

Paul R. Fallone, Jr.

Level sets and neighborhoods of stable attractors

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 23 (1973), No. 3, 397–401

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101180>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1973

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

LEVEL SETS AND NEIGHBORHOODS OF STABLE ATTRACTORS¹⁾PAUL R. FALLONE, Jr., Storrs¹⁾

(Received January 7, 1972)

I. In [1] the following theorem is established: Let (X, π) be a dynamical system on the locally compact metric space X . If $F \subset X$ is compact, invariant, and (positively) asymptotically stable, then there is a real valued map (continuous), $v : A(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, from the region of attraction of F into the non-negative reals which is uniformly unbounded on $A(F)$ (i.e., for each $\alpha > 0$ there is a compact subset $M \subsetneq A(F)$ such that $v(x) \geq \alpha$ for each $x \in A(F) \setminus M$) and, in addition, satisfies:

(i) $v(x) = 0$ iff $x \in F$;

(ii) $v(\pi(x, t)) \equiv e^{-t} \cdot v(x)$ for every $(x, t) \in A(F) \times \mathbb{R}$.

It follows immediately from (ii) that each level set of v , $K = v^{-1}(k)$, $k > 0$, is a section for the invariant set $A^*(F) \equiv A(F) \setminus F$; i.e., K is closed in $A^*(F)$ and for each $x \in A^*(F)$ there is a unique $t_x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\pi(x, t_x) \equiv x\pi t_x \in K$. Our remarks in II and III below concern these sets and the sets $Q_k = A(F) \setminus v^{-1}[0, k]$, $k \geq 0$. Throughout, E^n denotes euclidean n -space, $n \geq 1$.

II. Recall that $A(F)$ is always open in X and invariant. The following well-known result is also important:

Lemma 1. If $L^-(x)$ denotes the negative limit set of $x \in X$, then $L^-(x) \cap A(F) = \emptyset$ for each $x \in A^*(F)$.

Proof. See [1].

From the uniform unboundedness of v follows directly

Lemma 2. $v^{-1}[0, k]$, hence K , is compact for each $k \geq 0$.

Proof. Choose $k' > k$. Then there is a compact subset $B \subsetneq A(F)$ such that $v(x) \geq k'$ whenever $x \in A(F) \setminus B$. $v^{-1}[0, k]$ is a closed subset of $A(F)$ which is contained in B . Therefore, $v^{-1}[0, k]$ is compact.

¹⁾ The author was supported by a University of Connecticut Research Fellowship.

In [2] we define a subset N of X to be pre-admissible for F , if N is a neighborhood of F and $N \subset A(F)$. When N is pre-admissible for F , we put $P = A(F) \setminus N$. Using this notation we have:

Theorem 1. *Suppose N is compact, pre-admissible for F and that P is connected. Then Q_k is connected for any $k \geq 0$.*

Proof. Fix k . Note that P and Q_k are both open in X . Suppose $Q_k = Q_{k_1} \cup Q_{k_2}$ is a separation of Q_k . Let

$$P_1 \equiv \{x \in P \mid \gamma(x) \cap Q_{k_1} \neq \emptyset\}$$

and

$$P_2 \equiv \{x \in P \mid \gamma(x) \cap Q_{k_2} \neq \emptyset\}$$

where $\gamma(x)$ denotes the trajectory through x . We claim that $P = P_1 \cup P_2$ is a separation of P contradicting the hypothesis that P is connected.

First, $P_i \neq \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2$. For let $y \in Q_{k_i}$. Then there is $t \in R$ such that $\omega = y\pi t \in P$. Otherwise, $\gamma(y) \subset N$. Since N is compact, $L^-(y) \neq \emptyset \subset N \subset A(F)$. But $y \in A^*(F)$, contradicting Lemma 1. By definition of P_i , $\omega \in P_i$.

Also, $P = P_1 \cup P_2$. For let $z \in P$. Then there is a unique $t_z \in R$ such that $v(z\pi t_z) = k$. Let $t' > t_z$. Then $z\pi t' \in Q_k$. Therefore $z\pi t' \in Q_{k_1}$ or $z\pi t' \in Q_{k_2}$; i.e., $z \in P_1$ or $z \in P_2$.

Again, $P_1 \cap P_2 = \emptyset$. Otherwise, let $z \in P_1 \cap P_2$. Then there is a unique $t_z \in R$ such that $v(z\pi t_z) = k$. Since $\gamma(z) \cap Q_{k_1} \neq \emptyset$ and $\gamma(z) \cap Q_{k_2} \neq \emptyset$,

$$z\pi(-\infty, t_z) = \{z\pi(-\infty, t_z) \cap Q_{k_1}\} \cup \{z\pi(-\infty, t_z) \cap Q_{k_2}\}$$

is a separation of $z\pi(-\infty, t_z)$. This contradicts the fact that $z\pi(-\infty, t_z)$ is connected.

Finally, P_i is open in P , $i = 1, 2$. For let $z \in P_i$ and let $\omega = z\pi t \in \gamma(z) \cap Q_{k_i}$. Let \mathcal{U} be an open neighborhood of ω in X which lies in Q_{k_i} . Then there is an open neighborhood \mathcal{V} of z in X and an open interval I in R and containing t such that $\pi(\mathcal{V} \times I) \subset \mathcal{U}$. Hence, for each $y \in \mathcal{V} \cap P$, $\gamma(y) \cap Q_{k_i} \neq \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{V} \cap P \subset P_i$ and $\mathcal{V} \cap P$ is open in P and contains z . This completes the proof.

For each $k > 0$ there is a function $p_k : A^*(F) \rightarrow R$ given by $p_k(x) \equiv t_x$ such that $x\pi t_x \in K = v^{-1}(k)$. These functions are continuous (See [2]) and give rise to the maps $\alpha_k : A^*(F) \rightarrow K$ which are onto and given by $\alpha_k(x) \equiv x\pi p_k(x)$. We use these functions to obtain

Corollary 1. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1, each K is connected.*

Proof. Let $K = v^{-1}(k)$. Then Q_k is connected. α_k restricted to Q_k is a map onto K . Hence, K is connected.

We show in [2] that $A(F)$ is connected iff F is connected. This fact helps establish:

Theorem 2. *If $A^*(F)$ is connected, then the boundary of F in X , ∂F , is connected.*

Proof. Consider the flow on $B = A(F) \setminus \text{interior } F$. We have ∂F is compact, invariant, and asymptotically stable with $A(\partial F) = A^*(F) \cup \partial F$. If $A^*(F)$ is connected, $\overline{A^*(F)}$ (in B) is connected. But $\overline{A^*(F)} = A(\partial F)$. Therefore, ∂F is connected.

Theorems 1 and 2 give:


Corollary 2. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1, ∂F is connected.*

Proof. $A^*(F)$ is connected. Apply Theorem 2.

It is interesting to note that Theorem 1 is false if N is not compact and the converse of Theorem 2 is false. For the system (van der Pol)

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= y, \\ \dot{y} &= \varepsilon(1 - x^2)y - x,\end{aligned}$$

with $\varepsilon > 0$ small, there is a unique (non trivial) periodic trajectory, say Γ , toward which every nonconstant trajectory tends as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. The phase portrait sketches as:



If N is the set of all points inside the dotted curve except for $(0,0)$, then since $A(\Gamma) = E^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$, $A(F) \setminus N$ is connected but Q_k and K are not connected for any $k > 0$. Also, $F = \Gamma = \partial F$ is connected but $A^*(F)$ is separated.

Theorem 3. *Let N be a compact and pre-admissible for F .*

- (i) *If P is path connected, Q_k is path connected for any $k \geq 0$;*
- (ii) *If P is simply connected, Q_k is simply connected for any $k \geq 0$.*

Proof. (i) Fix k . Let $x, y \in Q_k$. Choose $k' > k$ such that $N \subsetneq v^{-1}[0, k']$. This can be done since v is continuous, uniformly unbounded on $A(F)$, and N is compact.

Then there are $t_x \leq 0, t_y \leq 0$ such that $x\pi t_x, x\pi t_y \in Q_k$, and $x\pi t, y\pi t' \in Q_k$ for $t_x \leq t \leq 0, t_y \leq t' \leq 0$.

Now let $\omega : I \rightarrow P$ be a path in P connecting $x\pi t_x$ with $y\pi t_y$ where $I \equiv [0, 1]$. Then $\omega(I)$ is compact and there is $\hat{t} \leq 0$ such that $p_{k'}(\omega(s)) \geq \hat{t}$ whenever $s \in I$.

Then $x\pi[t_x + \hat{t}, 0] \cup \omega(I) \cup y\pi[t_y + \hat{t}, 0]$ is a path in Q_k connecting x with y .

(ii) Again, fix k . Choose $k' > k$ such that $N \stackrel{c}{\neq} v^{-1}[0, k']$ as in (i). Let $\Gamma = \omega(S^1)$ be a closed curve lying in Q_k where S^1 is the unit circle in E^2 . Since Γ is compact, there is a $\tau \leq 0$ such that $p_{k'}(\omega(s)) \geq \tau$ for each $s \in S^1$ and $\Gamma\pi[\tau, 0] \subset Q_{k'}$.

Since P is simply connected, there is a continuous extension of $\pi^\tau \circ \omega$ ($\pi^\tau : X \rightarrow X$ is the homeomorphism given by $\pi^\tau(x) \equiv x\pi\tau$ for each $x \in X$), say $W : D \rightarrow P$, from the unit disc in E^2 into P . But $W(D)$ is compact and there is $\tau' \leq 0$ such that $p_{k'}(z) \geq \tau'$ for $z \in W(D)$. Then $W(D)\pi\tau' \subset Q_{k'} \cup K' \subset Q_k$ where $K' = v^{-1}(k')$. This completes the proof.

It is easy to see, again from the earlier example, that N must be compact in both parts of Theorem 3.

III. We now take $X = E^n, n \geq 1$. $B(0; r)$ always denotes the closed ball in E^n with center at the origin and with positive radius r . Its boundary, denoted by $S(0; r)$, is, of course, topologically S^{n-1} .

Theorem 4. *If $A(F) = E^n$, then each K is a Peano space.*

Proof. Choose $r > 0$ such that $K \subset B(0; r)$. The map α_k restricted to $S(0; r)$ is a closed map onto K . Since $S(0; r)$ is compact, connected, and locally connected so is K .

Suppose $A(F) = E^2$. It follows from [3; Theorem 1] and Theorem 4 that each K is either a simple arc or a simple closed curve. But from [2], K is the same homotopy type as S^{n-1} , hence, as S^1 in this case. Then K must be a simple closed curve.

Corollary 3. *If $A(F) = E^2$, each K is a simple closed curve.*

When $x \in X$ we write $\gamma'(x) \equiv \pi'_x(-\infty, 0)$ where $\pi_x : R \rightarrow X$ is the map $\pi_x(t) \equiv x\pi t$ and π'_x is its restriction to $(-\infty, 0)$.

Theorem 5. *Suppose $A(F) = E^n$. For each pair of points $a, b \in K, K \setminus \{a \cup b\}$ is connected whenever $n \geq 3$.*

Proof. Let $K = v^{-1}(k)$. Then α_k restricted to $Q_k, \alpha_k|Q_k$, is a map onto K . If $K = K_1 \cup K_2$ is a separation of K , then

$$T_1 = (\alpha_k|Q_k)^{-1}(K_1)$$

and

$$T_2 = (\alpha_k|Q_k)^{-1}(K_2)$$

are disjoint open subsets of Q_k . Further, $Q_k \setminus \{\gamma'(a) \cup \gamma'(b)\} = T_1 \cup T_2$. Hence, $Q_k \setminus \{\gamma'(a) \cup \gamma'(b)\}$ is separated. We now need

Lemma 3. $\pi'_a, \pi'_b : (-\infty, 0) \rightarrow Q_k$ are homeomorphisms.

Proof. We do the proof for π'_a . The argument for π'_b is exactly the same.

First, π'_a is 1-1. For if $a\pi t = a\pi t'$, $t \neq t'$, then a is a periodic or a rest point. Then $L^-(a) \neq \emptyset \subset A(F)$. But $a \in A^*(f)$ contradicting Lemma 1.

Hence, it suffices to show the limit set of π'_a ; i.e., $L(\pi'_a) \equiv \{y \in Q_k \mid \text{for some sequence } t_n \in (-\infty, 0) \text{ having no convergent subsequence, } \pi'_a(t_n) \text{ converges to } y\}$, is empty. But this is just $L^-(a)$ and is empty since $A(F) = E^n$ and $a \in A^*(F)$. This proves the lemma.

Now from [4], $\gamma'(a)$ and $\gamma'(b)$ have dimension 1 and clearly each is closed in Q_k . Hence, $\gamma'(a) \cup \gamma'(b)$ has dimension ≤ 1 . But Q_k is an n -dimensional manifold with $n \geq 3$ and cannot be disconnected by a subset of dimension ≤ 1 . This contradiction establishes the theorem.

We could not establish that for $n = 3$, K is a sphere. (If K is a 2-manifold without boundary, then it is a sphere since it is the same homotopy type as S^2 .) In light of Theorems 4 and 5 it would be sufficient to show that each simple closed curve on K disconnects K .

References

- [1] *N. P. Bhatia and G. P. Szegő*, Dynamical systems, stability theory and applications, Springer-Verlag, 1967.
- [2] *P. R. Fallone, Jr.*, "Some remarks concerning stable attractors," Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 20 (95), 1970, pp. 599—602.
- [3] *O. Hájek*, "Sections of dynamical systems in E^2 ," Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 15 (90), 1965, pp. 205—211.
- [4] *W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman*, Dimension theory, Princeton University Press, 1948.

Author's address: The University of Connecticut, The College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, Storrs, Connecticut 06268, U.S.A.