

František Neuman; Milan Sekanina

Equivalent systems of sets and homeomorphic topologies

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 15 (1965), No. 3, 323–328

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100678>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1965

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

EQUIVALENT SYSTEMS OF SETS
AND HOMEOMORPHIC TOPOLOGIES

FRANTIŠEK NEUMAN and MILAN SEKANINA, Brno

(Received December 23, 1961)

Let P be a set, $X, Y \subset P$. Let us say that X is congruent with Y , if a permutation f of the set P (i.e. a one-to-one mapping of the set P on P) exists such that $f(X) = Y$. We write $X \sim Y^1$). Evidently there holds: $X \sim X$; $X \sim Y \Rightarrow Y \sim X$; $(X \sim Y, Y \sim Z) \Rightarrow X \sim Z$; $X \sim Y \Rightarrow P - X \sim P - Y$; $X \sim Y \equiv (\text{card } X = \text{card } Y, \text{card } P - X = \text{card } P - Y)$.

Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} be systems of subsets of P such that a permutation f of the set P exists for which $\mathcal{T} = \{Y: Y = f(X), X \in \mathcal{S}\}$. Then we say that \mathcal{S} is an *equivalent system to* \mathcal{T} and we write $\mathcal{S} \sim \mathcal{T}$ (or also $\mathcal{T} = f(\mathcal{S})$). Evidently

$$\mathcal{S} \sim \mathcal{S}; \mathcal{S} \sim \mathcal{T} \Rightarrow \mathcal{T} \sim \mathcal{S}; (\mathcal{S} \sim \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T} \sim \mathcal{U}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{S} \sim \mathcal{U}.$$

Let $\mathcal{S}' = \{Y: Y = P - X, X \in \mathcal{S}\}$. \mathcal{S}' is called the system of complements to \mathcal{S} . We have

$$(\mathcal{S}')' = \mathcal{S}; \mathcal{S} \sim \mathcal{T} \equiv \mathcal{S}' \sim \mathcal{T}'.$$

The following statement is evident, too.

Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{S} \subset 2^P$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- 1) $\mathcal{S} \sim \mathcal{T} \Rightarrow \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}$.
- 2) $(X \in \mathcal{S}, X \sim Y) \Rightarrow Y \in \mathcal{S}$.

Theorem 2. Let $\text{card } P = p \geq \aleph_0$. Let $c(\mathcal{S})$ denote the system of all systems $\mathcal{T} \subset 2^P$ for which $\mathcal{S} \sim \mathcal{T}$. Let $\text{card } c(\mathcal{S}) \neq 1$. Then $\text{card } c(\mathcal{S}) \geq p$.

Proof. By Theorem 1, a pair of congruent sets X and Y exists such that $X \in \mathcal{S}$ and $Y \notin \mathcal{S}$.

1) The concept "congruent sets" has been introduced in [1] II. part, pp. 84. See [2] and [3], too.

1. Let $\text{card } X < p$. Then such a set Z exists, for which $Z \cap (X \cup Y) = \emptyset$ and $Z \sim X$. If $Z \in \mathcal{S}$, put $X_1 = Y, X_2 = Z$, if $Z \text{ non } \in \mathcal{S}$, put $X_1 = X, X_2 = Z$. Let R be a decomposition on P into sets congruent with X such that $X_1, X_2 \in R$. Let R_1 be a system of those elements of the decomposition R belonging to \mathcal{S} , R_2 a system of elements of the decomposition R not belonging to \mathcal{S} . $R_1 \neq \emptyset \neq R_2$ (as it follows from the choice of X_1 and X_2) and at least one of these sets has the cardinality p . Let it be e.g. R_1 (for R_2 the procedure is analogous). Let the elements of the system R_1 be denoted by $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_i, \dots$. Let Y' be an element of the system R_2 . There exists such a permutation f_i of the set P for which $f_i(Y') = Y_i, f_i(Y_i) = Y'$ and for $(V \in R, V \neq Y, V \neq Y') \Rightarrow f_i(V) = V$. Thus, $Y_i \text{ non } \in f_i(\mathcal{S}), Y' \in f_i(\mathcal{S}), (V \neq Y_i, V \neq Y') \Rightarrow V \in \mathcal{S} \equiv V \in f_i(\mathcal{S})$. From it follows immediately $\iota \neq \kappa \Rightarrow f_i(\mathcal{S}) \neq f_\kappa(\mathcal{S})$. As the set of indices ι has the cardinality p , we have consequently $\text{card } c(\mathcal{S}) \geq p$.

2. Let $\text{card } X = p, \text{card } P - X = p$. Let $X' = P - X, Y' = P - Y$. We have $X' \sim X, Y' \sim Y$. First, we shall define certain sets X'_1, X'_2 as follows:

a) If $X' \text{ non } \in \mathcal{S}$, put $X'_1 = X, X'_2 = X'$.

b) If a) does not occur and if $Y' \in \mathcal{S}$, put $X'_1 = Y', X'_2 = Y$.

c) Don't let occur either a) or b). Let Z be such a set from sets X and X' , for which $\text{card } (Z \cap Y') = p$. Let such a subset Z' exist, $Z' \subset Z \cap Y', Z' \sim X$ and $Z' \in \mathcal{S}$. Then put $X'_1 = Z', X'_2 = Y$. Let $Z' \text{ non } \in \mathcal{S}$ for any $Z' \subset Z \cap Y', Z' \sim X$. Then, denote Z_1 one such subset and put $X'_1 = P - Z, X'_2 = Z_1$. (Thus, in all cases we have defined two sets X'_1 and X'_2 such that $X'_1 \sim X'_2 \sim X, X'_1 \cap X'_2 = \emptyset, X'_1 \in \mathcal{S}, X'_2 \text{ non } \in \mathcal{S}$.)

α) Let there exist $Z^* \subset X'_1, Z^* \sim X, \text{card } X'_1 - Z^* = p, Z^* \in \mathcal{S}$. Then put $X_1 = Z^*, X_2 = X'_2$.

β) Don't let α) occur. Let there exist $Z^* \subset X'_2, Z^* \sim X, \text{card } X'_2 - Z^* = p, Z^* \text{ non } \in \mathcal{S}$. Then, we put $X_1 = X'_1, X_2 = Z^*$.

γ) Let neither α) nor β) occur. Let Z_1 be a subset in $X', Z_1 \sim X, \text{card } X'_1 - Z_1 = p$, let Z_2 be a subset in $X'_2, Z_2 \sim X, \text{card } (X'_2 - Z_2) = p$. Then, $Z_1 \text{ non } \in \mathcal{S}, Z_2 \in \mathcal{S}$. Put $X_1 = Z_2, X_2 = Z_1$.

Thus, there always exist sets $X_1 \in \mathcal{S}, X_2 \text{ non } \in \mathcal{S}, X_1 \sim X_2 \sim X, \text{card } P - X_1 \cup X_2 = p$. There exists a decomposition R on P such that it contains p elements, that X_1 and X_2 are elements of this decomposition and all elements are congruent with X . The proof is to be continued as in the preceding case.

3. Let $\text{card } X = p, \text{card } P - X < p$. Then, $P - X \in \mathcal{S}', P - Y \text{ non } \in \mathcal{S}'$. Thus, according to 1. $\text{card } c(\mathcal{S}') \geq p$, and consequently $\text{card } c(\mathcal{S}) = \text{card } c(\mathcal{S}') \geq p$.

Thus, the proof of the theorem is finished.

Theorem 2 does not hold for finite sets, as it can easily be seen from the following example: $P = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \mathcal{S} = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}\}$. It is clear that just the systems $\mathcal{S}, \{\{1, 3\}, \{2, 4\}\}, \{\{1, 4\}, \{2, 3\}\}$ are equivalent to \mathcal{S} .

As 2^p permutations of P exist, there exist at most 2^p systems equivalent to a given system \mathcal{S} . Next, let us prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let $p \geq \aleph_0$. Then 2^{2^p} non-equivalent systems $\mathcal{S} \subset 2^P$ exist such that the number of systems equivalent to them is exactly 2^p .*

Proof. Let $P = P_1 \cup P_2$, $\text{card } P_1 = \text{card } P_2 = p$, $P_1 \cap P_2 = \emptyset$. Let \mathcal{S} be a subsystem in 2^{P_1} containing P_1 . We shall show that $\text{card } c(\mathcal{S}) = 2^p$. Let f be a one-to-one mapping of P_2 on P_1 . Let $X \subset P_2$. Let us define the permutation f_X of the set P in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} x \in X &\Rightarrow f_X(x) = f(x), \\ x \in f(X) &\Rightarrow f_X(x) = f^{-1}(x), \\ \text{else } f_X(x) &= x. \end{aligned}$$

Evidently, $\bigcup f_X(\mathcal{S}) \cap P_2 = X$. Thus, $(X \neq Y; X, Y \subset P_2) \Rightarrow f_X(\mathcal{S}) \neq f_Y(\mathcal{S})$. Thus $\text{card } c(\mathcal{S}) = 2^p$.

Let \mathfrak{S} be the class of all systems $\mathcal{S} \subset 2^{P_1}$, containing P_1 . Evidently $\text{card } \mathfrak{S} = 2^{2^p}$. Let us decompose \mathfrak{S} in classes of mutually equivalent systems. As every of this classes has cardinality at most 2^p , there exist 2^{2^p} these classes. Let \mathfrak{S}_1 be the class containing one element of each class of the mentioned decomposition. In accordance with what was said, we have $\text{card } \mathfrak{S}_1 = 2^{2^p}$; $(\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_1; \mathcal{S}_1 \neq \mathcal{S}_2) \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}_1 \text{ non } \sim \mathcal{S}_2$; $\mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{S}_1 \Rightarrow \text{card } c(\mathcal{S}) = 2^p$.

Let F and G be mappings of the system 2^P into 2^P (thus $X \subset P \Rightarrow F(X) \subset P$; $X \subset P \Rightarrow G(X) \subset P$). We say that the mapping F is *equivalent* to G if a permutation f of the set P exists such that $X \subset P \Rightarrow f(F(X)) = G(f(X))$. We write $F \sim G$ or also $G = f \circ F$. The relation \sim is evidently an equivalence. Assign a mapping F' to the mapping F as follows: $F'(X) = P - F(P - X)$. Call the mapping F' the *complementary* mapping to F . It holds $F \sim G \Rightarrow F' \sim G'$. If, namely, $G = f \circ F$, then $f(F'(X)) = P - f(F(P - X)) = P - G(f(P - X)) = G'(f(X))$. Thus $G' = f \circ F'$. Furthermore $(F')' = F$.

Theorem 4. *Let $\text{card } P = p \geq \aleph_0$. Let $F \in (2^P)^{2^P}$. Then the cardinality of the set mappings G equivalent with F is 1 or at least p . The first case occurs exactly when F has these two properties:*

- 1) $X \subset P \Rightarrow F(X) \in \{P, X, P - X, \emptyset\}$.
- 2) If $X \sim Y$ then

$$\begin{aligned} F(X) = P &\Rightarrow F(Y) = P, \\ F(X) = X &\Rightarrow F(Y) = Y, \\ F(X) = P - X &\Rightarrow F(Y) = P - Y, \\ F(X) = \emptyset &\Rightarrow F(Y) = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It can be readily seen that a mapping F fulfilling the relations 1) and 2) is equivalent to itself only.

Let $F \in (2^P)^{2^P}$, $X \subset P$. Put

$$n_F(X) = \text{card}(F(X) - X), \quad d_F(X) = \text{card}(X - F(X)),$$

$$o_F(X) = \text{card}([P - F(X)] - X), \quad m_F(X) = \text{card}(F(X) \cap X).$$

The ordered quadruple of cardinal numbers $(n_F(X), d_F(X), o_F(X), m_F(X))$ is called the *type* of the set X in the mapping F and we denote it by $T_F(X)$. Put $S_F(X) = \{Y: Y \sim X \text{ and } T_F(Y) = T_F(X)\}$. Let $S_X = \{Y: Y \sim X\}$.

First it is evident that $T_F(X) = T_{fFf^{-1}}(f(X))$ for every permutation f . Further, it is evident that systems $S_F(Y)$ for $Y \in S_X$ form a decomposition on S_X .

We have $fS_F(X) = S_{fFf^{-1}}(f(X))$ for every permutation f . It holds, namely, $Z \in fS_F(X) \Rightarrow Z = f(Z_1)$ for a suitable $Z_1 \in S_F(X) \Rightarrow T_{fFf^{-1}}(Z) = T_F(Z_1) = T_F(X) = T_{fFf^{-1}}(f(X)) \Rightarrow Z \in S_{fFf^{-1}}(f(X))$.

$$Z \in S_{fFf^{-1}}(f(X)) \Rightarrow T_{fFf^{-1}}(Z) = T_{fFf^{-1}}(f(X)) = T_F(f^{-1}Z) =$$

$$= T_F(X) \Rightarrow f^{-1}Z \in S_F(X) \Rightarrow Z \in fS_F(X).$$

Now, let F be such a mapping 2^P into 2^P that the cardinality of the set of mappings equivalent to F (denote it by M) is less than p . We shall show that

$$(A) \quad S_F(X) = S_X.$$

Suppose that this is not true. Then the system of all $fS_F(X)$, where f runs through all possible permutations of the set P , contains at least p different sets according to Theorem 2. Thus, two different permutations f and g exist such that $fS_F(X) \neq gS_F(X)$ and $fFf^{-1} = gFg^{-1} = G$. Then $fS_F(X) = S_G(f(X))$, $gS_F(X) = S_G(g(X))$. As the sets of the form $S_G(Y)$ for $Y \sim X$ constitute a decomposition on S_X , we have $S_G(f(X)) \cap S_G(g(X)) = \emptyset$. Simultaneously, $T_G(f(X)) = T_F(X) = T_G(g(X))$. Thus, $g(X) \in S_G(f(X))$ which is a contradiction. Hence, (A) is valid.

Let us choose $X \subset P$ arbitrarily but fixed. Suppose that 1) does not hold.

$\alpha)$ Let $\text{card}(P - X) = p$. $\alpha_1)$ Let $\emptyset \neq F(X) - X \subsetneq P - X$. There exist at least p sets Z in $P - X$ congruent with the set $F(X) - X$. Thus, $\text{card } M \geq p$, which is a contradiction.

$\alpha_2)$ Let $\emptyset \neq F(X) \subsetneq X$. Let R be a decomposition on P into sets congruent with X and let $\text{card } R = p$. From (A) it follows $Y \in R \Rightarrow \emptyset \neq F(Y) \subsetneq Y$. For every $Y \in R$ choose $a(Y) \in Y - F(Y)$, $b(Y) \in F(Y)$. Let f_Y be such a permutation of the set P that

$$f_Y(a(Y)) = b(Y), \quad f_Y(b(Y)) = a(Y), \quad \text{otherwise } f_Y(x) = x.$$

For $Z \in R$, $Z \neq Y$ we have $f_Y(Z) = Z$, $f_Y(F(Z)) = f(Z)$. For Y it holds $f_Y(Y) = Y$, $f_Y(F(Y)) \neq F(Y)$. Thus $(Y, Z \in R; Y \neq Z) \Rightarrow f_Y \circ F \neq f_Z \circ F$, whence $\text{card } M \geq p$, which is a contradiction.

α_3) Let neither α_1) nor α_2) occur, i.e. $P - X \not\subseteq F(X) \neq P$. Then, put $G(Y) = P - F(Y)$ for all $Y \subset P$. The number of equivalent mappings to G is also less than p and at the same time $G(X) \subset X$. We get a contradiction just as in α_2).

β) Let $\text{card } P - X < p$. Then instead of F we consider the complementary mapping F' . For $P - X$ 1) does not occur. In accordance with α) at least p mappings equivalent to F' exist. Constructing the complementary mappings to them, we get at least p mappings equivalent to F . Thus we get a contradiction.

Let for every set $X \subset P$ 1) be fulfilled. Then, according to (A) 2), holds, too.

From Theorem 4 the ensuing result follows immediately. *Let (P, u) be the Čech's topological space²⁾ with $\text{card } P = p \geq \aleph_0$. Then the cardinality of the set of topological spaces (P, v) homeomorphic with (P, u) is 1 or at least p . The cardinality of the set is 1 exactly if*

1. $X \subset P \Rightarrow uX = X$ or P .
2. $(X, Y \subset P; X \sim Y; uX = X) \Rightarrow uY = Y$.

This consequence follows also immediately from Theorem 1 and 2 for topologies defined by means of the system of open or closed sets (see e.g. [4]). In the case of the general Čech's topologies such a definition is impossible.

In this connection the following problem arises.

Is it possible to assign to any Čech's space (P, u) the system $\mathcal{S}(u) \subset 2^P$ so that $u \neq v \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}(u) \neq \mathcal{S}(v)$ and (u being homeomorphic with v) $\mathcal{S}(u) \sim \mathcal{S}(v)$?

References

- [1] *Whitehead-Russell*: Principia mathematica II. part, Cambridge 1927.
- [2] *E. Szpilrajn*: Sur l'équivalence des suites d'ensembles et l'équivalence des fonctions. *Fund. Math.* XXVI (1936), 302–326.
- [3] *R. Sikorski*: On the inducing of homomorphism by mappings. *Fund. Math.* XXXVI (1949), pp. 7–22.
- [4] *N. Bourbaki*: Topologie générale, p. 1., Paris 1940.

²⁾ Here $u \in (2^P)^{2^P}$ and we have $uX \supset X; u\emptyset = \emptyset; X \subset Y \subset P \Rightarrow uX \subset uY$.

ЭКВИВАЛЕНТНЫЕ СИСТЕМЫ МНОЖЕСТВ
И ГОМЕОМОРФНЫЕ ТОПОЛОГИИ

ФРАНТИШЕК НЕЙМАН И МИЛАН СЕКАНИНА (F. Neuman a M. Sekanina), Брно

Пусть P — множество; $X, Y \subset P$. Мы говорим, что X конгруэнтно Y , если существует такая перестановка f множества P , что $f(X) = Y$, и записываем $X \sim Y$. (Перестановка f — это взаимно однозначное отображение P на P .) Пусть \mathcal{S} и \mathcal{T} — системы подмножеств P . Если существует перестановка f множества P такая, что $\mathcal{T} = \{Y : Y = f(X), X \in \mathcal{S}\}$, то \mathcal{S} эквивалентно \mathcal{T} и мы записываем $\mathcal{S} \sim \mathcal{T}$. Пусть F и G — любые отображения 2^P в 2^P (т. е. $X \subset P \Rightarrow F(X) \subset P$ и $G(X) \subset P$). Мы говорим, что они эквивалентны, если существует f такое, что $X \subset P$ всегда влечет за собой $f(F(X)) = G(f(X))$. Основные результаты:

Теорема 2. Пусть $\text{card } P = p \geq \aleph_0$. Пусть $c(\mathcal{S})$ — система всех тех систем $\mathcal{T} \subset 2^P$, что $\mathcal{S} \sim \mathcal{T}$. Пусть $\text{card } c(\mathcal{S}) \neq 1$. Тогда $\text{card } c(\mathcal{S}) \geq p$.

Теорема 4. Пусть $\text{card } P = p \geq \aleph_0$. Пусть $F \in (2^P)^{2^P}$. Тогда мощность множества всех отображений G , эквивалентных F , равна 1 или $\geq p$. Первый случай имеет место только тогда, когда F выполняет одновременно и 1) $X \subset P \Rightarrow F(X) \in \{P, X, P - X, \emptyset\}$ и 2) если $X \sim Y$ то

$$\begin{aligned} F(X) = P &\Rightarrow F(Y) = P \\ F(X) = X &\Rightarrow F(Y) = Y \\ F(X) = P - X &\Rightarrow F(Y) = P - Y \\ F(X) = \emptyset &\Rightarrow F(Y) = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Непосредственным следствием теоремы 4 для топологий Чеха (P, u) (т. е. $u \in (2^P)^{2^P}$, $uX \supset X$, $u\emptyset = \emptyset$, $X \subset Y \subset P \Rightarrow uX \subset uY$) является утверждение:

Пусть (P, u) — топологическое пространство Чеха, $\text{card } P = p \geq \aleph_0$. Тогда мощность множества топологических пространств (P, v) , гомеоморфных (P, u) , равна 1 только в случае, если выполнено

- и 1. $X \subset P \Rightarrow uX \in \{X, P\}$
- и 2. $(X, Y \subset P; X \sim Y; uX = X) \Rightarrow uY = Y$.

Иначе, она больше или равна p .