

Czesław Kliś

On summability in convergence groups

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 29 (1979), No. 1, 113–115

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101583>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON SUMMABILITY IN CONVERGENCE GROUPS

CZEŚLAW KLIŚ, Katowice

(Received June 1, 1977)

I. In Novák's paper "On some problems concerning convergence space and groups" (see [1]) the following problem is given:

"Is there a sequence of points of a convergence commutative group such that in each subsequence of it there is a subsequence the limit sum of which exists and another subsequence the infinite sum of which does not exist?"

An elegant example of a space containing a sequence whose subsequences have both summable and unsummable subsequences was given by C. RYLL-NARDZEWSKI. The Continuum Hypothesis was essentially used in C. Ryll-Nardzewski's example.

In the last section we give an example of a normed space without using the Continuum Hypothesis.

II. In this section we consider vector measures $m : 2^N \rightarrow L$. By an orthogonal measure (=o.m.) we mean a measure $m : 2^N \rightarrow L$ which transforms every family of disjoint, nonvoid subsets of N into a system of linearly independent vectors in L .

For each family \mathcal{A} of subsets of N we denote:

$$m(\mathcal{A}) = \text{df } \{m(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\},$$

and

$$L(\mathcal{A}) = \text{df } \text{Lin } \{m(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

By $I(A)$ we denote the family $\{B \subset A : B \text{ is an infinite subset}\}$. Let us observe that each o.m. $m : 2^N \rightarrow L$ is a monomorphism.

Lemma 1. *Let m be any o.m. and let \mathcal{A} be a finite family of disjoint nonvoid subsets of N . Then for each set $A \subset N$, the set*

$$m(I(A)) \cap L(\mathcal{A})$$

is finite.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$. Moreover, we assume that $B \subset A$, and

$$(1) \quad m(B) = a_1 m(A_1) + \dots + a_n m(A_n).$$

By the transformation (1) we obtain

$$(2) \quad \sum_i (a_i - 1) m(A_i \cap B) + \sum_i a_i m(A_i \setminus B) - m(B \setminus \bigcup_i A_i) = 0$$

where all sets are disjoint.

The orthogonality of the measure m together with (2) implies $\bigcup_i A_i \supset B$. Further, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ at least one of the sets $A_i \cap B$ or $A_i \setminus B$ is nonvoid. Hence the coefficient a_i is 0 or 1, and the number of elements of the set $m(I(A)) \cap L(\mathcal{A})$ is equal to the number of all n -element sequences of 0, 1. This proves Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. *Let m be any o.m. and let E be a subspace of L of infinite algebraic dimension. Then for each infinite set $A \subset N$ we have $\text{card } m(I(A)) \cap E \leq \dim E$.*

Proof. First we consider the case when $F \subset L$ and F is a linear space of a finite dimension. Let u_1, \dots, u_n be one of the largest collection of linearly independent vectors in $F \cap m(I(A))$.

By \mathcal{F} we denote the family of all atoms of a ring generated by $m^{-1}(u_1), \dots, m^{-1}(u_n)$. It is clear that

$$F \cap m(I(A)) \subset L(\mathcal{F}).$$

Hence Lemma 1 implies that the set $F \cap m(I(A))$ is finite. By the representation $E = \bigcup_{\mathcal{F}} F$, where \mathcal{F} is the class of all finite dimensional spaces generated by a fixed basis of E , we have

$$(3) \quad m(I(A)) \cap E = \bigcup_{\mathcal{F}} m(I(A)) \cap F$$

i.e. the set $m(I(A)) \cap E$ is a union of finite sets. The equality

$$\text{card } \mathcal{F} = \dim E$$

together with (3) yields the assertion of Lemma 2.

Let \mathcal{A} be a family of subsets of N . We say that a linear space $E \subset L$ is an m -dissection of \mathcal{A} iff for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ there are vectors $u \in E, v \notin E$ such that

$$m^{-1}(u), m^{-1}(v) \subset A.$$

Theorem. *Let $m : 2^N \rightarrow L$ be an orthogonal measure, and let \mathcal{N} be the collection of all infinite subsets of N . Then there exists a subspace $E \subset L$ which is an m -dissection of \mathcal{N} .*

Proof. Let ω denote the smallest ordinal number of a power of continuum, and let $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \omega}$ be a transfinite sequence of all members of \mathcal{N} . We use transfinite induction

to define two increasing sequences $\{E_\alpha^i\}_{\alpha > \omega}$ ($i = 1, 2$) of linear subspaces of L such that:

- (i) $E_\alpha^1 \cap E_\alpha^2 = \{0\}$,
- (ii) $\dim(E_\alpha^1 \oplus E_\alpha^2) \leq \aleph_0 + \text{card } \alpha$,
- (iii) for $i = 1, 2$ and for $\alpha > 0$ there exists $u_\alpha^i \in E_\alpha^i$ such that $m^{-1}(u_\alpha^i) \subset A_\alpha$.

Define E_0^1 as the space $\text{Lin}\{m(A) : A \text{ is a finite set}\}$, and $E_0^2 = \{0\}$.

Suppose that $0 < \alpha < \omega$ and that E_β^i , $i = 1, 2$, have been defined for each β , $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$.

Since m is a monomorphism, Lemma 2 implies that we can choose two linearly independent vectors u_α^i ($i = 1, 2$) in $m(I(A_\alpha))$, such that $\text{Lin}(u_\alpha^1, u_\alpha^2) \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} E_\beta^1 \oplus E_\beta^2 = \{0\}$. Let us define E_α^i as $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} E_\beta^i \oplus \text{Lin}(u_\alpha^i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) and assume that $E = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega} E_\alpha^i$.

It is clear that E satisfies the assertion of the theorem.

III. By μ we denote the orthogonal measure from 2^N into the Hilbert space $(l^2, \|\cdot\|_2)$, such that

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{n \in A} \frac{1}{n} e_n$$

where $(e_n)_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is an orthonormal basis of l^2 . Moreover, let $E \subset l^2$ be a μ -dissection of \mathcal{N} . Then, by virtue of Theorem, it is easy to see that each subsequence of a sequence $a_n = (1/n)e_n$ contains a subsequence which is summable in $(E, \|\cdot\|_2)$, and a subsequence which is unsummable in $(E, \|\cdot\|_2)$.

I wish to thank Dr. C. FERENS for some very helpful discussions.

References

- [1] General Topology and Its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra. Proceedings of the Kanpur Topological Conference, 1968. Academia, Publishing House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences. Prague 1971.

Author's address: Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Wiczorka 8, 40 013 Katowice, Poland.