

Nobuaki Kuroki

Certain fundamental congruences on the tensor product of commutative inverse semigroups

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 23 (1973), No. 2, 252–256

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101164>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1973

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

CERTAIN FUNDAMENTAL CONGRUENCES ON THE TENSOR PRODUCT OF COMMUTATIVE INVERSE SEMIGROUPS

NOBUAKI KUROKI, Funabasi

(Received November 12, 1971)

1. Two elements of a semigroup X are called to be \mathcal{L} -equivalent if they generate the same principal left ideal of X . \mathcal{R} -equivalence is defined dually. The join of the equivalences \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} is denoted by \mathcal{D} and their intersection by \mathcal{H} . By an inverse semigroup we mean a semigroup X in which to each element a there corresponds a unique element a^{-1} (the inverse of a) such that

$$aa^{-1}a = a \quad \text{and} \quad a^{-1}aa^{-1} = a^{-1}.$$

In this note we shall prove that the tensor product of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$ -equivalences on commutative inverse semigroups X and Y is also $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$ -equivalence on the tensor product $X \otimes Y$. And we consider the analogous properties for the minimum semilattice congruences and the maximum idempotent-separating congruences on commutative inverse semigroups. MUNN [10] has given that a semigroup X is said to be *fundamental* if the only congruence on X contained in \mathcal{H} is the identity congruence. We also prove that the tensor product of commutative inverse fundamental semigroups is fundamental. For other properties of the tensor product of congruences, see the authors [6], [7] and [8]. The notation and terminology of CLIFFORD and PRESTON [1] will be used throughout.

2. By the *tensor product* $X \otimes Y$ of commutative semigroups X and Y we mean the quotient semigroup $F(X \times Y)/\delta$ where $F(X \times Y)$ is the free commutative semigroup on the set $X \times Y$ and δ is the smallest congruence relation for which:

$$(x_1x_2, y) \delta (x_1, y) (x_2, y)$$

and

$$(x, y_1y_2) \delta (x, y_1) (x, y_2)$$

hold for all $x_1, x_2, x \in X$ and $y, y_1, y_2 \in Y$.

P. A. GRILLET [3] has given the definition of the tensor product of congruences on semigroups: If $\gamma(X)$ and $\gamma(Y)$ are respectively congruences on semigroups X and Y ,

then the *tensor product* $\gamma(X) \otimes \gamma(Y)$ of $\gamma(X)$ and $\gamma(Y)$ is the smallest congruence relation on the tensor product $X \otimes Y$ containing all pairs

$$(x_1 \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_2)$$

such that

$$(x_1, x_2) \in \gamma(X) \quad \text{and} \quad (y_1, y_2) \in \gamma(Y).$$

3. A *semilattice* is a commutative semigroup of idempotents. By the *minimum semilattice congruence* on a semigroup X we mean the smallest congruence $\alpha(X)$ on X for which $X/\alpha(X)$ is a semilattice. TAMURA and KIMURA has given the minimum semilattice congruence on a commutative semigroup X as follows: For $a, b \in X$, $(a, b) \in \alpha(X)$ if and only if there exist elements $x, y \in X$ and positive integers m, n such that

$$ax = b^m \quad \text{and} \quad by = a^n,$$

([11] Theorem 5 or [1] Theorem 4.12).

A congruence on a semigroup X is called *idempotent-separating* if each congruence class of X contains at most one idempotent. It has been shown by HOWIE ([4] Theorem 2.4) that the maximum idempotent-separating congruence $\beta(X)$ on an inverse semigroup X has been given the following: For $a, b \in X$,

$$(a, b) \in \beta(X) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad a^{-1}ea = b^{-1}eb$$

for all idempotents $e \in X$. And this is the greatest congruence contained in \mathcal{H} ([9]).

It is clear that, for a commutative semigroup X , the equivalences \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{H} are congruence relations on X . In this case we obtain that $\beta(X) = \mathcal{H}$. In the case when X is a commutative inverse semigroup, from these and by Theorem 1.6 of [5], we have the following lemma:

Lemma 1. *Let X be any commutative inverse semigroup, and $\alpha(X)$ and $\beta(X)$ be respectively the minimum semilattice congruence and the maximum idempotent-separating congruence on X . Then*

$$\alpha(X) = \beta(X) = \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{H}.$$

4. The following property is well-known:

Lemma 2. ([3] Corollary 3.5). *Let $\gamma(X)$ and $\gamma(Y)$ be congruences on semigroups X and Y , respectively. Then the tensor product $X/\gamma(X) \otimes Y/\gamma(Y)$ is isomorphic to $(X \otimes Y)/(\gamma(X) \otimes \gamma(Y))$.*

The following property is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4 of [2]. We shall give a proof for completeness according to a point of view of congruences.

Lemma 3. *Let $\alpha(X)$ and $\alpha(Y)$ be the minimum semilattice congruences on commutative semigroups X and Y , respectively. Then the tensor product $\alpha(X) \otimes \alpha(Y)$*

of $\alpha(X)$ and $\alpha(Y)$ is the minimum semilattice congruence on the tensor product $X \otimes Y$ of X and Y .

Proof. As is easily seen, the tensor product of semilattices is also a semilattice. Thus it follows from Lemma 2 that $\alpha(X) \otimes \alpha(Y)$ is a semilattice congruence on $X \otimes Y$. Let $\alpha(X \otimes Y)$ be the minimum semilattice congruence on $X \otimes Y$. Then it is clear that

$$\alpha(X \otimes Y) \subseteq \alpha(X) \otimes \alpha(Y).$$

To prove the converse inclusion, let x_1 and x_2 be any elements of X such that

$$(x_1, x_2) \in \alpha(X).$$

Then it follows from the definition of $\alpha(X)$ that there exist elements u and v and positive integers m, n such that

$$x_1 u = x_2^m \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 v = x_1^n.$$

Then for any element $y \in Y$, we have

$$(x_1 \otimes y)(u \otimes y) = (x_1 u) \otimes y = x_2^m \otimes y = (x_2 \otimes y)^m$$

and

$$(x_2 \otimes y)(v \otimes y) = (x_2 v) \otimes y = x_1^n \otimes y = (x_1 \otimes y)^n.$$

Since $u \otimes y$ and $v \otimes y$ are elements of $X \otimes Y$, it follows from the definition of $\alpha(X \otimes Y)$ that

$$(x_1 \otimes y, x_2 \otimes y) \in \alpha(X \otimes Y).$$

Similarly,

$$(y_1, y_2) \in \alpha(Y)$$

implies

$$(x \otimes y_1, x \otimes y_2) \in \alpha(X \otimes Y)$$

for any element $x \in X$. Therefore it follows that

$$(x_1, x_2) \in \alpha(X) \quad \text{and} \quad (y_1, y_2) \in \alpha(Y)$$

imply

$$(x_1 \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_1) \in \alpha(X \otimes Y)$$

and

$$(x_2 \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_2) \in \alpha(X \otimes Y),$$

and eventually

$$(x_1 \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_2) \in \alpha(X \otimes Y).$$

Therefore we obtain that

$$\alpha(X) \otimes \alpha(Y) \subseteq \alpha(X \otimes Y),$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

5. Now we give our main result.

Theorem 4. Let α and β be respectively the minimum semilattice congruence and the maximum idempotent-separating congruence. Then the following congruences (1) ~ (12) on the tensor product $X \otimes Y$ of commutative inverse semigroups X and Y are equal to each other:

- (1) $\alpha(X) \otimes \alpha(Y)$,
- (2) $\alpha(X \otimes Y)$,
- (3) $\beta(X) \otimes \beta(Y)$,
- (4) $\beta(X \otimes Y)$,
- (5) $\mathcal{L}(X) \otimes \mathcal{L}(Y)$,
- (6) $\mathcal{L}(X \otimes Y)$,
- (7) $\mathcal{R}(X) \otimes \mathcal{R}(Y)$,
- (8) $\mathcal{R}(X \otimes Y)$,
- (9) $\mathcal{D}(X) \otimes \mathcal{D}(Y)$,
- (10) $\mathcal{D}(X \otimes Y)$,
- (11) $\mathcal{H}(X) \otimes \mathcal{H}(Y)$,
- (12) $\mathcal{H}(X \otimes Y)$,

where we denote by $\gamma(X)$ a congruence γ on a semigroup X .

Proof. It is well-known ([2] proposition 6) that the tensor product of commutative inverse semigroups X and Y is also a commutative inverse semigroup. Then it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$\alpha(X \otimes Y) = \beta(X \otimes Y) = \mathcal{L}(X \otimes Y) = \mathcal{R}(X \otimes Y) = \mathcal{D}(X \otimes Y) = \mathcal{H}(X \otimes Y).$$

We have also by Lemma 2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(X) \otimes \alpha(Y) &= \beta(X) \otimes \beta(Y) = \mathcal{L}(X) \otimes \mathcal{L}(Y) = \mathcal{R}(X) \otimes \mathcal{R}(Y) = \\ &= \mathcal{D}(X) \otimes \mathcal{D}(Y) = \mathcal{H}(X) \otimes \mathcal{H}(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Since by Lemma 3

$$\alpha(X \otimes Y) = \alpha(X) \otimes \alpha(Y),$$

we obtain that these congruences (1)~ (12) on $X \otimes Y$ are equal to each other. This completes the proof of the theorem.

6. Munn [10] has given the following: A semigroup X is said to be *fundamental* if the only congruence on X contained in \mathcal{H} is the identity congruence $i(X)$. Thus an inverse semigroup X is fundamental if and only if the maximum idempotent-separating congruence $\beta(X)$ is equal to the identity congruence $i(X)$, ([10] p. 160). Moreover he has given that if X is an inverse semigroup then $X/\beta(X)$ is fundamental, ([10] Theorem 2.4). From these we have the following properties:

Theorem 5. *Let X and Y be commutative inverse semigroups. If X and Y are fundamental, then the tensor product $X \otimes Y$ is fundamental.*

Proof. By the definition of the tensor product of congruences, the tensor product $i(X) \otimes i(Y)$ of the identity congruences $i(X)$ on X and $i(Y)$ on Y is also the identity congruence $i(X \otimes Y)$ on $X \otimes Y$. Then it follows from Theorem 4 that

$$\beta(X \otimes Y) = \beta(X) \otimes \beta(Y) = i(X) \otimes i(Y) = i(X \otimes Y).$$

Therefore $X \otimes Y$ is fundamental. This completes the proof.

Corollary 6. *Let $\beta(X)$ and $\beta(Y)$ be the maximum idempotent-separating congruences on commutative inverse semigroups X and Y , respectively. Then the tensor product $X/\beta(X) \otimes Y/\beta(Y)$ is fundamental.*

Proof. From Theorem 2.4 of [10], $X/\beta(X)$ and $Y/\beta(Y)$ are fundamental. Then it follows from Theorem 5 that $X/\beta(X) \otimes Y/\beta(Y)$ is fundamental.

Acknowledgement. I am indebted to Professor T. HEAD for some valuable comments.

References

- [1] *A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston:* The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups. Math. Surveys of the Amer. Math. Soc. 7, Providence, R. I., 1961 (vol. I) and 1967 (vol. II).
- [2] *R. Fulp:* Tensor and Torsion Products of Semigroups. Pacific J. Math., 32, 685—696 (1970).
- [3] *P. A. Grillet:* The Tensor Product of Semigroups. Trans. Amer. Soc., 138, 267—280 (1969).
- [4] *J. M. Howie:* The Maximum Idempotent-separating Congruence on an Inverse Semigroup. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., 14, 71—79 (1964).
- [5] *J. M. Howie and G. Lallement:* Certain Fundamental Congruence on a Regular Semigroup. Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc., 7, 145—159 (1966).
- [6] *N. Kuroki:* On the Minimal Group Congruence on the Tensor Product of Archimedean Commutative Semigroups. Proc. Japan Acad., 47, 305—308 (1971).
- [7] *N. Kuroki:* Note on Congruences on the Tensor Product of Archimedean Commutative Semigroups Commentarii Mathematici Universtiatii Sancti Pauli 20, 93—96 (1972).
- [8] *N. Kuroki:* Note on Congruences on the Tensor Product of Commutative Inverse Semigroups, (to appear).
- [9] *G. Lallement:* Congruences et equivalences de Green sur un demi-groupe regulier. C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, 262, 613—616 (1966).
- [10] *W. D. Munn:* Fundamental Inverse Semigroups. Quart. J. Math. Oxford, 21, 157—170 (1970).
- [11] *T. Tamura and N. Kimura:* On Decompositions of a Commutative Semigroup. Kōdai Math. Seminar Reports, 4, 109—112 (1954).

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Engineering, Nikon University, 7—24-1 Narashino-dai, Funabashi, Chiba-ken, Japan.