

Bedřich Pondělíček

On representations of tolerance ordered commutative semigroups

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 31 (1981), No. 1, 153–158

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101732>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1981

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON REPRESENTATIONS OF TOLERANCE ORDERED
COMMUTATIVE SEMIGROUPS

BEDŘICH PONDĚLÍČEK, Praha

(Received Dezember 7, 1979)

In this paper we shall give an algebraic representation and a categorial representation of tolerance ordered commutative semigroups. This investigation was started by V. Trnková [1] and [2] who considered the representations of non-ordered commutative semigroups. In [3] J. Adámek and V. Koubek studied the representations of ordered commutative semigroups.

By a *tolerance ordered commutative semigroup* $\langle S, +, \leq, \sim \rangle$ we mean an ordered commutative semigroup $\langle S, +, \leq \rangle$ on which there exists a *tolerance* (i.e., reflexive and symmetric) relation \sim satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) If $x \sim u$ and $y \sim v$, then $x + y \sim u + v$.
- (2) If $x \sim y$, $x \leq u$ and $y \leq v$, then $u \sim v$.

Let $\mathcal{S} = \langle S, +, \leq, \sim \rangle$, $\mathcal{P} = \langle P, +, \leq, \approx \rangle$ be two tolerance ordered commutative semigroups. A mapping $h : S \rightarrow P$ is said to be an *isomorphic mapping of \mathcal{S} into \mathcal{P}* if h is an injective homomorphism of the semigroup $\langle S, + \rangle$ into the semigroup $\langle P, + \rangle$ satisfying the following conditions for $x, y \in S$:

- (3) $x \leq y$ if and only if $h(x) \leq h(y)$;
- (4) $x \sim y$ if and only if $h(x) \approx h(y)$.

We shall say that \mathcal{S} is a *tolerance ordered subsemigroup of \mathcal{P}* (write $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$) if $S \subseteq P$ and the embedding of S into P is an isomorphic mapping of \mathcal{S} into \mathcal{P} .

Proposition 1. *Let a, b be two elements of a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \langle S, +, \leq, \sim \rangle$ such that $a \sim b$. Then there exists a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup $\mathcal{P} = \langle P, +, \leq, \approx \rangle$ with $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $\text{card } P = \aleph_0 \cdot \text{card } S$ such that $z \leq a$, $z \leq b$ for some $z \in P$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S} = \langle S, +, \leq, \sim \rangle$ be a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup and let $a, b \in S$ and $a \sim b$. By N we denote the additive semigroup of non-negative integers. We can suppose that $0 \in N \setminus S$. Put $Z = S \cup \{0\}$ with $x + 0 = x = 0 + x$ for all $x \in Z$. Define $0 \leq 0$ and $0 \sim 0$ and suppose that there exists no element x of S such that either $0 \leq x$ or $x \leq 0$ or $0 \sim x$. It is easy to show that $\langle Z, +, \leq, \sim \rangle$

is a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup. Put $P = Z \times N$. Evidently, $\text{card } P = \aleph_0 \cdot \text{card } S$.

Define an operation $+$ in $P : (s, m) + (t, n) = (s + t, m + n)$ for $s, t \in Z$ and $m, n \in N$. It is clear that $\langle P, + \rangle$ is a commutative semigroup. For any $s \in S$ we put $\varphi(s) = (s, 0)$. Then φ is an isomorphic mapping of the semigroup $\langle S, + \rangle$ into the semigroup $\langle P, + \rangle$.

Define a relation \preceq on $P : (s, m) \preceq (t, n)$ for $s, t \in Z$ and $m, n \in N$ if and only if $m = m_1 + m_2 + n$ and $s + m_1 a + m_2 b \preceq t$ for some $m_1, m_2 \in N$. (Notice that $0x = 0$ and $kx = (k - 1)x + x$ for $x \in Z$ and $k - 1 \in N$.) It is clear that the relation \preceq is reflexive. We shall show that \preceq is transitive. Let $s, t, u \in Z, m, n, p \in N, (s, m) \preceq (t, n)$ and $(t, n) \preceq (u, p)$. Then $m = m_1 + m_2 + n, s + m_1 a + m_2 b \preceq t, n = n_1 + n_2 + p$ and $t + n_1 a + n_2 b \preceq u$ for some $m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \in N$. Hence we have $m = (m_1 + n_1) + (m_2 + n_2) + p, s + (m_1 + n_1) a + (m_2 + n_2) b \preceq u$ and so $(s, m) \preceq (u, p)$. Now we shall prove that the relation \preceq is antisymmetric. Suppose that $(s, m) \preceq (t, n)$ and $(t, n) \preceq (s, m)$, where $s, t \in Z$ and $m, n \in N$. Then $m = m_1 + m_2 + n, s + m_1 a + m_2 b \preceq t, n = n_1 + n_2 + m$ and $t + n_1 a + n_2 b \preceq s$ for some $m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \in N$. Hence we have $m_1 = m_2 = n_1 = n_2 = 0$ and so $m = n, s = t$. Therefore, $(s, m) = (t, n)$. Finally, we shall show that the order \preceq is compatible with $+$. Let $(s, m), (t, n), (u, p) \in P$ and $(s, m) \preceq (t, n)$. Then $m = m_1 + m_2 + n$ and $s + m_1 a + m_2 b \preceq t$ for some $m_1, m_2 \in N$. Hence we have $m + p = m_1 + m_2 + (n + p), (s + u) + m_1 a + m_2 b \preceq t + u$ and so $(s, m) + (u, p) \preceq (t, n) + (u, p)$. Thus $\langle P, +, \preceq \rangle$ is an ordered commutative semigroup. It is easy to show that for $s, t \in S$ we have $s \preceq t$ if and only if $\varphi(s) = (s, 0) \preceq (t, 0) = \varphi(t)$. This implies that φ is an isomorphic mapping of the ordered semigroup $\langle S, +, \preceq \rangle$ into the ordered semigroup $\langle P, +, \preceq \rangle$.

Define a relation \approx on $P : (s, m) \approx (t, n)$ for $s, t \in Z$ and $m, n \in N$ if and only if there exist $(s_1, p), (t_1, p) \in P$ such that $(s_1, p) \preceq (s, m), (t_1, p) \preceq (t, n)$ and $s_1 \sim t_1$. Clearly, \approx is a tolerance relation on P . We shall show that \approx is compatible with $+$ (i.e., \approx satisfies (1)). Let $(s, m), (t, n), (u, p), (v, r) \in P$ and $(s, m) \approx (t, n), (u, p) \approx (v, r)$. Then there exist $(s_1, k), (t_1, k), (u_1, l), (v_1, l) \in P$ such that $(s_1, k) \preceq (s, m), (t_1, k) \preceq (t, n), (u_1, l) \preceq (u, p), (v_1, l) \preceq (v, r), s_1 \sim t_1$ and $u_1 \sim v_1$. Hence we have $(s_1 + u_1, k + l) \preceq (s + u, m + p), (t_1 + v_1, k + l) \preceq (t + v, n + r), s_1 + u_1 \sim t_1 + v_1$ and so $(s, m) + (u, p) \approx (t, n) + (v, r)$. It is easy to show that the relation \approx satisfies (2) and so $\langle P, +, \preceq, \approx \rangle$ is a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup. Now we shall prove that for $s, t \in S$ we have $s \sim t$ if and only if $(s, 0) \approx (t, 0)$. Evidently, $s \sim t$ implies that $(s, 0) \approx (t, 0)$. Suppose that $(s, 0) \approx (t, 0)$. Then there exist $(s_1, k), (t_1, k) \in P$ such that $(s_1, k) \preceq (s, 0), (t_1, k) \preceq (t, 0)$ and $s_1 \sim t_1$. This implies that $k = k_1 + k_2 + k_3$ for some $k_1, k_2, k_3 \in N$ such that either

$$x = s_1 + k_1 a + k_2 a + k_3 b \preceq s, \quad y = t_1 + k_1 a + k_2 b + k_3 b \preceq t$$

or

$$x = s_1 + k_1 a + k_2 b + k_3 b \preceq s, \quad y = t_1 + k_1 a + k_2 a + k_3 b \preceq t.$$

Since by hypothesis $a \sim b$, we have $x \sim y$ and so $s \sim t$. Hence φ is an isomorphic mapping of the tolerance ordered semigroup S into the tolerance ordered semigroup P . We put $z = (0, 1)$. It is clear that $z \preceq (a, 0) = \varphi(a)$ and $z \preceq (b, 0) = \varphi(b)$. This concludes the proof.

Let $\langle Q, +, \preceq \rangle$ be an arbitrary ordered commutative semigroup. We can define a compatible tolerance \approx on Q in a natural way. For $x, y \in Q$ we put $x \approx y$ if and only if there exists $z \in Q$ such that $z \preceq x$ and $z \preceq y$. Clearly, $\langle Q, +, \preceq, \approx \rangle$ is a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup. We shall write $\approx = \tau(\preceq)$.

Proposition 2. *For every tolerance ordered commutative semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \langle S, +, \preceq, \sim \rangle$ there exists a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup $\mathcal{Q} = \langle Q, +, \preceq, \tau(\preceq) \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ and $\text{card } Q = \aleph_0 \cdot \text{card } S$.*

The proof is a simple adaptation of the proof of Theorem 1.3 [3] and proceeds in two steps by iterating Proposition 1.

(I). For \mathcal{S} there exists a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup $\mathcal{S}^* = \langle S^*, +, \preceq, \approx \rangle$ with $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^*$, $\text{card } S^* = \aleph_0 \cdot \text{card } S$ and whenever $x \sim y$ in S (!), then exists z in \mathcal{S}^* such that $z \preceq x$ and $z \preceq y$.

Proof. By C we denote the set of all couples (x, y) in \mathcal{S} with $x \sim y$ (i.e., $C = \sim$ on S) and we choose a bijective mapping $m: \alpha \rightarrow C$, where $\alpha = \text{card } C$. Define a chain of semigroups $\mathcal{S}_i = \langle S_i, +, \preceq, \sim \rangle$, where i is an ordinal $< \alpha$, i.e., $i \in \alpha$. Put $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}$. Given \mathcal{S}_i , then according to Proposition 1 there exists a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup \mathcal{S}_{i+1} with respect to the couple $m(i) = (x, y)$ in S such that $\mathcal{S}_i \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{i+1}$, $\text{card } S_{i+1} = \aleph_0 \cdot \text{card } S_i$ and $z \preceq x, z \preceq y$ for some $z \in S_{i+1}$. Given $\mathcal{S}_j, j < i$, for a limit ordinal i , we put $S_i = \bigcup_{j < i} S_j$. This is a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup \mathcal{S}_i ; $+$, \preceq and \sim are defined in the obvious inductive way. The tolerance ordered commutative semigroup \mathcal{S}^* with $S^* = \bigcup_{i < \alpha} S_i$ satisfies the condition (I).

(II). Using the symbol $*$ as in (I) we define a sequence of tolerance ordered commutative semigroups $\mathcal{Q}_n = \langle Q_n, +, \preceq, \sim \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{Q}_0 = \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{n+1} = (\mathcal{Q}_n)^*$ for any $n \in N$. We can prove by an analogous argument as in (I) that $\mathcal{Q} = \langle Q, +, \preceq, \approx \rangle$ with $Q = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n$ is a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup, $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ and $\text{card } Q = \aleph_0 \cdot \text{card } S$. We shall show that $\approx = \tau(\preceq)$. It follows from (2) that $\tau(\preceq) \subseteq \approx$. Let $x \approx y$ in \mathcal{Q} . Then $x \sim y$ in \mathcal{Q}_n for some $n \in N$ and so there exists z in \mathcal{Q}_{n+1} such that $z \preceq x$ and $z \preceq y$. Therefore $x \tau(\preceq) y$ in \mathcal{Q} and thus we have $\mathcal{Q} = \langle Q, +, \preceq, \tau(\preceq) \rangle$.

Now, we shall prove an algebraic representational result. Let α be an arbitrary cardinal. Denote by N^α the additive semigroup of all functions $f: \alpha \rightarrow N$, and by $\text{exp } N^\alpha$ the set of all non-void subsets of N^α . For $A, B \in \text{exp } N^\alpha$ we put $A + B = \{f + g; f \in A \text{ and } g \in B\}$. Then $\langle \text{exp } N^\alpha, +, \subseteq, \tau(\subseteq) \rangle = \mathcal{N}_\alpha$ is a tolerance

ordered commutative semigroup (via inclusion). It is clear that $A \tau(\subseteq) B$ if and only if $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 1. (\mathcal{N}_α are universal tolerance ordered commutative semigroups.)
 For every tolerance ordered commutative semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \langle S, +, \preceq, \sim \rangle$ there exists an isomorphic mapping h of \mathcal{S} into \mathcal{N}_α , where $\alpha = \aleph_0 \cdot \text{card } S$.

Proof. Given \mathcal{S} , then according to Proposition 2 there exists a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup $\mathcal{Q} = \langle Q, +, \preceq, \tau(\subseteq) \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$. It follows from the theorems of 1.3 [3] that $\langle Q, +, \preceq \rangle$ is an ordered subsemigroup of an ordered semigroup $\langle R, +, \preceq \rangle$. There exists an injective homomorphism h of $\langle R, + \rangle$ into $\langle \exp N^\alpha, + \rangle$, where $\alpha = \aleph_0 \cdot \text{card } Q = \aleph_0 \cdot \text{card } S$, such that $x \preceq y$, if and only if $h(x) \subseteq h(y)$ for any $x, y \in R$. If $x \tau(\subseteq) y$ in R , then there is $z \in R$ such that $z \preceq x$ and $z \preceq y$ and so $h(z) \subseteq h(x)$ and $h(z) \subseteq h(y)$. Then $h(z) \subseteq h(x) \cap h(y) \neq \emptyset$ and so $h(x) \tau(\subseteq) h(y)$ in $\exp N^\alpha$. Conversely, if $h(x) \cap h(y) \neq \emptyset$ then it follows from the construction of h in the second theorem of 1.3 [3] that there is $z \in R$ such that $h(z) \subseteq h(x) \cap h(y)$. Then $z \preceq x$ and $z \preceq y$. Putting $\mathcal{R} = \langle R, +, \preceq, \tau(\subseteq) \rangle$ we see that h is an isomorphic mapping of \mathcal{R} into \mathcal{N}_α . To prove our theorem, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$.

It is clear that $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ if and only if $\tau(\subseteq) \cap (Q \times Q) \subseteq \tau(\subseteq)$. By way of contradiction, we assume that there exist $a, b \in Q$ such that $a \tau(\subseteq) b$ and a non $\tau(\subseteq) b$. Putting $W = \{w \in R; w \preceq a \text{ and } w \preceq b\}$ we obtain that

$$(5) \quad W \neq \emptyset = W \cap Q.$$

It follows from part (II) of the first theorem of 1.3 [3] that $R = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n$, where $R_0 = Q$ and $R_n \subseteq R_{n+1}$ for any $n \in N$. According to (5) there exists $m \in N$ such that

$$(6) \quad W \cap R_{m+1} \neq \emptyset = R_m \cap W.$$

By part (I) of the first theorem of 1.3 [3] we have $R_{m+1} = \bigcup_{i < \alpha} Q_i$ for a certain ordinal α , where $Q_0 = R_m$ and $Q_i \subseteq Q_j$ for arbitrary ordinals $i \leq j < \alpha$. It follows from (6) that there exists an ordinal β such that $0 < \beta < \alpha$, $W \cap Q_\beta \neq \emptyset$ and $W \cap Q_i = \emptyset$ for any ordinal $i < \beta$. If β is a limit number, then it follows from (I) of 1.3 [3] that $Q_\beta = \bigcup_{i < \beta} Q_i$ and so $W \cap Q_j \neq \emptyset$ for some $j < \beta$, which is a contradiction. If β is an isolated number, then there exists an ordinal γ such that $\beta = \gamma + 1$. It is clear that $a, b \in Q_\gamma$. Since $W \cap Q_\beta \neq \emptyset$, we have $z \preceq a$, $z \preceq b$ for some $z \in Q_\beta$. It follows from (c) of 1.2 [3] that $x \preceq a$, $x \preceq b$ for some $x \in Q_\gamma$, and so $W \cap Q_\gamma \neq \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. Consequently, $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$. Since $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$, we have $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$.

Note 1. Putting $\sim = \tau(\subseteq)$ in Theorem 1 we obtain Adámek-Koubek's Theorem (see [3]):

For every ordered commutative semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \langle S, +, \preceq \rangle$ there exists an

injective homomorphism h of $\langle S, + \rangle$ into $\langle \exp N^\alpha, + \rangle$ ($\alpha = \aleph_0 \cdot \text{card } S$) such that $x \leq y$ if and only if $h(x) \subseteq h(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$.

By a tolerance commutative semigroup $\langle S, +, \sim \rangle$ we mean a commutative semigroup $\langle S, + \rangle$ on which there exists a tolerance relation \sim satisfying the condition (1).

Corollary 1. For every tolerance commutative semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \langle S, +, \sim \rangle$ there exists an injective homomorphism h of $\langle S, + \rangle$ into $\langle \exp N^\alpha, + \rangle$ ($\alpha = \aleph_0 \cdot \text{card } S$) such that $x \sim y$ if and only if $h(x) \cap h(y) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x, y \in S$.

The proof follows from Theorem 1 when we put $\leq = \text{id}_S$.

Note 2. It is clear that $\text{id}_S = \tau(\text{id}_S)$ and so Theorem 1 implies Trnková's Theorem (see [1]):

For every commutative semigroup \mathcal{S} there exists an injective homomorphism h of \mathcal{S} into $\langle \exp N^\alpha, + \rangle$ ($\alpha = \aleph_0 \cdot \text{card } S$) such that $x \neq y$ if and only if $h(x) \cap h(y) = \emptyset$.

Finally, we shall show a categorial representation of tolerance ordered commutative semigroups.

Let \mathcal{K} be a category. Denote by \coprod (or \vee) the sum and by \prod (or \times) the product of objects in \mathcal{K} . We write $A \cong B$ if A, B are isomorphic objects. An object A is said to be a summand of an object B if $A \vee X \cong B$ holds for an object X . We shall say that objects A and B have a common nontrivial summand if there exist objects C, X and Y such that $A \cong C \vee X, B \cong C \vee Y$ and C is not isomorphic to a sum of the empty collection.

A category \mathcal{K} is said to be *distributive* if it has all sums and finite products and if any collections $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{B_j\}_{j \in J}$ of objects satisfy

$$\left(\prod_{i \in I} A_i \right) \times \left(\prod_{j \in J} B_j \right) \cong \prod_{(i,j) \in I \times J} A_i \times B_j.$$

(See [2].)

Let A be an object in a distributive category. By A^0 we mean a product of the empty collection. Put $A^{n+1} = A^n \times A$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A collection $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ of objects in a distributive category \mathcal{K} is said to be *t-independent* if the following implication holds.

Let $f_j \in N^I$ ($j \in J$) and $g_k \in N^I$ ($k \in K$). If the objects $\prod_{j \in J} \prod_{i \in I} A_i^{f_j(i)}, \prod_{k \in K} \prod_{i \in I} A_i^{g_k(i)}$ have a common nontrivial summand, then $f_a = g_b$ for some $a \in J$ and some $b \in K$.

Theorem 2. If a distributive category \mathcal{K} with products has arbitrarily large *t-independent* collections of objects, then for every tolerance ordered commutative semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \langle S, +, \leq, \sim \rangle$ there exists a collection $\{T_s\}$ ($s \in S$) of *S-indexed* objects in \mathcal{K} such that for $x, y \in S$ we have

- (i) $T_x \not\cong T_y$ if $x \neq y$;
- (ii) $T_x \times T_y \cong T_{x+y}$;

- (iii) T_x is a summand of T_y if and only if $x \leq y$;
- (iv) T_x, T_y have a common nontrivial summand if and only if $x \sim y$.

Proof. Put $\alpha = \aleph_0 \cdot \text{card } S$. Then there exists a t-independent collection $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ of objects in \mathcal{K} , where $\alpha \leq \text{card } I$. It follows from Theorem 1 that there exists an isomorphic mapping of \mathcal{S} into \mathcal{N}_α . It is easy to show that there exists an isomorphic mapping of \mathcal{N}_α into $\mathcal{N}_I = \langle \exp N^I, +, \subseteq, \tau(\subseteq) \rangle$ and so there exists an isomorphic mapping h of \mathcal{S} into \mathcal{N}_I . We can see that every t-independent collection of objects is independent in the sense of [3] and so it follows from Theorem 2.4 [3] that there exists a collection $\{T_s\}$ ($s \in S$) of S -indexed objects in \mathcal{K} satisfying the conditions (i), (ii), (iii) and

(iv') if $x \sim y$ for $x, y \in S$, then T_x and T_y have a common nontrivial summand.

To prove our theorem it suffices to show that the following implication holds:

(iv'') If T_x and T_y have a common nontrivial summand, then $x \sim y$ in \mathcal{S} .

Suppose that T_x and T_y have a common nontrivial summand. According to the proof of Theorem 2.4 [3] we have

$$T_x = \coprod_{\gamma} \coprod_{f \in X} \coprod_{i \in I} A_i^{f(i)}, \quad T_y = \coprod_{\gamma} \coprod_{g \in Y} \coprod_{i \in I} A_i^{g(i)},$$

where $X = h(x)$, $Y = h(y)$, $\gamma = \text{card } N^I$ and the symbol $\coprod_{\gamma} A$ means the sum of γ copies of A . Since the collection $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ is t-independent, we have $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ and so $h(x) \tau(\subseteq) h(y)$ in \mathcal{N}_I . Hence, by (4), we have $x \sim y$ in \mathcal{S} .

Corollary 2. *If a distributive category \mathcal{K} with products has arbitrarily large t-independent collections of objects, then for every tolerance commutative semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \langle S, +, \sim \rangle$ there exists a collection $\{T_s\}$ ($s \in S$) of S -indexed objects in \mathcal{K} such that (i), (ii) and (iv) from Theorem 2 hold for $x, y \in S$.*

Note 3. The following categories are distributive with products and have arbitrarily large t-independent collections of objects: completely regular topological spaces, universal algebras with two unary operations (see [2]), posets, symmetric graphs (see [4]) and some others.

References

- [1] V. Trnková: On a representation of commutative semigroups, *Semigroup Forum*, 10 (1975), 203—214.
- [2] V. Trnková: Representation of semigroups by products in a category, *J. Algebra*, 34 (1975), 191—204.
- [3] J. Adámek - V. Koubek: On representations of ordered commutative semigroups, *Colloquia Math. Soc. János Bolyai, Szeged (Hungary)*, 1976, 15—31.
- [4] V. Koubek - J. Nešetřil - V. Rödöl: Representing groups and semigroups by products in categories of relations, *Alg. Universalis*, 34 (1974), 336—341.

Author's address: 166 27 Praha 6, Suchbátarova 2, ČSSR (České vysoké učení technické).