

Josef Novák

On a topological relation between a σ -algebra \mathbf{A} of sets and the system of all \mathbf{A} -measurable functions

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 14 (1964), No. 2, 267–270

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100618>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1964

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON A TOPOLOGICAL RELATION BETWEEN
A σ -ALGEBRA \mathbf{A} OF SETS AND THE SYSTEM OF ALL
 \mathbf{A} -MEASURABLE FUNCTIONS

JOSEF NOVÁK, Praha

(Received September 10, 1962)

In the present paper the following statement is proved: Every σ -algebra \mathbf{A} of subsets of a given non-void point set X and the system of all \mathbf{A} -measurable real functions on X are non-homeomorphic sequentially regular convergence spaces.

In 1952 I put forward [1] the following problem: Are the class of all Borel linear sets and the class of all Baire functions homeomorphic? I solved the question by using notions of sequential regularity and zero-one sequential regularity of a convergence space. Actually more was proved, namely that a σ -algebra \mathbf{A} of subsets of a non-empty set X cannot be homeomorphic to the system of all real-valued \mathbf{A} -measurable functions defined on X .

Let X be a non-empty point set, \mathbf{X} the system of all subsets of X and \mathbf{A} a σ -ring of subsets of X . Denote by \mathfrak{F} the system of all real-valued functions defined on X and by \mathfrak{M} the system of all \mathbf{A} -measurable functions. Convergence in \mathbf{X} is defined by the well-known condition in the general theory of sets: $\lim A_n = A$ if $\liminf A_n = A = \limsup A_n$, where $\liminf A_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n$ and $\limsup A_n = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n$. Convergence in \mathfrak{F} is defined as point-wise convergence of real functions in X . Both the systems \mathbf{X} and \mathfrak{F} are convergence spaces, their convergences fulfil two Fréchet's axioms $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ and the Urysohn's axiom \mathcal{L}_3 of convergence [2]:

(\mathcal{L}_1): if $x_n = x$ for each positive integer n then $\lim x_n = x$.

(\mathcal{L}_2): if $\lim y_n = y$ then $\lim y_{n_i} = y$ for each subsequence $\{y_{n_i}\}$ of $\{y_n\}$.

(\mathcal{L}_3): If a sequence $\{z_n\}$ does not converge to a point z then there exists a subsequence $\{z_{n_i}\}$ of $\{z_n\}$ no subsequence of which converges to z .

The closure λA of a subset A in a convergence space L is defined as the set of all limits of sequences of points x_n belonging to the set A . A set A is closed if $A = \lambda A$. It is easy to see that each finite subset of L and the set L itself are closed sets; the topo-

logy λ is additive ($\lambda(A \cup B) = \lambda A \cup \lambda B$) and monotone ($A \subset B$ implies $\lambda A \subset \lambda B$); in convergence space, however, the closure of a subset need not be closed. In the sequel we shall always assume that the convergence space L fulfils all three axioms of convergence \mathcal{L}_1 , \mathcal{L}_2 and \mathcal{L}_3 .

Let (L_1, λ_1) and (L_2, λ_2) be two convergence spaces and φ a map of L_1 into L_2 . According to usual definition, the map φ is continuous if $\varphi(\lambda_1 A) \subset \lambda_2 \varphi(A)$ for each set $A \subset L_1$; the map φ is a homeomorphism if it is one-to-one and if $\varphi(\lambda_1 A) = \lambda_2 \varphi(A)$ for each set $A \subset L_1$. We define the map φ to be sequentially continuous if $\lim x_n = x$ in L_1 implies $\lim \varphi(x_n) = \varphi(x)$ in L_2 for each point $x \in L_1$.

Lemma 1. *Let (L_1, λ_1) and (L_2, λ_2) be two convergence spaces (fulfilling all three axioms of convergence \mathcal{L}_1 , \mathcal{L}_2 and \mathcal{L}_3). Let φ be a map of L_1 into L_2 . Then φ is continuous if and only if it is sequentially continuous. The map φ is a homeomorphism if and only if it is one-to-one sequentially continuous map of L_1 onto L_2 and if also the inverse map φ^{-1} is sequentially continuous.*

Proof. Is contained in the book [4].

Definition 1. A convergence space L (fulfilling all three axioms of convergence \mathcal{L}_1 , \mathcal{L}_2 and \mathcal{L}_3) is called *sequentially regular* [3] if for each point $x_0 \in L$ and each sequence of points $x_n \in L$ not converging to x_0 there exists a continuous function f on L such that the sequence of real numbers $f(x_n)$ does not converge to $f(x_0)$.

Lemma 2. *Let (L_1, λ_1) be a sequentially regular convergence space. Let h be a homeomorphism of L_1 onto a convergence space (L_2, λ_2) . Then the space L_2 is also sequentially regular.*

Proof. Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence of points in L_2 not converging to a point $y_0 \in L_2$. From Lemma 1 it follows that the sequence of points $h^{-1}(y_n)$ fails to converge to the point $h^{-1}(y_0)$ in L_1 . Because L_1 is a sequentially regular space, there is a continuous function f on L_1 such that the sequence $\{f(h^{-1}(y_n))\}$ does not converge to the number $f(h^{-1}(y_0))$. Consequently $g = fh^{-1}$ is a continuous function on L_2 such that $g(y_0)$ is not a limit of the sequence $\{g(y_n)\}$.

Definition 2. A convergence space L (fulfilling all three axioms of convergence \mathcal{L}_1 , \mathcal{L}_2 and \mathcal{L}_3) is called *zero-one sequentially regular* if for each point $x_0 \in L$ and each sequence of points $x_n \in L$ not converging to the point x_0 there is a two-valued continuous function mapping L into $\{0, 1\}$ such that the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ does not converge to $f(x_0)$.

It is possible to prove, in the same way as above, that zero-one sequential regularity of a convergence space is a topological property.

Lemma 3. *Each system \mathbf{S} of subsets of an abstract point set S is a zero-one sequentially regular convergence space.*

Proof. It may be observed that \mathbf{S} is a convergence space fulfilling all three axioms of convergence \mathcal{L}_1 , \mathcal{L}_2 and \mathcal{L}_3 . Assume that a sequence of sets $S_n \in \mathbf{S}$ does not

converge to a set $S \in \mathfrak{S}$; then there exists a point s belonging either to $\limsup S_n - \liminf S_n$ or to $(S - \lim S_n) \cup (\lim S_n - S)$. Define a set function f on \mathfrak{S} as follows: $f(A) = 1$ or $f(A) = 0$ according as s belongs to A or not. It is easy to see that the function f is continuous on \mathfrak{S} and that the sequence $\{f(S_n)\}$ does not converge to $f(S)$.

Lemma 4. *Let X be a point set and \mathfrak{G} a system of real-valued functions on X . Then \mathfrak{G} is a sequentially regular convergence space.*

Proof. First notice that \mathfrak{G} is a convergence space fulfilling all three axioms of convergence $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ and \mathcal{L}_3 . Let g_0 be an element of \mathfrak{G} and $\{g_n\}$ a sequence of functions $g_n \in \mathfrak{G}$ not converging to g_0 . Then there is a point $x_0 \in X$ such that the sequence $\{g_n(x_0)\}$ does not converge to $g_0(x_0)$. Define a real-valued function h on \mathfrak{G} by $h(f) = f(x_0)$ for each $f \in \mathfrak{G}$; evidently h is a sequentially continuous function such that the sequence $\{h(g_n)\}$ does not converge to $h(g_0)$.

Theorem. *Let X be a non-empty point set and \mathbf{A} a σ -algebra of subsets of X . Then the system \mathfrak{M} of all \mathbf{A} -measurable real-valued functions on X is a sequentially regular convergence space which is not zero-one sequentially regular.*

Proof. The first part of the assertion follows from Lemma 4. In order to prove the second part notice that $X \in \mathbf{A}$, so that each constant function c belongs to \mathfrak{M} . The value of c will be denoted by c' . Now, choose a sequence $\{c_n\}$ of constant functions $c_n \in \mathfrak{M}$ which does not converge to a constant function $c_0 \in \mathfrak{M}$. Suppose the contrary, that there is a continuous zero-one valued function g on \mathfrak{M} such that the sequence of real numbers $g(c_n)$ does not converge to $g(c_0)$. Then there is a positive integer p such that $g(c_p) \neq g(c_0)$. The mapping $h = \{c' \rightarrow c\}$ is a homeomorphism and thus the function gh is continuous on the set of all real numbers. Since gh is a two-valued function, it follows that gh is constant. Thus we have the contradiction, that $g(c_p) = g(c_0)$.

Corollary. *Let X be a non-empty point set and \mathbf{A} a σ -algebra of sets of X . Then the convergence space \mathbf{A} is not homeomorphic to the convergence space \mathfrak{M} of all \mathbf{A} -measurable functions defined on X .*

As a matter of fact, according to Lemma 3, the convergence space \mathbf{A} is zero-one sequentially regular; on the other hand, by the Theorem, the space \mathfrak{M} is not zero-one sequentially regular. Therefore the spaces \mathbf{A} and \mathfrak{M} cannot be homeomorphic, since zero-one sequential regularity is a topological property.

Remark. Since $\lim A_n = A$ in \mathbf{A} if and only if $\lim c_{A_n}(x) = c_A(x)$ for each point $x \in X$, and because each characteristic function $c_B(x)$, $B \in \mathbf{A}$, is \mathbf{A} -measurable, it follows that the convergence space \mathbf{A} is homeomorphic to a subspace of the system of all \mathbf{A} -measurable functions.

It is well known [5] that the system \mathfrak{B} of all Baire functions is identical with the system of all Borel measurable functions. Consequently the system of all linear Borel sets is homeomorphic to a subsystem but not to the whole system of all Baire functions.

Bibliography

- [1] *J. Novák*: Problem P 136. Colloquium Math., Warszawa—Wrocław, III (1956), 171.
- [2] *J. Novák and M. Novotný*: On the convergence in σ -algebras of point-sets. Czechoslovak Math. J., T 3 (78) 1953, 292.
- [3] *J. Novák*: Die Topologie der Mengensysteme. Atti del 6 congresso dell' UMI tenuto a Napoli nei giorni 11—16 settembre 1959, Roma 1960, 461.
- [4] *C. Kuratowski*: Topologie I. Warszawa—Lwow 1933, 78.
- [5] *И. П. Натансон*: Теория функций вещественной переменной. Москва 1957, 1, 423.

Резюме

О ТОПОЛОГИЧЕСКОМ СООТНОШЕНИИ МЕЖДУ σ -АЛГЕБРОЙ \mathbf{A} МНОЖЕСТВ И СИСТЕМОЙ ВСЕХ \mathbf{A} -ИЗМЕРИМЫХ ФУНКЦИЙ

ЙОСЕФ НОВАК (Josef Novák), Прага

Пространство сходимости L , выполняющее три аксиомы сходимости \mathcal{L}_1 , \mathcal{L}_2 и \mathcal{L}_3 , называется секвенциально регулярным [нуль один секвенциально регулярным], если для каждой точки $x_0 \in L$ и для каждой последовательности точек $x_n \in L$, которая не сходится к точке x_0 , существует непрерывная функция f на L такая, что последовательность действительных чисел $f(x_n)$ не сходится к $f(x_0)$ [($f(x) = 0$ или $= 1$ для каждой точки $x \in L$).

Оба эти свойства будут иметь место при гомеоморфном отображении.

Примером секвенциально регулярных пространств служит σ -алгебра \mathbf{A} подмножеств данного непустого множества X , где имеется сходимость $\lim A_n = A$, как только $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n$ и система \mathfrak{M} всех \mathbf{A} -измеримых вещественных функций, определенных на X , где имеется сходимость $\lim f_n = f$ как только $\lim f_n(x) = f(x)$ для каждой точки $x \in X$. При этом пространство \mathbf{A} является нуль один секвенциально регулярным, в то время как пространство \mathfrak{M} таким свойством не обладает. Поэтому пространства \mathbf{A} и \mathfrak{M} не являются гомеоморфными. Отсюда вытекает, что система борелевских линейных множеств не является гомеоморфной с системой всех функций Бэра.