

George M. Alexander; Charles W. Swartz

Linear operators on  $C_x$

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 23 (1973), No. 2, 231–234

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101162>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1973

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

LINEAR OPERATORS ON  $c_X$ 

GEORGE ALEXANDER and CHARLES SWARTZ, Tucson

(Received October 12, 1971)

In a recent paper [3], I. DOBRAKOV has posed several problems for spaces of vector-valued continuous functions on a locally compact Hausdorff space  $S$ . In this note we show that some results of J. BATT ([1]) on operator-valued series can be employed to solve two of these problems for the special case when  $S$  is the one-point compactification of the positive integers.

Before considering the problems, we introduce some notation. Let  $X, Y$  denote  $B$ -spaces and  $L(X, Y)$  denote the  $B$ -space of all bounded linear operators from  $X$  into  $Y$  equipped with the usual operator norm. (Our terminology will be that of [4].) We denote by  $c_X$  the  $B$ -space of all  $X$ -valued, convergent sequences,  $\{x_n\}$ , equipped with the sup-norm,  $\|\{x_n\}\| = \sup\{\|x_n\| : n \geq 1\}$ . Thus,  $c_X$  is the space  $C_X(S)$  ([9]), where  $S$  is one-point compactification of the positive integers. Our results all pertain to linear operators on  $c_X$ .

The first problem which we consider is to give a characterization of unconditionally converging operators on  $c_X$ . (See [3], Theorem 3 and the remarks following.) Recall a bounded linear operator  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be an unconditionally converging (u.c.) operator if  $T$  carries weakly unconditionally convergent (w.u.c.) series into unconditionally convergent (u.c.) series [9]. (A series  $\sum x_n$  in  $X$  is w.u.c. if and only if the series  $\sum |\langle x', x_n \rangle|$  converges for each  $x' \in X'$  ([2], Lemma 2), and the series  $\sum x_n$  is u.c. if and only if it converges unconditionally in norm ([7], [8].)) We first give necessary and sufficient conditions for a bounded linear operator  $T: c_X \rightarrow Y$  to be u.c.

Recall ([6], p. 738) a bounded linear operator  $T: c_X \rightarrow Y$  has a unique representation in the form

$$(1) \quad \langle y', T\zeta \rangle = \langle y', T_0(\lim x_n) \rangle + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle y', T_n x_n \rangle$$

where  $\zeta = \{x_n\} \in c_X$ ,  $y' \in Y'$ ,  $T_0: X \rightarrow Y'$  and  $T_n: X \rightarrow Y'$  are bounded linear operators, and the series on the right-hand side of (1) satisfies  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|y' T_n\| < \infty$ . (See [1], Theorem 1 for some equivalent formulations regarding the condition on the series.)

**Theorem 1.** *A bounded linear operator  $T: c_X \rightarrow Y$  is u.c. if and only if*

- (i) *each  $T_j$  in (1) is u.c. ( $j = 0, 1, \dots$ )*
- (ii) *the series  $\sum T_j$  is such that  $\sum T_j x_j$  converges for each sequence  $\{x_j\} \subseteq X$ ,  $\|x_j\| \leq 1$ . (See [1], Theorem 2 for equivalent formulations.)*

*Proof.* First suppose that  $T$  is u.c. Define a continuous linear operator  $P_j$  ( $j \geq 1$ ) from  $X$  into  $c_X$  by  $P_j x = \{\delta_{jn} x\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . Let  $\{x_j\} \subseteq X$  be such that  $\|x_j\| \leq 1$ . Then  $\sum P_j x_j$  is w.u.c. in  $c_X$  ([2], lemma 2.III) so that  $\sum TP_j x_j = \sum T_j x_j$  is u.c. by (1). Hence (ii) is satisfied.

For  $j \geq 1$  and  $x \in X$ , equation (1) yields  $TP_j x = T_j x$ , so  $T_j$  is u.c. since  $T$  is u.c. ( $j \geq 1$ ). To establish (i) it remains to show  $T_0$  is u.c.

Let  $Q: X \rightarrow c_X$  be the continuous linear operator defined by  $Qx = (x, x, \dots)$ . Now (ii) together with (1) shows  $T_0 \in L(X, Y)$ ; and in fact,  $T_0 x = TQx - \sum_{j=1}^\infty T_j x$  for all  $x \in X$ . Also (ii) and Theorem 2 of [1] show  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty T_j x$  converges uniformly for all  $\|x\| \leq 1$ , i.e.,  $T_0$  is the limit in the norm topology on  $L(X, Y)$  of the sequence of u.c. operators  $\{TQ - \sum_{j=1}^n T_j\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . Thus  $T_0$  is u.c. since the space of u.c. operators is closed in the norm of  $L(X, Y)$ .

Next suppose that  $T$  satisfies (i) and (ii). For each  $n$ , let  $S_n: c_X \rightarrow Y$  be defined by  $S_n \zeta = T_0(\lim x_n) + \sum_{j=1}^n T_j x_j$ ,  $\zeta = \{x_n\}$ . By (i) and (1), each  $S_n$  is u.c. By (ii) and Theorem 2 of [1], the series  $\sum T_j x_j$  converges uniformly in  $Y$  for  $\|x_j\| \leq 1$  so that  $S_n \rightarrow T$  in the norm of  $L(c_X, Y)$ . Since the space of u.c. operators is closed in the norm topology of  $L(c_X, Y)$ ,  $T$  is u.c.

**Remark.** The necessity of conditions (i) and (ii) is established for the general case in [3], [10]; but the proof given here is simpler and involves no integration theory as in [3], [10].

In [1], Corollary 1, Batt has shown that  $T: c_X \rightarrow Y$  is weak compact if and only if each  $T_j$  is weak compact and (ii) is satisfied. We recall that a  $B$ -space  $X$  has the property  $V$  if and only if for each  $B$ -space  $Y$  each u.c. operator  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  is weak compact ([9]). By Theorem 1 and the result of Batt just quoted, we may obtain

**Corollary 2.**  *$X$  has the property  $V$  if and only if  $c_X$  has the property  $V$ .*

*Proof.* If  $X$  has  $V$ ,  $c_X$  has  $V$  by the remarks above.

If  $c_X$  has  $V$  and  $U: X \rightarrow Y$  is u.c., then  $T: c_X \rightarrow Y$  defined by  $T\zeta = Ux_1$ ,  $\zeta = \{x_n\}$ , is also u.c., and hence weak compact. Since for  $x \in X$ ,  $Ux = TP_1 x$ , it follows that  $U$  is weak compact.

**Remark.** This result was established in [10] by other methods.

We finally show that  $c_X$  has the Dunford-Pettis property if and only if  $X$  has the Dunford-Pettis property. (See [3], §6, for a discussion of this problem and some partial results.) Recall that a  $B$ -space  $X$  is said to have the Dunford-Pettis property (D.P. property) if and only if for every  $B$ -space  $Y$  each weak compact operator  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  carries weak Cauchy sequences into norm convergent sequences ([3], §6; [5]). For convenience we refer to a bounded linear operator  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  which carries weak Cauchy sequences into norm convergent sequences as a completely continuous (cc) operator.

We first observe that the space of cc operators is closed in the uniform operator topology.

**Proposition 3.** *The space,  $cc(X, Y)$ , of all cc operators from  $X$  into  $Y$  is closed in the norm topology of  $L(X, Y)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T_n \in cc(X, Y)$ ,  $T \in L(X, Y)$  and  $\|T_n - T\| \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be weak Cauchy in  $X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There is  $N > 0$  such that  $\|T_N - T\| < \varepsilon/3B$ , where  $B > 0$  is such that  $\|x_n\| \leq B$ . Now  $T_N$  is a cc operator so  $\{T_N x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is norm convergent, and there exists  $N_1$  such that  $n, m \geq N_1$  implies  $\|T_N(x_n - x_m)\| < \varepsilon/3$ . Thus for  $n, m \geq N_1$ ,  $\|T(x_n - x_m)\| \leq \|(T - T_N)x_n\| + \|(T_N - T)x_m\| + \|T_N(x_n - x_m)\| < \varepsilon$ . Hence,  $T$  is a cc operator.

The analogue of Theorem 1 for cc operators is

**Theorem 4.** *A bounded linear operator  $T: c_X \rightarrow Y$  is a cc operator if and only if*

- (a) *each  $T_j$  is a cc operator ( $j = 0, 1, \dots$ )*
- (b) *the series  $\sum T_j$  satisfies condition (ii) of Theorem 1.*

*Proof.* First, suppose  $T$  is a cc operator. For  $j \geq 1$  denote by  $P_j$  the bounded linear operator from  $X$  into  $c_X$  defined by  $P_j x = \{\delta_{nj} x\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . Let  $\{x_j\} \subseteq X$  be such that  $\|x_j\| \leq 1$ , and set  $z_n = \sum_{j=1}^n P_j x_j \in c_X$ . Recall the dual of  $c_X$  can be identified with the space  $l^1(X')$  of all absolutely summable  $X'$ -valued sequences ([6], p. 736; [3]), so that if  $\alpha = \{x'_j\} \in c'_X$ , then  $\langle \alpha, z_n \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x'_j, x_j \rangle \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^\infty \langle x'_j, x_j \rangle$ . Hence  $\{z_n\}$  is weak Cauchy in  $c_X$ , and thus  $\{Tz_n\} = \{\sum_{j=1}^n T_j x_j\}$  is norm convergent. That is, (b) is satisfied.

By a proof analogous to that used in Theorem 1 to show  $T$  a u.c. operator implies condition (i), it follows from the preceding paragraph and Proposition 3 that  $T$  a cc operator implies condition (a).

The converse is also established exactly as in Theorem 1. For each  $n$  set  $S_n \zeta = T_0(\lim x_n) + \sum_{j=1}^n T_j x_j$ ,  $\zeta = \{x_j\} \in c_X$ . Each  $S_n: c_X \rightarrow Y$  is a cc operator, and by (b) and Theorem 2 of [1],  $\|S_n - T\| \rightarrow 0$ . By Proposition 3,  $T$  is a cc operator.

**Remark.** It would be interesting to obtain an analogue of this characterization for general cc operators  $T: C_X(S) \rightarrow Y$ , when  $S$  is an arbitrary compact Hausdorff space.

Using this theorem we obtain

**Corollary 5.**  $X$  has the D.P. property if and only if  $c_X$  has the D.P. property.

**Proof.** Suppose  $X$  has the D.P. property and  $T: c_X \rightarrow Y$  is weakly compact. Then by Corollary 1 of [1] and Theorem 4,  $T$  is a cc operator. Hence,  $c_X$  has the D.P. property.

Let  $c_X$  have the D.P. property and  $U: X \rightarrow Y$  be weak compact. Then  $T: c_X \rightarrow Y$  defined by  $T\zeta = Ux_1$ ,  $\zeta = \{x_n\}$ , is weak compact, and hence  $T$  is a cc operator. For  $x \in X$ ,  $TP_1x = Ux$  so that  $U$  is a cc operator.

**Remark.** See Theorem 13a of [3] where the result is established by using integration theory. The proof given here is entirely elementary.

Corollary's 2 and 5 solve the two problems posed in [3] for the very special case when  $S$  is the one-point compactification of the positive integers. Of course, the methods employed here will not be applicable in the general case, but they do furnish some interesting applications of some of the results in [1] pertaining to operator-valued series.

#### References

- [1] *J. Batt*, "Applications of the Orlicz-Pettis Theorem to Operator-Valued Measures and Compact and Weakly Compact Linear Transformations on the Space of Continuous Functions," *Rev. Roum. Math. Pure et Appl.* 14 (1969), 907–935.
- [2] *C. Bessaga* and *A. Pelczynski*, "On Bases and Unconditional Convergence of Series in Banach Spaces," *Studia Math.* 17 (1958), 151–164.
- [3] *I. Dobrakov*, "On Representation of Linear Operators on  $C_0(T, X)$ ," *Czech. Math. Jour.* 21 (1971), 13–30.
- [4] *N. Dunford* and *J. Schwartz*, *Linear Operators*, Interscience, 1958.
- [5] *R. E. Edwards*, *Functional Analysis*, Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, 1965.
- [6] *C. Foias* and *I. Singer*, "Some Remarks on the Representation of Linear Operators in Spaces of Vector-Valued Continuous Functions," *Rev. Roum. Math. Pure et Appl.* 5 (1960), 729–752.
- [7] *T. H. Hildebrandt*, "On Unconditional Convergence in Normed Vector Spaces," *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 46 (1940), 959–962.
- [8] *C. W. McArthur*, "A Note on Subseries Convergence," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 12 (1961), 540–545.
- [9] *A. Pelczynski*, "Banach Spaces on Which Every Unconditionally Converging Operator is Weakly Compact," *Bull. Acad. Pol.* 10 (1962), 641–648.
- [10] *C. Swartz*, "Unconditionally Converging Operators on the Space of Continuous Functions", submitted to *Rev. Roum. Math. Pure et Appl.*

*Author's addresses:* George Alexander, New Mexico State University, Charles Swartz, University of Arizona, College of Liberal Arts, Department of Mathematics, Tucson, Arizona 85721, U.S.A.