

Ivan Netuka

Continuity and maximum principle for potentials of signed measures

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 25 (1975), No. 2, 309–316

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101323>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1975

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

CONTINUITY AND MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE FOR POTENTIALS
OF SIGNED MEASURES

IVAN NETUKA, Praha

(Received June 10, 1974)

The classical theorem of EVANS-VASILESCO states that a Newtonian potential $U\mu$ of a positive measure μ with compact support K is continuous provided its restriction to K is continuous [8], [19].

On the occasion of the "5. Tagung über Probleme und Methoden der Mathematischen Physik" in Karl-Marx-Stadt (1973), Prof. B.-W. SCHULZE advanced in a discussion the following problem: Does the theorem extend to the case of potentials of signed measures?

Using fine topology arguments we prove the following

Theorem 1'. *Let μ be a signed measure with support K in the m -dimensional euclidean space R^m ($m > 2$) and let $U\mu$ be finite in R^m . If the restriction of $U\mu$ to K is continuous on K , then the potential $U\mu$ is continuous in the whole space.*

It is known from the classical potential theory that for every Newtonian potential of a positive measure μ with compact support K the following maximum principle of MARIA-FROSTMAN [16], [9] holds:

$$\sup_{x \in R^m} U\mu(x) = \sup_{x \in K} U\mu(x).$$

An extension of this important property to the case of potentials of signed measures is contained in the following theorem ($[z]^+$ and $[z]^-$ denote respectively the positive and negative parts of a number z).

Theorem 2'. *If μ is a signed measure with support $K \subset R^m$ and $U\mu$ is finite in R^m , then*

$$[\inf_{x \in K} U\mu(x)]^- = \inf_{x \in R^m} U\mu(x) \leq \sup_{x \in R^m} U\mu(x) = [\sup_{x \in K} U\mu(x)]^+.$$

In fact, we establish the above results as a consequence of theorems proved below in the context of BreLOT's axiomatics of harmonic spaces in which a somewhat stronger form D^* of the axiom of domination is fulfilled. It should be noted here that D^* is

in particular true for a class of elliptic partial differential equations investigated in connection with the axiomatic potential theory in [12], [13], [3] and [14].

In what follows we shall suppose that X is a strong harmonic space in the sense of [2] in which the following axiom D^* is satisfied:

D^ : A finite potential p with compact support $S(p)$ is continuous provided its restriction to $S(p)$ is continuous.*

We are going to show that the axiom D of domination (see [7], Chap. 9, [10], Chap. II) is fulfilled in X . Indeed, suppose that p is a locally bounded potential on X such that its restriction to $S(p)$ is continuous and fix $x_0 \in S(p)$. It is sufficient to verify that p is continuous at x_0 . Choose a relatively compact neighborhood U of x_0 . By Satz 5.1.4 of [2] there are potentials p_1, p_2 such that p_1 is harmonic in the complement of \bar{U} , p_2 is harmonic in U and $p = p_1 + p_2$. Then $S(p_1) \subset \bar{U} \cap S(p)$ is compact and the restriction of p_1 to $S(p_1)$ is continuous. By D^* , in particular, p_1 is continuous at x_0 . Since p_2 is continuous at x_0 , the same is true for p .

(Note that D does not imply D^* as shown by an example in [6], Corollary 1.2.)

By the result of KÖHN-SIEVEKING [15], X is an elliptic space and since the Brelot convergence axiom is satisfied ([2], Satz 1.5.6), each component of X is a harmonic space in the sense of the axiomatics developed by M. BRELOT (see [5]).

As for the axiom D^* , note that it is fulfilled in the Greenian case and more generally in the case A_2 of Brelot's axiomatics (see [10], Theorem 10.15 and Section 2.7). In particular, D^* is true in any strong harmonic space associated to partial differential equations of elliptic type investigated in [12] (see théorème 36.2), [3] (see p. 12), [13] (cf. p. 222) and [14] (cf. p. 338). For the validity of D^* in the classical case of the Laplace equation for domains having a Green function see [11], Theorem 6.20. In particular, Theorems 1', 2' follow immediately from Theorems 1, 2 below and the Riesz representation theorem for potentials.

If $U \subset X$, then ∂U is the boundary of U in X , while the symbol $\partial_f U$ stands for the fine boundary of U (that is, the boundary of U in the fine topology on X). We shall use the following result of B. FUGLEDE [10], which was in the classical case proved under certain restrictive conditions by M. Brelot [4].

Proposition. *Let u be a harmonic function on an open set $U \subset X$, let p be a finite potential on X and $M \subset X$ a polar set. If $u \geq -p$ on U and*

$$\text{fine lim}_{x \rightarrow y} u(x) \geq 0$$

for any $y \in \partial_f U - M$, then $u \geq 0$ on U .

For the proof we refer to the more general Theorem 9.1 in [10]. We remark only that by Theorem 10.15 in [10], any finite potential is semibounded and by Theorem 8.7 in [10], every harmonic function is finely harmonic.

We also make use of the following property of any finite potential p on X :

$$(1) \quad \hat{R}_p^{S(v)} = p \quad \text{on } X,$$

which follows from Lemma 6.8 in [10].

Let us denote by \mathcal{P}^* the set of all differences of two finite potentials on X . If $v \in \mathcal{P}^*$, then $S(v)$ (= the support of v) is the complement of the maximal open set on which v is harmonic. It should be noted here that any $v \in \mathcal{P}^*$ is finely continuous.

Lemma. *Suppose that $v \in \mathcal{P}^*$. Then there are finite potentials p, q such that $v = p - q$ and $S(p) \cup S(q) \subset S(v)$.*

Proof. Denote $K = S(v)$ and $U = X - K$. By the hypothesis there are two finite potentials v_1, v_2 such that $v = v_1 - v_2$. Put $p = \hat{R}_{v_1}^K, q = \hat{R}_{v_2}^K, w = p - q$. Then p, q are finite potentials harmonic on U ([2], Korolar 2.3.5), so that $S(p) \cup S(q) \subset S(v)$ and the function w is, of course, finely continuous. Since for $i = 1, 2$ the set $\{x \in K; \hat{R}_{v_i}^K(x) < v_i(x)\}$ is polar ([7], Corollary 9.2.3, Theorem 9.1.1, Corollary 6.3.6), there is a polar set M such that for any $x \in K - M$ the equality $w(x) = v(x)$ holds. Consider now on U the harmonic function $u = w - v$. Obviously,

$$-q - v_1 \leq u \leq p + v_2$$

and for any $x \in \partial_f U - M$

$$\text{fine lim}_{y \rightarrow x} u(y) = 0.$$

By the Proposition, $u = 0$ on U . We see that the finely continuous function $v - w$ vanishes on $X - M$. Since polar sets are nowhere dense in the fine topology ([7], Proposition 6.2.3), $v = w = p - q$ everywhere on X .

The proof is complete.

Theorem 1. *Let $v \in \mathcal{P}^*$ and let the restriction of v to $S(v)$ be continuous. Then v is continuous on X .*

Proof. Write $v = p - q$ where p, q have the property described in the Lemma. Put $U = X - S(v), f = v|_{\partial U}$ (= the restriction of v to ∂U) and $u' = v|_U$. Note that U is resolutive ([7], Theorem 2.4.2) and since

$$(2) \quad |f| \leq p + q,$$

f is resolutive by Proposition 2.4.1 and Corollary 2.4.1 in [7]. Of course, $|H_f^U| \leq p + q$. Let us denote by M the set of all points at which the set $S(v)$ is thin. Then $M \subset \partial U$ and M is exactly the set of all non-regular points of U . Consequently, M is a polar set ([7], Corollary 9.2.3, Theorem 9.1.1). Since v is finely continuous on X , we have for any $x \in \partial_f U$

$$(3) \quad \text{fine lim}_{y \rightarrow x} u'(y) = f(x).$$

In view of the fact that f is continuous on ∂U and all points of $\partial U - M$ are regular, we have for any $x \in \partial U - M$

$$(4) \quad f(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x} H_f^U(y),$$

and, consequently,

$$(5) \quad \text{fine } \lim_{y \rightarrow x} H_f^U(y) = f(x), \quad x \in \partial_f U - M.$$

Since on X

$$-2(p + q) \leq u' - H_f^U \leq 2(p + q),$$

we conclude from (3) and (5) by the Proposition that $u' = H_f^U$ on U and it follows from (4) that for any $x \in \partial U - M$

$$(6) \quad \lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow x \\ y \in U}} v(y) = v(x).$$

It remains to investigate the points of M . Fix an $x \in M$ and recall that $S(p) \cup S(q) \subset S(v)$, so that $X - U \supset S(p) \cup S(q)$. Since $\{x\} \subset M$ is a polar set, we obtain ([7], Corollary 6.2.1)

$$\hat{R}_p^{X-U}(x) = \hat{R}_p^{X-(U \cup \{x\})}(x)$$

and (1) yields

$$\hat{R}_p^{X-U}(x) \geq \hat{R}_p^{S(p)}(x) = p(x).$$

Since evidently $\hat{R}_p^{X-(U \cup \{x\})}(x) \leq p(x)$, we conclude

$$\hat{R}_p^{X-(U \cup \{x\})}(x) = p(x),$$

analogous equality being true for q . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \int f \, d\varepsilon_x^{X-(U \cup \{x\})} &= \int (p - q) \, d\varepsilon_x^{X-(U \cup \{x\})} = \\ &= \hat{R}_p^{X-(U \cup \{x\})}(x) - \hat{R}_q^{X-(U \cup \{x\})}(x) = p(x) - q(x) = f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|f|$ is dominated by a potential (see (2)) we obtain by Corollary 7.2.6 in [7]

$$\lim_{\mathfrak{U}} H_f^U = f(x)$$

for any ultrafilter \mathfrak{U} on U converging to x . It follows that

$$f(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x} H_f^U(y) = \lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow x \\ y \in U}} v(y)$$

and (6) holds for any $x \in \partial U$. By the hypothesis for any $x \in \partial U$ we have

$$(7) \quad \lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow x \\ y \in S(v)}} v(y) = v(x)$$

and we conclude easily from (6) and (7) that v is continuous on X .

The proof is complete.

Theorem 2. Suppose that constant functions are harmonic. If $v \in \mathcal{P}^*$, then

$$[\inf_{x \in S(v)} v(x)]^- = \inf_{x \in X} v(x) \leq \sup_{x \in X} v(x) = [\sup_{x \in S(v)} v(x)]^+.$$

Proof. Since $v \in \mathcal{P}^*$ implies $-v \in \mathcal{P}^*$ it is sufficient to establish the equality

$$(8) \quad \sup_{x \in X} v(x) = [\sup_{x \in S(v)} v(x)]^+.$$

Let p, q be finite potentials such that $v = p - q$. Put $k = \sup_{x \in S(v)} v(x)$ and suppose that $k \leq 0$. Then

$$\text{fine lim}_{y \rightarrow x, y \in X - S(v)} v(y) = v(x) \leq 0, \quad x \in \partial_f(X - S(v))$$

and since $v \leq p$, we conclude by the Proposition that $v \leq 0$ on X . Hence if $k_1 = \sup_{x \in X} v(x) \leq 0$, then $p + q \geq -v \geq -k_1$ on X and $k_1 = 0$, because $p + q$ is a potential and constant functions are harmonic. On the other hand, if $k_1 > 0$, then the above reasoning shows that $k > 0$. Let us consider the function $u = k - v$. We have

$$\text{fine lim}_{y \rightarrow x, y \in X - S(v)} u(y) = u(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in \partial_f(X - S(v))$$

and $u = k - (p - q) \geq -p$. By the Proposition, $v \leq k$ on $X - S(v)$ and (8) is proved.

Corollary. If $v \in \mathcal{P}^*$ vanishes on $S(v)$, then $v = 0$ on X .

The problem arises whether any $v \in \mathcal{P}^*$ satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1 is necessarily a difference of two continuous potentials. The following example shows that this is not the case even if we require in addition that v is a difference of two bounded potentials with compact support. In this example, X is the harmonic space associated to the Laplace equation in R^m , $m > 2$.

Example. Choose strictly positive numbers $c_n, \varrho_n, \varrho'_n$ in such a way that $\varrho'_n < \varrho_n$, $c_n \searrow 0$, $\varrho'_k/\varrho_k \rightarrow 1$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\varrho_n}{c_n} \right)^{m-2} < 1,$$

$c_k - \varrho_k > c_{k+1} + \varrho_{k+1}$ for any k and $c_n/|c_l - c_n| \leq 2$ provided $l \neq n$. (We may put $c_n = 2^{-n}$, $\varrho_n = \alpha(n!)^{2-m}$, $\varrho'_n = (n/(n+1))\varrho_n$, where $\alpha > 0$ is sufficiently small.) Put

$$z_n = [c_n, 0, \dots, 0] \in R^m, \quad v_n^+ = [c_n + \varrho_n, 0, \dots, 0], \quad v_n^- = [c_n - \varrho_n, 0, \dots, 0]$$

and denote by Ω_n and Ω'_n the ball with centre z_n and radius ϱ_n and ϱ'_n , respectively. Define

$$p_n(x) = \begin{cases} (\varrho_n/|x - z_n|)^{m-2} & \text{for } x \notin \Omega_n \\ 1 & \text{for } x \in \Omega_n, \end{cases}$$

$$p'_n(x) = \begin{cases} (\varrho_n/|x - z_n|)^{m-2} & \text{for } x \notin \Omega'_n \\ (\varrho_n/\varrho'_n)^{m-2} & \text{for } x \in \Omega'_n, \end{cases}$$

$$p = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n, \quad p' = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p'_n.$$

Clearly, p and p' is a Newtonian potential of a positive measure ν and ν' with support in $\{0\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial\Omega_n$ and $\{0\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial\Omega'_n$, respectively. Since

$$p(0) = p'(0) < 1, \quad p(z_n) \geq p_n(z_n) = 1, \quad p'(z_n) \geq p'_n(z_n) > 1$$

and $z_n \rightarrow 0$, we conclude that p and p' are not continuous at 0. Fix now $y \in \partial\Omega_k$ and put $Q = \{k-1, k, k+1\}$. If $n < k-1$, then

$$|y - z_n|^{m-2} \geq |v_k^+ - z_n|^{m-2} \geq |z_{k-1} - z_n|^{m-2} \geq c_n^{m-2} \cdot 2^{2-m},$$

while for $n > k+1$

$$|y - z_n|^{m-2} \geq |v_k^- - z_n|^{m-2} \geq |z_{k+1} - z_n|^{m-2} \geq c_n^{m-2} \cdot 2^{2-m}.$$

We see that

$$\sum_{n \notin Q} p_n(y) = \sum_{n \notin Q} \left(\frac{\varrho_n}{|y - z_n|} \right)^{m-2} \leq 2^{m-2} \sum_{n \notin Q} \left(\frac{\varrho_n}{c_n} \right)^{m-2}.$$

It follows easily that p is a bounded potential continuous at any point of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial\Omega_n$.

One establishes analogously that p' is a bounded potential continuous at any point of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial\Omega'_n$. Putting $\mu = \nu - \nu'$, we obtain a signed measure with support $K = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial\Omega_n \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial\Omega'_n$. If $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$ is the Jordan decomposition of μ , then obviously $\mu^+ = \nu$, $\mu^- = \nu'$ and the potential $U\mu$ is continuous at any point of $K - \{0\}$. We are going to prove that $(U\mu)|_K$ is continuous at 0. For $y \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \partial\Omega_k$ we have $U\mu(y) = 0$, while $U\mu(z) = 1 - (\varrho_k/\varrho'_k)^{m-2}$ for $z \in \partial\Omega'_k$. Since $U\mu(0) = 0$ and $\varrho_k/\varrho'_k \rightarrow 1$, the continuity of $(U\mu)|_K$ at 0 is obvious.

Suppose that $U\mu = U\mu_1 - U\mu_2$, where μ_1, μ_2 are positive measures with continuous potentials. Then $\mu = \mu_1 - \mu_2$ by the unicity theorem and $\mu_1 \geq \mu^+$, $\mu_2 \geq \mu^-$ by the minimal property of the Jordan decomposition (see [18], 6.14). Since any potential of a positive measure is lower semicontinuous, the potential $U\mu^+ = U\mu_1 - U(\mu_1 - \mu^+)$ is also upper semicontinuous. Consequently, $U\mu^+$ is a continuous potential, which is a contradiction.

Remarks. 1. In [1] (p. 354) an example of a bounded continuous potential w (in R^2) with the following property is given: If u, v are subharmonic functions such that $w = u - v$ in R^2 , then both u and v are unbounded at the origin.

2. Suppose that G_1 is a continuous function on $R^m \times R^m$ ($m > 2$) and put

$$G(x, y) = |x - y|^{2-m} + G_1(x, y), \quad x \neq y,$$

$$G(x, x) = +\infty.$$

With any signed measure μ with compact support we associate the potential $G\mu$ defined by

$$G\mu(x) = \int G(x, y) d\mu(y)$$

for those x for which the integral is meaningful. Observing that the potential $G_1\mu$ (defined in the obvious way) is continuous on R^m , we deduce immediately from Theorem 1' the following

Proposition. *Let μ be a signed measure with compact support K and let $G\mu$ be finite on R^m . Then the potential $G\mu$ is continuous on the whole space, provided its restriction to K is continuous.*

This proposition applies for example to the potentials corresponding to the Helmholtz equation in R^3 :

$$\Delta u + \lambda^2 u = 0 \quad (\lambda \in R^1).$$

Indeed, the kernel is given (up to a constant multiple) by

$$G(x, y) = \frac{\cos \lambda|x - y|}{|x - y|}, \quad x \neq y,$$

$$G(x, x) = +\infty$$

and it is obvious that the function

$$[x, y] \mapsto \frac{\cos \lambda|x - y| - 1}{|x - y|}$$

is extensible to a continuous function G_1 on $R^3 \times R^3$.

3. Theorems 1' and 2' were announced in [17].

Added 26. 6. 1974. During the conference on potential theory (Oberwolfach, 16. 6. – 22. 6. 1974) Prof. MOKOBODZKI gave another proof of Theorem 1 based on properties of reducts and specific order. Prof. FUGLEDE noted that potentials p, q from Lemma can also be constructed as follows: If $v = v_1 - v_2$ where v_1, v_2 are finite potentials and w is the specific infimum of v_1, v_2 , then one may put $p = v_1 - w$, $q = v_2 - w$.

Added 4. 6. 1975. A strong domination axiom (\overline{D}) equivalent with D^* has recently been investigated by K. JANSSEN and NGUYEN-XUAN-LOC (see Math. Z. 141 (1975), 185–191; Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 31 (1975), 147–155).

References

- [1] *M. G. Arsove*: Functions representable as differences of subharmonic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 75 (1953), 327–365.
- [2] *H. Bauer*: Harmonische Räume und ihre Potentialtheorie, Lecture Notes in Math. 22, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.
- [3] *N. Boboc* and *P. Mustăţǎ*: Espaces harmoniques associés aux opérateurs différentiels linéaires du second ordre de type elliptique, Lecture Notes in Math. 68, Springer-Verlag, 1968.
- [4] *M. Brelot*: Sur l'allure des fonctions harmoniques et sousharmoniques à la frontière, Math. Nachr. 4 (1950–51), 298–307.
- [5] *M. Brelot*: Lectures on potential theory, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay 1967.
- [6] *C. Constantinescu* and *A. Cornea*: Examples in the theory of harmonic spaces. In: Seminar über Potentialtheorie, p. 161–171, Lecture Notes in Math. 69, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1968.
- [7] *C. Constantinescu* and *A. Cornea*: Potential theory on harmonic spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
- [8] *G. C. Evans*: On potentials of positive mass I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 37 (1935), 226–253.
- [9] *O. Frostman*: Potentiel d'équilibre et capacité des ensembles avec quelques applications à la théorie des fonctions, Medd. Lunds Univ. Math. Sem. 3 (1935), 1–118.
- [10] *B. Fuglede*: Finely harmonic functions, Lecture Notes in Math. 289, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
- [11] *L. L. Helms*: Introduction to potential theory, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1969.
- [12] *R. - M. Hervé*: Recherches axiomatiques sur la théorie des fonctions surharmoniques et du potentiel, Ann. Inst. Fourier 12 (1962), 415–571.
- [13] *R. - M. Hervé*: Quelques propriétés des fonctions surharmoniques associées à une equation uniformément elliptique de la forme $Lu = -\sum_i (\partial/\partial x_i) (\sum_j a_{ij} (\partial u/\partial x_j) (\partial u/\partial x_j)) = 0$, Ann. Inst. Fourier 15 (1965), 215–224.
- [14] *R. - M. Hervé* and *M. Hervé*: Les fonctions surharmoniques associées à un opérateur elliptique du second ordre à coefficients discontinues, Ann. Inst. Fourier 19 (1969), 305–359.
- [15] *J. Köhn* and *M. Sieveking*: Reguläre und extremale Randpunkte in der Potentialtheorie, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 12 (1967), 1489–1502.
- [16] *A. J. Maria*: The potential of a positive mass and the weight function of Wiener, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 20 (1934), 485–489.
- [17] *I. Neutka*: Some properties of potentials of signed measures, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 15 (1974), 573–575.
- [18] *W. Rudin*: Real and complex analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974.
- [19] *F. Vasilescu*: Sur la continuité du potentiel à travers les masses et la démonstration d'un lemme de Kellog, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 200 (1935), 1173–1174.

Author's address: 118 00 Praha 1, Malostranské nám. 25, ČSSR (Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta UK).