

Mohan S. Putcha

Positive functions from \mathcal{S} -indecomposable semigroups into partially ordered sets

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 26 (1976), No. 1, 161–170

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101383>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1976

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

POSITIVE FUNCTIONS FROM \mathcal{S} -INDECOMPOSABLE SEMIGROUPS
INTO PARTIALLY ORDERED SETS

MOHAN S. PUTCHA*), Raleigh

(Received October 8, 1974)

INTRODUCTION

Throughout S we will denote a semigroup, Z^+ the set of positive integers, Z the set of all integers, R^+ the set of positive reals and R the set of all reals. If $a \in S$, then $\langle a \rangle = \{a^i \mid i \in Z^+\}$ is the cyclic semigroup generated by a ; the relation $\omega = S \times S$ is called the universal relation on S . For notions of semilattice decompositions and \mathcal{S} -indecomposable semigroups, see for example TAMURA [8, 9, 10, 11], PETRICH [1, 2] and the author [3, 4]. Positive quasi-orders on semigroups have been studied from different points of view by SCHEIN [14], Tamura [10, 12, 13], the author [6, 7] and others. Positive quasi-orders and positive mappings are naturally related [6, 7]. In this paper we are primarily interested in positive mappings and as such repeat the definition.

Definition. Let S be a semigroup.

- (1) Let $a, b \in S$. Then $a \mid b$ if $b \in S^1 a S^1$.
- (2) By a *positive mapping* on S we mean a mapping $\varphi : S \rightarrow (P, \subseteq)$ where (P, \subseteq) is a partially ordered set such that for all $u, v \in S$, $\varphi(uv) \supseteq \varphi(u)$ and $\varphi(uv) \supseteq \varphi(v)$. Then clearly for all $a, b \in S$, $a \mid b$ implies $\varphi(a) \subseteq \varphi(b)$.
- (3) Let φ be a positive mapping on S . Let \sim on S be given by: $a \sim b$ if and only if $\varphi(a^i) = \varphi(b^j)$ for some $i, j \in Z^+$. Then we say S is φ -connected if the transitive closure of \sim is the universal relation on S .

1. φ -CONNECTEDNESS

Since by the Tamura semilattice decomposition theorem, every semigroup is a semilattice of \mathcal{S} -indecomposable semigroups, we restrict our attention mostly to \mathcal{S} -indecomposable semigroups.

*) The author was supported by a National Science Foundation Graduate Fellowship while doing this work.

Proposition 1.1. *Suppose S is an \mathcal{L} -indecomposable semigroup and φ a positive mapping on S . Suppose further that for all $u \in S$ and $x \in S^1$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for all $m \geq N$, $\varphi(xu^m) = \varphi(xu^m)$. Assume also that for all $u, v \in S$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for all $m \geq N$, $\varphi(u^N v^m) = \varphi(u^N v^m)$ and $\varphi(v^N u^m) = \varphi(v^N u^m)$. Then S is φ -connected.*

Proof. Define \sim on S as: $a \sim b$ if and only if $\varphi(a^i) = \varphi(b^j)$ for some $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Let \equiv be the transitive closure of \sim . Clearly \equiv is an equivalence relation. We must show that \equiv is the universal relation. Since S is \mathcal{L} -indecomposable, by [4; Theorem 1.1] we just have to show that for all $a \in S$, $b \in S^1$, $ab \equiv aba \equiv ba$.

First let $u, v \in S$. There exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $\varphi((uv)^n) = \varphi((uv)^m)$ and $\varphi((vu)^n) = \varphi((vu)^m)$ for all $m \geq n$. Since $(uv)^n \mid (vu)^{n+1}$ and $(vu)^n \mid (uv)^{n+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi((uv)^n) &\leq \varphi((vu)^{n+1}) = \varphi((vu)^n) \\ &\leq \varphi((uv)^{n+1}) = \varphi((uv)^n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\varphi((uv)^n) = \varphi((vu)^n)$. Hence $uv \sim vu$. We use this fact without further comment.

Clearly for all $a \in S$, $a \sim a^2$. Thus we are left with showing that for all $a, b \in S$, $ab \equiv aba$, i.e., $ab \equiv a^2b$. Let $u = ab$ and $v = a^2b$. There exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for all $m \geq N$, $\varphi(u^N v^m) = \varphi(u^N v^m)$ and $\varphi(v^N u^m) = \varphi(v^N u^m)$. Next let $A = \{u^i \mid i = 1, \dots, N\} \cup \{uv^i \mid i = 1, \dots, N\} \cup \{v^i \mid i = 1, \dots, N\}$. Now A is a finite set. Thus there exists $M \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, such that

$$M \geq N; \quad \text{for all } x \in A^1, \quad n \geq M, \quad \varphi(xu^M) = \varphi(xu^n) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(xv^M) = \varphi(xv^n).$$

Clearly $u \sim u^M$. So there exists a largest non-negative integer k such that $k \leq N$ and $u \equiv v^k u^M$. Thus

$$(1) \quad u \equiv v^k u^M, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N \quad (k \text{ maximal}).$$

Our claim is that $k = N$. So we assume $k < N$ and obtain a contradiction. Since $v^k \in A^1$, $\varphi(v^k u^M) = \varphi(v^k u^{M+1})$. Thus $v^k u^M \equiv v^k u^{M+1} \equiv uv^k u^M$. Since $k < N$, $uv^k \in A$. Therefore we have

$$\varphi(uv^k u^M) \leq \varphi(uv^k u^M a) \leq \varphi(uv^k u^{M+1}) = \varphi(uv^k u^M).$$

Consequently $\varphi(uv^k u^M) = \varphi(uv^k u^M a)$. We therefore have,

$$u \equiv v^k u^M \equiv uv^k u^M \equiv uv^k u^M a \equiv auv^k u^M = v^{k+1} u^M.$$

But this contradicts the maximality of k in (1). This contradiction shows that $u \equiv v^N u^M$. Since $M \geq N$, $\varphi(v^N u^M) = \varphi(v^N u^N)$ and $v^N u^M \equiv v^N u^N$. So we have

$$(2) \quad u \equiv v^N u^N \equiv u^N v^N.$$

Next we notice that $v \sim v^M$. Thus there exists a largest non-negative integer k , $k \leq N$ such that $v \equiv u^k v^M$. Thus

$$(3) \quad v \equiv u^k v^M, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N \quad (k \text{ maximal}).$$

Our claim is that $k = N$. So we assume $k < N$ and obtain a contradiction. Since $u^k \in A^1$,

$$u^k v^M \equiv u^k v^{M+1} = u^k v^M a u \equiv u^{k+1} v^M a.$$

Since $k < N$, $u^{k+1} \in A$ and so

$$\varphi(u^{k+1} v^M a) \geq \varphi(u^{k+1} v^M) = \varphi(u^{k+1} v^{M+1}) \geq \varphi(u^{k+1} v^M a).$$

Thus $\varphi(u^{k+1} v^M a) = \varphi(u^{k+1} v^M)$ and therefore

$$v \equiv u^k v^M \equiv u^{k+1} v^M a \equiv u^{k+1} v^M, \quad k+1 \leq N.$$

This however contradicts the maximality of k in (3). This contradiction shows that $v \equiv u^N v^M$. Since $M \geq N$, $\varphi(u^N v^M) = \varphi(u^N v^N)$ and $u^N v^M \equiv u^N v^N$. So we have

$$(4) \quad v \equiv u^N v^N.$$

Combining (2) and (4) we obtain $u \equiv v$. Thus $ab \equiv a^2 b$, proving the theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose S is an \mathcal{S} -indecomposable semigroup and φ a positive mapping on S . Suppose further that for all $u, v \in S$, the sets $\{\varphi(uv^n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$, $\{\varphi(u^n v)\} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ are both finite. Then S is φ -connected.*

Proof. We have $\{\varphi(uu^n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ is finite, whence $\{\varphi(u^n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ is finite. Thus for any $x \in S^1$, the set $\{\varphi(xu^n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ is finite. So for each $x \in S^1$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for each $m \geq N$, there exists $i \leq N$ such that $\varphi(xu^m) = \varphi(xu^i)$. By positivity,

$$\varphi(xu^m) = \varphi(xu^i) \leq \varphi(xu^N) \leq \varphi(xu^m).$$

Hence $\varphi(xu^N) = \varphi(xu^m)$ for all $m \geq N$.

Next let $u, v \in S$. Then $\{\varphi(u^n v^n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ is finite. So there exists $M \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for each $n \geq M$, there exists $i \leq M$ such that $\varphi(u^n v^n) = \varphi(u^i v^i)$. By positivity,

$$\varphi(u^n v^n) = \varphi(u^i v^i) \leq \varphi(u^M v^M) \leq \varphi(u^n v^n).$$

So $\varphi(u^M v^M) = \varphi(u^n v^n)$ for all $n \geq M$. Similarly there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for all $n \geq N$, $\varphi(v^n u^n) = \varphi(v^n u^n)$. Let $K = N + M$. Then for all $n \geq K$, $\varphi(u^k v^k) = \varphi(u^n v^n)$ and $\varphi(v^k u^k) = \varphi(v^n u^n)$. By positivity,

$$\varphi(u^k v^k) \leq \varphi(u^k v^n) \leq \varphi(u^n v^n) = \varphi(u^k v^k).$$

So for all $n \geq K$, $\varphi(u^K v^K) = \varphi(u^K v^n)$. Similarly, for all $n \geq K$, $\varphi(v^K u^K) = \varphi(v^K u^n)$. Consequently, the hypothesis of Proposition 1 is satisfied and S is φ -connected.

Following is now an immediate consequence.

Theorem 1.3. *Let S be an \mathcal{S} -indecomposable semigroup and φ a positive mapping on S such that $\varphi(S)$ is finite. Then S is φ -connected.*

Theorem 1.4. *Let S be an \mathcal{S} -indecomposable semigroup and φ a positive mapping on S . Suppose that for all $u \in S$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for all $x \in S^1$, $\varphi(xu^N) = \varphi(xu^{N+1})$. Then S is φ -connected.*

Proof. Let $u \in S$. Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for all $x \in S^1$, $\varphi(xu^N) = \varphi(xu^{N+1})$. In particular, $\varphi(xuu^N) = \varphi(xuu^{N+1})$ so that $\varphi(xu^{N+1}) = \varphi(xu^{N+2})$. By induction $\varphi(xu^k) = \varphi(xu^N)$ for all $k \geq N$. Next let $u, v \in S$. Then by the above, there exist $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for all $x \in S^1$ and $k \geq M, l \geq N$, $\varphi(xu^k) = \varphi(xu^M)$ and $\varphi(xv^l) = \varphi(xv^N)$. It follows that for all $n \geq M + N$, $x \in S^1$, $\varphi(xu^{M+N}) = \varphi(xu^M)$ and $\varphi(xv^{M+N}) = \varphi(xv^N)$. In particular $\varphi(v^{M+N}u^{M+N}) = \varphi(v^{M+N}u^M)$ and $\varphi(u^{M+N}v^{M+N}) = \varphi(u^{M+N}v^N)$ for all $n \geq M + N$. Consequently the hypothesis of Proposition 1.1 is satisfied and S is φ -connected.

Corollary 1.5. *Let S be an \mathcal{S} -indecomposable semigroup such that a power of each element in S lies in a right simple subsemigroup of S . Then for every positive mapping φ on S , S is φ -connected.*

Proof. Let $u \in S$. Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that u^N lies in a right simple subsemigroup T of S . Then $u^{2N} \in T$. So there exists $y \in T$ such that $u^{2N}y = u^N$. Let $z = u^{N-1}y$. Then for all $x \in S^1$, $xu^{N+1}z = xu^{2N}y = xu^N$. Hence $xu^{N+1}|xu^N|xu^{N+1}$. By positivity, $\varphi(xu^N) = \varphi(xu^{N+1})$ for all $x \in S^1$. By Theorem 1.4. S is φ -connected.

Remark. In case that S has the property that a power of each element lies in a subgroup, Corollary 1.5 yields an equivalent formulation of the author [5; Corollary 2].

Problem. Let S be an \mathcal{S} -indecomposable semigroup and φ a positive mapping on S . Suppose that for all cyclic subsemigroups $\langle a \rangle$ of S , $\varphi(\langle a \rangle)$ is a finite set. Then is S necessarily φ -connected?

2. REAL VALUED POSITIVE FUNCTIONS

Theorem 2.1. *Let S be an \mathcal{S} -indecomposable semigroup and φ a real valued positive mapping on S such that for all $u, v \in S$, $\varphi(uv) = \varphi(vu)$. Then for all $a, b \in S$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(a^n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(b^n)$ in the extended real line.*

Proof. Let $a \in S$. By positivity, $\langle \varphi(a^n) \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ is a non-decreasing sequence. So $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \varphi(a^n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(a^n)$ exists in the extended real line. Let $\Psi(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(a^n) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \varphi(a^n)$. For $a, b \in S$, define $a \equiv b$ if and only if $\Psi(a) = \Psi(b)$. Clearly \equiv is an equivalence relation. We will be done once we show that \equiv is the universal relation on S . Since S is \mathcal{S} -indecomposable, by [4; Theorem 1.1], we just have to show that for all $a \in S$, $b \in S^1$, $ab \equiv aba \equiv ba$. Now for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $(ab)^n \mid (ba)^{n+1}$ whence $\varphi((ab)^n) \leq \varphi((ba)^{n+1}) \leq \Psi(ba)$. Thus $\Psi(ab) \leq \Psi(ba)$. Similarly $\Psi(ba) \leq \Psi(ab)$ and $\Psi(ab) = \Psi(ba)$. Hence $ab \equiv ba$. So we are left with showing that $ab \equiv aba$, i.e., $ab \equiv a^2b$. Now for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi((ab)^n) &\leq \varphi((a^2b)(ab)^{n-1}) = \varphi((ab)^{n-1} a^2b) \leq \varphi((a^2b)(ab)^{n-2}(a^2b)) = \\ &= \varphi((ab)^{n-2}(a^2b)^2) \leq \dots \leq \varphi((a^2b)^n) \leq \Psi(a^2b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Psi(ab) \leq \Psi(a^2b)$. Also for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi((a^2b)^n) &= \varphi((ab)(a^2b)^{n-1}a) \leq \varphi((ab)(a^2b)^{n-1}ab) = \varphi((a^2b)^{n-1}(ab)^2) \leq \\ &\leq \varphi((ab)(a^2b)^{n-2}(ab)^2a) \leq \dots \leq \varphi((ab)^{2n}) \leq \Psi(ab). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Psi(a^2b) \leq \Psi(ab)$. Consequently $\Psi(ab) = \Psi(a^2b)$ and $ab \equiv a^2b$. This proves the theorem.

Remark. Theorem 2.1 can be proved in an alternate way as follows: Let $a, b \in S$ and $a \mid b$. Then $xay = b$ for some $x, y \in S^1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a) &\leq \varphi(b); \\ \varphi(a^2) &\leq \varphi(a^2yx) = \varphi(ayxa) \leq \varphi(xayxay) = \varphi(b^2); \\ \varphi(a^3) &\leq \varphi(a^3yx) = \varphi(a^2yxa) \leq \varphi(a^2yxayx) = \\ &= \varphi(ayxayxa) \leq \varphi(xayxayxay) = \varphi(b^3). \end{aligned}$$

This argument can easily be generalized to show that for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\varphi(a^i) \leq \varphi(b^i)$. Thus for any $a, b \in S$, $a \mid b$ implies $\varphi(a^i) \leq \varphi(b^i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. This result in conjunction with Tamura [11] easily yields that for any $a, b \in S$, $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(b^j)$ for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Hence for any $a, b \in S$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $\varphi(a^n) \leq \varphi(b^m) \leq \Psi(b)$. So $\Psi(a) \leq \Psi(b)$. Similarly $\Psi(b) \leq \Psi(a)$ and $\Psi(a) = \Psi(b)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let S be an \mathcal{S} -indecomposable semigroup and φ a positive mapping on S such that for all $a, b \in S$, $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(b)$ implies $\varphi(a^2) \leq \varphi(b^i)$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then for any $a, b \in S$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(a^n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(b^n)$.*

Proof. By the author [7] the hypothesis implies that for any $a, b \in S$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(b^n)$. By the argument given in the remark after Theorem 2.1, the result follows.

Next we study boundedness of real valued positive functions on semigroups.

Definition. Let S be a semigroup and φ a positive mapping into the positive reals R^+ .

(1) φ is *locally bounded* if for all $r \in R^+ \cup \{0\}$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N \in Z^+$ such that for all $a \in S$ with $|\varphi(a) - r| < \varepsilon$, $\varphi(\langle a \rangle) \subseteq [0, N]$.

(2) φ is *bounded* if there exists $N \in Z^+$ such that $\varphi(S) \subseteq [0, N]$.

(3) $\mathfrak{B}(R^+)$ is the class of all semigroups T such that every locally bounded positive mapping of T into the positive reals is bounded. $\mathfrak{B}(Z^+)$ is the class of all semigroups T such that every locally bounded positive mapping of S into the positive integers is bounded. Clearly $\mathfrak{B}(R^+) \subseteq \mathfrak{B}(Z^+)$.

Remark. (1) Let φ be a positive mapping into Z^+ . Then φ is locally bounded if and only if for all $r \in Z^+$ there exists $N \in Z^+$ such that for all $a \in S$ and $\varphi(a) = r$, $\varphi(\langle a \rangle) \subseteq [0, N]$. Also φ is bounded if and only if $\varphi(S)$ is finite.

(2) A homomorphic image of a semigroup in $\mathfrak{B}(R^+)$ (or $\mathfrak{B}(Z^+)$) is again in $\mathfrak{B}(R^+)$ (or $\mathfrak{B}(Z^+)$).

Lemma 2.3. Let S be a semigroup and $\varphi : S \rightarrow R^+$ a positive mapping. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) φ is locally bounded.

(2) For each $r \in R^+$, there exists $N \in Z^+$ such that for all $a \in S$ and $\varphi(a) < r$, $\varphi(\langle a \rangle) \subseteq [0, N]$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). The proof is by contradiction. So suppose there exists $r \in R^+$ such that for each $i \in Z^+$ there exists $a_i \in S$ such that $\varphi(a_i) < r$ but $\varphi(\langle a_i \rangle) \not\subseteq [0, i]$. Now $\{\varphi(a_i) \mid i \in Z^+\} \subseteq [0, r]$. Thus the sequence $\langle \varphi(a_i) \rangle_{i=1}^\infty$ must have an accumulation point $r_0 \in [0, r]$. Since φ is locally bounded, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N \in Z^+$ such that for all $a \in S$, $|\varphi(a) - r_0| < \varepsilon$ implies $\varphi(\langle a \rangle) \subseteq [0, N]$. Now there exists $i > N$ such that $|\varphi(a_i) - r_0| < \varepsilon$. Hence $\varphi(\langle a_i \rangle) \subseteq [0, N] \subseteq [0, i]$, a contradiction.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $r \in R^+ \cup \{0\}$. Set $r_0 = r + 1$. There exists $N \in Z^+$ such that for all $a \in S$, $\varphi(a) < r_0$ implies $\varphi(\langle a \rangle) \subseteq [0, N]$. Let $\varepsilon = 1$. Then for each $a \in S$, $|\varphi(a) - r| < \varepsilon$ implies $\varphi(a) < r_0$ and therefore $\varphi(\langle a \rangle) \subseteq [0, N]$. Consequently φ is locally bounded.

We assume familiarity with results of [11], [4] and use the notation of [4] without further comment.

Definition. (1) Let S be a semigroup and $a, b \in S$. If there is no sequence from a to b we set $d(a, b) = \infty$. If $a \rightarrow b$ we set $d(a, b) = 0$. Otherwise we let $d(a, b)$ be the length of a minimal sequence from a to b . If in need of clarification, we use d_S for d .

(2) If $u \in S$, then $\Phi(u) = \sup_{a \in S} d(a, u)$. If in need of clarification, we use Φ_S for Φ .

We now characterize \mathcal{S} -indecomposable semigroups in $\mathfrak{B}(R^+)$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let S be an \mathcal{S} -indecomposable semigroup. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exist $u \in S$ such that $\Phi(u) < \infty$.*
- (2) *For each $a \in S$, $\Phi(a) < \infty$.*
- (3) $S \in \mathfrak{B}(R^+)$.
- (4) $S \in \mathfrak{B}(Z^+)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose for some $u \in S$, $\Phi(u) < \infty$. Let $a \in S$. Since S is \mathcal{S} -indecomposable, $d(u, a) < \infty$. Thus for any $x \in S$, $d(x, a) \leq d(x, u) + d(u, a) + 1 \leq \Phi(u) + d(u, a) + 1$. Hence $\Phi(a) < \infty$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let $\varphi : S \rightarrow R^+$ be a locally bounded positive mapping. By Lemma 2.3, for each $r \in R^+$, there exists $\alpha(r) \in Z^+$ such that for each $a \in S$, $\varphi(a) < r$ implies $\varphi(\langle a \rangle) \subseteq [0, \alpha(r)]$. Next we note that for $a, b \in S$, $a \rightarrow b$ implies that $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(b^i)$ for some $i \in Z^+$. Now choose $u \in S$. Let $A_0 = \{x \mid x \in S, x \rightarrow u\} = \{x \mid x \in S, d(x, u) = 0\}$. In general $A_{n+1} = \{x \mid x \in S, x \rightarrow a \text{ for some } a \in A_n\} = \{x \mid x \in S, d(x, u) \leq n + 1\}$. Evidently for each $x \in A_0$, $\varphi(x) \leq \alpha(u)$. Hence $\varphi(\langle x \rangle) \subseteq \alpha(\alpha(u))$ for each $x \in A_0$.

It follows that for each $x \in A_1$, $\varphi(x) \leq \alpha(\alpha(u))$. In general for each $i \in Z^+$ there exists $N_i \in Z^+$ such that $\varphi(A_i) \subseteq [0, N_i]$. Now $\varphi(u) < \infty$. Let $K = \Phi(u)$. Then $A_K = S$. Consequently, $\varphi(S) = \varphi(A_K) \subseteq [0, N_K]$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Obvious.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). Let $u \in S$. Then since S is \mathcal{S} -indecomposable $d(a, u) < \infty$ for each $a \in S$. Define $\varphi : S \rightarrow Z^+$ as $\varphi(a) = d(a, u)$. By [4; Lemma 1.5] φ is positive. If $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ is a sequence from a to u , then for any $k \in Z^+$, $\langle a, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ is a sequence from a^k to u . So $d(a^k, u) \leq d(a, u) + 1$. Consequently $\varphi(\langle a \rangle) \subseteq [0, \varphi(a) + 1]$ and φ is locally bounded and positive. Hence φ is bounded. Thus $\Phi(u) < \infty$.

Next we take up the task of studying semigroups in $\mathfrak{B}(R^+)$ which are not necessarily \mathcal{S} -indecomposable.

Lemma 2.5. *Let Ω be a countable semilattice. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) $\Omega \in \mathfrak{B}(R^+)$.
- (2) $\Omega \in \mathfrak{B}(Z^+)$.
- (3) Ω has a zero.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Obvious.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Clearly we may assume $|\Omega| > 1$. As is well known, Ω is a subdirect product of copies of the semilattice $I = \{0, 1\}$. Since Ω is countable, we easily obtain

that Ω is a subdirect product of I_i ($i \in Z^+$) where each $I_i = \{0_i, 1_i\} \cong I$. Let σ_i ($i \in Z^+$) be the projection maps. We assume Ω does not have zero and obtain a contradiction. For each $a \in \Omega$, there exists a smallest $i \in Z^+$ such that $\sigma_i(a) \neq 0_i$. Let $\varphi(a)$ denote this integer i . Then $\varphi : S \rightarrow Z^+$ is clearly positive and locally bounded. Let $a \in S$. Set $j = \varphi(a)$. Then there exists $b \in S$ such that $\sigma_j(b) = 0_j$. Hence $\varphi(ab) > \varphi(a)$. Consequently $\varphi(S)$ is infinite and hence unbounded. This contradiction shows that Ω has a zero.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Clearly any positive mapping on Ω attains a maximum at the zero.

Theorem 2.6. *Let S be a semigroup and Ω its maximal semilattice homomorphic image. Suppose that either Ω is countable or has a zero. Then the following are equivalent.*

(1) *There exists $u \in S$ such that $\Phi(u) < \infty$.*

(2) *Ω has a zero 0 and the corresponding \mathcal{S} -indecomposable component S_0 of S is in $\mathfrak{B}(R^+)$.*

(3) *There exists an ideal I of S such that $I \in \mathfrak{B}(R^+)$.*

(4) *$S \in \mathfrak{B}(R^+)$.*

(5) *$S \in \mathfrak{B}(Z^+)$.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $\Psi : S \rightarrow \Omega$ be the natural homomorphism. Since $\Phi(u) < \infty$, for any $a \in S$, there exists a sequence from a to u . It follows by [4; Lemma 2.2] that $\Psi(u) = 0$ is the zero of Ω . Let $S_0 = \Psi^{-1}(\{0\})$. Then S_0 is an \mathcal{S} -indecomposable semigroup, an ideal of S and contains u . Let $a \in S_0$. By [4; Lemma 2.2], $d_S(a, u) = d_{S_0}(a, u)$. Hence

$$d_{S_0}(a, u) = d_S(a, u) \leq \Phi_S(u).$$

So $\Phi_{S_0}(u) \leq \Phi_S(u) < \infty$. By Theorem 2.4, $S_0 \in \mathfrak{B}(R^+)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Clearly S_0 is an ideal of S .

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Let $\varphi : S \rightarrow R^+$ be a locally bounded positive mapping. Then φ is a locally bounded positive mapping on I . Since $I \in \mathfrak{B}(R^+)$ there exists $M \in Z^+$ such that $\varphi(I) \subseteq [0, M]$. Choose $u \in I$. Then for any $a \in S$, $au \in I$. Hence $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(au) \leq M$. Therefore $\varphi(S) \subseteq [0, M]$ and φ is bounded. Consequently $S \in \mathfrak{B}(R^+)$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5). Obvious.

(5) \Rightarrow (1). Since $S \in \mathfrak{B}(Z^+)$, the homomorphic image $\Omega \in \mathfrak{B}(Z^+)$. By Lemma 2.5, Ω has a zero 0. Let S_0 be the corresponding \mathcal{S} -indecomposable component of S . Fix $u \in S_0$. Let $a \in S$. Then $au \in S_0$. By [4; Lemma 1.5], $d_S(a, u) \leq d_S(au, u)$. Since S_0 is \mathcal{S} -indecomposable $d_{S_0}(au, u) < \infty$. Clearly $d_S(au, u) \leq d_{S_0}(au, u) < \infty$. It follows that $d_S(a, u) < \infty$ for all $a \in S$. Let $\varphi : S \rightarrow Z^+$, be defined by $\varphi(a) = d_S(a, u)$. Then as in Theorem 2.4, we see that φ is bounded. Hence $\Phi_S(u) < \infty$.

Definition. Let S be a semigroup and $\varphi : S \rightarrow R$ a positive mapping.

(1) φ is *locally bounded* if for all $r \in R$ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N \in Z^+$ such that for all $a \in S$, $|\varphi(a) - r| < \varepsilon$ implies $\varphi(\langle a \rangle) \subseteq (-\infty, N]$. φ is *bounded below* if $\varphi(S) \subseteq (M, \infty)$ for some $M \in R$. φ is *bounded above* if $\varphi(S) \subseteq (-\infty, M)$ for some $M \in R$. φ is *bounded* if it is bounded above and below. (Clearly we could take M to be in Z .)

(2) $\mathfrak{B}(R)$ is the class of all semigroups T such that every locally bounded positive mapping $\varphi : T \rightarrow R$ is bounded. Clearly $\mathfrak{B}(R) \subseteq \mathfrak{B}(R^+)$.

Remark. Let $\varphi : S \rightarrow R$ be locally bounded and positive. Then it is easy to check that for any $M \in R$, $\varphi + M$ is also locally bounded and positive.

Lemma 2.7. Let $S \in \mathfrak{B}(R^+)$ and $\varphi : S \rightarrow R$ a locally bounded positive mapping which is bounded below. Then φ is bounded.

Proof. There exists $M \in R$ such that $\varphi(S) \subseteq (M, \infty)$. If $M \geq 0$, we are clearly done since $S \in \mathfrak{B}(R^+)$. Otherwise $M < 0$ and $\varphi - M : S \rightarrow R^+$ is positive and locally bounded. Since $S \in \mathfrak{B}(R^+)$, $\varphi - M$ is bounded. Then clearly φ is bounded.

Theorem 2.8. Let S be a finitely generated semigroup. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) There exists $u \in S$ such that $\Phi(u) < \infty$.
- (2) $S \in \mathfrak{B}(R^+)$.
- (3) $S \in \mathfrak{B}(R)$.

Proof. Since S is finitely generated, it is countable. By Theorem 2.6, (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). Evidently (3) \Rightarrow (2). So we are left with showing (2) \Rightarrow (3). So let $S \in \mathfrak{B}(R^+)$. Let $\varphi : S \rightarrow R$ be a positive, locally bounded mapping. Since S is finitely generated, $S = \langle u_1, \dots, u_n \rangle$ for some $u_1, \dots, u_n \in S$. For each $a \in S$, $u_i \mid a$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Hence $\varphi(u_i) \leq \varphi(a)$. Consequently φ is bounded below by $\min \{\varphi(u_1), \dots, \varphi(u_n)\}$. By Lemma 2.7, φ is bounded. Consequently, $S \in \mathfrak{B}(R)$.

Example. Let X be an infinite set and \mathfrak{I}_X the full transformation semigroup on X . If $\sigma \in \mathfrak{I}_X$, let $\varphi(\sigma) = |\text{range of } \sigma|$. Let $S = \{\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathfrak{I}_X \text{ and } \varphi(\sigma) < \infty\}$. Then S is subsemigroup of \mathfrak{I}_X . Define $\varphi_1 : S \rightarrow R$ as $\varphi_1(\sigma) = -\varphi(\sigma)$.

Then $\varphi_1(S) \subseteq (-\infty, 0)$ and is positive. Being bounded above, φ_1 is locally bounded. On the other hand φ_1 is unbounded. Thus $S \notin \mathfrak{B}(R)$. However, it is routine to verify that S is an \mathcal{S} -indecomposable semigroup of rank 1 (see [4] for definition of semirank and rank of a semigroup). In contrast, by Theorem 2.4, every \mathcal{S} -indecomposable semigroup of finite semirank must be in $\mathfrak{B}(R^+)$.

References

- [1] *M. Petrich*: The maximal semilattice decomposition of a semigroup, *Math. Z.* 85 (1964), 68–82.
- [2] *M. Petrich*: Introduction to semigroups, Merrill Publishing Company, 1973.
- [3] *M. S. Putcha*: Semilattice decompositions of semigroups, *Semigroup Forum*, 6 (1973), 12–34.
- [4] *M. S. Putcha*: Minimal sequences in semigroups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 189 (1974), 93–106.
- [5] *M. S. Putcha*: Semigroups in which a power of each element lies in a subgroup, *Semigroup Forum*, 5 (1973), 354–361.
- [6] *M. S. Putcha*: Paths in graphs and minimal π -sequences in semigroups, *Discrete Math.* 11 (1975), 173–185.
- [7] *M. S. Putcha*: Positive quasi-orders on semigroups, *Duke Math. J.* 40 (1973), 857–869.
- [8] *T. Tamura*: The theory of construction of finite semigroups I., *Osaka Math. J.* 8 (1956), 243–261.
- [9] *T. Tamura*: Another proof of a theorem concerning the greatest semilattice decomposition of a semigroup, *Proc. Japan. Acad.* 40 (1964), 777–780.
- [10] *T. Tamura*: Quasi-orders, generalized archimedeaness and semilattice decompositions, *Math. Nachr.* 68 (1975), 201–220.
- [11] *T. Tamura*: Note on the greatest semilattice decomposition of semigroups, *Semigroup Forum*, 4 (1972), 255–261.
- [12] *T. Tamura*: Semilattice congruences viewed from quasi-orders, *Proc. A.M.S.* 41 (1973), 75–79.
- [13] *T. Tamura*: Remark on the smallest semilattice congruence, *Semigroup Forum*, 5 (1973), 277–282.
- [14] *B. M. Schein*: On certain classes of semigroups of binary relations (in Russian), *Sibirsk. Mat. Žurn.* 6 (1965), 616–635.

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, N. C. 27607, U.S.A.