

Jan Mařík

Extensions of additive mappings

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 15 (1965), No. 2, 244–252

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100666>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1965

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## EXTENSIONS OF ADDITIVE MAPPINGS

JAN MAŘÍK, Praha

(Received January 16, 1964)

Let  $Z$  be a Boolean ring and  $\mathfrak{G}$  an Abelian group. Further, let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a certain class of additive mappings from  $Z$  into  $\mathfrak{G}$ . To each element of  $\mathcal{A}$  we construct an additive extension. By this method the Lebesgue integral can be extended (see [2]).

1. Let  $Z$  be a Boolean ring (see, e.g., [1], section 2). We don't suppose that  $Z$  has a unit. If  $P \subset Z$ ,  $Q \subset Z$ , then  $P + Q$  is the set of all  $x + y$ , where  $x \in P$ ,  $y \in Q$ ; the meaning of  $PQ$  is defined similarly. (The union, the intersection and the difference of sets  $S$ ,  $V$  will be denoted by  $S \cup V$ ,  $S \cap V$  and  $S - V$  respectively.)

Further let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be an Abelian group. The zeros of  $Z$  and  $\mathfrak{G}$  will be denoted by the same symbol 0.

A mapping  $\zeta$  of a set  $M \subset Z$  into  $\mathfrak{G}$  is called additive, when the implication

$$(x, y, x + y \in M, xy = 0) \Rightarrow (\zeta(x + y) = \zeta(x) + \zeta(y))$$

is valid.

If  $\zeta$  is a mapping of a set  $M \subset Z$  and if  $z \in Z$ , we define mappings  $\zeta_z, \zeta'_z$  in the following way:  $\zeta_z(x) = \zeta(zx)$  for all  $x$  with  $zx \in M$  and  $\zeta'_z(x) = \zeta(x + zx)$  for all  $x$  with  $x + zx \in M$ .

2. Let  $A$  be a subring of  $Z$  and let  $\Theta$  be a set of mappings  $\vartheta$  with the following properties:  $\vartheta$  is defined on a subring  $M(\vartheta)$  of  $Z$  such that  $AM(\vartheta) \subset M(\vartheta)$ ,  $\vartheta(M(\vartheta)) \subset \mathfrak{G}$  and  $\vartheta$  is additive. Let  $\gamma$  be a transformation of a set  $A \subset \Theta$  into  $\Theta$ . For each  $\lambda \in A$  put  $C(\lambda) = M(\gamma(\lambda))$  and for each  $x \in C(\lambda)$  write  $(\gamma(\lambda))(x) = \gamma(\lambda, x)$ . Instead of " $x \in C(\lambda)$ " we shall sometimes write " $\gamma(\lambda, x)$  has a meaning" (or similarly). If we say, e.g., that  $\gamma(\lambda, x) = 0$ , we mean, of course, that  $\lambda \in A$ ,  $x \in C(\lambda)$ . Further, let  $\omega$  be a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{G}$  into  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Assume that the following conditions are fulfilled:

- R1) If  $\lambda \in A$ ,  $z \in Z$ , then  $\lambda_z \in A$ ,  $\lambda'_z \in A$ .
- R2) For each  $\lambda \in A$  we have  $-\lambda \in A$ ,  $C(-\lambda) = C(\lambda) \subset A$ .
- R3) If  $\lambda \in A$ ,  $x \in A \cap M(\lambda)$ , then  $\gamma(\lambda, x) = \lambda(x)$  (so that  $A \cap M(\lambda) \subset C(\lambda)$ ).
- R4) If  $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in A$  and if  $\nu(x) = \lambda(x) + \mu(x)$  for each  $x \in M(\lambda) \cap M(\mu)$ , then  $\gamma(\nu, x) = \gamma(\lambda, x) + \gamma(\mu, x)$  for each  $x \in C(\lambda) \cap C(\mu)$ .

R5) If  $\lambda, \mu \in A$  and if  $\mu(x) = \omega\lambda(x)$  for each  $x \in M(\lambda)$ , then  $\gamma(\mu, x) = \omega\gamma(\lambda, x)$  for each  $x \in C(\lambda)$ .

3. Suppose that a convergence on  $Z$  and a convergence on  $\mathfrak{G}$ , fulfilling the conditions of [1], 3 and 5, are defined. Construct the set  $\Psi$  and the transformation  $\beta$  of  $\Psi$  into  $\Psi$  according to [1], 24. Let  $\omega$  be a continuous homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{G}$  into  $\mathfrak{G}$ ; let  $A$  be a subset of  $\Psi$  such that the implication  $\lambda \in A \Rightarrow -\lambda \in A$  and the condition R1) are valid. It follows from [1], 20, 29, 25 and 26 that the conditions R2)–R5) are fulfilled, if we put  $\gamma = \beta$ . (If we use the notation of [1], 24, then, of course,  $C(\lambda) = B(\lambda)$ .)

4. For each  $\lambda \in A$  we have  $\gamma(-\lambda) = -\gamma(\lambda)$ .

Proof. Choose a  $\lambda \in A$ . It follows easily from the additivity of  $\lambda$  that  $\lambda(0) = 0$ . The mapping  $\lambda_0(x) = 0$  ( $x \in Z$ ) belongs, by R1), to  $A$  and  $\lambda(x) + (-\lambda)(x) = 0 = \lambda_0(x)$  for each  $x \in M(\lambda)$ . Further, by R3),  $\gamma(\lambda_0, x) = \lambda_0(x)$  for each  $x \in A = A \cap M(\lambda_0)$ . It follows from R4) that  $\gamma(\lambda, x) + \gamma(-\lambda, x) = \gamma(\lambda_0, x) = 0$  for each  $x \in C(\lambda) = C(-\lambda)$  (see R2)).

5. Suppose that  $a, s \in Z$ ,  $as = a$ . Then  $\gamma(\lambda, a) = \gamma(\lambda_s, a)$ , whenever at least one side of this equality has a meaning.

Proof. We may assume that  $a \in A$ . Since  $a + sa = 0$ , we have  $\lambda'_s(a) = 0$ , whence  $\gamma(\lambda'_s, a) = \gamma(-\lambda'_s, a) = 0$ . Evidently  $\lambda_s(x) + \lambda'_s(x) = \lambda(x)$ ,  $\lambda(x) + (-\lambda'_s)(x) = \lambda_s(x)$ , whenever the corresponding sum has a meaning. Now, our assertion follows easily from R4).

6. If  $z \in Z$  and  $\lambda \in A$ , put

$$H(\lambda, z) = \{a \in C(\lambda_z); z + az \in M(\lambda)\}.$$

For each  $a \in H(\lambda, z)$  write

$$\alpha(\lambda, a, z) = \gamma(\lambda_z, a) + \lambda(z + az).$$

We see that  $H(\lambda, z)$  is the set of all  $a$  such that  $\alpha(\lambda, a, z)$  has a meaning. Further, let  $S(\lambda)$  be the set of all  $s$  such that  $H(\lambda, s) \neq \emptyset$ .

Remark. Let  $f$  be a function on the Euclidean space  $E_r$  and let  $z$  be a measurable set in  $E_r$ . Let  $f_z$  be a function that coincides with  $f$  on  $z$  and equals zero on  $E_r - z$ . Let, further,  $\lambda$  be the (indefinite) Lebesgue integral of  $f$  and let  $\gamma(\lambda)$  be a suitable "improper integral" of  $f$ . Then  $\lambda_z$  is the Lebesgue integral of  $f_z$ . Suppose that there exists a set  $a$  such that  $\alpha(\lambda, a, z)$  has a meaning. In the next section we show that  $\alpha(\lambda, a, z)$  does not depend on the choice of  $a$ ; the number  $\sigma(\lambda, z)$ , defined in 8, is then a certain generalized integral of  $f$  over  $z$  (see [2]).

7. If  $a \in A$ ,  $b, c \in H(\lambda, s)$ ,  $ab = b$ , then  $a \in H(\lambda, s)$ ,  $\alpha(\lambda, b, s) = \alpha(\lambda, c, s)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $s(a + b) = a(s + bs) \in AM(\lambda) \subset M(\lambda)$  and  $a + b \in A$ , we have  $\lambda(s(a + b)) = \lambda_s(a + b) = \gamma(\lambda_s, a + b)$ ; now, from the relations  $b + (a + b) = a$ ,  $b(a + b) = 0$  we infer that

$$(1) \quad \gamma(\lambda_s, a) = \gamma(\lambda_s, b) + \gamma(\lambda_s, a + b) = \gamma(\lambda_s, b) + \lambda(s(a + b)).$$

Clearly  $(s(a + b))(s + as) = 0$ ,  $s(a + b) + (s + as) = s + bs$  and so  $\lambda(s(a + b)) + \lambda(s + as) = \lambda(s + bs)$ . Hence it follows from (1) that  $\alpha(\lambda, a, s) = \alpha(\lambda, b, s)$ . If we choose  $a = b + c + bc$ , we have  $ab = b$ ,  $ac = c$  and so  $\alpha(\lambda, c, s) = \alpha(\lambda, a, s) = \alpha(\lambda, b, s)$ .

8. For each  $s \in S(\lambda)$  we may put, according to 7,  $\sigma(\lambda, s) = \alpha(\lambda, a, s)$ , where  $a$  is an arbitrary element of  $H(\lambda, s)$ .

9. The mapping  $\sigma(\lambda, \cdot)$  is an extension of both mappings  $\lambda, \gamma(\lambda)$ .

*Proof.* Choose a  $c \in C(\lambda)$  and an  $m \in M(\lambda)$ . By 5 we have  $\gamma(\lambda, c) = \gamma(\lambda_c, c)$ , so that  $\gamma(\lambda, c) = \alpha(\lambda, c, c)$ ; clearly  $\lambda(m) = \alpha(\lambda, 0, m)$ .

10. Suppose that  $\lambda, \lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)} \in \Lambda$ ,  $s \in S(\lambda^{(1)}) \cap S(\lambda^{(2)})$  and that  $\lambda(x) = \sum \lambda^{(i)}(x)$  ( $\sum = \sum_{i=1}^2$ ) for each  $x \in M(\lambda^{(1)}) \cap M(\lambda^{(2)})$  with  $sx = x$ . Then  $\sigma(\lambda, s) = \sum \sigma(\lambda^{(i)}, s)$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $a_i \in H(\lambda^{(i)}, s)$  and put  $a = a_1 + a_2 + a_1a_2$ . By 7 we have  $a \in H(\lambda^{(i)})$ , whence

$$(2) \quad \sigma(\lambda^{(i)}, s) = \gamma(\lambda_s^{(i)}, a) + \lambda^{(i)}(s + as) \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

If  $x \in M(\lambda_s^{(1)}) \cap M(\lambda_s^{(2)})$ , then, by assumption,  $\sum \lambda_s^{(i)}(x) = \sum \lambda^{(i)}(sx) = \lambda(sx) = \lambda_s(x)$  and so, on account of R4),  $\sum \gamma(\lambda_s^{(i)}, a) = \gamma(\lambda_s, a)$ . Now, it follows from (2) that  $\sum \sigma(\lambda^{(i)}, s) = \gamma(\lambda_s, a) + \lambda(s + as) = \sigma(\lambda, s)$ .

11. Suppose that  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ ,  $s \in S(\lambda)$  and that  $\mu(x) = \omega \lambda(x)$  for each  $x \in M(\lambda)$  with  $xs = x$ . Then  $\sigma(\mu, s) = \omega \sigma(\lambda, s)$ .

(This follows easily from R5).)

12. We have  $\sigma(-\lambda, x) = -\sigma(\lambda, x)$ , whenever at least one side of this equality has a meaning.

(This follows easily from 4.)

13. We have  $\sigma(\lambda_s, x) = \sigma(\lambda, sx)$ , whenever at least one side of this equality has a meaning.

*Proof.* If either  $\sigma(\lambda_s, x)$  or  $\sigma(\lambda, sx)$  has a meaning, then there exists an  $a$  such that  $\sigma(\lambda_s, x) = \gamma((\lambda_s)_x, a) + \lambda_s(x + ax) = \gamma(\lambda_{sx}, a) + \lambda(sx + asx) = \sigma(\lambda, sx)$ .

**14.** If there is no danger of misunderstanding, we omit the symbol  $\lambda$  and write  $C(\lambda) = C$ ,  $\sigma(\lambda, x) = \sigma(x)$  etc.

**15.** If  $s \in S$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $as = a$ , then  $a \in C$ .

*Proof.* Choose a  $b \in H(s)$ . Then  $b \in C(\lambda_s)$ , whence  $ab \in C(\lambda_s)$ . Since  $abs = ab$ , we have by 5  $ab \in C$  and from  $s + bs \in M$  we infer that  $a + ab = a(s + bs) \in M \cap A \subset C$ ; therefore  $a = (a + ab) + ab \in C$ .

**16.** Suppose that  $a \in A$ . Then  $\gamma(a) = \sigma(a)$ , whenever at least one side of this equality has a meaning. Especially,  $A \cap S = C$ .

(This follows immediately from 9 and 15.)

**17.** Suppose that  $x_1x_2 = x_1$ ,  $x_3x_4 = 0$ . Then  $\sigma(x_1 + x_2) = \sigma(x_2) - \sigma(x_1)$ ,  $\sigma(x_3 + x_4) = \sigma(x_3) + \sigma(x_4)$ , whenever the corresponding right-hand side has a meaning.

*Proof.* Put  $x = x_1 + x_2$ . If  $t \in M(\lambda_{x_2}) \cap M(\lambda_{x_1})$ , then  $\lambda_{x_2}(t) - \lambda_{x_1}(t) = \lambda(x_2t) - \lambda(x_1t) = \lambda(xt) = \lambda_x(t)$ . Now, if  $x_1 \in S$ ,  $x_2 \in S$ , we get, with the help of 13, 12 and 10,  $\sigma(x_2) - \sigma(x_1) = \sigma(\lambda_{x_2}, x_2) + \sigma(-\lambda_{x_1}, x_2) = \sigma(\lambda_x, x_2) = \sigma(x)$ . The second relation can be proved similarly.

**18.** We have  $\sigma(x_1 + x_2) = \sigma(x_1) + \sigma(x_2) - 2\sigma(x_1x_2)$ , whenever the right-hand side has a meaning.

*Proof.* Put  $y_i = x_i + x_1x_2$ . As  $x_ix_1x_2 = x_1x_2$  and  $y_1y_2 = 0$ , it follows from 17 that  $\sigma(x_1) - \sigma(x_1x_2) + \sigma(x_2) - \sigma(x_1x_2) = \sigma(y_1) + \sigma(y_2) = \sigma(y_1 + y_2) = \sigma(x_1 + x_2)$ .

**19.**  $C + M \subset S$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $c \in C$ ,  $m \in M$ . As  $cm \in AM \subset M$ , it follows from 9 that  $c, m, cm \in S$  and by 18 we get  $c + m \in S$ .

**20.** If  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in H(s)$ , then  $ab \in H(as)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $b \in C(\lambda_s)$ , we have  $ab \in C(\lambda_s)$  and by 5 (where we write  $a, ab, \lambda_s$  instead of  $s, a, \lambda$ ) we obtain  $ab \in C((\lambda_s)_a) = C(\lambda_{as})$ . From  $s + bs \in M$  it follows that  $as + abas = a(s + bs) \in M$ , which completes the proof.

**21.**  $AS \subset S$ .

(This follows from 20.)

**22.** Suppose that a convergence on  $Z$  and a convergence on  $\mathfrak{G}$  with the same support are given (in the sense of [1], 1). Let the convergence on  $Z$  fulfil the conditions 1), 2) of [1], 3 and let the convergence on  $\mathfrak{G}$  fulfil the condition 3) of [1], 5. Suppose that  $\lambda$  is continuous and that  $\gamma(\lambda_s)$  is continuous for each  $s \in S$ . Then  $\sigma$  is continuous as well.

Proof. Let  $s_n \rightarrow s$ ,

$$(3) \quad \sigma(s) = \gamma(\lambda_s, a) + \lambda(s + as).$$

Since  $s + s_n = s(s + s_n) \in SA \subset S$  (see 21),  $s_n = s + (s + s_n)$ , we get by 17  $s_n \in S$ . Put  $a_n = a + as + as_n$ . From the relations  $as_n \rightarrow as$ ,  $as(a + as) = 0$  it follows that  $a_n \rightarrow a + as + as = a$ . As  $as_n \in AS \subset S$ , we get by 17

$$(4) \quad \sigma(s_n) = \sigma(as_n) + \sigma(s_n + as_n).$$

The equalities  $sa_n = as_n$  imply, by 13 and 16, that  $\sigma(as_n) = \sigma(sa_n) = \sigma(\lambda_s, a_n) = \gamma(\lambda_s, a_n) \rightarrow \gamma(\lambda_s, a)$ . Since  $s_n + as_n + s + as = (s_n + s)(s + as) \in AM \subset M$ , we have also  $s_n + as_n \in M$ , so that, by 9,  $\sigma(s_n + as_n) = \lambda(s_n + as_n) \rightarrow \lambda(s + as)$ . Hence it follows from (4) that  $\sigma(s_n) \rightarrow \gamma(\lambda_s, a) + \lambda(s + as) = \sigma(s)$ .

**23. Remark.** For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  put  $T(\lambda) = C(\lambda) + M(\lambda)$ . As  $AM(\lambda) \subset M(\lambda)$ ,  $T(\lambda)$  is a ring; it is evidently the smallest ring containing both  $C(\lambda)$  and  $M(\lambda)$ . By 19 we have  $T(\lambda) \subset S(\lambda)$ . In the following example (Theorem C) we show that  $S(\lambda)$  is not necessarily an additive group; then, of course,  $T(\lambda) \neq S(\lambda)$ . If  $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \Lambda$  and if  $\lambda(x) + \mu(x) = \nu(x)$  for each  $x \in M(\lambda) \cap M(\mu)$ , then, according to 10,  $S(\lambda) \cap S(\mu) \subset S(\nu)$ ; we shall see, however, that the inclusion  $T(\lambda) \cap T(\mu) \subset T(\nu)$  may be false (Theorem D).

**24. Example.** Let  $K, N$  be two copies of the set of all natural numbers and let  $Z$  be the set of all functions  $x$  on  $K$  such that for each  $k \in K$  either  $x(k) = 0$  or  $x(k) = 1$ . If  $x_1, x_2 \in Z$ , put  $x_1 + x_2 = x$ ,  $x_1 x_2 = y$ , where  $x(k) = |x_1(k) - x_2(k)|$ ,  $y(k) = x_1(k) x_2(k)$  ( $k \in K$ ). Evidently  $x, y \in Z$ ,  $x(k) \equiv x_1(k) + x_2(k) \pmod{2}$ . If we put  $j(k) = 1$  ( $k \in K$ ), then  $j$  is the unit of  $Z$ . For each  $x \in Z$  put

$$\|x\| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x(k) - x(k+1)|, \quad \eta(x) = \inf \{k; x(k) = 1\}$$

(so that  $\eta(0) = \infty$ ). It is easy to see that

$$(5) \quad \|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|, \quad \|xy\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|, \quad \eta(x) \leq \eta(xy)$$

for arbitrary  $x, y \in Z$ .

Put, further,  $A = \{x; \|x\| < \infty\}$  and let  $\mathfrak{Y}$  be the system of all sequences  $\{x_n\}$  ( $n \in N$ ,  $x_n \in Z$ ) such that  $\sup_n \|x_n\| < \infty$ ,  $\eta(x_n) \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows from (5) that  $A$  is a ring and that  $\{ax_n\} \in \mathfrak{Y}$ ,  $\{x_n + ax_n\} = \{(j+a)x_n\} \in \mathfrak{Y}$  for each  $a \in A$  and each  $\{x_n\} \in \mathfrak{Y}$ . Now we define a convergence  $x_n \rightarrow x$  on  $Z$  by the relations  $xx_n = x_n$ ,  $\{x_n + x\} \in \mathfrak{Y}$ . By [1], 4 this convergence fulfils the conditions 1) and 2) of [1], 3. Further let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be the additive group of real numbers with the usual convergence.

An element  $z \in Z$  belongs to  $A$  if and only if there exists the limit  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z(k)$ ; we denote it by  $z(\infty)$ . Let  $A_0$  be the set of all  $z \in A$  such that  $z(\infty) = 0$ . Now define

$$(6) \quad j_n(k) = 1 \quad \text{for } k \leq n, \quad j_n(k) = 0 \quad \text{for } k > n.$$

It is easy to see that  $aj_n \in A_0$  and  $aj_n \rightarrow a$  for each  $a \in A$ . Thus we get  $\mathbf{u}A_0 = A$ ;  $A_0$  is clearly an ideal in  $Z$ .

Let  $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{K}}$  be an arbitrary sequence of finite real numbers. Let  $M$  be the set of all  $z \in Z$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k z(k)| < \infty$ . To each  $z \in M$  we attach the number  $\lambda(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z(k)$ . Thus we have defined a mapping  $\lambda$  of  $M$  into  $\mathfrak{G}$ . It is obvious that  $M$  is an ideal in  $Z$  and that  $\lambda$  is additive. If  $z \in M$  and  $\{h_n\} \in \mathfrak{Y}$ , then  $|\lambda(h_n z)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k h_n(k) z(k)| \leq \sum_{k=\eta(h_n)}^{\infty} |a_k z(k)|$ , so that  $\lambda(h_n z) \rightarrow 0$ . According to [1], 6,  $\lambda$  is continuous.

We say that  $\lambda$  is determined by the sequence  $\{a_k\}$ . Let  $A$  be the set of all mappings determined by a sequence of real numbers. If  $\lambda$  is determined by  $\{a_k\}$  and if  $z \in Z$ , then  $\lambda_z$  is determined by  $\{a_k z(k)\}$  so that  $\lambda_z \in A$  as well. Evidently  $\lambda'_z = \lambda_v$ , where  $v = j + z$ .

With each  $\lambda \in A$  we can associate, according to [1], 24, a set  $B(\lambda)$  and a mapping  $\beta(\lambda)$ . If we put, e.g.,  $\omega t = t$  for each  $t \in \mathfrak{G}$ , then, by 3, the conditions R1)–R5) of 2 are fulfilled (we have, of course,  $\gamma = \beta$ ,  $C(\lambda) = B(\lambda)$ ). Now, by 6 and 8, a set  $S(\lambda)$  and a mapping  $\sigma(\lambda, \cdot)$  can be attached to each  $\lambda \in A$ .

**Lemma a.** Let  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  be a convergent series. For  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  put  $r(k) = \max_{j \geq k} \left| \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} a_i \right|$ ; further put  $r(\infty) = 0$ . Then, for each  $x \in A$ , the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x(k)$  is convergent and

$$(7) \quad \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x(k) \right| \leq (1 + 2\|x\|) r(\eta(x)).$$

*Proof.* The convergence of  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x(k)$  is obvious. We may suppose that  $\eta = \eta(x) < \infty$ . Put  $s_k = a_1 + \dots + a_k$ ,  $s = a_1 + a_2 + \dots$ . For each  $p > \eta$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^p a_k x(k) = \sum_{k=\eta}^{p-1} (s_k - s_{\eta-1})(x(k) - x(k+1)) + (s_p - s_{\eta-1})x(p)$ ; hence

$$(8) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x(k) = \sum_{k=\eta}^{\infty} (s_k - s_{\eta-1})(x(k) - x(k+1)) + (s - s_{\eta-1})x(\infty).$$

As  $|x(\infty)| \leq 1$  and  $|s_k - s_{\eta-1}| \leq |s - s_k| + |s - s_{\eta-1}| \leq 2r(\eta)$  for each  $k \geq \eta$ , (7) is an easy consequence of (8).

**Theorem A.** Let  $\lambda$  be determined by  $\{a_k\}$ . Then  $B(\lambda)$  is the set of all  $b \in A$  such that the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b(k)$  converges; its sum is  $\beta(\lambda, b)$  for each  $b \in B(\lambda)$ .

Proof. Let  $B_1$  be the set of all  $b \in A$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b(k)$  converges; we denote this sum by  $\varphi(b)$ . It is easy to see that  $B_1$  is an ideal in  $A$ . If  $b \in B_1$ ,  $\{h_n\} \in \mathfrak{P}$ ,  $bh_n = h_n$ , then, by lemma a),  $\varphi(h_n) \rightarrow 0$ . According to [1], 6,  $\varphi$  is continuous. Evidently  $\varphi(x) = \lambda(x)$  for each  $x \in B_1 \cap M(\lambda)$ . Since  $A_0 \subset M(\lambda)$ , we have  $A = \mathbf{u}(A_0) \subset \mathbf{u}(M(\lambda))$ . It follows from [1], 19 that  $B_1 \subset B(\lambda)$ .

Choose, conversely, a  $b \in B(\lambda)$  and define  $j_n$  by means of (6). Then  $bj_n \rightarrow b$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b(k) j_n(k) = \lambda(bj_n) = \beta(\lambda, bj_n) \rightarrow \beta(\lambda, b)$ , whence  $b \in B_1$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b(k) = \beta(\lambda, b)$ .

**Theorem B.** Let  $\lambda$  be determined by  $\{a_k\}$ . Then  $S(\lambda)$  is the set of all  $z \in Z$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z(k)$  converges; its sum is  $\sigma(\lambda, z)$  for each  $z \in S(\lambda)$ .

Proof. If  $z \in S(\lambda)$ , then  $\sigma(\lambda, z) = \sigma(\lambda_z, j) = \beta(\lambda_z, j) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z(k)$  by 13, 16 and by Theorem A. The same is true, if  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z(k)$  converges.

**Lemma b).** If  $a_k \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = \infty$  and if  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k = 0$ , then there exist  $x, y \in Z$  such that  $x + y = j$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(x(k) - y(k)) = 0$ .

Proof. We find easily numbers  $b_k = \pm 1$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k = 0$ . Now we put  $x(k) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + b_k)$ ,  $y(k) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - b_k)$ .

**Theorem C.** Let  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  be a non-absolutely convergent series of real numbers and let  $\lambda$  be determined by  $\{a_k\}$ . Then there exist  $x, y \in S(\lambda)$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x(k) y(k) = \infty, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k |x(k) - y(k)| = -\infty;$$

hence  $xy, x + y \in Z - S(\lambda)$ .

Proof. Put  $z^+(k) = 1$  for  $a_k > 0$ ,  $z^+(k) = 0$  for  $a_k \leq 0$ ,  $z^-(k) = 1 - z^+(k)$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Clearly  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^+(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-a_k) z^-(k) = \infty$ ,  $z^+ z^- = 0$ ,  $z^+ + z^- = j$ .

For each  $z \in Z$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  put  $\lambda_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k z(k)$ . Then

$$(9) \quad \lambda_n(z^+) \rightarrow \infty, \quad \lambda_n(z^-) \rightarrow -\infty$$

and by lemma b) there exist  $t^+, v^+, t^-, v^- \in Z$  such that  $t^+ + v^+ = t^- + v^- = j$  (hence  $t^+ v^+ = t^- v^- = 0$ ) and that

$$(10) \quad \lambda_n(z^+ t^+) - \lambda_n(z^+ v^+) \rightarrow 0, \quad \lambda_n(z^- t^-) - \lambda_n(z^- v^-) \rightarrow 0.$$



We now define

$$\begin{aligned}x &= t^+ z^+ + t^- z^-, & x' &= v^+ z^+ + v^- z^-, \\y &= t^+ z^+ + v^- z^-, & y' &= v^+ z^+ + t^- z^-.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (10) that

$$\lambda_n(x) - \lambda_n(x') \rightarrow 0, \quad \lambda_n(y) - \lambda_n(y') \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $x + x' = y + y' = j$ , we have

$$\lambda_n(x) + \lambda_n(x') = \lambda_n(y) + \lambda_n(y') = \lambda_n(j) \rightarrow \beta(\lambda, j),$$

whence  $\lambda_n(x) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\beta(\lambda, j)$ ,  $\lambda_n(y) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\beta(\lambda, j)$ , so that, by Theorem B,  $x, y \in S(\lambda)$ .

Clearly  $xy = t^+ z^+$ ,  $x + y = z^-$ . According to (9),  $\lambda_n(x + y) \rightarrow -\infty$ . Since  $t^+ z^+ + v^+ z^+ = z^+$ ,  $t^+ v^+ = 0$ , we have  $\lambda_n(t^+ z^+) + \lambda_n(v^+ z^+) = \lambda_n(z^+) \rightarrow \infty$  and by (10) we get  $\lambda_n(xy) = \lambda_n(t^+ z^+) \rightarrow \infty$ , which completes the proof.

**Theorem D.** Suppose that  $z, z' \in Z - A$ ,  $z + z' = j$ . Let  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  be such a non-absolutely convergent series that  $a_k z(k) = a_k$  for all  $k$ . Let the sequences  $\{a_k\}$ ,  $\{z'(k)\}$ ,  $\{a_k + z'(k)\}$  determine mappings  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  respectively. Then  $\lambda(x) + \mu(x) = \nu(x)$  for each  $x \in M(\lambda) \cap M(\mu)$ , but the relation  $T(\lambda) \cap T(\mu) \subset T(\nu)$  does not hold.

*Proof.* Since  $j \in B(\lambda)$ ,  $z' \in M(\lambda)$ , we have  $z = j + z' \in T(\lambda)$ ; evidently  $z \in M(\mu)$ , whence  $z \in T(\lambda) \cap T(\mu)$ . Suppose that  $z \in T(\nu)$ . Then

$$(11) \quad z = b + m, \quad b \in B(\nu), \quad m \in M(\nu).$$

As  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k + z'(k)) b(k)$  converges by Theorem A, there exists a  $k_0$  such that  $b(k) z'(k) = 0$  for each  $k > k_0$ . Since the set  $\{k; z'(k) = 1\}$  is infinite and since  $b \in A$ , there exists a  $k_1$  such that  $b(k) = 0$  for all  $k \geq k_1$ . By (11),  $z(k) = m(k)$  for these  $k$ ; it follows that

$$\sum_{k=k_1}^{\infty} |a_k| = \sum_{k=k_1}^{\infty} |a_k| z(k) = \sum_{k=k_1}^{\infty} |a_k + z'(k)| m(k).$$

As  $m \in M(\nu)$ , we obtain  $\sum_{k=k_1}^{\infty} |a_k| < \infty$ , in contradiction to our hypothesis. Thus we get  $z \in (T(\lambda) \cap T(\mu)) - T(\nu)$ .

#### References

- [1] J. Holec, J. Mařík: Continuous additive mappings, Czech. Math. J. 15 (90), 1965, 237—243.
- [2] J. Mařík, J. Matyska: On a generalization of the Lebesgue integral in  $E_m$ , Czech. Math. J. 15 (90), 1965, 261—269.

## Резюме

### ПРОДОЛЖЕНИЯ АДДИТИВНЫХ ОТОБРАЖЕНИЙ

ЯН МАРЖИК (Jan Mařík), Прага

Пусть  $Z$  — кольцо Буля и пусть  $\mathfrak{G}$  — абелева группа. Пусть  $A$  — определенное семейство, элементы которого суть аддитивные отображения  $\lambda$  некоторого множества  $M(\lambda) \subset Z$  в группу  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Всякому  $\lambda \in A$  поставим в соответствие его аддитивное продолжение  $\sigma(\lambda)$ , отображающее множество  $S(\lambda) \subset Z$  в группу  $\mathfrak{G}$ , и для  $x \in S(\lambda)$  положим  $(\sigma(\lambda))(x) = \sigma(\lambda, x)$ . Если  $\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in A$  и если  $\lambda_1(x) + \lambda_2(x) = \lambda(x)$  для  $x \in M(\lambda_1) \cap M(\lambda_2)$ , то  $\sigma(\lambda_1, x) + \sigma(\lambda_2, x) = \sigma(\lambda, x)$  для  $x \in S(\lambda_1) \cap S(\lambda_2)$ . Эти результаты используются в дальнейшей работе для обобщения интеграла Лебега.