

Yousef Alavi; Gary Chartrand

The existence of 2-factors in squares of graphs

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 25 (1975), No. 1, 79–83

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101296>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1975

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

THE EXISTENCE OF 2-FACTORS IN SQUARES OF GRAPHS

YUSEF ALAVI¹⁾ and GARY CHARTRAND, Kalamazoo

(Received September 27, 1973)

The *square* G^2 of a connected graph G is that graph having the same vertex set as G and such that two vertices of G^2 are adjacent if and only if the distance between these vertices in G is at most two. Figure 1 shows two graphs Y and Z and their squares.




Fig. 1.

¹⁾ Research supported in part by a Faculty Research Fellowship from Western Michigan University.

An n -factor of a graph G is a spanning subgraph of G which is regular of degree n . A 2-factor of G , then, is a collection of disjoint cycles which spans G . FLEISCHNER [3] proved that the square of every cyclic block is hamiltonian and hence contains a 2-factor. In [5] NEUMAN proved that the square T^2 of a tree T with at least three vertices is hamiltonian if and only if T does not contain the graph Y (of Fig. 1) as a subgraph. HOBBS [4] proved that if every vertex of a graph G has degree at least two, then G^2 has a 2-factor. By Neuman's result, neither Y^2 nor Z^2 is hamiltonian; however, it is not difficult to show that Z^2 contains a 2-factor while Y^2 does not.

It is the object of this paper to present a necessary and sufficient condition for the square G^2 of a graph G to possess a 2-factor. Before stating this result, we give one additional definition; all other definitions not given here may be found in [1]. An *end-path* is a path in which at least one end-vertex of the path has degree one and all vertices which are not end-vertices have degree two.

The following lemma will prove convenient.

Lemma. *Let G be any cyclic block, and let v be any vertex of G . Then there exists a vertex u in G adjacent with v such that $G - v - u$ is connected.*

Proof. Since blocks contain no cut-vertices, the graph $G - v$ is connected. Suppose for every vertex u of G adjacent with v that $G - v - u$ is disconnected. This implies that every vertex adjacent with v is a cut-vertex of $G - v$. Let B be an end-block of $G - v$ (a block of $G - v$ containing exactly one cut-vertex of G), and let w be the cut-vertex of $G - v$ belonging to B . No vertex of B , except possibly w , is adjacent to v in G . Hence, w is a cut-vertex of G ; this contradicts the fact that G is a block and establishes the lemma.

We now present our main result.

Theorem. *Let G be a connected graph having at least three vertices. A necessary and sufficient condition for the square G^2 of G to contain a 2-factor is that there exists in G no vertex which is the end-vertex of three end-paths of length two.*

Proof. Suppose G is a connected graph containing a vertex v which is the end-vertex of three end-paths of length two. Let the three vertices of degree one in these three end-paths be denoted by $v_1, v_2,$ and v_3 . Assume G^2 contains a 2-factor F . For each $i = 1, 2, 3$, the vertex v_i is incident with two edges in G^2 , one of which is the edge $v_i v$. Now each vertex v_i and thus each edge $v_i v$ belongs to F ; however, this implies that v is incident with three edges in F . This is impossible since every vertex in F has degree two. Therefore, our assumption is incorrect, and G^2 does not contain a 2-factor.

For the converse, we proceed by induction on the number p of vertices of G . The result follows immediately for $p = 3, 4,$ and 5 . Assume that if H is a connected graph of order at least three but less than $p (\geq 6)$ such that H contains no vertex which is the end-vertex of three end-paths of length two, then H^2 has a 2-factor. Let G be

a connected graph of order p such that G contains no vertex which is the end-vertex of three end-paths of length two.

If G is a block, then by Fleischner's theorem, G^2 is hamiltonian so that G^2 has a 2-factor. Hence, we may assume G to have cut-vertices and two or more blocks. An *end-block* of G is a block of G containing exactly one cut-vertex of G . Among all end-blocks of G , we consider those end-blocks B with the property that, with at most one exception, every block containing the cut-vertex in B is an end-block. We refer to such end-blocks as *terminal end-blocks*.

Three cases are now considered, depending on the number of vertices in terminal end-blocks.

Case 1. Suppose G contains a terminal end-block B having four or more vertices. Let v be the cut-vertex of G belonging to B . Denote by G_1 the connected graph obtained by deleting from G all vertices of B different from v .

If G_1 contains a vertex which is the end-vertex of three end-paths of length two, then, necessarily, v is a vertex of degree one on one of these three end-paths. By Fleischner's Theorem, B^2 contains a hamiltonian cycle F_1 , and by the induction hypothesis, $(G_1 - v)^2$ contains a 2-factor F_2 . Thus, $F_1 \cup F_2$ is a 2-factor of G^2 .

We henceforth assume that G_1 contains no vertex which is the end-vertex of three end-paths of length two. Suppose, first, that G_1 has at least three vertices. Then, by the induction hypothesis, G_1^2 contains a 2-factor F_1 . In [2] it was shown that if H is a cyclic block with at least four vertices, then $H^2 - x$ is hamiltonian for every vertex x of H . By applying this result, we arrive at a hamiltonian cycle F_2 in the graph $B^2 - v$. Hence $F_1 \cup F_2$ is a 2-factor of G^2 .

Next assume that G_1 has two vertices. Let u be the vertex of G_1 different from v . We investigate two subcases.

Sub-case A. Assume $B - v$ contains a vertex which is the end-vertex of three or more end-paths of length two. Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k , $k \geq 3$, be all vertices of degree one on all end-paths of length two in $B - v$. Since B has no vertices of degree one, v is adjacent to each of the vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k in B . Hence $B - \{v, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ is connected, contains more than three vertices, and has no vertex which is the end-vertex of three end-paths of length two; thus, by the induction hypothesis, the square of $B - \{v, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ has a 2-factor F_1 . The subgraph of G^2 induced by the vertices in the set $\{u, v, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ contains a hamiltonian cycle F_2 . Then $F_1 \cup F_2$ is a 2-factor of G^2 .

Sub-case B. Assume $B - v$ contains no vertex which is the end-vertex of three or more end-paths of length two. By the lemma, there exists a vertex w in B which is adjacent with v such that $B - v - w$ is connected. Suppose there exists no vertex in $B - v - w$ which is the end-vertex of three or more end-paths of length two. Since $p \geq 6$, $B - v - w$ contains at least three vertices. Therefore, by the induction

hypothesis, $(B - v - w)^2$ contains a 2-factor F_1 . Furthermore, the subgraph induced by the vertices u, v , and w in G^2 is a triangle F_2 , and $F_1 \cup F_2$ is a 2-factor in G^2 .

Now suppose that there exists in $B - v - w$ a vertex which is the end-vertex of three or more end-paths of length two, and let $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k, k \geq 3$, be all vertices of degree one on all end-paths of length two in $B - v - w$. Then $B - \{v, w, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$ is a connected graph with at least three vertices which does not contain a vertex which is the end-vertex of three end-paths of length two. Hence the square of $B - \{v, w, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$ contains a 2-factor F_1 . Moreover, in G^2 the subgraph induced by $\{u, v, w, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$ contains a 2-factor F_2 so that $F_1 \cup F_2$ is a 2-factor of G^2 .

Case 2. Suppose G contains to terminal end-block having four or more vertices but does contain terminal end-blocks with exactly three vertices. Let B be a triangle which is a terminal end-block of G , and let v be the cut-vertex of G in B . If all blocks containing v are end-blocks, then it follows immediately that G^2 is hamiltonian and hence has a 2-factor. We therefore assume that not all blocks containing v are end-blocks.

If G has other end-blocks containing v , then define H_0 to be the graph obtained from G by deleting those vertices different from v in the end-blocks containing v . Also, define $H_1 = H_0 - v$. Necessarily, each of H_0 and H_1 is connected, and at least one of H_0 and H_1 has order at least three and contains no vertex which is the end-vertex of three end-paths of length two. Let H denote whichever of H_0 and H_1 has the above property. Then H^2 contains a 2-factor F_1 , and the remaining vertices of G induce in G^2 a hamiltonian cycle F_2 . Thus, $F_1 \cup F_2$ is a 2-factor of G^2 .

Assume now that B is the only end-block of G containing v , and define G_1 to be the graph obtained by deleting the vertices of B from G . If G_1 contains no vertex which is the end-vertex of three end-paths of length two, then G_1^2 has a 2-factor F_1 and $F_1 \cup B$ is a 2-factor of G^2 . Otherwise, let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k, k \geq 3$, be the vertices of degree one in all end-paths of length two in G_1 . If G_0 is the graph obtained by removing the vertices of B and the vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k from G , it follows, by the induction hypothesis, that G_0^2 has a 2-factor F' . In G^2 the subgraph induced by the vertices of B and $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ contains a hamiltonian cycle F'' . Therefore, $F' \cup F''$ is a 2-factor in G^2 .

Case 3. Suppose that the only terminal end-blocks in G are acyclic. Let B_1 be a terminal end-block containing the vertices v and v_1 , where v is the cut-vertex. If all blocks containing v are end-blocks, then G is a star graph and G^2 is hamiltonian and thus contains a 2-factor. Hence, we assume not all blocks containing v are end-blocks.

If G contains at least three vertices of degree one adjacent with v , say v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k ($k \geq 3$), then at least one of $H_0 = G - \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ and $H_1 = H_0 - v$ is a connected graph of order at least three containing no vertex which is the end-vertex of three end-paths of length two. Such a graph H has the property that H^2 contains

a 2-factor F_1 while the remaining vertices of G induce in G^2 a hamiltonian cycle F_2 . Thus, $F_1 \cup F_2$ is a 2-factor of G^2 .

Suppose next that the only vertices of degree one adjacent with v are v_1 and v_2 . Define $G_1 = G - \{v, v_1, v_2\}$. If G_1 has no vertex which is the end-vertex of three end-paths of length two, then G_1^2 has a 2-factor F_1 . The subgraph of G^2 induced by $\{v, v_1, v_2\}$ is a 2-factor F_2 , and $F_1 \cup F_2$ is a 2-factor of G^2 . If, on the other hand, G_1 contains a vertex which is the end-vertex of three or more end-paths of length two, we let $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k, k \geq 3$, be all vertices of degree one on all end-paths of length two in G_1 . Here the subgraph of G^2 induced by $\{v, v_1, v_2, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$ has a hamiltonian cycle F' while the square of $G_0 = G_1 - \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$ has a 2-factor F'' . Then $F' \cup F''$ is a 2-factor of G^2 .

Finally, suppose that v_1 is the only vertex of degree one adjacent with v . Then we have a situation analogous to that considered in Case 1. The graph G^2 can be shown to have a 2-factor by essentially the same argument made in Subcases A and B.

This completes the proof.

We conclude by presenting a corollary. The *subdivision graph* $S(G)$ of a graph G is that graph in which every edge $e = uv$ is replaced by a new vertex w and two new edges uw and wv . The *total graph* $T(G)$ of G is that graph whose vertex set can be put in one-to-one correspondence with the set of vertices and edges of G in such a way that two vertices of $T(G)$ are adjacent if and only if the corresponding elements of G are adjacent or incident. It is a consequence of the definitions, that for every graph G , $T(G) = [S(G)]^2$. From this, we arrive at the following.

Corollary. *A necessary and sufficient condition for the total graph $T(G)$ of a connected graph G having at least two vertices to possess a 2-factor is that G does not contain three vertices of degree one which are adjacent with the same vertex.*

References

- [1] *M. Behzad and G. Chartrand*, Introduction to the Theory of Graphs. Allyn and Bacon, Boston (1972).
- [2] *G. Chartrand, A. M. Hobbs, H. A. Jung, S. F. Kapoor, and C. St. J. A. Nash-Williams*, The square of a block is Hamiltonian connected. *J. Combinatorial Theory* 16 (1974), 290–292.
- [3] *H. Fleischner*, The square of every two-connected graph is Hamiltonian. *J. Combinatorial Theory (Series B)* 16 (1974), 29–34.
- [4] *A. M. Hobbs*, Some hamiltonian results in powers of graphs. *J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards* 77B (1973), 1–10.
- [5] *F. Neuman*, On a certain ordering of the vertices of a tree. *Časopis Pěst. Mat.* 89 (1964), 323–339.

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo, Michigan 49001, U.S.A.