Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Jiří Vanžura

Remark on involutive subspaces and regular bases

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 19 (1969), No. 3, 515–517

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100918

**Terms of use:**

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1969

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 19 (94) 1969, Praha

# REMARK ON INVOLUTIVE SUBSPACES AND REGULAR BASES

JIŘÍ VANŽURA, Praha

(Received November 27, 1968)

Let us consider a vector space $V$ of dimension $n$ over the field $\mathbf{T}$ (of real or complex numbers) and let $V^*$ be its dual. Further let $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ be a basis of $V$ and $(v^1, \ldots, v^n)$ its dual basis in $V^*$. For every integer $l \geqq 1$ and $v \in V$ we introduce the mapping

$$\delta_v : S^l V^* \to S^{l-1} V^*$$

$S^l V^*$ has the basis consisting of the elements of the form $v^{j_1} \circ \ldots \circ v^{j_l}$, where $(j_1, \ldots, j_l)$ takes values in the set $\Xi(l)$ of all $l$-tuples of integers such that $1 \leqq j_1 \leqq \ldots \leqq j_l \leqq n$. It is clearly sufficient to define $\delta_v$ only for the elements of this basis. We set

$$\delta_v(v^{j_1} \circ \ldots \circ v^{j_l}) = \sum_{k=1}^{l} \langle v, v^{j_k} \rangle \, v^{j_1} \circ \ldots \circ \hat{v}^{j_k} \circ \ldots \circ v^{j_l} .$$

It is easy to show that $\delta_v$ does not depend on the choice of basis in $V$.

**Lemma 1.** *For any $v, v' \in V$ there is $\delta_v \delta_{v'} = \delta_{v'} \delta_v$.*

Proof is easy.

**Lemma 2.** *Let $f \in S^l V^*$, $\delta_{v_{r+1}} f = \ldots = \delta_{v_n} f = 0$. Then $f \in S^l V_r^* \subset S^l V^*$, where $V_r^*$ is the subspace spanned by the vectors $v^1, \ldots, v^r$.*

Proof. $f$ can be expressed in the form $f = \sum_{(j_1, \ldots, j_l) \in \Xi(l)} a_{j_1 \ldots j_l} (v^{j_1} \circ \ldots \circ v^{j_l})$. It follows from the condition $\delta_{v_n} f = 0$ that if one of the indices $j_1, \ldots, j_l$ equals $n$ then $a_{j_1 \ldots j_l} = 0$ and thus $f \in S^l V_{n-1}^*$. The remainder of the proof is easy.

Let $W$ be a vector space of dimension $m$ over $\mathbf{T}$. For $l \geqq 1$ and $v \in V$ we introduce a mapping $W \otimes S^l V^* \to W \otimes S^{l-1} V^*$ that we shall also denote by $\delta_v$. Let $(w_1, \ldots, w_m)$ be a basis of $W$. $W \otimes S^{l-1} V^*$ has the basis consisting of the elements $w_k \otimes (v^{j_1} \circ \ldots \circ v^{j_l})$, $1 \leqq k \leqq m$, $(j_1, \ldots, j_l) \in \Xi(l)$. It is sufficient to define $\delta_v$ for these elements. We set

$$\delta_v(w_k \otimes (v^{j_1} \circ \ldots \circ v^{j_l})) = w_k \otimes (\delta_v(v^{j_1} \circ \ldots \circ v^{j_l}))$$

We can again easily find out that $\delta_v$ does not depend on the choice of bases.

Now let $g^k \subset W \otimes S^k V^*$ be a subspace and let $pg^k \subset W \otimes S^{k+1} V^*$ be its prolongation defined by

$$pg^k = (g^k \otimes V^*) \cap (W \otimes S^{k+1} V^*)$$

(see [1]). For any subset $M \subset V$ we denote $g^k_M = \{f \in g^k;\ \delta_v f = 0 \text{ for all } v \in M\}$. Obviously if $V^c_r \subset V$ denotes the subspace spanned by $v_{r+1}, \ldots, v_n$, then $g^k_{V_r^c} = g^k_{v_{r+1}} \cap \ldots \cap g^k_{v_n}$.

**Lemma 3.** *Let $V' \subset V$ be a subspace. There is $p(g^k_{V'}) = (pg^k)_{V'}$.*

Proof. Let us take such basis $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ of $V$ that $V' = V^c_r$ for some $1 \leqq r \leqq n$. It can be easily seen that $p(g^k_{V_r^c}) = p(g^k_{v_{r+1}}) \cap \ldots \cap p(g^k_{v_n})$ and therefore it is sufficient to prove for all $1 \leqq i \leqq n$ the equality $p(g^k_{v_i}) = (pg^k)_{v_i}$. So that not to complicate the notation we shall do the proof for $i = n$.

a) Let $f \in (pg^k)_{v_n} = [(g^k \otimes V^*) \cap (W \otimes S^{k+1} V^*]_{v_n}$. As $f \in g^k \otimes V^*$ there is $f = f_1 \otimes v^1 + \ldots + f_n \otimes v^n$, where $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in g^k$. But because of $\delta_{v_n} f = 0$ owing to lemma 2 there must be $f \in W \otimes S^{k+1} V^*_{n-1}$, i.e. $f_n = 0$; $f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1} \in g^k \cap (W \otimes S^k V^*_{n-1})$. Therefore we have $f = f_1 \otimes v^1 + \ldots + f_{n-1} \otimes v^{n-1}$, where $f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1} \in g^k_{v_n}$ and thus $f \in p(g^k_{v_n})$.

b) Let $f \in p(g^k_{v_n}) = (g^k_{v_n} \otimes V^*) \cap (W \otimes S^{k+1} V^*)$. $f$ can again be expressed in the form $f = f_1 \otimes v^1 + \ldots + f_n \otimes v^n$, where $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in g^k_{v_n}$. But as $g^k_{v_n} \subset W \otimes S^k V^*_{n-1}$ and $f \in W \otimes S^{k+1} V^*$, it is obviously $f_n = 0$. Thus $\delta_{v_n} f = 0$, i.e. $f \in (pg^k)_{v_n}$ and this finishes the proof.

Let now $g^k$ be a subspace of $W \otimes S^k V^*_r$. Let us set as usual $pg^k = (g^k \otimes V^*) \cap (W \otimes S^{k+1} V^*)$ and moreover $p_1 g^k = (g^k \otimes V^*_r) \cap (W \otimes S^{k+1} V^*)$. We have

**Lemma 4.** *There is $p_1 g^k = pg^k$.*

Proof. Obviously $p_1 g^k \subset pg^k$ and therefore it remains to prove the converse inclusion. We have again $f = f_1 \otimes v^1 + \ldots + f_n \otimes v^n$, where $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in g^k$. Regarding the inclusion $g^k \subset W \otimes S^k V^*_r$ and the fact that $f \in W \otimes S^{k+1} V^*$, it is clear that $f_{r+1} = \ldots = f_n = 0$ and therefore $f \in p_1 g^k$.

Further let us suppose that $g^k \subset W \otimes S^k V^*$ is an involutive subspace (see [1]). Let $V' \subset V$ be a subspace, $\dim V' = r$. We shall seek for a regular basis of $V$ such that its first $r$ vectors lie in $V'$. Let $(v''_1, \ldots, v''_n)$ be a basis of $V$ such that the vectors $v''_1, \ldots, v''_r$ span $V'$. The set of all regular bases of an involutive subspace is dense in the Stiefel manifold of all bases (see [2], § 6, p. 31). Therefore we can find a regular basis $(v'_1, \ldots, v'_n)$ of $V$ such that $(v''_1, \ldots, v''_r, v'_{r+1}, \ldots, v'_n)$ is a basis of $V$. For the sake of simplicity we shall denote this last basis by $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$.

For any $m \geqq k$ let $g^m \subset W \otimes S^m V^*$ be the subspace defined by $g^m = p^{m-k} g^k$.

As $(v'_1, \ldots, v'_n)$ is a regular basis, the following mappings

$$\begin{array}{lll} \delta_{v_n} & : g^{m+1} & \to g^m \\ \delta_{v_{n-1}} & : g^{m+1}_{V_{n-1}c} & \to g^m_{V_{n-1}c} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \delta_{v_{r+1}} & : g^m_{V_{r+1}c} & \to g^m_{V_{r+1}c} \end{array}$$

are surjective for all $m \geqq k$. According to lemma 2 there is $g^k_{V_r c} \subset W \otimes S^k V^*_r$. Moreover with respect to the fixed basis $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$, $V^*_r$ is canonically isomorphic with the dual of $V_r$ ($V_r$ is spanned by $v_1, \ldots, v_r$). Finally according to lemma 4 it makes no difference if we prolong $g^k_{V_r c}$ as a subspace of $W \otimes S^k V^*$ or as a subspace of $W \otimes V^*_r$. According to the well-known prolongation theorem there exists $k_0 \geqq k$ such that $p^{k_0 - k}(g^k_{V_r c})$ is involutive. In other words there exists a regular basis $(\bar{v}_1, \ldots$ $\ldots, \bar{v}_r)$ of $V_r$ for $p^{k_0 - k}(g^k_{V_r c})$, i.e. such basis that the following mappings

$$\begin{array}{lll} \delta_{\bar{v}_r} & : p^{m+1}(g^k_{V_r c}) & \to p^m(g^k_{V_r c}) \\ \delta_{\bar{v}_{r-1}} & : (p^{m+1}(g^k_{V_r c}))_{\{\bar{v}_r\}} & \to (p^m(g^k_{V_r c}))_{\{\bar{v}_r\}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \delta_{\bar{v}_1} & : (p^{m+1}(g^k_{V_r c}))_{\{\bar{v}_2, \ldots, \bar{v}_r\}} & \to (p^m(g^k_{V_r c}))_{\{\bar{v}_2, \ldots, \bar{v}_r\}} \end{array}$$

are surjective for all $m \geqq k_0 - k$. Here $\{\bar{v}_i, \ldots, \bar{v}_r\}$ denotes the subspace of $V_r$ spanned by the vectors $\bar{v}_i, \ldots, \bar{v}_r$. But according to lemma 3 it follows from the last assertion, that the following mappings

$$\begin{array}{lll} \delta_{\bar{v}_r} & : g^{m+1}_{V_r c} & \to g^m_{V_r c} \\ \delta_{\bar{v}_{r-1}} & : g^{m+1}_{V_{r-1}c} & \to g^m_{V_{r-1}c} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \delta_{\bar{v}_1} & : g^{m+1}_{V_1 c} & \to g^m_{V_1 c} \end{array}$$

are surjective for all $m \geqq k_0$. And from this fact it follows immediately that $(\bar{v}_1, \ldots$ $\ldots, \bar{v}_r, v_{r+1}, \ldots, v_n)$ is a regular basis for $g^{k_0}$. Thus we have proved the following

**Theorem.** *Let $g^k \subset W \otimes S^k V^*$ be an involutive subspace. Let $V' \subset V$ be a subspace of dimension $r$. Then there exist $k_0 \geqq k$ and a basis $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ of $V$ such that*

a) *$(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ is a regular basis for $g^{k_0} \subset W \otimes S^{k_0} V^*$,*
b) *$v_1, \ldots, v_r \in V'$.*

*References*


[1] *I. M. Singer, S. Sternberg:* The Infinite Groups of Lie and Cartan, Part I (The Transitive Groups) J. d'Anal. Math., vol. *XV* (1965), pp. 1—114.

[2] *M. Kuranishi:* Lectures on involutive systems of partial differential equations, Publiçacões da Sociedade de Matemática de São Paulo, 1967.



*Author's address:* Praha 8 - Karlín, Sokolovská 83, ČSSR (Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta UK).