

Karel Karták; Jan Mařík

A non-absolutely convergent integral in E_m and the theorem of Gauss

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 15 (1965), No. 2, 253–260

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100667>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1965

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A NON-ABSOLUTELY CONVERGENT INTEGRAL IN E_m
AND THE THEOREM OF GAUSS

KAREL KARTÁK and JAN MAŘÍK, Praha

(Received January 16, 1964)

Let f be a function, defined on a subset of E_m . By the method developed in [2], an integral $\beta(f, A)$ which needn't be absolutely convergent is defined on a class of sets $A \subset E_m$. If A is a bounded set with finite perimeter such that the Lebesgue integral $L = \int_A f(x) dx$ converges, then $\beta(f, A) = L$. Further, a transformation theorem for the integral β and a theorem on the representation of a surface integral by means of the integral β are proved.

1. Throughout the paper, m denotes an integer >1 , and E_m stands for the m -dimensional Euclidean space. For natural k and $A \subset E_k$ let $|A|$ denote the outer Lebesgue measure of the set A . (Of course, for $a \in E_1$ the symbol $|a|$ has its usual meaning; there is no danger of misunderstanding.) Words e.g. "measurable", "almost everywhere" are related to the Lebesgue measure on E_m . The symbols \bar{A} , A° , \dot{A} denote the closure, the interior and the boundary of a set $A \subset E_m$, respectively.

Let \mathfrak{B} denote the system of all vectors $v = [v_1, \dots, v_m]$, where v_i are polynomials in m variables. For each bounded measurable subset A of E_m and each $v \in \mathfrak{B}$, we put $P(A, v) = \int_A \operatorname{div} v(x) dx$; further, we define $\|A\| = \sup P(A, v)$, with $v \in \mathfrak{B}$ fulfilling $\sum_{i=1}^m (v_i(x))^2 \leq 1$ for each $x \in A$. The letter \mathfrak{A} stands for the set of all $A \subset E_m$ such that $\|A\| < \infty$. For each continuous vector v on the boundary of $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, we define $P(A, v)$ according to [1], 13 and 15.

Let \mathfrak{Z} denote the system of all measurable subsets of the space E_m . For $R, S \in \mathfrak{Z}$, define $RS = R \cap S$, $R + S = (R \cup S) - (R \cap S)$ respectively; then \mathfrak{Z} becomes a Boolean ring (see [2], 2), with zero element equal to the empty set and E_m as unit element. For $\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{X} \subset \mathfrak{Z}$, let $\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{X}$ denote the system of all $RT = R \cap T$, with $R \in \mathfrak{R}$, $T \in \mathfrak{X}$. If \mathfrak{R} consists of only one element R , we write $\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{X} = R\mathfrak{X}$.

According to [1], 35, we have

$$(1) \quad \max (\|A \cup B\|, \|A \cap B\|, \|A - B\|) \leq \|A\| + \|B\|$$

for arbitrary $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$. It follows that \mathfrak{A} is a subring of \mathfrak{Z} (of course, there is no unit element in \mathfrak{A}).

Further, let \mathfrak{Y} denote the set of all sequences $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $A_n \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\sup \|A_n\| < \infty$, $|A_n| \rightarrow 0$. Evidently, $\{A_n\} \in \mathfrak{Y}$, $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ implies $|A_n \cap A| \rightarrow 0$, $|A_n - A| \rightarrow 0$, and by (1) we have $\sup \|A_n \cap A\| < \infty$, $\sup \|A_n - A\| < \infty$; hence also $\{A_n \cap A\} \in \mathfrak{Y}$, $\{A_n - A\} \in \mathfrak{Y}$.

Let us define a convergence \rightarrow on the ring \mathfrak{Z} in the following way: the symbol $Z_n \rightarrow Z$ means that $Z_n \subset Z$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and $\{Z - Z_n\} \in \mathfrak{Y}$. From [2], 4 we infer that the assumptions of [2], 3 will be fulfilled, when we put $Z = \mathfrak{Z}$, $A = \mathfrak{A}$. The closure of a set $\mathfrak{K} \subset \mathfrak{Z}$ is defined as in [2], 1, and denoted by $\mathfrak{u}\mathfrak{K}$. The notion of a continuous additive mapping of a set $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{Z}$ into E_1 is defined in an evident way (see [2], 1 and 5, with $\mathfrak{G} = E_1$, of course).

The domain of definition of a map φ is denoted by $\text{Dom } \varphi$. Let \mathcal{F} be the system of all realvalued functions f ($\pm \infty$ not excluded) such that $\text{Dom } f \subset E_m$. To each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ we attach the set $\mathfrak{M}(f)$ of all $Z \in \mathfrak{Z}$ such that finite Lebesgue integral $\lambda(f, Z) = \int_Z f(x) dx$ exists; instead of $\lambda(f, \cdot)$ we shall write $\lambda(f)$. The system $\mathfrak{M}(f)$ is clearly an ideal in the ring \mathfrak{Z} .

2. Let $\mathfrak{K} \subset \mathfrak{Z}$. Let \mathfrak{X} denote the system of all $T \in \mathfrak{Z}$ with the following property: given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R \in \mathfrak{K}$ such that $R \subset T$, $|T - R| < \varepsilon$, $T - R \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then $\mathfrak{u}\mathfrak{K} \subset \mathfrak{X}$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{K} \subset \mathfrak{X}$, $\mathfrak{u}\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}$.

3. Let $\mathfrak{K} \subset \mathfrak{Z}$, $T \in \mathfrak{u}\mathfrak{K}$. Then there exist $R_n \in \mathfrak{K}$ such that $R_n \subset T$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), $T - R_n \in \mathfrak{A}$, $|T - \bigcup R_n| = 0$.

(This follows immediately from 2.)

4. Let $M \in \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{M}(f))$. Then $f(x) \in E_1$ for almost all $x \in M$ and f is measurable on M .

(This follows immediately from 3.)

5. For each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $\lambda(f)$ is a continuous additive mapping of the set $\mathfrak{M}(f)$ into E_1 .

Proof. The additivity of $\lambda(f)$ is clear. Let now $M \in \mathfrak{M}(f)$, $\{A_n\} \in \mathfrak{Y}$, $A_n \subset M$. As $|A_n| \rightarrow 0$, it follows from absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral that $\lambda(f, A_n) \rightarrow 0$. The mapping λ is therefore continuous (see [2], 6).

6. **Definition.** To each mapping $\lambda(f)$, there corresponds according to [2], 19 (we put $A = \mathfrak{A}$, $Z = \mathfrak{Z}$, $\mathfrak{G} = E_1$, $\mu = \lambda(f)$ there) a mapping β ; we put $\beta = \beta(f)$, and for each $A \in \text{Dom } \beta$ we shall write $\beta(A) = \beta(f, A)$ (so that $\beta(f) = \beta(f, \cdot)$). Of course, $\text{Dom } \beta(f) \subset \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{M}(f))$. From [2], 22 it follows that $\text{Dom } \beta(f)$ is an ideal in \mathfrak{A} and that the mapping $\beta(f)$ is continuous and additive. Using notations of [2], 24, we have, of course, $\beta(f) = \beta(\lambda(f))$. Instead of " $A \in \text{Dom } \beta(f)$ " we shall sometimes write " $\beta(f, A)$ exists", etc. In this case $A \in \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{M}(f))$, and from 4 we infer that $f(x) \in E_1$ for almost all $x \in A$.

Remark. Supposing $A \in \text{Dom } \beta(f) \cap \mathfrak{M}(f)$ we have $\beta(f, A) = \lambda(f, A)$ by [2], 20; hence, the mapping $\beta(f)$ is a kind of improper integral of the function f .

7. Theorem. Let $f, g, h \in \mathcal{F}$; suppose that the sum $s = \beta(f, A) + \beta(g, A)$ has a meaning and $h(x) = f(x) + g(x)$ for almost all $x \in A$. Then $s = \beta(h, A)$.

Proof. Clearly $\lambda(h, M) = \lambda(f, M) + \lambda(g, M)$ for each $M \subset A$ such that the right-hand side is meaningful. Now, we apply [2], 25.

8. Theorem. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$, $c \in E_1$; suppose that $\beta(f, A)$ exists and that $g(x) = c f(x)$ for almost all $x \in A$. Then $\beta(g, A) = c \beta(f, A)$.

(This follows immediately from [2], 26.)

9. Let ζ be a one-to-one regular mapping of an open set $G \subset E_m$ into E_m . Let K be compact, $K \subset G$. Then there exist $c_1, c_2 \in E_1$ such that for each measurable set $S \subset K$ the relations $|\zeta(S)| \leq c_1(S)$, $\|\zeta(S)\| \leq c_2\|S\|$ hold.

Proof. For a matrix T with elements t_{ik} we put $v(T) = (\sum_{i,k} t_{ik}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$; vectors are considered as matrices with one column. Let M be the functional matrix of the mapping ζ , $N = M^{-1} \cdot |\det M|$. There exist $c_1, c_2 \in E_1$ such that, for each $x \in K$, $|\det M(x)| < c_1$ and $v(N(x)) < c_2$. Let S be a measurable subset of K . From a well-known theorem, we obtain $|\zeta(S)| = \int_S |\det M(x)| dx \leq c_1|S|$. The inequality $\|\zeta(S)\| \leq c_2\|S\|$ is clear for $\|S\| = \infty$; suppose therefore $S \in \mathfrak{A}$ and let w be a continuous vector on the set $\zeta(G)$ such that $v(w(y)) \leq 1$ for each $y \in \zeta(S)$. Putting $v(x) = N(x)w(\zeta(x))$, we have $v(v(x)) \leq c_2$ for each $x \in S$, and, according to [1], 50, $P(\zeta(S), w) = P(S, v) \leq c_2\|S\|$, whence $\|\zeta(S)\| \leq c_2\|S\|$.

10. Let ζ be a one-to-one regular mapping of an open set $G \subset E_m$ into E_m . Let S be bounded, $\bar{S} \subset G$, $S_n \rightarrow S$, $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\bar{A} \subset G$. Then $\zeta(S_n) \rightarrow \zeta(S)$, $\zeta(A) \in \mathfrak{A}$.

(This follows immediately from 9.)

11. Theorem. Let ζ be a one-to-one regular mapping of an open set $G \subset E_m$ into E_m , and let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Let D be the functional determinant of the mapping ζ . Put $g(x) = f(\zeta(x)) |D(x)|$ for each x such that the right-hand side is defined. Suppose that $\bar{A} \subset G$. Then $\beta(g, A) = \beta(f, \zeta(A))$ whenever at least one side of this equation has a meaning.

Proof. I. Let $\beta(g, A)$ exist. First, we prove that $\zeta(A) \in \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{M}(f))$. There exists a compact set $K \subset \mathfrak{A}$ such that $A \subset K \subset G$. Let \mathfrak{F} denote the system of all $S \subset E_m$ such that $\zeta(S \cap K) \in \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{M}(f))$. For $S_n \in \mathfrak{F}$, $S_n \rightarrow S$, we have $S_n \cap K \rightarrow S \cap K$ so that, according to 10, $\zeta(S_n \cap K) \rightarrow \zeta(S \cap K)$. Hence $S \in \mathfrak{F}$; thus, we see that $\mathfrak{u}\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}$. Supposing $S \in \mathfrak{M}(g)$ we have $S \cap K \in \mathfrak{M}(g)$, too, and from the transformation theorem for Lebesgue integrals we get $\lambda(g, S \cap K) = \lambda(f, \zeta(S \cap K))$ so that $\zeta(S \cap K) \in \mathfrak{M}(f)$, $S \in \mathfrak{F}$; this proves that $\mathfrak{M}(g) \subset \mathfrak{F}$. Hence it follows that $A \in \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{M}(g)) \subset \mathfrak{F}$, or $\zeta(A) \in \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{M}(f))$.

As $\text{Dom } \beta(g)$ is an ideal in \mathfrak{A} , $\beta(g, S)$ exists for each S such that $S \in \mathfrak{A}$, $S \subset A$. If $T \in \zeta(A) \mathfrak{A}$, we have $\bar{T} \subset \zeta(\bar{A})$ and, according to 10, $\zeta^{-1}(T) \in \mathfrak{A}$. We see that on $\zeta(A) \mathfrak{A}$ we may define a mapping $\varphi(T) = \beta(g, \zeta^{-1}(T))$; φ is continuous. If $T \in (\zeta(A) \mathfrak{A}) \cap \mathfrak{M}(f)$, then $\varphi(T) = \lambda(g, \zeta^{-1}(T)) = \lambda(f, T)$; from the definition of the mapping $\beta(f)$ we see that $\beta(f, \zeta(A)) = \varphi(\zeta(A)) = \beta(g, A)$.

II. Let $\beta(f, \zeta(A))$ exist. Let D_1 be the functional determinant of the mapping ζ^{-1} . Put $h(y) = g(\zeta^{-1}(y)) |D_1(y)|$ for each y such that the right-hand side is meaningful. Then, for each $y \in \zeta(G) \cap \text{Dom } f$, we have $h(y) = f(y)$. $|D(\zeta^{-1}(y))| |D_1(y)| = f(y)$. According to 4, almost all $y \in \zeta(A)$ lie in $\text{Dom } f$, and Theorem 8 (with $c = 1$) then gives $\beta(f, \zeta(A)) = \beta(h, \zeta(A))$. If we put in I $h, g, \zeta(A)$, ζ^{-1} instead of g, f, A, ζ respectively, we get $\beta(h, \zeta(A)) = \beta(g, A)$, which completes the proof.

12. Let $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and let v be a continuous vector on \bar{A} . For each $C \in A\mathfrak{A}$ put $\varphi(C) = P(C, v)$. Then the mapping φ is continuous.

Proof. Let $\{C_n\} \in \mathfrak{Y}$, $\bigcup C_n \subset A$, and $v = [v_1, \dots, v_m]$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$. There exist polynomials w_1, \dots, w_m such that $|w_i(x) - v_i(x)| < \varepsilon$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) for each $x \in \bar{A}$. Put $w = [w_1, \dots, w_m]$, $\sigma_1 = \sup \|C_n\|$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), $\sigma_2 = \sup |\text{div } w(x)|$ ($x \in A$). According to [1], 16, c we have $|\varphi(C_n)| \leq |P(C_n, v - w)| + |\lambda(\text{div } w, C_n)| \leq \sigma_1 \varepsilon m^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sigma_2 |C_n|$ so that $\varphi(C_n) \rightarrow 0$. According to [1], 14, remark 2, φ is additive. Hence (see [2], 6) our assertion easily follows.

13. Notation. 1) For each open set $G \subset E_m$, let $\mathfrak{R}(G)$ denote the system of all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\bar{A} \subset G$.

2) We say that a function f is the integral divergence of a vector v on a set $M \subset E_m$, if the vector v is continuous on M and if $P(K, v) = \lambda(f, K)$ for each closed cube $K \subset M$.

14. Theorem. Let G be an open set in E_m and let $A \in \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{R}(G))$. Let v be a continuous vector on $\bar{A} \cup G$ and let the function f be the integral divergence of v on G . Then $P(A, v) = \beta(f, A)$.

Proof. For each $C \in A\mathfrak{A}$ put $\varphi(C) = P(C, v)$. It follows from 12 that φ is continuous and, by [1], 24, we have $\varphi(C) = \lambda(f, C)$ for each $C \in A\mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{R}(G)$. Now it follows from [2], 21 that $\beta(f, A) = \varphi(A) = P(A, v)$.

Remark 1. There are several sufficient conditions for a function f to be the integral divergence of a vector $v = [v_1, \dots, v_m]$ on an open set $G \subset E_m$. If, for example, $\partial v_1 / \partial x_1, \dots, \partial v_m / \partial x_m$ are continuous on G or if v_1, \dots, v_m have a total differential in each point $x \in G$ and if for each closed cube $K \subset G$ the integral $\lambda(\text{div } v, K)$ exists, we may put $f = \text{div } v$. (See also [3], Theorem 4, condition 1.)

Remark 2. In theorem 23 a sufficient condition for the validity of the relation $A \in \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{R}(G))$ will be given.

15. Let G, G_α be open sets in E_m (α runs through an arbitrary index-set). For each α let $\mathfrak{R}(G_\alpha) \subset \mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{R}(G))$. Then also $\mathfrak{R}(\bigcup G_\alpha) \subset \mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{R}(G))$.

Proof. Let $A \in \mathfrak{R}(\bigcup G_\alpha)$. It is easy to see that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the following holds: if K is a set with diameter $< \varepsilon$ such that $K \cap \bar{A} \neq \emptyset$, then K lies in G_α for some α . Hence there exist closed cubes K_1, \dots, K_n such that $\bar{A} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n K_j$ and, for each j , K_j lies in G_α for some α . By assumption, $A \cap K_j \in \mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{R}(G))$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. As the system $\mathfrak{R}(G)$ contains the union of each pair of its elements, we see from [2], 12 (where we put $P = Q = \mathfrak{R}(G)$) that the system $\mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{R}(G))$ has this property too. Hence it follows immediately that $A = \bigcup_{j=1}^n (A \cap K_j) \in \mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{R}(G))$.

16. Notation. Let \mathfrak{N} denote the system of all $N \subset E_m$ with the following property: if G, G_1 are open sets in E_m such that $G_1 - G \subset N$, then $\mathfrak{R}(G_1) \subset \mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{R}(G))$.

17. Let G be an open set in E_m ; let $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\bar{A} - G \in \mathfrak{N}$. Then $A \in \mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{R}(G))$.

Proof. Put $F = \bar{A} - G$, $U = E_m - F$. If $T \in \mathfrak{R}(U)$, then $\overline{A \cap T} \subset \bar{A} \cap \bar{T} \subset (G \cup F) \cap (E_m - F) \subset G$, whence $A \mathfrak{R}(U) \subset \mathfrak{R}(G)$. It follows from $E_m - U \in \mathfrak{N}$ that $\mathfrak{R}(E_m) \subset \mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{R}(U))$, and by [2], 10 we obtain $A \in A \mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{R}(U)) \subset \mathbf{u}(A \mathfrak{R}(U)) \subset \mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{R}(G))$.

18. Let N_j be closed sets, $N_j \in \mathfrak{N}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$). Then $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} N_j \in \mathfrak{N}$.

Proof. Let G, G_1 be open, $G_1 - G \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} N_j$. Let U be the maximal open set such that $\mathfrak{R}(U) \subset \mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{R}(G))$ (by 15, such U exists). Suppose that $G_1 \subset U$ is false; we shall arrive at a contradiction. Put $F = G_1 - U$. Hence, F is a non-empty G_δ -set in E_m . As $U \supset G$, we have $F \subset G_1 - G \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} N_j$. The Baire's theorem implies that there exist an index p and an open set $V \subset G_1$ such that $\emptyset \neq V \cap F \subset F \cap N_p$; hence

$$(2) \quad \emptyset \neq V - U \subset N_p \in \mathfrak{N}.$$

Accordingly, $\mathfrak{R}(V) \subset \mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{R}(U)) \subset \mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{R}(G))$, and therefore $V \subset U$, a contradiction with (2). This proves that $G_1 \subset U$ so that $\mathfrak{R}(G_1) \subset \mathfrak{R}(U) \subset \mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{R}(G))$; hence $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} N_j \in \mathfrak{N}$.

19. Let $N \in \mathfrak{N}$, $M \subset E_n$. Suppose there exists a sequence $\{U_n\} \in \mathfrak{P}$ such that $M \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n^\circ$. Then $M \cup N \in \mathfrak{N}$.

Proof. Let G, G_1 be open sets, $G_1 - G \subset N \cup M$; further, let $A \in \mathfrak{R}(G_1)$. Put $A_n = A - U_n$. Then $\bar{A}_n \subset \bar{A} - U_n^\circ \subset G_1 - M$, hence $\bar{A}_n - G \subset G_1 - G - M \subset N$. According to 17 we have $A_n \in \mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{R}(G))$ for all n . As $A - A_n = U_n \cap A$, we have $A_n \rightarrow A$ so that $A \in \mathbf{u}(\mathfrak{R}(G))$. This proves this lemma.

20. Notation. For each set $M \subset E_m$, the symbol $H(M)$ will denote its outer $(m - 1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure.

21. Let κ be the volume of the $(m - 1)$ -dimensional sphere with diameter 1. Let M be a bounded set in E_m , $H(M) < \infty$. Then there exist open sets $A_n \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $M \subset A_n$, $\|A_n\| \leq 2m\kappa^{-1}H(M) + n^{-1}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), $|A_n| \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. For each m -dimensional cube K , let $h(K)$ denote the length of its edge. It is easy to see ([1], remark to Theorem 20) that $\|K^\circ\| = \|K\| = 2m(h(K))^{m-1}$. From the definition of $H(M)$ it follows that there exist cubes K_{nk} ($n, k = 1, 2, \dots$) with the following properties: $K_{nk} \cap M \neq \emptyset$, $h(K_{nk}) < n^{-1}$ for all k, n , $\bigcup_k K_{nk}^\circ \supset M$, $\kappa \sum_k (h(K_{nk}))^{m-1} < H(M) + \kappa(2mn)^{-1}$ for all n . Hence

$$(3) \quad \sum_k \|K_{nk}\| < 2m\kappa^{-1}H(M) + n^{-1} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Put $A_n = \bigcup_k K_{nk}^\circ$. According to [1], 37,

$$(4) \quad \|A_n\| \leq \sum_k \|K_{nk}\| \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Further $|A_n| \leq \sum_k (h(K_{nk}))^m \leq n^{-1} \sum_k (h(K_{nk}))^{m-1} < n^{-1}(\kappa^{-1}H(M) + (2mn)^{-1}) \rightarrow 0$. Now, our assertion follows easily from (3) and (4).

22. Let $H(S) < \infty$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a closed set F such that $H(F) \leq H(S)$, $H(S - F) < \varepsilon$.

Proof. Let κ denote the volume of the $(m - 1)$ -dimensional sphere with diameter 1 and let $d(R)$ be the diameter of $R \subset E_m$. There exist closed sets C_{nk} such that $\bigcup_k C_{nk} \supset S$, $\kappa \sum_k (d(C_{nk}))^{m-1} < H(S) + n^{-1}$ for all n and $d(C_{nk}) < n^{-1}$ for all k, n . It

is possible to choose r_1, r_2, \dots such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=r_n+1}^{\infty} (d(C_{nk}))^{m-1} < \infty$. For $p = 1, 2, \dots$

define $B_p = \bigcap_{n=p}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{r_n} C_{nk}$; further, put $B = \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} B_p$, $Q = \bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=p}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=r_n+1}^{\infty} C_{nk}$. It is easy to see

that $H(B_p) \leq H(S)$ for each p , and $H(Q) = 0$, $S \subset B \cup Q$. There exists a p such that $H(B - B_p) < \varepsilon$; write $F = B_p$. Then $H(S - F) \leq H(S - B) + H(B - F) < \varepsilon$.

23. Theorem. Let $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and let G be an open set in E_m . Suppose there exist M_n with $H(M_n) < \infty$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and $\bar{A} - G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$. Then $A \in \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{A}(G))$.

Proof. As it follows from 22, there exist closed sets F_n such that $H(F_n) < \infty$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H(M_n - F_n) < \infty$. Put $S = \bar{A} - G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$, $T = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$.

Evidently, $S - T \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (M_n - F_n)$ so that $H(S - T) < \infty$. According to 21 and 19

(where we put $N = \emptyset$, $M = F_n$) $F_n \in \mathfrak{A}$, and from 18 we get $T \in \mathfrak{A}$. Sections 21 and 19 (we put $N = T$, $M = S - T$ there) give $S \cup T = T \cup (S - T) \in \mathfrak{A}$, and all the more $S \in \mathfrak{A}$. Now apply 17.

24. Let A be a bounded set, $B \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\dot{A} \subset B^\circ$. Then $\|A - B\| \leq \|B\|$.

Proof. Put $A_1 = A - B$, $A_2 = A \cup B$. As $A_1 = \bar{A} - B$, $A_2 = \bar{A} \cup B$, both A_1, A_2 are measurable; as $A_1 \subset \bar{A} - B^\circ$, $A^\circ \cup B^\circ \subset A_2$, we have $\bar{A}_1 \subset \bar{A} - B^\circ \subset A^\circ \subset A^\circ \cup B^\circ \subset A_2^\circ$, and from [1], 9, d) we infer that $\|A - B\| \leq \|A_1\| + \|A_2\| = \|A_2 - A_1\| = \|B\|$.

25. Let A be a bounded set. Let $A_n \subset A$, $A_n \in \mathfrak{A}$, $|A - A_n| \rightarrow 0$. Then $\|A\| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_n\|$.

Proof. Let v_1, \dots, v_m be polynomials such that $\sum_{i=1}^m (v_i(x))^2 = 1$ for each $x \in A$; put $v = [v_1, \dots, v_m]$. From the assumption $|A - A_n| \rightarrow 0$ it follows easily that $P(A_n, v) \rightarrow P(A, v)$; as $P(A_n, v) \leq \|A_n\|$, we have $\|A\| = \sup_v P(A, v) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_n\|$.

26. Theorem. Let A be a bounded set such that its boundary \dot{A} fulfils the relation $H(\dot{A}) < \infty$. Then $A \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Proof. According to 21 there exists a sequence $\{B_n\} \in \mathfrak{P}$ such that $\dot{A} \subset B_n^\circ$; put $A_n = A - B_n$. From 24 we have $\sup_n \|A_n\| < \infty$; as $A - A_n \subset B_n$, we conclude that $|A - A_n| \rightarrow 0$. Now we apply 25.

27. Example. Let f_1 be a continuous function on $(0, \infty)$ such that the integral $\int_0^1 f_1(t) dt$ converges non-absolutely. Put $A = \{[x_1, \dots, x_m] \in E_m; 0 < x_i < 1 (i = 1, \dots, m)\}$, $G = \{[x_1, \dots, x_m] \in E_m; x_1 > 0\}$. Further, for $x = [x_1, \dots, x_m] \in G$ put $f(x) = f_1(x_1)$ and for $x \in \bar{G}$ put $v(x) = [\int_0^{x_1} f_1(t) dt, 0, \dots, 0]$. It is clear that $\lambda(f, A)$ does not exist; however, from Theorems 14 and 23 we infer that $\beta(f, A)$ exists.

Remark. Some results of this paper are contained in [4].

References

- [1] J. Mařík: The surface integral, Czech. Math. J., 6 (81), 1956, 522—558.
- [2] J. Holec, J. Mařík: Continuous additive mappings, Czech. Math. J., 15 (90), 1965, 237—243.
- [3] J. Mařík: Заметка к теории поверхностного интеграла, Чех. Мат. Ж., 6 (81), 1956, 387—400.
- [4] J. Mařík: Uneigentliche mehrfache Integrale, Wiss. Z. Humboldt-Univ. Berlin, Math.-nat. R. X (1961), 413—414.

Резюме

НЕАБСОЛЮТНО СХОДЯЩИЙСЯ ИНТЕГРАЛ В E_m И ТЕОРЕМА ГАУССА

КАРЕЛ КАРТАК (Karel Karták) и ЯН МАРЖИК (Jan Mařík), Прага

Пусть для каждого $A \subset E_m$ $|A|$ обозначает внешнюю меру Лебега множества A . Пусть \mathfrak{A} — система всех ограниченных измеримых множеств $A \subset E_m$, периметр $\|A\|$ которых конечен. Пусть теперь f — функция, определенная в некоторой части пространства E_m . Методом, использованным в работе [2], можно для некоторого класса множеств $\mathfrak{B}(f)$ определить интеграл $\beta(f, \cdot)$, который не должен сходиться абсолютно. Если $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ и интеграл Лебега $L = \int_A f(x) dx$ сходится, то $\beta(f, A) = L$. Система $\mathfrak{B}(f)$ является идеалом в \mathfrak{A} . Если $A \in \mathfrak{B}(f)$, $A_n \subset A$, $|A_n| \rightarrow 0$, $\sup \|A_n\| < \infty$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), то $\beta(f, A_n) \rightarrow 0$. Отображение $\beta(f, A)$ является аддитивным относительно A и линейным относительно f . Для взаимно однозначных регулярных отображений интеграл β преобразуется соответственно известной формуле. Пусть, далее, для $M \subset E_m$ $H(M)$ — мера Хаусдорфа размерности $m - 1$. Пусть A — ограниченная часть E_m , \bar{A} — её граница, и G — открытое множество в E_m . Пусть, далее, $H(\bar{A}) < \infty$ и пусть существуют такие множества M_n , что $H(M_n) < \infty$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), и $\bar{A} - G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$. Предположим, наконец, что v — непрерывный вектор, определенный на $G \cup \bar{A}$, имеющий на G непрерывные частные производные первого порядка. Тогда существует $\beta(\operatorname{div} v, A)$ и равняется поверхностному интегралу вектора v через границу множества A .