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# ON MEANS OF SUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS 

D. H. Armitage, Belfast, and F. T. Brawn, Cardiff

(Received February 26, 1976)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $P, Q$ denote points in $R^{n}(n \geqq 2)$, let $P Q$ be the euclidean distance of $P$ from $Q$, and write

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
B(P, a)=\left\{Q \in R^{n}: P Q<a\right\} & (0<a \leqq+\infty), \\
S(P, a)=\left\{Q \in R^{n}: P Q=a\right\} & (0<a<+\infty)
\end{array}
$$

Let $O$ denote the origin of axes in $R^{n}$. For brevity, we put $B(a)=B(0, a), S(a)=$ $=S(0, a)$. We denote the element of Lebesgue surface area on a sphere by $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ and the element of Lebesgue volume by $\mathrm{d} v$. For a function $f$, defined in $B(a)$, and integrable over every $S(r)$ for $0<r<a$, the spherical mean $\mathscr{M}(f, \cdot):(0, a) \rightarrow R$ is given by

$$
\mathscr{M}(r, f)=\frac{1}{s_{n} r^{n-1}} \int_{S(r)} f \mathrm{~d} \sigma,
$$

where $s_{n}$ denotes the surface area of $S(1)$. If further $f$ is locally integrable in $B(a)$ the volume mean $\mathscr{A}(f, \cdot):(0, a) \rightarrow R$ is given by

$$
\mathscr{A}(f, r)=\frac{1}{v_{n} r^{n}} \int_{B(r)} f \mathrm{~d} v,
$$

where $v_{n}$ denotes the volume of $B(1)$. Provided that $\mathscr{M}(f, \cdot)$ is Cauchy-Riemann integrable on every subinterval $(0, r]$ of $(0, a)$, the two means are related by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{A}(f, r)=\frac{n}{r^{n}} \int_{0}^{r} t^{n-1} \mathscr{M}(f, t) \mathrm{d} t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $f$ is subharmonic in $B(a)$, certain properties of the means $\mathscr{M}(f, r)$ and $\mathscr{A}(f, r)$ are well-known. For example, both means are continuous, increasing*)
*) The terms 'increasing' and 'decreasing' are used in the wide sense.
functions of $r$, and convex functions of $\log r$ (when $n=2$ ) and $r^{2-n}$ (when $n \geqq 3$ ).
In this paper we examine the behaviour of the quotient

$$
\mathscr{Q}(f, r)=\mathscr{A}(f, r) / \mathscr{M}(f, r) \quad(\mathscr{M}(f, r) \neq 0),
$$

in particular indicating conditions on $f$ which guarantee that $\mathscr{Q}(f, r)$ is a decreasing function of $r$ on $(0, a)$. Our first result concerns positive powers of harmonic functions.

Theorem 1. If $h$ is harmonic and not identically zero in $B(a)$ then $\mathscr{Q}\left(h^{2}, \cdot\right)$ is decreasing on $(0, a)$. If $p>0, p \neq 2$, then there exists a harmonic function $H$ in $R^{n}$ such that $\mathscr{Q}\left(|H|^{p}, \cdot\right)$ is not decreasing on any non-empty interval $(0, \alpha)$.

For a sufficiently differentiable function $f$ denote by $\Delta^{j} f$ the $j$-thiterated laplacian of $f$ (i.e. $\Delta^{0} f=f, \Delta^{1} f=\Delta f, \Delta^{j} f=\Delta\left(\Delta^{j-1} f\right), j=1,2, \ldots$ ). The positive part of Theorem 1 will follow from the more general

Theorem 2. Let $f: B(a) \rightarrow R$ be analytic and suppose that $\Delta^{j} f(O) \geqq 0$ for each non-negative integer $j$.
(i) If $\Delta^{k} f(O)>0$ for at least one non-negative integer $k$, then $\mathscr{Q}(f, \cdot)$ is decreasing on ( $0, a$ ).
(ii) If $\Delta^{j} f(O)=0$ for each non-negative integer $j$, then $\mathscr{M}(f, \cdot) \equiv 0$ on $(0, a)$.

We give an example in $\S 6$ to show that the condition $\Delta^{j} f(O) \geqq 0$ for all $j$ cannot be relaxed. Initially we derive Theorem 2 from the following theorem which, especially in its application to harmonic functions, seems to be of some independent interest.

Theorem 3. If $f: B(a) \rightarrow R$ is analytic, $\Delta^{j} f(O) \geqq 0$ for each non-negative integer $j$ and $\Delta^{k} f(O)>0$ for at least one non-negative $k$, then $\log \mathscr{M}(f, r)$ is a convex function of $\log r$ for $0<r<a$.

Corollary. If $h$ is harmonic and not identically zero in $B(a)$, then $\log \mathscr{M}\left(h^{2}, r\right)$ is a convex function of $\log r$ for $0<r<a$.

The counterexamples proving the negative part of Theorem 1 are given in $\S 4$. They also serve to show that, in Theorem 2, $f$ cannot be replaced by $|f|^{p}$ for any $p>0, p \neq 1$. Further, they show indirectly that Theorem 3 and its corollary become false if $f$ (respectively $h^{2}$ ) are replaced by $|f|^{p}$ (respectively $|h|^{2 p}$ ) with $p>0, p \neq 1$.

It will be noticed that the counterexamples satisfy $H(O)=0$, and we may ask whether, if the extra condition $h(O) \neq 0$ is inserted in Theorem 1 , any positive result for $\mathscr{2}\left(|h|^{p}, \cdot\right)$ with $p>0, p \neq 2$ can be obtained (e.g. with $p=1$ we have, trivially, $\mathscr{2}(|h|, \cdot)$ constant on some interval $(0, \alpha))$. More generally, we shall consider $\mathscr{2}(s, \cdot)$ for a subharmonic function $s$. We have the following result concerning the behaviour of $\mathscr{2}(s, r)$ for small values of $r$.

Theorem 4. Let s be subharmonic and analytic in $B(a)$.
(i) If $s(O)>0$ then there exists $\alpha \in(0, a]$ such that $\mathscr{2}(s, \cdot)$ is decreasing on $(0, \alpha)$.
(ii) If $s(O)<0$ then there exists $\alpha \in(0, a]$ such that $\mathscr{Q}(s, \cdot)$ is increasing on $(0, \alpha)$.
(iii) If $s(O)=0$ and $\mathscr{M}(s, r)>0$ for each $r \in(0, a)$ then there exists $\alpha \in(0, a]$ such that $\mathscr{2}(s, \cdot)$ is monotonic on $(0, \alpha)$. The possibilities $\mathscr{Z}(s, \cdot)$ strictly increasing, strictly decreasing, and constant can all occur.
(iv) There exists an infinitely differentiable subharmonic function $и$ in $R^{n}$ such that $u(O)>0$ and $\mathscr{2}(u, \cdot)$ is not monotonic on any non-empty interval $(0, \alpha)$, and there exists an infinitely differentiable, non-negative subharmonic function $v$ in $R^{n}$ such that $v(O)=0$ and the limit

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0+} \mathscr{Q}(v, r)
$$

does not exist.
Corollary. Let $h$ be harmonic in $B(a)$ and suppose that $h(O) \neq 0$.
(i) If $p \geqq 1$ then there exists $\alpha \in(0, a]$ such that $\mathscr{2}\left(|h|^{p}, \cdot\right)$ is decreasing on $(0, \alpha)$.
(ii) If $0<p \leqq 1$ then there exists $\alpha \in(0, a]$ such that $\mathscr{Q}\left(|h|^{p}, \cdot\right)$ is increasing on $(0, \alpha)$.

The counterexamples proving the negative part of Theorem 1 show that, if the condition $h(O) \neq 0$ is dropped from this corollary, part (i) becomes false except for $p=2$. We shall give an example in $\S 6$ to show that, without the condition $h(O) \neq 0$, part (ii) also becomes false. We shall show also that in general $\alpha<a(\S 6)$.

The key result in the proof of Theorem 4 is
Theorem 5. Let $j, k$ be integers such that $0<j<k$ and let $f: B(a) \rightarrow R$ be $2 k+2$ times continuously differentiable with $\Delta^{i} f(O)=0(0 \leqq i<k, i \neq j)$, $\Delta^{j} f(O) \neq 0, \Delta^{k} f(O) \neq 0$. If $\Delta^{j} f(O), \Delta^{k} f(O)$ have the same (respectively opposite) signs then there exists $\alpha \in(0, a]$ such that $\mathscr{Q}(f, \cdot)$ is decreasing (respectively increasing) on $(0, \alpha)$. If $f: B(a) \rightarrow R$ is not identically zero and is analytic, and $\Delta^{i} f(O) \neq 0$ for only one value of $i$ then $\mathscr{Q}(f, \cdot)$ is constant on $(0, a)$.

Finally we give some results for large values of $r$.
Theorem 6. Let $h$ be harmonic in $R^{n}$ and let $p \geqq 1$. Then $h$ is a polynomial of degree $m$ if and only if

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathscr{Q}\left(|h|^{p}, r\right)=\frac{n}{n+m p},
$$

and $h$ is not a polynomial if and only if

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathscr{Q}\left(|h|^{p}, r\right)=0
$$

The question whether $\mathscr{Q}\left(h^{p}, r\right)$, when $p$ is an even integer, is ultimately incr asing or decreasing, shows a difference in behaviour between the cases $n=2, n \geqq 3$ for harmonic polynomials.

Theorem 7. (i) If $h$ is a harmonic polynomial in $R^{2}$, then $\mathscr{Q}\left(h^{2 q}, r\right)(q=1,2, \ldots)$ decreases for sufficiently large $r$.
(ii) When $n \geqq 3$ there exists a harmonic polynomial $h$ in $R^{n}$ such that $\mathscr{Q}\left(h^{2 q}, r\right)$ ( $q=2,3, \ldots$ ) increases strictly for sufficiently large $r$.
(iii) There exists $h$ harmonic in $R^{2}$ such that $\mathscr{Q}\left(h^{4}, \cdot\right)$ is not monotonic on any interval $(\varrho,+\infty)$.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

First we prove
Lemma 1. If $f: B(a) \rightarrow R$ is analytic, then $\mathscr{M}(f, \cdot)$ is analytic on $(0, a)$.
Suppose that $r_{0} \in(0, a)$ and that $P \in S\left(r_{0}\right)$. Choose polar coordinates $r, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots$ $\ldots, \theta_{n-1}$ centred at $O$ such that $P=\left(r_{0}, \pi / 2, \pi / 2, \ldots, \pi / 2\right)$. Since $f$ is an analytic function of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$, which are in turn analytic functions of $r, \theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n-1}$ in a neighbourhood of $P, f$ is an analytic function of $r, \theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n-1}$ in a neighbourhood of $P$ (see e.g. H. Cartan [2, § IV.2.2]). Hence there is a positive number $\delta_{P}$ such that, in $B\left(P, \delta_{P}\right), f$ has an absolutely convergent, uniformly convergent series representation of the form

$$
f\left(r, \theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n-1}\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(r-r_{0}\right)^{m} f_{m}\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n-1}\right) .
$$

If now $N(P)$ is a measurable subset of $S\left(r_{0}\right) \cap B\left(P, \frac{1}{2} \delta_{P}\right)$ and

$$
N(P, r)=\left\{\left(r, \theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n-1}\right) \in R^{n}:\left(r_{0}, \theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n-1}\right) \in N(P)\right\},
$$

then provided that $\left|r-r_{0}\right|<\frac{1}{2} \delta_{P}, N(P, r) \subset B(P, \delta)$ and

$$
\int_{N(P, r)} f \mathrm{~d} \sigma=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(r-r_{0}\right)^{m} \int_{N(P, r)} f_{m} \mathrm{~d} \sigma,
$$

whence it follows that the function

$$
r \rightarrow \int_{N(P, r)} f \mathrm{~d} \sigma
$$

is analytic on $\left(r_{0}-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{P}, r_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{P}\right)$. The set $\left\{B\left(P, \frac{1}{2} \delta\right) ; P \in S\left(r_{0}\right)\right\}$ is an open cover of $S\left(r_{0}\right)$ and therefore has a finite subcover

$$
\left\{B\left(P_{1}, \frac{1}{2} \delta_{P_{1}}\right), B\left(P_{2}, \frac{1}{2} \delta_{P_{2}}\right), \ldots, B\left(P_{q}, \frac{1}{2} \delta_{P_{q}}\right)\right\},
$$

say. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N\left(P_{1}\right)=B\left(P_{1}, \frac{1}{2} \delta_{P_{1}}\right) \cap S\left(r_{0}\right), \\
& N\left(P_{j}\right)=\left(B\left(P_{j}, \frac{1}{2} \delta_{P_{j}}\right) \cap S\left(r_{0}\right)\right) \backslash \bigcup_{k=1}^{j-1} B\left(P_{k}, \delta_{P_{k}}\right) \quad(j=2,3, \ldots, q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then for any positive number $r,\left\{N\left(P_{j}, r\right): j=1,2, \ldots, q\right\}$ is a disjoint measurable cover of $S(r)$. Hence, if $\left|r-r_{0}\right|<\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{\delta_{P_{1}}, \ldots, \delta_{P_{q}}\right\}=\delta$, say, then

$$
\mathscr{M}(f, r)=\left(1 / s_{n} r^{n-1}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{q} \int_{N\left(P_{j}, r\right)} f \mathrm{~d} \sigma
$$

Since each term in this sum is an analytic function of $r$ on $\left(r_{0}-\delta, r_{0}+\delta\right)$, it follows that $\mathscr{M}(f, r)$ is analytic on this interval and therefore, since $r_{0}$ is arbitrary, on $(0, a)$.

Now suppose that $f$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3. Since $f$ is analytic there exists a positive number $b$ such that

$$
f(P)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} F_{m}(P) \quad(P \in B(b)),
$$

where $F_{m}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $m$ in the coordinates $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ of $P$ and the series converges uniformly in $B(b)$. Hence, if $r \in(0, b)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{M}(f, r)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{M}\left(F_{m}, r\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} r^{2 m} \mathscr{M}\left(F_{2 m}, 1\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{m} r^{2 m}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

say, the odd values of $m$ making no contribution to the right-hand side since, when $m$ is odd, each term of $F_{m}$ is an odd function of at least one of the coordinates $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, so its integral over $S(r)$ is zero. By comparison with Pizzetti's formula (see e.g. duPlessis [3; p. 30]) or by direct computation, we see that $a_{m}$ in (2) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m}=\left(2^{m} m!n(n+2) \ldots(n+2 m-2)\right)^{-1} \Delta^{m} f(0), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is non-negative by hypothesis.
Next we show that the series on the right-hand side of (2) converges to $\mathscr{M}(f, r)$ for $r \in(0, a)$. Let $c$ be the radius of convergence of the series. Since the series converges to $\mathscr{M}(f, r)$ for $r \in(0, b)$ and, by Lemma $1, \mathscr{M}(f, r)$ is analytic on $(0, a)$ by the principle of analytic continuation the series converges to $\mathscr{M}(f, r)$ for $r \in(0, \min \{a, c\})$. Hence it is enough to prove that $c \geqq a$. Since $a_{m} \geqq 0$ for each $m$, the sum function of the series in (2) has no analytic continuation to any neighbourhood of $c$. (See e.g. Titchmarsh [ $4 ; \S 7.21]$ for a proof of the corresponding result for complex series. The proof for real series is the same). However, if $c<a$, then $\mathscr{M}(f,$.$) would provide$ such a continuation, so we conclude that $c \geqq a$, and the required result follows.

Now define a function $g$ on the open disc with radius $a$ and centre the origin in the complex plane by

$$
g(z)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{m} z^{2 m}
$$

Then, by the result of the last paragraph and the fact that $a_{m} \geqq 0$ for each $m$,

$$
\mathscr{M}(f, r)=g(r)=\sup _{0 \leqq \theta \leqq 2 \pi} g\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) \quad(0<r<a) .
$$

Hence, by applying the Hadamard three circles theorem to $g$, we obtain the convexity of $\log \mathscr{M}(f, r)$ as a function of $\log r$ for $r \in(0, a)$.

To prove the Corollary to Theorem 3, we note that a harmonic function $h$ in $B(a)$ is analytic (see e.g. Brelot [1; Appendix §15]), and therefore $h^{2}$ is analytic. If $h$ is not identically zero, then $\mathscr{M}\left(h^{2}, \cdot\right)$ is not identically zero and, by (2), (3), $h^{2}$ has at least one iterated laplacian which does not vanish at the origin. It suffices to show therefore that, for each $j \geqq 0, \Delta^{j} h^{2} \geqq 0$, and this is straightforward. In fact if $\nabla$ denotes the gradient operator in $R^{n}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta^{0} h^{2}=h^{2} \geqq 0, \quad \Delta^{1} h^{2}=2|\nabla h|^{2} \geqq 0, \\
\Delta^{2} h^{2}=4 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\nabla \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{2}=2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{2} \geqq 0,
\end{gathered}
$$

but for each $i=1,2, \ldots, \partial h / \partial x_{i}$ is itself a harmonic function, and the result may be proved by induction in an obvious way.

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Theorem 2 (ii) is immediate from (2), (3). Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 2 (i) hold. If we again write $\mu(r)=\mathscr{M}(f, r)$, the condition that $\log \mu(r)$ is a twice continuously differentiable function of $\log r$ on $(0, a)$ is equivalent to the condition that $r \mu^{\prime}(r) / \mu(r)$ is a continuously differentiable increasing function on $(0, a)$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{P}^{\prime}(f, r) & =\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} r}\left(\frac{n}{r^{n} \mu(r)} \int_{0}^{r} t^{n-1} \mu(t) \mathrm{d} t\right)= \\
& =\frac{-n^{2}}{r^{n+1} \mu(r)} \int_{0}^{r} t^{n-1} \mu(t) \mathrm{d} t-\frac{n \mu^{\prime}(r)}{r^{n}(\mu(r))^{2}} \int_{0}^{r} t^{n-1} \mu(t) \mathrm{d} t+\frac{n}{r}= \\
& =\frac{n}{r^{n+1} \mu(r)} \int_{0}^{r} t^{n} \mu^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t-\frac{n \mu^{\prime}(r)}{r^{n}(\mu(r))^{2}} \int_{0}^{r} t^{n-1} \mu(t) \mathrm{d} t= \\
& =\frac{n}{r^{n+1}(\mu(r))^{2}} \int_{0}^{r} t^{n-1}\left(t \mu^{\prime}(t) \mu(r)-r \mu^{\prime}(r) \mu(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t \leqq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

since $r \mu^{\prime}(r) / \mu(r)$ increases.

Theorem 2 (i) may also be proved directly, that is, without using Theorem 3, by using equations (1) and (2) to establish the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{A}(f, r)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{n}{2 m+n} a_{m} r^{2 m} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then computing $\mathscr{Q}^{\prime}(f, r)$ when $\mathscr{Q}(f, \cdot)$ is expressed as the quotient of the power series in (4) and (2).

## 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The result for $\mathscr{2}\left(h^{2}, \cdot\right)$ when $h$ is harmonic and not identically zero follows from Theorem 2 (i), by noting that $\Delta^{j} h^{2}>0$ for each non-negative $j$ and that $h^{2}$ has at least one iterated laplacian which does not vanish at $O$. It remains to give the counterexamples to show that when $p>0, p \neq 2$, there exists a harmonic function $H$ in $R^{n}$ such that $\mathscr{Q}\left(|H|^{p}, \cdot\right)$ does not decrease on $(0, \alpha)$ for any positive $\alpha$. When $0<p<2$ such an $H$ is given by

$$
H\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{1}\left(1+(n-1) x_{1}^{2}-3\left(x_{2}^{2}+\ldots+x_{n}^{2}\right)\right) .
$$

Clearly $H$ is harmonic in $R^{n}$, and with polar coordinates $\left(r, \theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n-1}\right)$ such that $x_{1}=r \sin \theta_{1}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|H\left(r, \theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n-1}\right)\right|^{p}=r^{p}\left|\sin \theta_{1}\right|^{p}\left|1-r^{2}\left\{3-(n+2) \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}\right\}\right|^{p}= \\
=r^{p}\left|\sin \theta_{1}\right|^{p}\left[1-p^{2}\left\{3-(n+2) \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}\right\}\right]+O\left(r^{p+4}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

for small $r$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{M}\left(|H|^{p}, r\right)=a r^{p}-b r^{p+2}+O\left(r^{p+4}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a>0$ and depends only on $n, p$ and (see e.g. [3; p. 18])

$$
\begin{gathered}
b=s_{n}^{-1} p \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} \ldots \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left\{3-(n+2) \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}\right\}\left|\sin \theta_{1}\right|^{p} \\
\cos ^{n-2} \theta_{1} \cos ^{n-3} \theta_{2} \ldots \cos \theta_{n-2} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{n-1} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{n-2} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \theta_{1}= \\
=s_{n}^{-1} s_{n-1} p \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} \cos ^{n-2} \theta_{1}\left\{3\left|\sin \theta_{1}\right|^{p}-(n+2)\left|\sin \theta_{1}\right|^{p+2}\right\} \mathrm{d} \theta_{1}>0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

To obtain the last inequality, we used the equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} \cos ^{n-2} \theta_{1}\left|\sin \theta_{1}\right|^{p+2} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{1}=(p+1)(p+n)^{-1} \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} \cos ^{n-2} \theta_{1}\left|\sin \theta_{1}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{1} \\
3-(p+1)(n+2)(p+n)^{-1}=(2-p)(n-1)(p+n)^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

From equations (1) and (5) we easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{A}\left(|H|^{p}, r\right)=a n(p+n)^{-1} r^{p}-b n(p+n+2)^{-1} r^{p+2}+O\left(r^{p+4}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a>0$ and $b>0$ it follows from (5) and (6) that, for sufficiently small $r$,

$$
\mathcal{Q}\left(|H|^{p}, r\right)>\frac{n}{p+n},
$$

and

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0+} \mathscr{2}\left(|H|^{p}, r\right)=\frac{n}{p+n},
$$

so that $\mathscr{Q}\left(|H|^{p}, \cdot\right)$ is not decreasing on $(0, \alpha)$ for any positive $\alpha$.
When $p>2$ and $H$ is given by

$$
H\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{1}\left(1-(n-1) x_{1}^{2}+3\left(x_{2}^{2}+\ldots+x_{n}^{2}\right)\right),
$$

then $\mathscr{2}\left(|H|^{p}, \cdot\right)$ is not decreasing on $(0, \alpha)$ for any positive $\alpha$, the details of the proof being similar to those in the previous case.

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 5

Since $f$ is $2 k+2$ times continuously differentiable Pizzetti's formula [3, p. 30] holds, and, under the hypotheses of Theorem 5 reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{M}(f, r)=\left(2^{j} j!n(n+2) \ldots(n+2 j-2)\right)^{-1} \Delta^{j} f(0) r^{2 j}+\left(2^{k} k!n(n+2) \ldots\right.  \tag{7}\\
& \ldots(n+2 k-2))^{-1} \Delta^{k} f(0) r^{2 k}+O\left(r^{2 k+2}\right)=c r^{2 j}+d r^{2 k}+O\left(r^{2 k+2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

say, for small $r$.
Using (1) we obtain

$$
\mathscr{A}(f, r)=\frac{c n}{2 j+n} r^{2 j}+\frac{d n}{2 k+n} r^{2 k}+O\left(r^{2 k+2}\right)
$$

whence, using (7),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{Q}(f, r)=\left(\frac{c n}{2 j+n}+\frac{d n}{2 k+n} r^{2(k-j)}+O\left(r^{2(k-j)+2}\right)\right) \times\left(c+d r^{2(k-j)}+\right. \\
& \left.\quad+O\left(r^{2(k-j)+2}\right)\right)^{-1}=\frac{n}{2 j+n}-\frac{2 d(k-j)}{c(2 k+n)} r^{2(k-j)}+O\left(r^{2(k-j)+2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $\mathscr{2}(f, \cdot)$ decreases for small $r$ if $c$ and $d$ have the same sign, and increases if $c$ and $d$ have opposite signs, which is the first result of the theorem. If $f$ is nct identically zero and analytic in $B(a)$, and $\Delta^{i} f(O) \neq 0$ for only one value of $i$ then the Pizzetti
representation

$$
\mathscr{M}(f, r)=\left(2^{i} i!n(n+2) \ldots(n+2 i-2)\right)^{-1} \Delta^{i} f(0) r^{2 i} \quad(0<r<a)
$$

is exact, and clearly $\mathscr{Q}(f, \cdot)$ is constant on $(0, a)$.

## 6. PROOF OF THEOREM 4

To prove parts (i) and (ii) we first note that if $\mathscr{M}(s, \cdot)$ is constant on $(0, a)$ then these results are trivial. Otherwise there exists a smallest positive integer $j$ such that $\Delta^{j} s(O) \neq 0$ for, if not, Pizzetti's formula gives that $\mathscr{M}(s, r)-s(O)=O\left(r^{2 k+2}\right)$ for all positive integers $k$ whence $\mathscr{M}(s, r)-s(O)$, being an analytic function of $r$ for small $r$, is zero on $(0, a)$ and $\mathscr{M}(s, \cdot)$ is constant. Further $\Delta^{j} s(O)>0$ since otherwise, again by Pizzetti's formula,

$$
\mathscr{M}(s, r)=s(0)-c r^{2 j}+O\left(r^{2 j+2}\right)
$$

with $c>0$, and $\mathscr{M}(s, \cdot)$ would decrease for small $r$. Parts (i) and (ii) now follow from Theorem 5 .

To prove part (iii) we note that $\mathscr{M}(s, \cdot)$ is not constant on $(0, a)$ and, as in the proof of parts (i) and (ii), the first non-vanishing iterated Laplacian $\Delta^{j_{S}}(O)$ is positive. If $\Delta^{i} s(O)=0$ for all $i>j$ then $\mathscr{M}(s, r)=c r^{2 j}(c>0,0<r<a)$ and $\mathscr{2}(s, \cdot)$ is constant on $(0, a)$. An example of this case (with $j=1$ ) is

$$
s\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{1}^{2}
$$

Otherwise there exists a smallest $i>j$ such that $\Delta^{i} s(O) \neq 0$ and, by Theorem 5 $\mathscr{2}(s, \cdot)$ is either decreasing or increasing on $(0, \alpha)$ for some $\alpha>0$, according to whether $\Delta^{i} s(O)$ is positive or negative. Examples of these cases are given respectively (with $a=+\infty$ ) by

$$
s_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{1}^{2}+x_{1}^{4}, \quad s_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{1}^{2}-x_{1}^{4}+x_{1}^{6} .
$$

In connection with the last example it is worth noting that, by a straightforward calculation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{M}\left(s_{3}, r\right)=\frac{\pi s_{n-1}}{4 s_{n}}\left(r^{2}-\frac{1}{4} r^{4}+\frac{1}{16} r^{6}\right), \\
& \mathscr{A}\left(s_{3}, r\right)=\frac{\pi s_{n-1}}{4 s_{n}}\left(\frac{n}{n+2} r^{2}-\frac{n}{n+4} r^{4}+\frac{n}{n+6} r^{6}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{sign} \mathscr{Q}^{\prime}\left(s_{3}, r\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left(\frac{1}{(4+n)(2+n)}-\frac{r^{2}}{(6+n)(2+n)}+\frac{r^{4}}{16(4+n)(6+n)}\right)
$$

so that, for example, $\mathscr{Q}^{\prime}\left(s_{3}, 2\right)<0$ and therefore $\alpha<a$ in general. This example also serves to show that the result of Theorem 2 fails to hold if one of the iterated laplacians $\Delta^{j} f(O)$ is negative. In fact $\mathscr{Q}\left(s_{3}, r\right)$ is increasing both for small $r$ and for large $r$.

The Corollary to Theorem 4 follows by applying the theorem to $|h|^{p}$ in the case $p \geqq 1$ and to $-|h|^{p}$ in the case $0<p \leqq 1\left(|h|^{p}\right.$ is analytic in some neighbourhood of $O$ since it is the composition of $P \rightarrow|h(P)|$ which is analytic in some neighbourhood of $O$, and $x \rightarrow x^{p}$, which is analytic in some neighbourhood of $|h(O)|$.

To show that part (ii) of the Corollary is false without the condition $h(O) \neq 0$, we again use the example, previously employed in § 4,

$$
H\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{1}\left(1-(n-1) x_{1}^{2}+3\left(x_{2}^{2}+\ldots+x_{2}^{n}\right)\right) .
$$

When $0<p<2$, similar reasoning to that in § 4 yields that $\mathscr{Q}\left(|H|^{p}, \cdot\right)$ is not increasing on $(0, \alpha)$ for any positive $\alpha$, and this includes the range $0<p \leqq 1$ of part (ii) of the Corollary.

It remains therefore to give the example of a subharmonic function $u \in C^{\infty}\left(R^{n}\right)$ such that $u(O)>0$ and $\mathscr{2}(u, \cdot)$ is neither increasing nor decreasing on any nonempty interval $(0, \alpha)$. In order to reduce the length of the proof, we work only with $n=3$. The generalization to higher dimensions is straightforward but involves lengthy calculations.

Define for each $j=1,2, \ldots, f_{j}:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow R$ by $f_{j}(x)=\left(2^{j}-x^{-1}\right)^{+}(x \geqq 0)$, $f_{j}(0)=0$, and $u_{j}: R^{3} \rightarrow R$ by

$$
u_{j}(P)=f_{j}(O P) \quad\left(P \in R^{3}\right) .
$$

Then $u_{j}$ is subharmonic in $R^{3}$ and we have
Lemma 2. There exists an infinitely differentiable subharmonic function $u_{j}^{*}$ in $R^{3}$, depending only on $O P$, such that $u_{j}^{*}(P)=u_{j}(P)$ whenever $0 \leqq O P \leqq 2^{-j-1 / 12}$ or $O P \geqq 2^{-j+1 / 12}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqq u_{j}^{*}(P)-u_{j}(P) \leqq j^{-j} \quad\left(P \in R^{3}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, using the infinitely differentiable mollifying function given by

$$
\phi_{j}(P)=\alpha_{j} \exp \left((O P)^{2}-\beta_{j}^{2}\right)^{-1} \quad\left(O P<\beta_{j}\right), \quad \phi_{j}(P)=0 \quad\left(O P \geqq \beta_{j}\right)
$$

where $\beta_{j}>0$ and $\alpha_{j}$ is chosen such that the integral of $\phi_{j}$ over $R^{3}$ is 1 , we may take $u_{j}^{*}$ to be the convolution $u_{j} * \phi_{j}$ given by

$$
u_{j} * \phi_{j}(P)=\int_{R^{3}} \phi_{j}(Q) u_{j}(P-Q) \mathrm{d} v(Q) \quad\left(P \in R^{3}\right)
$$

It then follows from familiar theorems that $u_{j}^{*} \in C^{\infty}\left(R^{3}\right)$ and is subharnionic in $R^{3}$, and it is clear that $u_{j}^{*}$, like $u_{j}$, depends only on $O P$. Further, since $u_{j}$ is harmonic
in $R^{3} \backslash S\left(2^{-j}\right)$, it follows that $u_{j}^{*}=u_{j}$ when $O P \leqq 2^{-j}-\beta_{j}$ and when $O P \geqq$ $\geqq 2^{-j}+\beta_{j}[1$, Appendix $\S 4]$ and the invariance of harmonic functions under convolution with $\phi_{j}$ also gives, with $H_{j}(P)=2^{j}-(O P)^{-1}\left(P \in R^{3} \backslash\{0\}\right)$, that when $O P>\beta_{j}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{j}^{*}(P) & =\int_{R^{3}} \phi_{j}(Q) H_{j}^{+}(P-Q) \mathrm{d} v(Q) \geqq \\
& \geqq\left\{\int_{R^{3}} \phi_{j}(Q) H_{j}(P-Q) \mathrm{d} v(Q)\right\}^{+}= \\
& =H_{j}^{+}(P)=u_{j}(P) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $\beta_{j}<2^{-j-1}$, we have that $u_{j}^{*}(P)=u_{j}(P)=0$ when $O P \leqq \beta_{j}$, so that $u_{j}^{*} \geqq u_{j}$ in $R^{3}$, and the easily established inequality

$$
\left|u_{j}^{*}(P)-u_{j}(P)\right| \leqq \sup _{O Q \leqq \beta j}\left|u_{j}(P-Q)-u_{j}(P)\right| \quad\left(P \in R^{3}\right)
$$

together with the uniform continuity of $u_{j}$ on $R^{3}$ shows that the inequalities (8) hold for suitably small $\beta_{j}$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Define $f_{j}^{*}:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow R$ by

$$
f_{j}^{*}(x)=u_{j}^{*}(x, 0, \ldots, 0),
$$

and write

$$
a_{j}=\max _{0 \leqq i \leqq j} \sup _{x \in(0,1)}\left|f_{j}^{*(i)}(x)\right|, \quad b_{j}=\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+\ldots+a_{j}\right)^{-1}
$$

Now let $f:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow R$ be defined by

$$
f(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(2 j)^{-j} b_{j} f_{j}^{*}(x)
$$

and $u: R^{3} \rightarrow R$ by $u(P)=f(O P)+1$.
We shall show that
(i) $u$ is subharmonic in $R^{3}$,
(ii) $u \in C^{\infty}\left(R^{3}\right)$,
(iii) $\varphi(u, \cdot)$ is not decreasing on any non-empty interval $(0, \alpha)$.

To establish (i) we note that, when $P \neq O, u$ is the sum a finite number of subharmonic functions plus the limit of an increasing sequence of harmonic functions (since $u_{j}^{*}$ is harmonic and non-negative when $O P \geqq 2^{-j+1 / 12}$ ), and $u$ is bounded above in $R^{3}$, since

$$
u \leqq 1+b_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-j}<+\infty .
$$

Hence $u$ is subharmonic in $R^{3} \backslash\{O\}$. Since, as is proved below, $u \in C^{\infty}\left(R^{3}\right)$, it remains only to point out that the mean-value inequalities for $u$, for balls with centre $O$, are trivially satisfied since $u \geqq u(O)=1$ in $R^{3}$.

We now turn to (ii) and prove that (a) $f \in C^{\infty}(0,+\infty)$ and (b) $f^{(i)}(x) / x \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow 0+$ for $i=0,1,2, \ldots$, which is enough since $u$ is a function of $O P$ only.
(a) If $x_{0} \geqq 2^{-1 / 2}$ then in the neighbourhood $\left(2^{-11 / 12},+\infty\right)$ of $x_{0}$

$$
f(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(2 j)^{-j} b_{j}\left(2^{j}-x^{-1}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-j} b_{j}-x^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(2 j)^{-j} b_{j},
$$

so $f$ is infinitely differentiable at $x_{0}$. If $0<x_{0}<2^{-1 / 2}$, then there exists a unique positive integer $m$ such that $2^{-m-1 / 2}<x_{0} \leqq 2^{-m+1 / 2}$. Then, in some neighbourhood of $x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =(2 m)^{-m} b_{m} f_{m}^{*}(x)+\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty}(2 j)^{-j} b_{j} f_{j}(x)= \\
& =(2 m)^{-m} b_{m} f_{m}^{*}(x)+\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} j^{-j} b_{j}-x^{-1} \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty}(2 j)^{-j} b_{j},
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $f$ is infinitely differentiable at $x_{0}$.
(b) If $x>0$, then, in some neighbourhood of $x$,

$$
f(y)=\sum_{2-j-1 / 12 \leqq x}(2 j)^{-j} b_{j} f_{j}^{*}(y),
$$

so that, for any non-negative integer $i$,

$$
f^{(i)}(x)=\sum_{2-j-1 / 12 \leqq x}(2 j)^{-j} b_{j} f_{j}^{*(i)}(x)
$$

differentiation of the series for $f$ yielding uniformly convergent series by the choice of $b_{j}$. If now $x<2^{-i-1 / 12}$, then

$$
\left|f^{(i)}(x)\right| \leqq \sum_{j+1 / 12 \geqq-\log x / \log 2}(2 j)^{-j}=o(x) \quad(x \rightarrow 0+)
$$

In the last step we used

$$
\sum_{j=p}^{\infty}(2 j)^{-j} \leqq \sum_{j=p}^{\infty} j^{-j}=O\left(p e^{-p-\log p}\right) \quad(p \rightarrow \infty)
$$

Finally we establish (iii). To do this we show that for sufficiently large $m$,

$$
\mathscr{2}\left(u, 2^{-m-1 / 6}\right)<\mathscr{Q}\left(u, 2^{-m-1 / 12}\right) .
$$

First

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{M}\left(u, 2^{-m-1 / 6}\right)=1+\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty}(2 j)^{-j} b_{j} f_{j}^{*}\left(2^{-m-1 / 6}\right)> \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
>1+(2 m+2)^{-m-1} b_{m+1} f_{m+1}\left(2^{-m-1 / 6}\right)=1+b_{m+1}(m+1)^{-m-1}\left(1-2^{-5 / 6}\right)
$$

Next

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{M}\left(u, 2^{-m-1 / 12}\right)=1+\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty}(2 j)^{-j} b_{j} f_{j}^{*}\left(2^{-m-1 / 12}\right) \leqq  \tag{10}\\
& \quad \leqq 1+(2 m+2)^{-m-1} b_{m+1}\left(2^{m+1}-2^{m+1 / 12}\right)+b_{m+1} \sum_{j=m+2}^{\infty} j^{-j}= \\
& \quad=1+(m+1)^{-m-1} b_{m+1}\left(1-2^{-11 / 12}+o(1)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Thirdly, using equation (1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{A}\left(u, 2^{-m-1 / 12}\right) \geqq 1+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(2 j)^{-j} b_{j} 3 \cdot 2^{3(m+1 / 12)} \int_{0}^{2-m-1 / 12} l^{2} f_{j}(l) \mathrm{d} l>  \tag{11}\\
& \quad>1+b_{m+1} 3 \cdot(2 m+2)^{-m-1} \cdot 2^{3 m+1 / 4} \int_{2-m-1}^{2-m-1 / 12}\left(2^{m+1} l^{2}-l\right) \mathrm{d} l= \\
& \quad=1+b_{m+1}(m+1)^{-m-1}\left(1+\frac{1}{8} 2^{-3 / 4}-\frac{3}{2} 2^{-11 / 12}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, using equation (1), inequality (8) and the fact that, by the subharmonicity of each $u_{j}^{*}, \mathscr{A}\left(u_{j}^{*}, 2^{-m-1 / 6}\right) \leqq \mathscr{M}\left(u_{j}^{*}, 2^{-m-1 / 6}\right)$,
(12) $\mathscr{A}\left(u, 2^{-m-1 / 6}\right) \leqq 1+b_{m+1}(2 m+2)^{-m-1} \mathscr{A}\left(u_{m+1}^{*}, 2^{-m-1 / 6}\right)+$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{j=m+2}^{\infty} b_{j}(2 j)^{-j} M\left(u_{j}^{*}, 2^{-m-1 / 6}\right) \leqq \\
\leqq & 1+b_{m+1}(2 m+2)^{-m-1} 3.2^{3(m+1 / 6)} \int_{2^{-m-1}}^{2-m-1 / 6} l^{2}\left(f_{m+1}(l)+\right. \\
& \left.+(m+1)^{-m-1}\right) \mathrm{d} l+b_{m+1} \sum_{j=m+2}^{\infty}(2 j)^{-j}\left(f_{j}\left(2^{-m-1 / 6}\right)+j^{-j}\right)< \\
< & 1+b_{m+1}(m+1)^{-m-1} 3.2^{2 m-1 / 2} . \\
& \cdot\left(\int_{2^{-m-1}}^{2-m-1 / 6}\left(l^{2} 2^{m+1}-l\right) \mathrm{d} l+O\left((m+1)^{-m-1}\right)\right)+2 b_{m+1} \sum_{j=m+2}^{\infty} j^{-j}= \\
= & 1+b_{m+1}(m+1)^{-m-1}\left(1+\frac{1}{8} 2^{-1 / 2}-\frac{3}{2} 2^{-5 / 6}+o(1)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. To prove that $\mathscr{Q}\left(u, 2^{-m-1 / 6}\right)<\mathscr{2}\left(u, 2^{-m-1 / 12}\right)$ for sufficiently large $m$, it is enough to prove, by inequalities (9), (10), (11) and (12) that

$$
\left(1+C(m) D_{1}\right)\left(1+C(m) D_{2}\right)<\left(1+C(m) D_{3}\right)\left(1+C(m) D_{4}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
C(m)=b_{m+1}(m+1)^{-m-1}, \quad D_{1}=1+\frac{1}{8} 2^{-1 / 2}-\frac{3}{2} 2^{-5 / 6}, \\
D_{2}=1-2^{-11 / 12}, \quad D_{3}=1+\frac{1}{8} 2^{-3 / 4}-\frac{3}{2} 2^{-11 / 12}, \quad D_{4}=1-2^{-5 / 6},
\end{gathered}
$$

and to prove this equality for large $m$, it is enough to show that $D_{1}+D_{2}<D_{3}+D_{4}$.

By rearrangement this condition may be reduced to $2^{1 / 4}\left(2^{5 / 3}-1\right)>2^{11 / 6}-1$, which is easily verified.

By a similar construction we may also obtain an example of an infinitely differentiable, subharmonic function $v$ in $R^{3}$ such that $v>0$ in $R^{3} \backslash\{O\}, v(O)=0$ and $\lim \mathscr{Q}(v, r)$ does not exist as $r \rightarrow 0+$. In fact, with $u$ defined as in the previous example, we take $v=u-1$ in $R^{3}$. Using obvious modifications of inequalities (9) to (12), we obtain that

$$
\mathscr{Q}\left(v, 2^{-m-1 / 6}\right)<D_{1} / D_{4}+o(1), \quad \mathscr{2}\left(v, 2^{-m-1 / 12}\right)>D_{3} / D_{2}+o(1)
$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. The non-existence of $\lim \mathscr{2}(v, r)$ as $r \rightarrow 0+$ now follows from the inequality $D_{1} D_{2}<D_{3} D_{4}$ which is easily verifiable by direct computation.

## 7. PROOF OF THEOREM 6

Given a function $f: R^{n} \rightarrow R$ and $r \in(0,+\infty)$, let $U(f, r)$ be the supremum of $f$ over $S(r)$.

Suppose that $h$ is harmonic in $R^{n}$ and that $\alpha, l$ are numbers such that $\alpha>1, l>0$. Then $|h|$ is subharmonic in $R^{n}$ and is therefore dominated by its Poisson integral $I_{|h|}$ in $B(\alpha l)$. Applying a Harnack inequality to $I_{|h|}$, we obtain

$$
U(|h|, l) \leqq U\left(I_{|h|}, l\right) \leqq C(\alpha, n) I_{|h|}(O)=C(\alpha, n) \mathscr{M}(|h|, \alpha l)
$$

where

$$
C(\alpha, n)=\alpha^{n-2}(\alpha+1)(\alpha-1)^{1-n}
$$

By Hölder's inequality, if $p \geqq 1$, then

$$
U\left(|h|^{p}, l\right) \leqq(C(\alpha, n))^{p} \mathscr{M}\left(|h|^{p}, \alpha l\right)
$$

By applying this formula twice, we see that if $r, t>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathscr{M}\left(|h|^{p}, t\right)}{\mathscr{M}\left(|h|^{p}, r\right)} \leqq \frac{(C(\alpha, n))^{-p}(U(|h|, t))^{p}}{(U(|h|, r \mid \alpha))^{p}} \leqq\left\{\frac{\mathscr{M}\left(h^{2}, \alpha t\right)}{\mathscr{M}\left(h^{2}, r \mid \alpha\right)}\right\}^{p / 2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now define $\gamma:(0,+\infty) \rightarrow R$ by

$$
\gamma(r)=\log \mathscr{M}\left(h^{2}, r\right) / \log r .
$$

If $h$ is not a polynomial, then for each real $k, r^{-k} \mathscr{M}\left(h^{2}, r\right) \rightarrow \infty(r \rightarrow \infty)$ (see e.g. [ 1 ; Appendix $]$ ), so that $\gamma(r) \rightarrow \infty(r \rightarrow \infty)$. If $r>\alpha^{2}>1$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathscr{M}\left(h^{2}, r / \alpha^{2}\right)\left(\mathscr{M}\left(h^{2}, r / \alpha\right)\right)^{-1}=  \tag{14}\\
=\exp \left(\gamma\left(r / \alpha^{2}\right) \log r / \alpha^{2}-\gamma(r / \alpha) \log r / \alpha\right) \leqq \exp (-\gamma(r / \alpha) \log \alpha) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\mathscr{M}\left(|h|^{p}, \cdot\right)$ is increasing on $(0,+\infty)$, we have, by using (1), (13), (14) and the fact that $\gamma(r) \rightarrow \infty(r \rightarrow \infty)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{Q}\left(|h|^{p}, r\right)= n r^{-n} \int_{0}^{r} t^{n-1} \mathscr{M}\left(|h|^{p}, t\right)\left(\mathscr{M}\left(|h|^{p}, r\right)\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t \leqq \\
& \leqq n r^{-n}\left\{\int_{0}^{r / \alpha^{3}} t^{n-1} \mathscr{M}\left(|h|^{p}, r / \alpha^{3}\right)\left(\mathscr{M}\left(|h|^{p}, r\right)\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{r / \alpha^{3}}^{r} t^{n-1} \mathrm{~d} t\right\} \leqq \\
& \leqq n r^{-n} \int_{0}^{r / \alpha^{3}} t^{n-1}\left\{\frac{\mathscr{M}\left(h^{2}, r / \alpha^{2}\right)}{\mathscr{M}\left(h^{2}, r \mid \alpha\right)}\right\}^{p / 2} \mathrm{~d} t+1-\alpha^{-3 n} \leqq \\
& \leqq n r^{-n} \int_{0}^{r / \alpha^{3}} t^{n-1} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} p \gamma(r / \alpha) \log \alpha\right) \mathrm{d} t+1-\alpha^{-3 n} \rightarrow \\
& \rightarrow 1-\alpha^{-3 n} \quad(r \rightarrow \infty) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since this holds for each $\alpha>1, \mathscr{Q}\left(|h|^{p}, r\right) \rightarrow 0(r \rightarrow \infty)$.
If $P$ is a polynomial of degree $m$ in $R^{n}$, then it is easy to see that

$$
\mathscr{M}\left(|P|^{p}, r\right)=C r^{m} p+O\left(r^{m p-1}\right) \quad(r \rightarrow \infty),
$$

where $C>0$, whence, by using (1) to estimate $\mathscr{A}\left(|P|^{p}, r\right)$, we find that $\mathscr{Q}\left(|P|^{p}, r\right) \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow n /(n+m p)(r \rightarrow \infty)$.

The various results of the theorem now follow.

## 8. PROOF OF THEOREM 7

To prove part (i) we note that, except in the trivial case where $h$ is homogeneous and $\mathscr{Q}\left(h^{2 q}, r\right)$ is constant, we may write (taking polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$ with origin $O$ )

$$
h(r, \theta)=a r^{M} \cos \left(M \theta+\delta_{M}\right)+b r^{N} \cos \left(N \theta+\delta_{N}\right)+h_{1}(r, \theta)
$$

where $a \neq 0, b \neq 0, M, N$ are positive integers with $M>N, \delta_{M}$ and $\delta_{N}$ lie in the range $[0,2 \pi)$ and

$$
h_{1}(r, \theta)=\sum_{m=0}^{N-1} c_{m} r^{m} \cos \left(m \theta+\delta_{m}\right)
$$

with $c_{m}$ constant and $\delta_{m} \in[0,2 \pi)$ for $m=0,1,2, \ldots, N-1$. We then have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(h(r, \theta))^{2 q} & =\left(a r^{M} \cos \left(M \theta+\delta_{M}\right)+b r^{N} \cos \left(N \theta+\delta_{N}\right)\right)^{2 q}+ \\
& +2 q\left(a r^{M} \cos \left(M \theta+\delta_{M}\right)+b r^{N} \cos \left(N \theta+\delta_{N}\right)\right)^{2 q-1} h_{1}(r, \theta)+ \\
& +O\left(r^{M(2 q-2)+2 N-2}\right)=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & a^{2 q} e^{2 q M}\left(\cos \left(M \theta+\delta_{M}\right)\right)^{2 q}+2 q a^{2 q-1} b r^{M(2 q-1)+N} \\
& \left(\cos \left(M \theta+\delta_{M}\right)\right)^{2 q-1} \cos \left(N \theta+\delta_{N}\right)+q(2 q-1) a^{2 q-2} b^{2} r^{M(2 q-2)+2 N} \\
& \left(\cos \left(M \theta+\delta_{M}\right)\right)^{2 q-2}\left(\cos \left(N \theta+\delta_{N}\right)\right)^{2}+O\left(r^{M(2 q-3)+3 N}\right)+ \\
+ & 2 q a^{2 q-1} r^{M(2 q-1)}\left(\cos \left(M \theta+\delta_{M}\right)\right)^{2 q-1} h_{1}(r, \theta)+O\left(r^{M(2 q-2)+2 N-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $M>N$

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\cos \left(M \theta+\delta_{M}\right)\right)^{2 q-1} \cos \left(N \theta+\delta_{N}\right) \mathrm{d} \theta=0
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\cos \left(M \theta+\delta_{M}\right)\right)^{2 q-1} h_{1}(r, \theta)=0
$$

and so

$$
\mathscr{M}\left(h^{2 q}, r\right)=c r^{2 q M}+d r^{M(2 q-2)+2 N}+O\left(r^{M(2 q-2)+2 N-1}\right),
$$

where $c$ and $d$ are positive. The result now follows easily by a technique similar to that used in proving Theorem 5 .

To demonstrate part (ii) we use the example

$$
h\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=1-2 x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}=1-h_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right),
$$

say, which is harmonic in $R^{n}$ for $n \geqq 3$. Since $h^{2 q}$ is a polynomial of degree $4 q, \Delta^{k} h^{2 q}$ is identically zero for $k>2 q$. We shall prove that $\Delta^{2 q} h^{2 q}(O)>0$ and $\Delta^{2 q-1} h^{2 q}(O)<$ $<0$, and since

$$
h^{2 q}=h_{1}^{2 q}-2 q h_{1}^{2 q-1}+P,
$$

where $P$ is a polynomial of degree $4 q-4$, it is enough to prove that $\Delta^{2 q} h_{1}^{2 q}(O)>0$ and $\Delta^{2 q-1} h_{1}^{2 q-1}(O)>0$ or equivalently that $\Delta^{m} h_{1}^{m}(O)>0$ for any integer $m>2$. First we prove by induction on $m$ that $\Delta^{m}\left(r^{2 i} h_{1}^{m-i}\right)(O) \geqq 0$ for $m=2,3, \ldots$, and $i=0,1, \ldots, m$, where $r^{2}=x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}$. For $m=2$ it is easy to verify that $\Delta^{2} r^{4}(O)>0, \Delta^{2} r^{2} h_{1}(O)=0$, and $\Delta^{2} h_{1}^{2}(O)>0$. Suppose the indicated inequalities $\Delta^{m}\left(r^{2 i} h_{1}^{m-i}\right)(O) \geqq 0, i=0,1, \ldots, m$ hold for some $m \geqq 2$. Then for $j=0,1, \ldots$ $\ldots, m+1$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta^{m+1}\left(r^{2 j} h_{1}^{m+1-j}\right)=\Delta^{m}\left(2 j(2 j+1) r^{2 j-2} h_{1}^{m+1-j}+\right. \\
\left.+2 j(m+1-j) r^{2 j-2} h_{1}^{m-j}\left(\nabla r^{2} \cdot \nabla h_{1}\right)+(m+1-j)(m-j) r^{2 j} h_{1}^{m-1-j}\left|\nabla h_{1}\right|^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Now

$$
\nabla r^{2} \cdot \nabla h_{1}=4 h_{1}, \quad\left|\nabla h_{1}\right|^{2}=8 r^{2}+4 h_{1}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta^{m+1}\left(r^{2 j} h_{1}^{m+1-j}\right)=\Delta^{m}\left(2 j(4 m-2 j+5) r^{2 j-2} h_{1}^{m+1-j}+\right. \\
& \left.+4(m+1-j)(m-j)\left(2 r^{2 j+2} h_{1}^{m-1-j}+r^{2 j} h_{1}^{m-j}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the first term on the right vanishes if $j=0$ and the second term vanishes if $j=m$ or $j=m+1$, so may we write

$$
\Delta^{m+1}\left(r^{2 j} h_{1}^{m+1-j}\right)=\Delta^{m}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} r^{i} h_{1}^{m-i}\right),
$$

where $a_{i} \geqq 0$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, m$, whence $\Delta^{m+1}\left(r^{2 j} h_{1}^{m+1-j}\right)(O) \geqq 0$, and the induction is complete. To prove the strict positivity of $\Delta^{m} h_{1}^{m}(O)$ for $m \geqq 2$ we note that

$$
\Delta^{m+1} h_{1}^{m+1}=4 m(m+1) \Delta^{m}\left(2 r^{2} h_{1}^{m-1}+h_{1}^{m}\right),
$$

whence

$$
\Delta^{m+1} h_{1}^{m+1}(0) \geqq 4 m(m+1) \Delta^{m} h_{1}^{m}(0),
$$

and the result follows by induction on $m$, noting that $\Delta^{2} h_{1}^{2}(0)>0$. Hence $\Delta^{2 q} h^{2 q}(O)>$ $>0, \Delta^{2 q-1} h^{2 q}(O)<0$, and Pizzetti's formula gives

$$
\mathscr{M}\left(h^{2 q}, r\right)=c r^{4 q}-d r^{4 q-2}+O\left(r^{4 q-4}\right),
$$

where $c>0, d>0$, whence $\mathscr{2}\left(h^{2 q}, r\right)$ increases strictly for sufficiently large $r$, by a technique similar to that used in proving Theorem 5.

We note that if we took $1+h_{1}$ instead of $1-h_{1}$ in this example then $\mathscr{Q}\left(h^{2 q}, r\right)$ would decrease strictly for sufficiently large $r$. This exhausts the possibilities for the behaviour of $\mathscr{Q}\left(h^{2 q}, r\right)$ for large $r$, when $h$ is a polynomial, since $\mathscr{Q}\left(h^{2 q}, r\right)$, being a rational function of $r$, must be ultimately monotonic.

To prove part (iii), we show first that there exists a sequence $\left(h_{m}\right)$ of harmonic polynomials in $R^{2}$ and sequences $\left(\lambda_{m}\right),\left(\lambda_{m}^{\prime}\right),\left(\varkappa_{m}\right)$ of positive numbers such that for each positive integer $m$
( $\alpha)\left|h_{m}(r, \theta)\right|<2^{-m} e^{r}$, where $(r, \theta)$ are polar coordinates centred at $O$,
( $\beta$ ) $\lambda_{m}<\lambda_{m}^{\prime}<\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{m+1}$,
( $\gamma$ ) $\mathscr{2}\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{j}\right)^{4}, \lambda_{l}^{\prime}\right)-\mathscr{2}\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{j}\right)^{4}, \lambda_{l}\right)>x_{l}(l=1,2, \ldots, m)$.
We have seen (§4) that there exists a harmonic polynomial $h_{1}$ in $R^{2}$ such that $\mathscr{Q}\left(h_{1}^{4}, \cdot\right)$ is not decreasing on $(0, \infty)$. Hence there exist positive numbers $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \chi_{1}$ such that $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{1}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\mathscr{2}\left(h_{1}^{4}, \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\mathscr{2}\left(h_{1}^{4}, \lambda_{1}\right)>x_{1} .
$$

Now suppose that we have found $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}, \lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}^{\prime} . \chi_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ satisfying $(\alpha),(\beta),(\gamma)$. Choose an integer $k$ such that $k>21$ and $k / 3$ is larger than the degree of $\sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{j}$, and put

$$
h_{m+1}(r, \theta)=\gamma\left(r^{k} \cos k \theta-\delta r^{3 k} \cos 3 k \theta\right),
$$

where $\gamma, \delta$ are constants to be fixed later satisfying $0<\gamma<\left(2^{m+1}(3 k)!\right)^{-1}$ and
$0<\delta<1$. Then $h_{m+1}$ is harmonic in $R^{2}$ and

$$
\left|h_{m+1}(r, \theta)\right| \leqq \gamma\left(r^{k}+r^{3 k}\right) \leqq 2^{-m-1}\left(\frac{r^{k}}{k!}+\frac{r^{3 k}}{(3 k)!}\right)<2^{-m-1} e^{r} .
$$

Put

$$
\phi(r)=\mathscr{M}\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} h_{j}\right)^{4}, r\right), \quad \chi(r)=\mathscr{A}\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} h_{j}\right)^{4}, r\right), \quad \psi(r)=\mathscr{A}\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} h_{j}\right)^{4}, r\right) .
$$

Now clearly we may fix $\gamma$ so small that, for any $\delta \in(0,1), \psi\left(\lambda_{l}^{\prime}\right)-\psi\left(\lambda_{l}\right)>x_{l}(l=$ $=1, \ldots, m)$. A straightforward calculation making use of the facts that $k / 3>$ $>\operatorname{deg} \sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{j}$ and

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cos k_{1} \theta \cos k_{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cos k_{1} \theta \sin k_{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta=0 \quad\left(k_{1} \neq k_{2}\right)
$$

yields

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi(r)=\mathscr{M}\left(h_{m+1}^{4}, r\right)+o\left(r^{8 k / 3}\right)+\delta^{2} o\left(r^{20 k / 3}\right)= \\
=\frac{1}{8} \gamma^{4}\left(3 r^{4 k}-4 \delta r^{6 k}+12 \delta^{2} r^{8 k}+3 \delta^{4} r^{12 k}\right)+o\left(r^{8 k / 3}\right)+\delta^{2} o\left(r^{20 k / 3}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and using (1) we get

$$
\chi(r)=\frac{1}{8} \gamma^{4}\left(\frac{3 r^{4 k}}{2 k+1}-\frac{4 \delta r^{6 k}}{3 k+1}+\frac{12 \delta^{2} r^{8 k}}{4 k+1}+\frac{3 \delta^{4} r^{12 k}}{6 k+1}\right)+o\left(r^{8 k / 3}\right)+\delta^{2} o\left(r^{20 k / 3}\right) .
$$

The limiting processes implied by the $o$-notation are independent of $\delta$. Now choose a number $\varepsilon$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\frac{\varepsilon}{2 k+1}+\frac{\varepsilon}{16 \sqrt{ } 2}<\frac{3}{8^{4}(6 k+1)} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a number $R$ depending only on $\varepsilon$ (not on $\delta$ ) satisfying $R>2 \lambda_{m}^{\prime}$ such that when $r \geqq R$

$$
\left|\phi(r)-\frac{1}{8} \gamma^{4}\left(3 r^{4 k}-4 \delta r^{6 k}+12 \delta^{2} r^{8 k}+3 \delta^{4} r^{12 k}\right)\right|<\frac{1}{8} \gamma^{4} \varepsilon\left(r^{4 k}+\delta^{3 / 2} r^{7 k}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left|\chi(r)-\frac{1}{8} \gamma^{4}\left(\frac{3 r^{4 k}}{2 k+1}-\frac{4 \delta r^{6 k}}{3 k+1}+\frac{12 \delta^{2} r^{8 k}}{4 k+1}+\frac{3 \delta^{4} r^{12 k}}{6 k+1}\right)\right|<\frac{1}{8} \gamma^{4} \varepsilon\left(\frac{r^{4 k}}{2 k+1}+\delta^{3 / 2} r^{7 k}\right)
$$

Hence, when $r \geqq R$

$$
\frac{\frac{3-\varepsilon}{2 k+1}-\frac{4 \delta r^{2 k}}{3 k+1}-\varepsilon \delta^{3 / 2} r^{3 k}+\frac{12 \delta^{2} r^{4 k}}{4 k+1}+\frac{3 \delta^{4} r^{8 k}}{6 k+1}}{3+\varepsilon-4 \delta r^{2 k}+\varepsilon \delta^{3 / 2} r^{3 k}+12 \delta^{2} r^{4 k}+3 \delta^{4} r^{8 k}}<\psi(r)<
$$

$$
<\frac{\frac{3+\varepsilon}{2 k+1}-\frac{4 \delta r^{2 k}}{3 k+1}+\varepsilon \delta^{3 / 2} r^{3 k}+\frac{12 \delta^{2} r^{4 k}}{4 k+1}+\frac{3 \delta^{4} r^{8 k}}{6 k+1}}{3-\varepsilon-4 \delta r^{2 k}-\varepsilon \delta^{3 / 2} r^{3 k}+12 \delta^{2} r^{4 k}+3 \delta^{4} r^{8 k}} .
$$

Hence, there exists a number $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ such that $0<\varepsilon^{\prime}<\frac{1}{8}$ with the property that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(r)<\frac{1}{2 k+1} \frac{3+\varepsilon}{3-\varepsilon}+\frac{\varepsilon}{16 \sqrt{ } 2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $r \geqq R$ and $\delta r^{2 k}<\varepsilon^{\prime}$. Now fix $\delta$ so small that $\delta R^{2 k}<\varepsilon^{\prime}$. Then, by (16) and the choice (15) of $\varepsilon$,

$$
\psi(R)<\frac{1}{2 k+1}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2 k+1}+\frac{\varepsilon}{16 \sqrt{ } 2}<\frac{1}{2 k+1}+\frac{3}{8^{4}(6 k+1)}<\frac{353}{352} \frac{1}{2 k+1} .
$$

Let $R^{\prime}=(8 \delta)^{-1 / 2 k}$. Then $\delta R^{\prime 2 k}=\frac{1}{8}>\varepsilon^{\prime}>\delta R^{2 k}$, so $R^{\prime}>R$ and therefore

$$
\psi\left(R^{\prime}\right)>\frac{\frac{3-\varepsilon}{2 k+1}-\frac{1}{2(3 k+1)}-\frac{\varepsilon}{16 \sqrt{ } 2}+\frac{3}{16(4 k+1)}+\frac{3}{8^{4}(6 k+1)}}{3+\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{16 \sqrt{ } 2}+\frac{3}{16}+\frac{3}{8^{4}}}
$$

By (15) and the inequality

$$
\varepsilon+\frac{\varepsilon}{16 \sqrt{ } 2}+\frac{3}{8^{4}}<\frac{1}{16}
$$

which follows from (15), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(R^{\prime}\right) & >\frac{4}{11}\left(\frac{3}{2 k+1}-\frac{1}{2(3 k+1)}+\frac{3}{16(4 k+1)}\right)> \\
& >\frac{4}{11}\left(\frac{3}{2 k+1}-\frac{1}{2(3 k+1)}+\frac{3}{32(2 k+1)}\right)= \\
& =\frac{1}{88}\left(\frac{99}{2 k+1}-\frac{16}{3 k+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $k>21,64(2 k+1)<43(3 k+1)$, from which it follows that

$$
\psi\left(R^{\prime}\right)>\frac{353}{352} \frac{1}{2 k+1}>\psi(R) .
$$

The induction is completed by taking $\lambda_{m+1}=R, \lambda_{m+1}^{\prime}=R^{\prime}$ and

$$
x_{m+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\psi\left(R^{\prime}\right)-\psi(R)\right) .
$$

By $(\alpha)$ the series $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} h_{m}$ is locally uniformly convergent in $R^{2}$. Let its sum be $h$. Then $h$ is harmonic in $R^{2}$ and for each positive integer $l$ we have by $(\gamma)$.

$$
\mathscr{Q}\left(h^{4}, \lambda_{l}^{\prime}\right)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathscr{2}\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{j}\right)^{4}, \lambda_{l}^{\prime}\right)>\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathscr{2}\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{j}\right)^{4}, \lambda_{l}\right)=\mathscr{2}\left(h^{4}, \lambda_{l}\right)
$$

Since $\lambda_{m} \rightarrow \infty, \lambda_{m}^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lambda_{m}<\lambda_{m}^{\prime}$, it follows that $\mathscr{2}\left(h^{4}, \cdot\right)$ is not decreasing on any interval $(\varrho,+\infty)$. On the other hand, by Theorem $6, \mathscr{2}\left(h^{4}, r\right) \rightarrow 0(r \rightarrow \infty)$, so $\mathscr{2}\left(h^{4}, \cdot\right)$ is not increasing on any interval $(\varrho,+\infty)$.

## References

[1] Brelot, M., 1965. Éléments de la théorie classique du potentiel. Paris: Centre de documentation universitaire.
[2] Cartan, H., 1963. Elementary theory of analytic functions of one or several complex variables. Paris: Hermann.
[3] du Plessis, N., 1970. An introduction to potential theory. Edinburgh: Oliver and Boyd.
[4] Titchmarsh, E. C., 1939. The theory of functions. Oxford: O.U.P.

Authors' addresses: D. H. Armitage, Queen's University, Belfast BT7 INN, United Kingdom; F. T. Brawn, University College, Cardiff CF1 1XL, United Kingdom.

