

Blanka Kutinová; Teo Sturm

On algebraic closures of compact elements

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 29 (1979), No. 3, 359–365

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101619>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON ALGEBRAIC CLOSURES OF COMPACT ELEMENTS*)

BLANKA KUTINOVÁ, TEO STURM, Praha

(Received August 13, 1976)

It will be shown that an algebraic closure operator $f : L \rightarrow L$ on a complete lattice $\mathcal{L} = (L; \leq)$ has the following property: if $c \in L$ is compact in \mathcal{L} , then $f(c)$ is compact in $(f(L); \leq)$. If the lattice \mathcal{L} is algebraic, then $(f(L); \leq)$ is algebraic as well and an element is compact in $(f(L); \leq)$ iff it is an image by f of an element which is compact in \mathcal{L} . This implies, i.e., some well-known results concerning lattices of subalgebras and those of congruences on universal algebras.

1. Remark. We shall use the following current terminology (see [1], chap. II.1, or [2] e.g.). Let $\mathcal{L} = (L; \leq)$ be a complete lattice. A subset $A \subseteq L$ is called a *closure system* of \mathcal{L} , if for every set $X \subseteq A$, there is $\inf_{(A; \leq)} X = \inf_{\mathcal{L}} X$. If A is a closure system of \mathcal{L} , then $(A; \leq)$ is a complete lattice.

Let A be a closure system of \mathcal{L} . A mapping $f_A : L \rightarrow L$ defined by

$$f_A(x) =_{\text{Df}} \inf_{(A; \leq)} \{y \in A \mid x \leq y\} \quad (x \in L)$$

is called *closure operator* on \mathcal{L} corresponding to A [every closure operator g on \mathcal{L} corresponds to the closure system $g(L)$ of \mathcal{L}].

A closure system A is called *algebraic*, if for every non-empty chain B in $(A; \leq)$, it holds $\sup_{(A; \leq)} B = \sup_{\mathcal{L}} B$. A closure operator is called *algebraic*, if the corresponding closure system is an algebraic one.

An element $c \in L$ is called *compact* in L , if every set $X \subseteq L$ such that $c \leq \sup_{\mathcal{L}} X$, contains a finite subset $X' \subseteq X$ with $c \leq \sup_{\mathcal{L}} X'$. We say that a set B *generates* \mathcal{L} *by joins*, if for every element $x \in L$ there exists a set $B(x) \subseteq B$ such that $x = \sup_{\mathcal{L}} B(x)$. A complete lattice \mathcal{L} is called *algebraic*, if the set of all its compact elements generates it by joins.

*) This paper has originated at the seminar "Algebraic Foundations of Quantum Theories", directed by Prof. Jiří FÁBERA.

2. Theorem. Let A be an algebraic closure system of a complete lattice $\mathcal{L} = (L; \leq)$. If c is compact in \mathcal{L} , then $f_A(c)$ is compact in $(A; \leq)$.

Proof. Let $f_A(c)$ be not compact in $(A; \leq)$. Then there exists at least one set X satisfying the following condition:

$$(1) \quad X \subseteq A, f_A(c) \leq \sup_{(A; \leq)} X; \text{ no finite subset } X' \subseteq X \text{ satisfies relation } f_A(c) \leq \sup_{(A; \leq)} X'.$$

Among all sets X satisfying condition (1), consider the sets with the smallest cardinality; choose one of them and denote it by Y . The set Y is infinite by (1). Following the Axiom of Choice, there exists such a well-ordering \leq , that the ordinal type of $(Y; \leq)$ is the initial ordinal of the cardinality $|Y|$. For every $y \in Y$ put

$$z(y) =_{\text{Df}} \sup_{(A; \leq)} \{x \mid x \in X, x \leq y\}.$$

Then for $y_1, y_2 \in Y, y_1 \leq y_2$, there is $z(y_1) \leq z(y_2)$, hence the set $Z =_{\text{Df}} \{z(y) \mid y \in Y\}$ together with \leq forms a chain. With respect to the algebraicity of A , we get

$$\sup_{\mathcal{L}} Z = \sup_{(A; \leq)} Z.$$

Since for every $y \in L$ there is $\{x \mid x \in Y, x \leq y\} \subseteq Y$, then $z(y) \leq \sup_{(A; \leq)} Y$. This implies the relation $\sup_{(A; \leq)} Z \leq \sup_{(A; \leq)} Y$. On the other hand, there is for every $y \in Y, y \in \{x \mid x \in Y, x \leq y\}$, hence $y \leq z(y)$.

It yields

$$\sup_{\mathcal{L}} Z = \sup_{(A; \leq)} Z = \sup_{(A; \leq)} Y.$$

Following the choice of Y we have $f_A(c) \leq \sup_{(A; \leq)} Y$, thus $f_A(c) \leq \sup_{\mathcal{L}} Z$, as well. There is $c \leq f_A(c)$, hence $c \leq \sup_{\mathcal{L}} Z$. The element c is compact in \mathcal{L} ; then there exists a finite set $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subseteq Y$ with $c \leq \sup_{\mathcal{L}} \{z(y_1), \dots, z(y_n)\}$. Moreover, $(Z; \leq)$ being a chain, $\{z(y_1), \dots, z(y_n)\}$ contains the greatest element with respect to \leq ; denotes it by $z(y_i)$. It holds

$$(2) \quad c \leq \sup_{\mathcal{L}} \{z(y_1), \dots, z(y_n)\} = z(y_i) = \sup_{(A; \leq)} \{x \mid x \in Y, x \leq y_i\}.$$

f_A is a closure operator, specially it is an isotonic mapping of \mathcal{L} to \mathcal{L} . This fact together with (2) yields

$$(3) \quad f_A(c) \leq f_A(\sup_{(A; \leq)} \{x \mid x \in Y, x \leq y_i\}) = \sup_{(A; \leq)} \{x \mid x \in Y, x \leq y_i\}$$

(the elements of A are fixed by f_A). By assumption, the ordinal type of the chain $(Y; \leq)$ is the smallest one of the cardinality $|Y|$; hence the cardinality of a proper

section $\{x \in Y \mid x \preceq y\}$ is strictly smaller than $|Y|$. Following (3) and the choice of Y [as a set with the smallest cardinality satisfying (1)], one can choose a finite subset $Y' \subseteq \{x \in Y \mid x \preceq y_i\}$ with $f_A(c) \preceq \sup_{(A; \preceq)} Y'$. However, Y' being a finite subset of Y , $f_A(c)$ does not satisfy condition (1). This contradiction yields the compacticity of $f_A(c)$ in $(A; \preceq)$. The theorem is proved.

3. Lemma. (WARD). Let $\mathcal{L} = (L; \preceq)$ be a complete lattice and let $f : L \rightarrow L$ be a closure operator on \mathcal{L} . Then

$$f(\sup_{\mathcal{L}} X) = \sup_{(f(L); \preceq)} X$$

for every $X \subseteq f(L)$.

Proof. See [5], p. 76, Theorem 15 e.g.*). [See also [6], Section 1.6.]

4. Lemma. Let A be a closure system of a complete lattice $\mathcal{L} = (L; \preceq)$. If a set B generates \mathcal{L} by joins, then $f_A(B)$ generates by joins the complete lattice $(A; \preceq)$.

Proof. For every $x \in L$, there exists, $B(x) \subseteq B$ such that $x = \sup_{\mathcal{L}} B(x)$. The mapping $f_A : L \rightarrow L$ is a closure operator in \mathcal{L} , hence it holds

$$x = \sup_{\mathcal{L}} B(x) \preceq \sup_{\mathcal{L}} f_A(B(x)) \preceq f_A(\sup_{\mathcal{L}} f_A(B(x))).$$

This yields

$$f_A(x) \preceq f_A f_A(\sup_{\mathcal{L}} f_A(B(x))) = f_A(\sup_{\mathcal{L}} f_A(B(x))) = \sup_{(A; \preceq)} f_A(B(x))$$

[the latest equality holds following Section 3: it is $A = f_A(L)$].

On the other hand, there is $y \preceq x$ for every $y \in B(x)$, thus $f_A(y) \preceq f_A(x)$, as well; hence

$$\sup_{\mathcal{L}} f_A(B(x)) \preceq f_A(x).$$

Since f_A is isotonic and $f_A f_A = f_A$, we get, by Section 3, the inequality

$$\sup_{(A; \preceq)} f_A(B(x)) = f_A(\sup_{\mathcal{L}} f_A(B(x))) \preceq f_A f_A(x) = f_A(x).$$

5. Theorem. Let $\mathcal{L} = (L; \preceq)$ be an algebraic lattice and let A be an algebraic closure system of \mathcal{L} . Then $(A; \preceq)$ is an algebraic lattice.

Proof follows immediately from Sections 2 and 4: Let C denote the set of compact elements in \mathcal{L} . Then C generates \mathcal{L} by joins and, following Lemma 4, $f_A(C)$ generates $(A; \preceq)$ by joins. Moreover, all elements of $f_A(C)$ are compact in $(A; \preceq)$ by Theorem 2.

*) It is easy to prove a more general statement: Let $\mathcal{L} = (L; \preceq)$ be a complete lattice and let $f : L \rightarrow L$ be a closure operator on \mathcal{L} . Then $f(\sup_{\mathcal{L}} X) = \sup_{(f(L); \preceq)} f(X)$ for every $X \subseteq L$.

6. Remark. Let $\mathcal{L} = (L; \leq)$ be a complete lattice and let f_A be an algebraic closure operator on \mathcal{L} . The converse of this statement is, in general, not true, since lattice $(A; \leq)$ could contain some compact elements, which are not f_A -images of any compact element in \mathcal{L} :

Consider the closed interval $L = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ in the set of real numbers with the usual ordering, $A = \{0, 1\}$. Then A is an algebraic system of closed elements in \mathcal{L} and

$$f_A(0) = 0, \quad f_A(x) = 1 \quad \text{for every } x \in (0, 1).$$

Further, 1 is compact in $(A; \leq)$ although no element of $(0, 1)$ is compact in \mathcal{L} . As shown in the following statements 7–9, the converse of Theorem 2 is, all the same, in some special case, true.

7. Theorem. Let $\mathcal{L} = (L; \leq)$ be a complete lattice, $f : L \rightarrow L$ of a closure operator on \mathcal{L} and let $(S; \leq)$ be a join subsemilattice in the join semilattice \mathcal{L} . Further, let c denote a compact element of $(f(L); \leq)$ and let there exist $C \subseteq S$ with $c = f(\sup_{\mathcal{L}} C)$. Then there exists $s \in S$ such that $c = f(s)$.

Proof. Denote by $A =_{\text{Df}} f(L)$, $d =_{\text{Df}} \sup_{\mathcal{L}} C$. There is $x \leq f(x)$ for every $x \in C$, hence $d \leq \sup_{\mathcal{L}} f(C)$. This yields, with respect to Lemma 3, the inequality

$$c = f(d) \leq f(\sup_{\mathcal{L}} f(C)) = \sup_{(A; \leq)} f(C).$$

Since c is compact in $(A; \leq)$, then there exists a finite non-empty set $C' \subseteq C$ such that $c \leq \sup_{(A; \leq)} f(C')$. Denoting by $s =_{\text{Df}} \sup_{\mathcal{L}} C'$, there is $s \in S$ since $(S; \leq)$ is a join subsemilattice in \mathcal{L} . Moreover, for every $x \in C'$, there is $f(x) \leq f(s)$, hence

$$c \leq \sup_{(A; \leq)} f(C') = f(\sup_{\mathcal{L}} (f(C'))) \leq ff(s) = f(s).$$

On the other hand, there is

$$s = \sup_{\mathcal{L}} C' \leq \sup_{\mathcal{L}} C = d$$

and, following,

$$f(s) \leq f(d) = c.$$

8. Lemma. Let $\mathcal{L} = (L; \leq)$ be a complete lattice and let C be the set of all compact elements in \mathcal{L} . Then $(C; \leq)$ is a join subsemilattice of the join semilattice \mathcal{L} .

Proof follows immediately from the definition of a compact element.

9. Theorem. Let $\mathcal{L} = (L; \leq)$ be an algebraic lattice and let A be an algebraic closure system of \mathcal{L} . Then an element c is compact in $(A; \leq)$ iff it is a f_A -image of a compact element in \mathcal{L} . [See also [9], Theorem 4.3.]

Proof. The statement is a direct consequence of Section 2, 7 and 8.

10. Remark. Let us denote by $E(A)$ the set of all equivalences on a given set A , i.e.

$$E(A) = \{\sigma \subseteq A \times A \mid \text{id}_A \subseteq \sigma, \sigma = \sigma^{-1}, \sigma\sigma \subseteq \sigma\}$$

(where $\text{id}_A = \{(x, x) \mid x \in A\}$ denotes the identity relation on A). It is well-known that $(E(A); \subseteq)$ is an algebraic lattice and that $\sigma \in E(A)$ is compact in $(E(A); \subseteq)$ iff it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) *The set of all classes of A/σ with at least two points, is finite.*
- (ii) *Every class of A/σ is finite.*

It is obvious that $(\text{exp } A; \subseteq)$ is also an algebraic lattice, all the compact elements of which are precisely finite subsets of A .

11. Remark. Let $(A; F)$ be a universal algebra with the support A and the set F of operations with finite arity on A . Denote by $S(A; F)$ the set of all subalgebras of $(A; F)$ and by $K(A; F)$ the set of all congruences on $(A; F)$. It is well-known that $S(A; F)$ is an algebraic closure system of $(\text{exp } A; \subseteq)$ (the corresponding closure operator will be denoted by f) and that $K(A; F)$ is an algebraic closure system of $(E(A); \subseteq)$ (the corresponding closure operator will be denoted by g); see [1], chap. II.5 and II.6, e.g. This yields, with respect to Theorem 5, the well-known result that the lattices $(S(A; F); \subseteq)$ and $(K(A; F); \subseteq)$ are algebraic. Following Theorem 9 and Remark 10, the sets of all compact elements of those lattices are

$$f(\{X \in \text{exp } A \mid |X| < \aleph_0\}),$$

$$g(\{\sigma \in E(A) \mid \sigma \text{ satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of Section 7}\}).$$

12. Remark. If we consider the usual category of ordered sets, then the theory analogous to the theory of lattices of congruences on universal algebra, is the theory of kernels** of isotonic mappings – see [3], [4].

Let $(A; \leq)$ be an ordered set. The $G(A; \leq)$ denotes the set of all kernels of isotonic mappings defined on $(A; \leq)$. There is proved, in paper [3], Section 22, that $G(A; \leq)$ is an algebraic closure system of the algebraic lattice $(E(A); \subseteq)$. Then, by Sections 5 and 10 of other present paper, $(G(A; \leq); \subseteq)$ is an algebraic lattice. (This result is obtained, in [4] Section 30, by an other way.)

* If $A = \emptyset$, then A/σ has the usual sens; see [1], Chap. I.3. e.g. If $A = \emptyset$, then $\sigma = \emptyset$ and it is natural to define $A/\sigma = \{\emptyset\}$ (see [3], Section 4).

** If $g: X \rightarrow Y$, then the kernel $\ker g$ of the mapping g is this equivalence on X , which is defined by

$$(x, y) \in \ker g \Leftrightarrow_{\text{Df}} x, y \in X \text{ and } g(x) = g(y).$$

By Sections 18, 45 and 49 of [3], the closure operator

$$\sigma \mapsto \sigma_{\leq} \quad [\sigma \in E(A)]$$

corresponding to the algebraic closure system $G(A; \leq)$ of $(E(A); \subseteq)$, is given by

$$(4) \quad \leq_{\sigma} =_{\text{Df}} \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma \circ (\leq \circ \sigma)^n, \quad \sigma_{\leq} =_{\text{Df}} \leq_{\sigma} \cap (\leq_{\sigma})^{-1}.$$

This imply, with respect to Section 10, that the images of compact elements in $(E(A); \subseteq)$ by the closure operator $\sigma \mapsto \sigma_{\leq}$ are precisely those equivalences $\tau \in G(A; \leq)$, which satisfy the following three conditions:

(iii) For every $X \in A/\tau$, the set of all elements of X , which are either maximum or minimum in $(X; \leq)$, is finite.

(iv) For every $X \in A/\tau$, every maximal chain in the ordered set $(X; \leq)$ has both upper and lower bound.

(v) The set of elements of A/τ having at least two elements, is finite.

Suppose $\tau \in G(A; \leq)$ satisfy conditions (iii)–(v). Denoting by $\mathcal{T} =_{\text{Df}} \{X \in A/\tau \mid 1 < |X|\}$ and by X^* the set of all both maximal and minimal elements in $(X; \leq)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{T}$, put

$$\tau^* =_{\text{Df}} \text{id}_A \cup \bigcup \{X^* \times X^* \mid X \in \mathcal{T}\}.$$

Then τ^* is compact in $(E(A); \subseteq)$. Moreover, (4) yields $\tau_{\leq}^* = \tau$. The proof of the fact, that every image of a compact element in $(E(A); \subseteq)$ by the closure operator $\sigma \mapsto \sigma_{\leq}$ satisfies conditions (iii)–(v), is easy and it is left to the reader [use (i), (ii) and (4)].

Hence, from this and with respect to Theorem 9, it follows that the compact elements in $(G(A; \leq); \subseteq)$ are fully characterized by conditions (iii)–(v); this is also proved, by different way, in paper [4], Section 28.

13. Remark. Let V be a linear space over a lineary ordered field T . It is obvious that the system \mathcal{X} of all convex subsets of V is an algebraic closure system of $(\text{exp } V; \subseteq)$. Hence $(\mathcal{X}; \subseteq)$ is an algebraic lattice (see Section 5 and 10) and $M \in \mathcal{X}$ is compact in $(\mathcal{X}; \subseteq)$ iff it is a simplex, i.e. a convex hull of a finite subset of V (see Section 9 and 10).

14. Remark.*) This work appears with a great delay. Some papers that follow resulty here achieved have been yet published or will be published almost simultaneously. We would like to mention above all the works [6], [7] and [9] where the sentences 2, 5, 7 and 9 are generalized by different ways, and the paper [8], dealing – among others – with characterization of m -compact elements in $(G(A; \leq); \subseteq)$ for arbitrary infinite cardinal m .

*) Included after the final version.

References

- [1] *Cohn, P. M.*: Universal algebra. London—New York, Harper & Row. 1965.
- [2] *Grätzer, G.*: Universal algebra. Princeton, Van Nostrand 1968.
- [3] *Sturm, T.*: Verbände von Kernen isotoner Abbildungen. Czech. Math. J. 22 (1972), 126—144.
- [4] *Sturm, T.*: On the lattices of kernels of isotonic mappings II. Czech. Math. J. 27 (1977), 258—295.
- [5] *Szász, G.*: Einführung in die Verbandstheorie. Leipzig, Teubner Verlag 1962.
- [6] *Ryšlinková, J.*: On m -algebraic closures of n -compact elements. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 19, 4 (1978), 743—754.*
- [7] *Ryšlinková, J. - Sturm, T.*: Two closure operators which preserve m -compactness. Warsaw, Banach Center Publications, vol. Algebra and applications. (To appear.)*
- [8] *Ryšlinková, J.*: The characterization of m -compact elements in some lattices. Czech. Math. J. 29 (1979), 252—267.*
- [9] *Sturm, T.*: On closure operators which preserve m -compactness. (To appear.)*

Author's address: Blanka Kutinová, Spálená 51, 113 02 Praha 1, ČSSR (SNTL - Nakladatelství technické literatury); Teo Sturm, Suchbátarova 2, 166 27 Praha 6, ČSSR (Elektrotechnická fakulta ČVUT).

*) Included after the final version.