

Héctor J. Sussmann

The control problem $\dot{x} = A(u)x$

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 22 (1972), No. 3, 490–494

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101117>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1972

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

THE CONTROL PROBLEM $\dot{x} = A(u)x$

HÉCTOR J. SUSSMANN*), Chicago

(Received July 23, 1971)

In this article we shall study the structure of the attainable sets corresponding to the control problem

$$(1) \quad \dot{x} = A(u)x$$

where

(a) x is a (column) vector in n -dimensional space \mathbf{R}^n ,

(b) $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$, where $0 \leq u_i \leq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$,

and

(c) $A(u)$ is an $n \times n$ matrix-valued polynomial in the u_i 's.

For each multiindex $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$, where the i_j are nonnegative integers, we let

$$(2) \quad u^\alpha = u_1^{i_1} \cdot u_2^{i_2} \dots u_m^{i_m}.$$

With this notation, assumption (c) can be restated as follows: we have

$$(3) \quad A(u) = \sum_{\alpha} u^\alpha A_{\alpha},$$

where the A_{α} are constant $n \times n$ matrices, and where $A_{\alpha} = 0$ except for finitely many multiindices α .

We will associate with the system (1) a family \mathbf{F} of connected submanifolds of \mathbf{R}^n with the property that through every $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ there passes a unique submanifold $\mathbf{F}(x)$ belonging to \mathbf{F} . The members of \mathbf{F} will be defined as the maximal integral manifolds of a certain involutive family \mathbf{D} of vector fields. Let $\mathbf{A}(x, t)$ denote, for $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $t > 0$, the set of all points that can be reached from x in no more than t units of time. We will prove:

*) This work was performed while the author was at the Division of Engineering and Applied Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, U.S.A. The author's current address is: Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, U.S.A.

Theorem 1. *The set $\mathbf{A}(x, t)$ is contained in $\mathbf{F}(x)$. Moreover, in the topology of $\mathbf{F}(x)$, $\mathbf{A}(x, t)$ is contained in the closure of its interior.*

We will also define an involutive family \mathbf{D}_0 , with which a family \mathbf{F}_0 of connected submanifolds will be associated. We use $\mathbf{A}_0(x, t)$ to denote the set of all $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ that can be reached from x in exactly t units of time. We will prove:

Theorem 2. *The set $\mathbf{A}_0(x, t)$ is contained in $\mathbf{F}_0(y)$, where y is any element of $\mathbf{A}_0(x, t)$. Moreover, in the topology of $\mathbf{F}_0(y)$, $\mathbf{A}_0(x, t)$ is contained in the closure of its interior.*

Our results are a generalization of those of KUČERA [3], which correspond to the case $m = 1$, $A(u) = C + Bu$. As we explained in [5], a new proof of these results, based on different techniques, is of interest even for the case considered in [3]. The proof given here is based on the results of [6]. We remark that all our results, except for the remark at the end of Section 3, are valid if assumption (c) is weakened and $A(u)$ is taken to be an arbitrary real-analytic function of the u_i 's (not necessarily a polynomial).

The organization of the paper is as follows: in Section 1 we give the basic definitions; in Section 2 we prove Theorems 1 and 2, and in Section 3 we apply these results to the study of the accessibility problem.

1. DEFINITIONS

We shall assume that the reader is familiar with the concepts of vector field, Lie bracket ($[X, Y] = XY - YX$) and submanifold (cf. HELGASON [2]). A vector field in \mathbf{R}^n is viewed either as a derivation in the algebra of C^∞ functions in \mathbf{R}^n , or as a mapping from \mathbf{R}^n into \mathbf{R}^n (therefore, if X is a vector field in \mathbf{R}^n , and $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, the notation $X(x)$ has an obvious meaning).

If \mathbf{S} is any set of vector fields in \mathbf{R}^n , we shall write, for each $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$:

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{S}(x) = \{X(x) : X \in \mathbf{S}\}.$$

An *integral submanifold* of \mathbf{S} is a connected submanifold S of \mathbf{R}^n with the property that, for every $x \in S$, the tangent space S_x to S at x is the linear hull of $\mathbf{S}(x)$.

The set \mathbf{S} is called *involutive* if

$$(5) \quad X \in \mathbf{S}, \quad Y \in \mathbf{S} \Rightarrow [X, Y] \in \mathbf{S}.$$

The following fundamental result is classical (Frobenius' theorem) if rank $\mathbf{S}(x)$ is constant (cf. [1]), and is proved without this assumption in [4].

Lemma 1. *Let \mathbf{S} be an involutive family of analytic vector fields in \mathbf{R}^n . Then, through every point of \mathbf{R}^n there passes a unique maximal (with respect to inclusion) integral submanifold of \mathbf{S} .*

With the control problem (1) we associate the following sets of matrices: \mathbf{B} is the set of all matrices A_α ; \mathbf{B}' is the set of all "iterated Lie brackets" of elements of \mathbf{B} . Precisely, \mathbf{B}' is the smallest set of matrices that contain all the brackets $[M, N]$ (M, N elements of \mathbf{B}) and that satisfies the condition

$$(6) \quad M \in \mathbf{B}, \quad N \in \mathbf{B}' \Rightarrow [M, N] \in \mathbf{B}'$$

(the bracket $[M, N]$ of the matrices M and N is, by definition, the matrix $MN - NM$).

We let \mathbf{B}_0 denote the set of all matrices A_α , where α is any multiindex other than $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$. Finally, we let

$$(7) \quad \mathbf{E} = \text{linear hull of } \mathbf{B} \cup \mathbf{B}',$$

and

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{E}_0 = \text{linear hull of } \mathbf{B}_0 \cup \mathbf{B}'.$$

Using the formulas $[M, N] = -[N, M]$ and $[M, [N, P]] = [[M, N], P] + [N, [M, P]]$ (Jacobi identity) it is easily shown that both \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{E}_0 are Lie algebras of matrices (in fact, \mathbf{E}_0 is an ideal of \mathbf{E}).

With every $n \times n$ matrix M we can associate a vector field M^* on \mathbf{R}^n defined by

$$(9) \quad M^*(x) = Mx, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

The correspondence $M \rightarrow M^*$ is linear. Moreover

$$(10) \quad [M^*, N^*] = [N, M]^*.$$

We define $\mathbf{D} = \{M^* : M \in \mathbf{E}\}$ and $\mathbf{D}_0 = \{M^* : M \in \mathbf{E}_0\}$. It is clear that \mathbf{D} and \mathbf{D}_0 are involutive spaces of vector fields. We let \mathbf{F} (resp. \mathbf{F}_0) be the family of all maximal integral manifolds of \mathbf{D} (resp. \mathbf{D}_0). As in the introduction, the unique maximal integral submanifold of \mathbf{D} (resp. \mathbf{D}_0) through a point $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ is denoted by $\mathbf{F}(x)$ (resp. $\mathbf{F}_0(x)$).

We now define the main controllability concepts. A *control* is an m -tuple $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$ of piecewise continuous functions defined on an interval $[0, t_u]$, and such that $0 \leq u_i(t) \leq 1$ for $0 \leq t \leq t_u$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. If u is a control, and if $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$, there is a unique solution $t \rightarrow x(t)$ of the system

$$(11) \quad \dot{x}(t) = A(u(t))x(t)$$

which satisfies the initial condition $x(0) = x_0$, and is defined for $0 \leq t \leq t_u$. The value at t of this solution is denoted by $\pi(x_0, u; t)$.

If x and y are vectors in \mathbf{R}^n , we say that y is *attainable* from x in t units of time if there exists a control u such that $\pi(x, u, t) = y$. The set of all y that are attainable from x in t units of time will be denoted by $\mathbf{A}_0(x, t)$. The union of the sets $\mathbf{A}_0(x, s)$ for $0 \leq s \leq t$ is denoted by $\mathbf{A}(x, t)$.

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

We shall apply to the system (1) the results of [6]. We must consider the family D of all vector fields of the form $A(u)^*$, where u belongs to the cube

$$C = \{(u_1, \dots, u_m) : 0 \leq u_i \leq 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

We want to apply Theorem 4.4 of [6]. We must therefore determine $\mathcal{S}(D)$.

Lemma 2. $\mathcal{S}(D) = D$.

Proof. In view of the definition of D , and of the fact that $\mathcal{S}(D)$ is the smallest involutive subspace that contains D , our results will follow if we prove: the linear hull of the matrices $A(u)$, $u \in C$ coincides with the linear hull of B . But this is immediate: every $A(u)$ is a linear combination of the A_α 's; conversely, every A_α can be obtained as a derivative (of a sufficiently high order) of the function $u \rightarrow A(u)$, at $u = (0, \dots, 0)$. Therefore, A_α belongs to the linear hull of the $A(u)$, $u \in C$, and our lemma is proved.

Theorem 1 now follows from Theorem 4.4 of [6].

In order to prove Theorem 2, we want to apply Theorem 4.5 of [6]. This requires that we compute $\mathcal{S}_0(D)$.

Lemma 3. $\mathcal{S}_0(D) = D_0$.

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{S}_0(D)$ is the set of all vector fields $X + Y$, where $Y \in \mathcal{S}'(D)$ (the derived algebra of $\mathcal{S}(D)$), and where $X \in D_0$ (the set of all linear combinations $\sum \lambda_i X_i$ such that the X_i 's belong to D and that $\sum \lambda_i = 0$). Now, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{S}'(D)$ is precisely the linear hull of the vector fields M^* , $M \in B'$. It follows that it is sufficient to prove that the linear hull of the vector fields M^* , $M \in B_0$, coincides with D_0 . But D_0 is the linear hull of the differences $X - Y$, $X \in D$, $Y \in D$. Therefore, it is sufficient to prove that the linear hull L_1 of the matrices $A(u) - A(v)$, $u \in C$, $v \in C$, coincides with L_2 , the linear hull of B_0 . First, every $A(u) - A(v)$ is a linear combination of the A_α with $\alpha \neq (0, \dots, 0)$. This shows that L_1 is contained in L_2 . To prove the converse let, for each $u \in C$, $A'(u) = A(u) - A_{(0, \dots, 0)}$. Then $A'(u) = A(u) - A(v)$, where $v = (0, \dots, 0)$. It follows that $A'(u) \in L_1$ for every $u \in C$. By repeated partial differentiation, we conclude that $A_\alpha \in L_1$ for each $\alpha \neq (0, \dots, 0)$. Thus $L_2 \subset L_1$, and the proof is complete.

Theorem 2 is now an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.5 of [6].

3. ACCESSIBILITY

Recall that a control system is said to have the accessibility property from x if the set $A(x, t)$ has a nonempty interior for some $t > 0$ (cf. [6]). In this case, the interior of $A(x, t)$ will be nonempty for every $t > 0$ (provided an analyticity condition is satisfied).

Our results yield the following criterion for accessibility:

Corollary 1. *The system (1) has the accessibility property from x if and only if the set of all vectors Mx , $M \in \mathbf{B} \cup \mathbf{B}'$, has rank n .*

The strong accessibility property is defined in a similar way, with $\mathbf{A}(x, t)$ replaced by $\mathbf{A}_0(x, t)$ (cf. [6]). We have:

Corollary 2. *The system (1) has the strong accessibility property from x if and only if the set of all vectors Mx , $M \in \mathbf{B}_0 \cup \mathbf{B}'$, has rank n .*

We remark that our previous results imply that the accessibility and strong accessibility properties depend only on the set of coefficients of $A(u)$. As an illustration, assume that the system $\dot{x} = (u_1A_1 + u_2A_2 + u_3A_3)x$ ($0 \leq u_i \leq 1$, $i = 1, 2, 3$), in which there are three controls that can be varied independently, has the accessibility property from a certain point $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$. It follows immediately from Cor. 1 that the system $\dot{x} = (A_1 + uA_2 + u^2A_3)x$ ($0 \leq u \leq 1$), in which only one control is available, will also have the accessibility property from x_0 .

Finally, we observe that the criteria of Cors. 1 and 2 are "effective" in the following sense: given the matrices A_x , one can determine in a finite number of steps whether the condition of Cor. 1 or Cor. 2 holds. For instance, one can check whether or not the condition of Cor. 1 holds as follows: let $n(k)$ be the rank of the set vectors Mx , where M ranges over all the brackets of k or less elements of \mathbf{B} . One can successively compute $n(0)$, $n(1)$, ... (each computation requires finitely many steps). Eventually a k will be reached such that $n(k) = n(k + 1)$. It is easy to show that this implies $n(k + 1) = n(k + 2) = \dots$, and, therefore, the condition of Cor. 1 holds if and only if $n(k) = n$.

Acknowledgments. The author wishes to thank Drs. VELIMIR JURDJEVIC and BOLESLAW XOTUS for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] C. Chevalley, "Theory of Lie Groups", Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1946.
- [2] S. Helgason, "Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces", Academic Press, New York, 1962.
- [3] J. Kučera, Solution in large of control problem: $\dot{x} = (A(1 - u) + Bu)x$, Czech. Math. J. 16 (91) (1966), 600–623.
- [4] C. Lobry, Contrôlabilité des systèmes non linéaires, SIAM J. Control 8 (1970), 573–605.
- [5] H. Sussmann, The control problem $\dot{x} = (A(1 - u) + Bu)x$: a comment on an article by J. Kučera, Czech. Math. J. 22 (97) (1972), 423–426.
- [6] H. Sussmann and V. Jurdjevic, Controllability of nonlinear systems, submitted to J. of Diff. Eqs.

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, U.S.A.