Complete Metric Spaces. Czechoslovak Math. J. 11 (86), 1961, 237—248.
- [3] *F. Hausdorff*: Mengenlehre, Leipzig 1932.
- [4] *K. Kuratowski*: Topologie I, Warszawa 1952.

Резюме

ЗАМЕТКИ ОБ ОТОБРАЖЕНИЯХ, СОХРАНЯЮЩИХ ПРОСТРАНСТВА БЭРА

ЗДЕНЕК ФРОЛИК (Zdeněk Frolík), Прага

Пространством Бэра называется топологическое пространство, в котором всякое непустое открытое множество второй категории. Рассматриваются следующие вопросы (1) и (2):

(1) Пусть f — отображение пространства Бэра P на пространство Q . При каких топологических условиях, касающихся отображения f , также Q есть пространство Бэра? Оказывается, что достаточно предполагать:

(а) Если V — открытое подмножество пространства Q , то

$$\overline{\text{int } f^{-1}[V]} \supset f^{-1}[V].$$

(b) Если U — непустое открытое подмножество пространства P , то $\text{int } f[U] \neq \emptyset$.

В частности достаточно предполагать, что f — непрерывное и открытое отображение.

(2) Пусть f — непрерывное и открытое отображение пространства P на пространство Бэра Q и пусть полные прообразы точек являются пространствами Бэра. Вопрос, является ли P пространством Бэра, автору кажется интересным и сложным. Доказывается, что ответ положителен, если пространство P метризуемо и сепарабельно. Это — обобщение классической теоремы о том, что топологическое произведение двух метризуемых сепарабельных пространств Бэра является пространством Бэра.