

Jan Kučera

Multiple Laplace integral

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 18 (1968), No. 4, 666–674

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100863>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1968

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

MULTIPLE LAPLACE INTEGRAL

JAN KUČERA, Praha

(Received May 29, 1967)

In this paper we will build up a theory of multiple Laplace integrals analogous to the  $L_2$ -theory of Fourier integrals.

For brevity we use the following notation. If  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is a multiindex ( $\alpha_k$  non-negative integer,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), and  $x \in R^n$ , then we write  $x^\alpha = \prod_{k=1}^n x_k^{\alpha_k}$ ,  $|\alpha| = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k$ . By  $E$  we denote the multiindex  $E = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ . For  $a, b \in R^n$ , we write  $a < b$ , resp.  $a \leq b$ , instead of  $a_k < b_k$ , resp.  $a_k \leq b_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . If  $a, b \in R^n$ ,  $a < b$ , we write  $\langle a, b \rangle^E = \prod_{k=1}^n \langle a_k, b_k \rangle$ . If an integration of a function  $F$  on a set  $\{u \in C^n : \operatorname{Re} u = \sigma\}$ , where  $C$  is the set of all complex numbers and  $\sigma \in R^n$ , is to be performed then we use the notation  $\int_{\sigma - i\infty}^{\sigma + i\infty} F(u) du$ .

Let us mention some results of the Fourier transform theory which will be needed later. We will use the definition of Fourier transform proposed by Laurent Schwartz in [3]: Let  $f \in L_2(R^n)$ ; then

$$\int_{\langle -R, R \rangle^E} f(x) \exp(-2\pi i \zeta, x) dx \rightarrow F(\zeta), \quad R \rightarrow \infty,$$

converges in the topology of  $L_2(R^n)$  to an element  $F \in L_2(R^n)$  which is called the Fourier image of  $f$  and denoted by  $\mathcal{F}f = F$ . Conversely, if  $F = \mathcal{F}f$ ,  $f \in L_2(R^n)$ , then

$$\int_{\langle -R, R \rangle^E} F(\zeta) \exp(2\pi i \zeta, x) d\zeta \rightarrow f(x), \quad R \rightarrow \infty,$$

in the topology of  $L_2(R^n)$ . We write  $f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}F$ .

**Theorem A.** *Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F} : L_2(R^n) \rightarrow L_2(R^n)$  is a unitary mapping. (By a unitary mapping we understand a homeomorphism of a Hilbert space onto a Hilbert space which preserves the inner product).*

**Theorem B.** Let  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $a < b$ ; then

$$\int_{\langle a, b \rangle^E} f(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\exp(2\pi i b_k \xi_k) - \exp(2\pi i a_k \xi_k)}{2\pi i \xi_k} d\xi.$$

**Definition.** Let  $f \in L_{loc}(\langle 0, \infty \rangle^n)$ , (locally Lebesgue integrable function on the interval  $\langle 0, \infty \rangle^n$ ). If, for some  $u \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , the improper integral

$$(1) \quad \int_{\langle 0, \infty \rangle^n} f(x) \exp(-u, x) dx$$

exists then we call it Laplace integral of  $f$  and denote it by  $\mathcal{L}f$ . The mapping  $f \rightarrow \mathcal{L}f$  is called Laplace transform.

Now we recall some results of the Laplace transform theory for functions of several variables.

**Definition.** We shall say that the integral (1) boundedly converges at a point  $u \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , if the function

$$\varphi(a) = \int_{\langle 0, a \rangle^E} f(x) \exp(-u, x) dx, \quad a \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle^n,$$

is bounded on  $\langle 0, \infty \rangle^n$  and  $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(a)$  exists.

The set of all points  $u \in \mathbb{C}^n$  at which (1) boundedly converges is called the domain of convergence and denoted by  $\mathcal{H}_f$ .

**Theorem C.**  $u \in \mathcal{H}_f \Rightarrow \{v \in \mathbb{C}^n : \operatorname{Re}(v - u) > 0\} \subset \mathcal{H}_f$ .

**Theorem D.** Let  $\mathcal{H}_f \neq \emptyset$ ; then  $\mathcal{L}f$  is holomorphic on  $\operatorname{int} \mathcal{H}_f$  and for each multi-index  $\alpha$  we have

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right)^\alpha (\mathcal{L}f)(u) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \mathcal{L}(x^\alpha f(x))(u), \quad u \in \operatorname{int} \mathcal{H}_f.$$

**Theorem E.** Let  $u \in \mathcal{H}_f$ , and let an  $a \in (0, \infty)^n$  exist such that, for all multi-indices  $\alpha$ ,

$$(\mathcal{L}f)(u_1 + \alpha_1 a_1, u_2 + \alpha_2 a_2, \dots, u_n + \alpha_n a_n) = 0.$$

Then  $f(x) = 0$  a.e. on  $\langle 0, \infty \rangle^n$ .

**Theorem F.** Let  $u \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and the function

$$\varphi(x) = \exp(-u, x) \int_{\langle 0, x \rangle^E} f(\xi) d\xi, \quad x \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle^n,$$

be bounded on  $\langle 0, \infty \rangle^n$ . Then  $\{v \in \mathbb{C}^n : \operatorname{Re}(v - u) > 0\} \subset \mathcal{H}_f$ .

**Theorem G.** Let a function  $f$  be locally absolutely continuous in the variable  $x_1$  on  $(0, \infty)$  for almost all  $(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) \in (0, \infty)^{n-1}$ . Let us write  $g = \partial f / \partial x_1$  and let  $u \in \mathcal{K}_g$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} u > 0$ . Then the integral  $\mathcal{L}(f(x) - f(0, x_2, \dots, x_n))(v)$  boundedly converges for all  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(v - u) > 0$ , and we have

$$(\mathcal{L}_g)(v) = v_1 \mathcal{L}(f(x) - f(0, x_2, \dots, x_n))(v).$$

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and let a function  $F$  of  $n$  complex variables be holomorphic for  $\operatorname{Re} u > \gamma$  and bounded on the set  $\{u \in \mathbb{C}^n : \operatorname{Re} u > \vartheta\}$  for each  $\vartheta > \gamma$ . Let us denote

$$(2) \quad \Phi(x, \sigma) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^n \int_{\sigma - i\infty}^{\sigma + i\infty} u^{-2E} F(u) \exp(u, x) du, \quad \sigma > \gamma, \quad \sigma > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then: 1)  $\Phi(x, \sigma)$  does not depend on  $\sigma$ .

2)  $\Phi(x, \sigma) = 0$  for  $x \notin (0, \infty)^n$ .

*Proof.* 1) Let  $\vartheta > \gamma$ ,  $\vartheta > 0$ . It is sufficient to show that  $\Phi(x, \sigma) = \Phi(x, \vartheta_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$ . Let, for instance,  $\vartheta_1 > \sigma_1$ . For every  $R_1 > 0$  we define curves in complex plane by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &= \{u_1 : \operatorname{Re} u_1 \in \langle \sigma_1, \vartheta_1 \rangle, \operatorname{Im} u_1 = R_1\}, \\ \Gamma_2 &= \{u_1 : \operatorname{Re} u_1 = \vartheta_1, \operatorname{Im} u_1 \in \langle -R_1, R_1 \rangle\}, \\ \Gamma_3 &= \{u_1 : \operatorname{Re} u_1 \in \langle \sigma_1, \vartheta_1 \rangle, \operatorname{Im} u_1 = -R_1\}, \\ \Gamma_4 &= \{u_1 : \operatorname{Re} u_1 = \sigma_1, \operatorname{Im} u_1 \in \langle -R_1, R_1 \rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

If we orientate the curves  $\Gamma_r$ ,  $r = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , appropriately, we get

$$\int_{\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3 \cup \Gamma_4} u^{-2E} F(u) \exp(u, x) du = 0.$$

Let the constant  $\varkappa$  majorize the function  $|F|$  on the set  $\{u \in \mathbb{C}^n : \operatorname{Re} u \geq \sigma\}$ ; then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Phi(x, \sigma) - \Phi(x, \vartheta_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n) \right| = \\ &= \left| \lim_{R_1 \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^n \int_{\sigma_2 - i\infty}^{\sigma_2 + i\infty} \dots \int_{\sigma_n - i\infty}^{\sigma_n + i\infty} \left( \int_{\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_3} u^{-2E} F(u) \exp(u, x) du \right) \right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{2\kappa}{(2\pi)^n} \left( \prod_{k=2}^n e^{\sigma_k x_k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau_k}{\sigma_k^2 + \tau_k^2} \right) \lim_{R_1 \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma_1}^{\vartheta_1} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^2 + R_1^2} \leq 2^{1-n} \kappa \prod_{k=2}^n \frac{e^{\sigma_k x_k}}{|\sigma_k|} \lim_{R_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R_1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

2)  $\Phi(x, \sigma)$  is continuous in  $x$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence, it is sufficient to prove that  $\Phi(x, \sigma) = 0$  for  $x \notin (0, \infty)^n$ . Let, for instance,  $x_1 < 0$ . Let us choose  $\sigma_1 > \max(0, \gamma_1)$ ,  $R_1 > 0$ .

Then if we put  $u_1 = R_1 \exp(i\varphi)$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} u_1 \geq \sigma_1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\sigma_1 - iR_1}^{\sigma_1 + iR_1} u_1^{-2} F(u) \exp(u_1 x_1) du_1 \right| = \\ & = \left| \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} (R_1 \exp(i\varphi))^{-1} F(R_1 \exp(i\varphi), u_2, \dots, u_n) \exp(x_1 R_1 \exp(i\varphi)) d\varphi \right| \leq \\ & \leq \frac{\kappa}{R_1} \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} \exp(x_1 R_1 \cos \varphi) d\varphi \leq \frac{\kappa}{R_1} \pi. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\int_{\sigma_1 - i\infty}^{\sigma_1 + i\infty} u_1^{-2} F(u) \exp(u_1 x_1) du_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(x, \sigma) = 0.$$

**Lemma 2.** *Let the assumptions of Lemma 1 be satisfied. Let a function  $f \in L_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  exist such that*

$$(3) \quad \Phi(x) = \int_{\langle 0, x \rangle^E} \left( \int_{\langle 0, y \rangle^E} f(z) dz \right) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

(here we write  $\Phi(x) = \Phi(x, \sigma)$  due to Lemma 1). Moreover, assume that  $\mathfrak{D} \in \overline{\mathcal{K}_f}$ ,  $\mathfrak{D} > \gamma$ ,  $\mathfrak{D} > 0$ .

Then  $F(u) = (\mathcal{L}f)(u)$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} u > \mathfrak{D}$ .

*Proof.* According to Theorem C the assumption  $\mathfrak{D} \in \overline{\mathcal{K}_f}$  implies that  $\{u \in \mathbb{C}^n : \operatorname{Re} u > \mathfrak{D}\} \subset \mathcal{K}_f$ ; consequently, by Theorem G,  $\{u \in \mathbb{C}^n : \operatorname{Re} u > \mathfrak{D}\} \subset \mathcal{K}_\Phi$ . Let us choose  $\sigma > \mathfrak{D}$ ; then

$$\Phi(x) = \exp(\sigma, x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\sigma + 2\pi i\tau)^{-2E} F(\sigma + 2\pi i\tau) \exp(2\pi i\tau, x) d\tau.$$

We have  $(\sigma + 2\pi i\tau)^{-2E} F(\sigma + 2\pi i\tau) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and, according to the mentioned, Fourier  $L_2$ -theory,

$$(4) \quad \int_{\langle -R, R \rangle^E} \exp(-\sigma, x) \Phi(x) \exp(-2\pi i\tau, x) dx \rightarrow \frac{F(\sigma + 2\pi i\tau)}{(\sigma + 2\pi i\tau)^{2E}}, \quad R \rightarrow \infty,$$

in the topology of  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Since we already know that the integral on the left side of (4) converges, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}f)(\sigma + 2\pi i\tau) &= (\sigma + 2\pi i\tau)^{2E} (\mathcal{L}\Phi)(\sigma + 2\pi i\tau) = \\ &= (\sigma + 2\pi i\tau)^{2E} \cdot \frac{F(\sigma + 2\pi i\tau)}{(\sigma + 2\pi i\tau)^{2E}} = F(\sigma + 2\pi i\tau). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.** *Let the assumptions of Lemma 1 be satisfied. Let  $\sigma > \gamma$ ,  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $r \in \langle 1, 2 \rangle$  exist such that  $\int_{R^n} |F(\sigma + i\tau)|^r d\tau < +\infty$ . Then there exists a function  $f \in L_{loc}(R^n)$  such that  $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_f$  and  $F(u) = (\mathcal{L}f)(u)$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} u > \sigma$ .*

*Proof.* We verify the assumptions of Lemma 2. First, let us show that

$$(5) \quad (\sigma + i\tau)^{-E} F(\sigma + i\tau) \in L_1(R^n).$$

This is trivial for  $r = 1$ ; thus, let  $r > 1$ . Then, according to Hölder's inequality,

$$\int_{R^n} \left| \frac{F(\sigma + i\tau)}{(\sigma + i\tau)^E} \right| d\tau \leq \left( \int_{R^n} |F(\sigma + i\tau)|^r d\tau \right)^{1/r} \left( \int_{R^n} \prod_{k=1}^n |\sigma_k + i\tau_k|^{-q} d\tau \right)^{1/q} < +\infty.$$

This implies the existence of the derivative  $\Psi(x) = (\partial/\partial x)^E \Phi(x)$  continuous on  $R^n$ , vanishing on  $R^n - (0, \infty)^n$ , for which

$$(6) \quad \Psi(x) = \int_{R^n} (\sigma + 2\pi i\tau)^{-E} F(\sigma + 2\pi i\tau) \exp(\sigma + 2\pi i\tau, x) d\tau$$

holds. Further, we can write:

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \exp(-\sigma, x) \Psi(x) &= \exp(-\sigma, x) [\Psi(x) - \sum \Psi(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) + \\ &\quad + \sum \Psi(0, 0, x_3, \dots, x_n) - \dots + (-1)^n \Psi(0)] = \\ &= \int_{R^n} (\sigma + 2\pi i\tau)^{-E} F(\sigma + 2\pi i\tau) \prod_{k=1}^n (\exp(2\pi i\tau_k x_k) - 1) d\tau = \\ &= \int_{R^n} F(\sigma + 2\pi i\tau) \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{2\pi i\tau_k}{\sigma_k + 2\pi i\tau_k} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\exp(2\pi i\tau_k x_k) - 1}{2\pi i\tau_k} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

In the case  $r = 1$  it is obvious that we can differentiate (7) with respect to  $x$  and the derivative  $(\partial/\partial x)^E (\exp(-\sigma, x) \Psi(x))$  is continuous on  $R^n$ .

In the case  $r > 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{R^n} |F(\sigma + 2\pi i\tau)|^2 d\tau = \\ &= \int_{R^n} |F(\sigma + 2\pi i\tau)|^{2-r} \cdot |F(\sigma + 2\pi i\tau)|^r d\tau \leq \varkappa^{2-r} \int_{R^n} |F(\sigma + 2\pi i\tau)|^r d\tau < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varkappa$  majorizes  $|F|$  on the set  $\{u \in C^n : \operatorname{Re} u \geq \sigma\}$ . Hence,

$$G(\tau) = F(\sigma + 2\pi i\tau) \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{2\pi i\tau_k}{\sigma_k + 2\pi i\tau_k} \in L_2(R^n),$$

and, in accordance with Fourier  $L_2$ -theory, there is a function  $g \in L_2(R^n)$  such that  $G = \mathcal{F}g$ .

According to theorem B,

$$\int_{\langle 0, x \rangle^E} g(x) dx = \int_{R^n} G(\tau) \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\exp(2\pi i \tau_k x_k) - 1}{2\pi i \tau_k} d\tau = \exp(-\sigma, x) \Psi(x).$$

Thus, we have proved the existence of a function  $f \in L_{loc}(R^n)$  satisfying (3). It remains to verify that  $\sigma \in \overline{\mathcal{H}_f}$ . From (5), (6) it follows that

$$|\exp(-\sigma, x) \Psi(x)| \leq \int_{R^n} |(\sigma + 2\pi i \tau)^{-E} F(\sigma + 2\pi i \tau)| d\tau < +\infty, \quad x \in R^n,$$

and, according to theorem F, the assumption  $\sigma \in \overline{\mathcal{H}_f}$  holds.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\gamma \in R^n$  and let a function  $F$  of  $n$  complex variables be holomorphic for  $\operatorname{Re} u > \gamma$ . Let an  $r \in \langle 1, 2 \rangle$  exist such that

$$(8) \quad \sup_{\sigma > \gamma} \int_{R^n} |F(\sigma + i\tau)|^r d\tau < +\infty.$$

Then there exists  $f \in L_{loc}(R^n)$  such that  $\gamma \in \overline{\mathcal{H}_f}$  and  $F(u) = (\mathcal{L}f)(u)$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} u > \gamma$ .

**Proof.** 1. Let  $\gamma > 0$  then according to Lemma 3 and Theorem E it suffices to prove that, for every  $\vartheta > \gamma$ , the function  $F$  is bounded on  $\{u \in C^n : \operatorname{Re} u \geq \vartheta\}$ . Let us choose  $u$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} u \geq \vartheta$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (0, \min(\vartheta_k - \gamma_k))$ ,  $\varrho = (\varrho_1, \varrho_2, \dots, \varrho_n)$ ,  $\varrho_k \in (0, \varepsilon)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $\varkappa = \sup_{\sigma > \gamma} \int_{R^n} |F(\sigma + i\tau)|^r d\tau$ . Then

$$F(u) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^n \int_{\substack{|v_k - u_k| = \varrho_k \\ k=1, 2, \dots, n}} (v - u)^{-E} F(v) dv = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\langle 0, 2\pi \rangle^n} F(u + \varrho e^{i\varphi}) d\varphi,$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2\right)^n |F(u)| \leq \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \int_0^\varepsilon \varrho_k d\varrho_k\right) \cdot |F(u)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\langle 0, 2\pi \rangle^n \times \langle 0, \varepsilon \rangle^n} |F(u + \varrho e^{i\varphi})| \cdot \varrho^E d\varphi d\varrho.$$

Further we distinguish two cases: i.  $r = 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2\right)^n |F(u)| &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\substack{|(x_k + iy_k) - u_k| \leq \varepsilon \\ k=1, 2, \dots, n}} |F(x + iy)| dx dy \leq \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\substack{|x_k - \sigma_k| \leq \varepsilon \\ k=1, 2, \dots, n}} \left(\int_{R^n} |F(x + iy)| dy\right) dx \leq \varkappa \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi}\right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

ii.  $r > 1$ . Then according to Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} (\tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2)^n |F(u)| &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\langle 0, 2\pi \rangle^n \times \langle 0, \varepsilon \rangle^n} |F(u + \varrho e^{i\varphi})| \cdot \varrho^{(1/r)E} \cdot \varrho^{(1-1/r)E} d\varphi d\varrho \leq \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \left(\int_{\langle 0, 2\pi \rangle^n \times \langle 0, \varepsilon \rangle^n} |F(u + \varrho e^{i\varphi})|^r \varrho^E d\varphi d\varrho\right)^{1/r} \cdot \left(\int_{\langle 0, 2\pi \rangle^n \times \langle 0, \varepsilon \rangle^n} \varrho^E d\varphi d\varrho\right)^{1-1/r} \leq \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n (\varkappa(2\varepsilon)^n)^{1/r} \cdot (\pi\varepsilon^2)^{n(1-1/r)} = (\tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2)^n \left(\varkappa \left(\frac{2}{\pi\varepsilon}\right)^n\right)^{1/r}. \end{aligned}$$

2. Let us put  $\gamma_+ = (\max(\gamma_1, 0), \dots, \max(\gamma_n, 0))$ ,  $\gamma_- = \gamma_+ - \gamma$ , and for  $\operatorname{Re} u > \gamma_+$  define a function  $G(u) = F(u - \gamma_-)$ , Then according to the first part of this proof there exists such  $g \in L_{loc}(R^n)$  that  $\gamma_+ \in \overline{\mathcal{K}_g}$  and  $G(u) = (\mathcal{L}g)(u)$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} u > \gamma_+$ .

If we put  $f(x) = g(x) \exp(-\gamma_-, x)$ ,  $x \in R^n$ , then  $f \in L_{loc}(R^n)$ ,  $\gamma \in \overline{\mathcal{K}_f}$  and for  $\operatorname{Re} u > \gamma$  it holds  $(\mathcal{L}f)(u) = (\mathcal{L}g)(u + \gamma_-) = G(u + \gamma_-) = F(u)$ . The proof is complete.

**Definition.** Let  $\gamma \in R^n$ . Then we denote  $L_{2,\gamma}$  the set of all complex functions  $f$ , measurable on  $\langle 0, \infty \rangle^n$ , for which

$$(9) \quad \int_{\langle 0, \infty \rangle^n} |f(x)|^2 \exp(-2\gamma, x) dx < +\infty.$$

By  $H_{2,\gamma}$  we denote the set of all functions  $F$  of  $n$  complex variables, holomorphic for  $\operatorname{Re} u > \gamma$ , for which

$$(10) \quad \sup_{\sigma > \gamma} \int_{R^n} |F(\sigma + i\tau)|^2 d\tau < +\infty.$$

**Lemma 5.** Let  $\gamma \in R^n$  be given. Then  $\mathcal{L}(L_{2,\gamma}) = H_{2,\gamma}$ .

Proof. 1. Let  $f \in L_{2,\gamma}$ ; then for  $\sigma > \gamma$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\langle 0, \infty \rangle^n} |f(x)| \exp(-\sigma, x) dx \leq \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\langle 0, \infty \rangle^n} |f(x)|^2 \exp(-2\gamma, x) dx\right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\int_{\langle 0, \infty \rangle^n} \exp(-2(\sigma - \gamma), x) dx\right)^{1/2} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\gamma \in \overline{\mathcal{K}_f}$  and, according to Theorem D, the function  $F = \mathcal{L}f$  is holomorphic on the set  $\{u \in C^n : \operatorname{Re} u > \gamma\}$ . According to Parseval's equality for Fourier transform,

$$(11) \quad \int_{\langle 0, \infty \rangle^n} |f(x)|^2 \exp(-2\sigma, x) dx = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{R^n} |F(\sigma + i\tau)|^2 d\tau.$$

Then the inequality (10) is an immediate consequence of (11).



2. Let  $F \in H_{2,\gamma}$ ; then, according to Lemma 4, there is a function  $f \in L_{loc}(R^n)$  such that  $\gamma \in \overline{\mathcal{K}_f}$  and  $F(u) = (\mathcal{L}f)(u)$ ,  $\text{Re } u > \gamma$ . Then the inequality (9) follows immediately from (11).

**Lemma 6.** Let  $\gamma \in R^n$  be given. Then,

1. for every function  $F \in H_{2,\gamma}$  there exists a limit  $\lim_{\substack{\sigma_k \rightarrow \gamma_k + \\ k=1,2,\dots,n}} F(\sigma + i\tau)$  in the topology of  $L_2(R^n)$ . Let us denote this limit by  $F(\gamma + i\tau)$ .

2. the function  $\varphi(\sigma) = \int_{R^n} |F(\sigma + i\tau)|^2 d\tau$  is continuous and nonincreasing in all its variables on the set  $\{\sigma \in R^n : \sigma \geq \gamma\}$ . In particular,

$$\sup_{\sigma > \gamma} \int_{R^n} |F(\sigma + i\tau)|^2 d\tau = \int_{R^n} |F(\gamma + i\tau)|^2 d\tau.$$

3.  $L_{2,\gamma}$ , resp.  $H_{2,\gamma}$ , is a Hilbert space with an inner product

$$(12) \quad (f, g)_L = \int_{\langle 0, \infty \rangle^n} f(x) \overline{g(x)} \exp(-2\gamma, x) dx, \quad f, g \in L_{2,\gamma},$$

resp.

$$(13) \quad (F, G)_H = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{R^n} F(\gamma + i\tau) \overline{G(\gamma + i\tau)} d\tau, \quad F, G \in H_{2,\gamma}.$$

**Proof.** 1. Let  $F \in H_{2,\gamma}$ ; according to Lemma 5 there is  $f \in L_{2,\gamma}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}f = F$ , and for  $\sigma > \gamma$  the equality (11) holds. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F(\sigma_1 + i\tau) - F(\sigma_2 + i\tau)\|_{L_2(R^n)}^2 = \\ & = (2\pi)^n \int_{\langle 0, \infty \rangle^n} |f(x)|^2 (\exp(-\sigma_1, x) - \exp(-\sigma_2, x))^2 dx \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

2. This is an immediate consequence of (11).

3. The statement concerning  $L_{2,\gamma}$  is obvious. Let us show that  $H_{2,\gamma}$  with the inner product (13) is complete. Let us have a sequence  $F_p \in H_{2,\gamma}$ ,  $p = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\|F_p - F_q\|_{H_{2,\gamma}} \rightarrow 0$ , as  $p, q \rightarrow \infty$ . Let us take  $f_p \in L_{2,\gamma}$  such that  $\mathcal{L}f_p = F_p$ ,  $p = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad \|F_p - F_q\|_{H_{2,\gamma}}^2 &= \sup_{\sigma > \gamma} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{R^n} |F_p(\sigma + i\tau) - F_q(\sigma + i\tau)|^2 d\tau \approx \\ &= \sup_{\sigma > \gamma} \int_{\langle 0, \infty \rangle^n} |f_p(x) - f_q(x)|^2 \exp(-2\sigma, x) dx = \\ &= \int_{\langle 0, \infty \rangle^n} |f_p(x) - f_q(x)|^2 \exp(-2\gamma, x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there is  $f \in L_{2,\gamma}$  such that  $f_p \rightarrow f$ ,  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , in the topology of  $L_{2,\gamma}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}f = F \in H_{2,\gamma}$  and from (14) it follows that  $\|F_p - F\|_{H_{2,\gamma}} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $p \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $\gamma \in R^n$  be given. Then Laplace transform  $\mathcal{L} : L_{2,\gamma} \rightarrow H_{2,\gamma}$  is a unitary mapping.*

*Proof.* According to Lemmas 5 and 6 we have only to show that

$$f, g \in L_{2,\gamma} \Rightarrow (f, g)_{L_{2,\gamma}} = (\mathcal{L}f, \mathcal{L}g)_{H_{2,\gamma}}.$$

This, however, is exactly Parseval's equality for Fourier transform  $f(x) \exp(-\gamma, x) \rightarrow \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}f)(\gamma + i\tau)$ .

#### References

- [1] *Ditkin, Kuznecov*: Справочник по операционному исчислению, Москва, Ленинград, 1951. czech. trans. Příručka operátorového počtu, Praha 1954.
- [2] *Ditkin, Prudnikov*: Операционное исчисление по двум переменным и его приложения, Москва 1958.
- [3] *L. Schwartz*: Méthodes mathématiques pour les sciences physiques, Hermann, Paris 1961 russian trans. Математические методы для физических наук, Москва 1965.

*Author's address*: Praha 1, Žitná 25, ČSSR (Matematický ústav ČSAV).