

Lawrence S. Husch

Equicontinuous commutative semigroups of onto functions

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 23 (1973), No. 1, 45–49

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101144>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1973

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

EQUICONTINUOUS COMMUTATIVE SEMIGROUPS
OF ONTO FUNCTIONS

L. S. HUSCH, Knoxville

(Received November 18, 1971)

Equicontinuous (or regular) groups of transformations of a space onto itself have been studied extensively [1], [2], [5]. In this note we investigate equicontinuous commutative semigroups G of functions of a space X into itself. We define a product on orbit closures which makes each orbit closure a commutative semigroup. This generalizes a result of D. MONTGOMERY on equicontinuous transformation groups [5]. If X is compact Hausdorff and each $g \in G$ is onto, then each $g \in G$ is a homeomorphism and each orbit closure is a topological group. This generalizes work of P. F. DUVAL, JR. and L. S. HUSCH [1] who considered the case when X is compact metric and G is generated by a single function. Finally it is shown that if X is compact Hausdorff then the closure of G in the space of continuous maps of X into itself with the compact-open topology is a topological group and each orbit closure is the continuous homomorphic image of G .

We shall assume familiarity with [4] whose notation we shall follow. [6] contains the definitions and results from the theory of semigroups which we use. Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a uniform space and let $C(X)$ be the semigroup of continuous functions of X into itself with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. If G is a subsemigroup of $C(X)$, then G is *equicontinuous* at $x \in X$ if, for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$, there is a neighborhood V of x such that $g(V) \subseteq U[g(x)]$ for each $g \in G$. G is *equicontinuous* if it is equicontinuous at each point of X . G is *uniformly equicontinuous* if, for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$, there exists $V \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $(g(x), g(y)) \in U$ whenever $g \in G$ and $(x, y) \in V$. If $x \in X$, let $O(x) = \overline{\{g(x) \mid g \in G\}}$. Henceforth, suppose X is Hausdorff and G is commutative.

Proposition 1. *If $x \in X$ such that G is uniformly equicontinuous on $O(x)$ and if the nets $\{g_\alpha(x), \alpha \in A\}$ and $\{g_\beta(x), \beta \in B\}$, $\{g_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \cup \{g_\beta\}_{\beta \in B} \subseteq G$, are Cauchy nets, then the net $\{g_\alpha g_\beta(x), (\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B\}$ is a Cauchy net. ($A \times B$ is the product directed set [4; p. 68]).*

Proof. Suppose $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and choose $V \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $V \circ V \subseteq U$. By uniform equicontinuity there exists $W \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $(y, z) \in W$ implies $(g(y), g(z)) \in V$ for all $g \in G$. There exists α and β such that if $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq \alpha$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2 \geq \beta$, then $(g_{\alpha_1}(x), g_{\alpha_2}(x))$ and $(g_{\beta_1}(x), g_{\beta_2}(x))$ belong to W where $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in A$ and $\beta, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in B$. Note that if $(\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2) \geq (\alpha, \beta)$, then $(g_{\alpha_1}g_{\beta_1}(x), g_{\alpha_2}g_{\beta_2}(x)) \in U$.

Definition. If $O(x)$ is complete and $y, z \in O(x)$, let $\{g_\alpha(x), \alpha \in A\}$ and $\{g_\beta(x), \beta \in B\}$ be nets which converge to y and z , respectively. Define $y \cdot z$ to be the limit of the net $\{g_\alpha g_\beta(x), (\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B\}$.

Proposition 2. *The product $y \cdot z$ is well-defined; — i.e., $y \cdot z$ is independent of the choice of nets which converge to y and z .*

Proof. Suppose y is the limit of the nets $\{g_\alpha(x), \alpha \in A\}$ and $\{g_\gamma(x), \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ and z is the limit of the nets $\{g_\beta(x), \beta \in B\}$ and $\{g_\delta(x), \delta \in \Delta\}$. Let a and b be the limits of the nets $\{g_\alpha g_\beta(x), (\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B\}$ and $\{g_\gamma g_\delta(x), (\gamma, \delta) \in \Gamma \times \Delta\}$, respectively. Let $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and choose $V \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $V \circ V \circ V \circ V \subseteq U$. By uniform equicontinuity there exists $W \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $(a, b) \in W$ implies $(g(a), g(b)) \in V$ for all $g \in G$. Choose $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ so that $(g_\gamma(x), g_\alpha(x)), (g_\delta(x), g_\beta(x)) \in W$ and $(b, g_\gamma g_\delta(x)), (g_\alpha g_\beta(x), a) \in V$. It is easily seen that $(b, a) \in U$ and since U is arbitrary, $a = b$.

Proposition 3. *If $y, z \in O(x)$ such that z is the limit of the net $\{z_\alpha, \alpha \in A\} \subseteq O(x)$, then the net $\{y \cdot z_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$ converges to $y \cdot z$.*

Proof. Suppose y, z, z_α ($\alpha \in A$) are the limit of the nets $\{g_\beta(x), \beta \in B\}$, $\{g_\gamma(x), \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ and $\{g_{\alpha, \delta}(x), \delta \in \Delta(\alpha)\}$, respectively. Let $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and choose $V \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $V \circ V \circ V \circ V \circ V \subseteq U$ and $W \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $(a, b) \in W$ implies $(g(a), g(b)) \in V$ for all $g \in G$. There exists

- i) α_1 such that $\alpha \in A$ and $\alpha \geq \alpha_1$ implies $(z, z_\alpha) \in W$,
- ii) (β_1, γ_1) such that $(\beta, \gamma) \in B \times \Gamma$ and $(\beta, \gamma) \geq (\beta_1, \gamma_1)$ implies $(y \cdot z, g_\beta g_\gamma(x)) \in V$,
- iii) γ_2 such that $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\gamma \geq \gamma_2$ implies $(g_\gamma(x), z) \in W$,
- iv) δ_1 such that $\delta \in \Delta$ and $\delta \geq \delta_1$ implies $(z_\alpha, g_{\alpha, \delta}(x)) \in W$,
- v) (β_2, δ_2) such that $(\beta, \delta) \in B \times \Delta$ and $(\beta, \delta) \geq (\beta_2, \delta_2)$ implies $(g_\beta g_{\alpha, \delta}(x), y \cdot z_\alpha) \in V$.

Note that δ_1 and (β_2, δ_2) depend upon α . Choose $\beta_3 \geq \beta_1, \beta_2; \gamma_3 \geq \gamma_1, \gamma_2; \delta_3 \geq \delta_1, \delta_2$. Suppose $\alpha \geq \alpha_1$ and choose $(\beta, \gamma, \delta) \geq (\beta_3, \gamma_3, \delta_3)$. Then $(g_\beta g_{\alpha, \delta}(x), y \cdot z_\alpha), (g_\beta(z_\alpha), g_\beta g_{\alpha, \delta}(x)), (g_\beta(z), g_\beta(z_\alpha)), (g_\beta g_\gamma(x), g_\beta(z)), (y \cdot z, g_\beta g_\gamma(x)) \in V$ implies $(y \cdot z, y \cdot z_\alpha) \in U$.

Proposition 4. *If $y, z \in O(x)$ are the limits of the nets $\{y_\sigma, \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ and $\{z_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$, respectively, which are contained in $O(x)$ and if $U \in \mathcal{U}$, then there exists $(\sigma_1, \alpha_1) \in \Sigma \times A$ such that if $(\sigma, \alpha) \in \Sigma \times A$ and $(\sigma, \alpha) \geq (\sigma_1, \alpha_1)$, then $(y_\sigma \cdot z_\alpha, y \cdot z_\alpha) \in U$.*

Proof. In addition to the nets used in the previous proof, let y be the limit of the net $\{g_{\sigma,\tau}(x), \tau \in T(\sigma)\} \subseteq O(x)$. Choose $V \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $V \circ V \circ V \circ V \circ V \subseteq U$ and $W \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $(a, b) \in W$ implies $(g(a), g(b)) \in V$ for all $g \in G$. There exists

- i) σ_1 such that $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $\sigma \geq \sigma_1$ implies $(y, y_\sigma) \in W$,
- ii) (β_1, δ_1) such that $(\beta, \delta) \in B \times \Delta$ and $(\beta, \delta) \geq (\beta_1, \delta_1)$ implies $(y \cdot z_\alpha, g_\beta g_{\alpha,\delta}(x)) \in V$,
- iii) β_2 such that $\beta \in B$ and $\beta \geq \beta_2$ implies $(g_\beta(x), y) \in W$,
- iv) τ_1 such that $\tau \in T$ and $\tau \geq \tau_1$ implies $(y_\sigma, g_{\sigma,\tau}(x)) \in W$,
- v) (τ_2, δ_2) such that $(\tau, \delta) \in T \times \Delta$ and $(\tau, \delta) \geq (\tau_2, \delta_2)$ implies $(g_{\sigma,\tau} g_{\alpha,\delta}(x), y_\sigma \cdot z_\alpha) \in V$.

Choose $\beta_3 \geq \beta_1, \beta_2; \delta_3 \geq \delta_1, \delta_2; \tau_3 \geq \tau_1, \tau_2$. Let $\alpha_1 \in A$ and suppose $(\sigma, \alpha) \in \Sigma \times A$ such that $(\sigma, \alpha) \geq (\sigma_1, \alpha_1)$. Choose $(\beta, \delta, \tau) \geq (\beta_3, \delta_3, \tau_3)$ (note that $\beta_3, \delta_3, \tau_3$ depend on (σ, α)); then $(g_{\sigma,\tau} g_{\alpha,\delta}(x), y_\sigma \cdot z_\alpha), (g_{\alpha,\delta}(y_\sigma), g_{\sigma,\tau} g_{\alpha,\delta}(x)), (g_{\alpha,\delta}(y), g_{\alpha,\delta}(y_\sigma)), (g_{\alpha,\delta} g_\beta(x), g_{\alpha,\delta}(y)), (y \cdot z_\alpha, g_\beta g_{\alpha,\delta}(x)) \in V$ implies $(y_\sigma \cdot z_\alpha, y \cdot z_\alpha) \in U$.

From Propositions 3 and 4 we get the follow theorem.

Theorem 5. *Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a Hausdorff uniform space and let G be a commutative subsemigroup of $C(X)$. If $x \in X$ such that $O(x)$ is complete and G is uniformly equicontinuous on $O(x)$, then $O(x)$ is a commutative topological semigroup.*

Definition. If $g \in G$, let $O(x; g) = \{g^i(x) \mid i \text{ is a positive integer}\}$ and $K(x; g) = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} O(g^i(x); g)$. We omit the proof of the following.

Proposition 6. *If $z \in O(x; g)$, then either $z = g^i(x)$ for some positive integer i or $z \in K(x; g)$. $z \in K(x; g)$ if and only if there exists a strictly monotone increasing sequence of positive integers $\{i_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} g^{i_n}(x)$.*

Theorem 7. *Let (X, \mathcal{U}) , G and $x \in X$ be as in Theorem 5. If $K(x; g)$ is nonempty for some $g \in G$, then $K(x; g)$ is an ideal in $O(x; g)$. If $O(x; g)$ is compact, then $K(x; g)$ is a minimal ideal in $O(x; g)$ and is a topological group.*

Proof. The first part is a consequence of Proposition 6 and the second part follows from [6; p. 109].

Proposition 8. *If $z \in O(x)$ and $g \in G$, then $g(z) = g(x) \cdot z$.*

Proof. Let $\{g_\alpha(x), \alpha \in A\}$ be a net which converges to z . Then $g(z)$ is the limit of the net $\{g g_\alpha(x), \alpha \in A\}$ and the proposition follows from the definition of multiplication in $O(x)$.

Theorem 9. *Let S be a compact Hausdorff space and let G be a commutative equicontinuous subsemigroup of $C(X)$ such that each $g \in G$ is onto. Then each $g \in G$ is a homeomorphism and $x \in O(x; g) = K(x; g)$.*

Proof. Having developed the necessary machinery above, the proof of this theorem can be gotten by mimicing the proof of Theorem 33 of [1]. Since [1] has not yet appeared, we sketch a proof for completeness.

If $y \in K(x; g)$, then $K(y; g) \subseteq O(g; g) \subseteq K(x; g)$ and it is easily seen that $K(y; g)$ (with the multiplication from $O(x)$ is an ideal in $O(x; g)$. By Theorem 7, $K(y; g) = K(x; g)$. It follows that $K(w; g) \cap K(z; g) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $K(w; g) = K(z; g)$, $w, z \in X$. By using the group structure of $K(x; g)$ and Propositions 6 and 8, one sees that $g \upharpoonright K(x; g)$ is a homeomorphism of $K(x; g)$ onto itself. To finish the proof it suffices to show that $X = \bigcap_{x \in X} K(x; g)$.

This is shown by noting that, for each i , $O(g^i(x); g)$ is an upper semicontinuous compact set-valued function $X \rightarrow 2^X$ [3]. Since, for each i , $X = \bigcap_{x \in X} O(g^i(x); g)$ and $K(x; g) = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} O(g^i(x); g)$, it follows from a slight modification of arguments in [3] that $X = \bigcap_{x \in X} K(x; g)$.

Definition. By [6; p. 18], $O(x; g)$ is contained in a unique maximal subgroup $M(x; g)$ of $O(x)$. If $g, h \in G$, then $x \in M(x; g) \cap M(x; h)$ and, hence, by [6; p. 18], $M(x; g) = M(x; h)$. Let $M(x) = M(x; g)$.

Theorem 10. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and let G be a commutative equicontinuous subsemigroup of $C(X)$ such that each of $g \in G$ is onto. Then a) for each $x \in X$, $O(x)$ is a topological group, b) if $O(x) \cap O(y) \neq \emptyset$, then $O(x) = O(y)$ and c) the closure of G in $C(X)$ is an equicontinuous compact topological group and the mapping $\lambda : \bar{G} \rightarrow O(x)$ defined by $\lambda(g) = g(x)$ is a continuous epimorphism.*

Proof. a) Let $g \in G$ and $x \in X$. Since $g(x) \in O(x; g)$, $g(x) \in M(x)$. Suppose $z \in M(x)$; by Proposition 8, $g(z) = g(x) \cdot z$ and hence $g(z) \in M(x)$. Since $g(M(x)) \subseteq M(x)$, it follows that $O(x) \subseteq M(x)$ and hence $O(x) = M(x)$ is a topological group.

b) Suppose $z \in O(x)$ and $g \in G$. Since $g(z) = g(x) \cdot z$, $g(z) \in O(z)$. Therefore $O(x; g) \subseteq O(z)$; since $x \in O(x; g)$, $O(x) = O(z)$.

c) By [4; p. 240], the closure of G in $C(X)$ with respect to the topology of pointwise convergence is uniformly equicontinuous; hence the closure of G , \bar{G} , with respect to the topology of uniform convergence on compacta is also uniformly equicontinuous. Note that each element of \bar{G} is an onto map and hence by Theorem 9 is a homeomorphism. By Ascoli's Theorem [4; p. 233], \bar{G} is compact and by Theorem 1.1.15 of [6], \bar{G} is a topological group. We leave to the reader the verification of the second part.

References

- [1] *P. F. Duvall, Jr. and L. S. Husch*, Analysis on Topological manifolds, (to appear).
- [2] *P. F. Duvall, Jr. and L. S. Husch*, Regular properly discontinuous Z^n -actions on open manifolds, (to appear).
- [3] *M. K. Fort, Jr.*, One-to-one mappings onto the Cantor set, *J. Indian Math. Soc.* 25 (1961), 103–107.
- [4] *J. L. Kelley*, *General Topology*, D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., New York (1955).
- [5] *D. Montgomery*, Almost periodic transformation groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 42 (1937), 322–332.
- [6] *A. B. Paalman-de Miranda*, *Topological semigroups*, Mathematisch Centrum Amsterdam (1970).

Author's address: Mathematics Department, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville 37916, U.S.A.