

Hiroyuki Ishibashi

Decomposition of isometries of isotropic $U_n(V)$ over finite fields into simple isometries

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 31 (1981), No. 2, 301–305

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101744>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1981

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

DECOMPOSITION OF ISOMETRIES OF $U_n(V)$
OVER FINITE FIELDS INTO SIMPLE ISOMETRIES

HIROYUKI ISHIBASHI, Sakado

(Received November 7, 1979)

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a finite field with an involution $*$. We assume $\text{char } K \neq 2$. Let V be an n -dimensional right vector space over K with a λ -hermitian form $f: V \times V \rightarrow K$. Thus λ is a fixed element of K with $\lambda\lambda^* = 1$ and f is a sesquilinear form satisfying $f(y, x) = \lambda^* f(x, y)^*$ for all x, y in V . We assume f is non-singular, that is, the mapping $V \rightarrow \text{Hom}_K(V, K)$ given by $x \mapsto f(\cdot, x)$ is an isomorphism. We shall write in this paper xy for $f(x, y)$. For a vector u in V if $u^2 = 0$, then u is called *isotropic*. A vector space having an isotropic vector is also said isotropic. We assume $i(V) \geq 1$. Namely we can fix an orthogonal splitting $V = H \perp L$ with $H = uK + vK$ a hyperbolic plane with $uv = 1$ and $u^2 = v^2 = 0$. The unitary group $U_n(V)$, or simply $U(V)$, is the set of isometries φ , i.e., φ in $\text{Aut}_K(V)$ with $\varphi x \varphi y = xy$ for all x, y in V . An isometry which fixes a hyperplane of V is called a *quasi symmetry* or *unitary transvection* according as the hyperplane is nonsingular or not (resp.).

If $* = 1$ and $\lambda = 1$, then the unitary group is called an *orthogonal group* and denoted by $O_n(V)$ or $O(V)$. If $* = 1$ and $\lambda = -1$, then we say it a symplectic group and denote it by $\text{Sp}_n(V)$ or $\text{Sp}(V)$.

By Ishibashi [3] we know $O_n(V)$ is generated by n symmetries either K is isotropic or not but with $\text{char } K \neq 2$. In [4] I have shown $\text{Sp}_n(V)$ is generated by n symplectic transvections and one isometry Δ_z without the assumption $\text{char } K \neq 2$.

In the present paper we consider the analogous problem for $U_n(V)$. Our purpose is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem. *Let V be an n dimensional nonsingular λ -hermitian space over a finite field of characteristic not 2. Suppose V can be splitted a hyperbolic plane H . S denotes the set of quasi symmetries and unitary transvections:*

- (i) $U_2(H)$ is generated by 2 or 3 elements of S .

- (ii) $U_n(V)$ is generated by $U_2(H)$ and $n - 2$ elements of S .
- (iii) $O_n(V)$ is generated by n symmetries (this is true either V is isotropic or not by Ishibashi [3]).
- (iv) $Sp_n(V)$ is generated by $n + 1$ symplectic transvections.

2. GENERATORS AND RELATIONS

We introduce the isometries used in the generation of $U(V)$. We put $C = \{c \in K \mid c + \lambda c^* = 0\}$.

Δ is defined by $u \rightarrow v, v \rightarrow u\lambda$ and $\Delta = 1$ on L .

$\Phi(a)$ is defined for $a \neq 0$ in K by $u \rightarrow ua, v \rightarrow v(a^*)^{-1}$ and $\Phi(a) = 1$ on L .

$T(u, c)$ is defined for any c in C by $T(u, c)z = z + u \cdot c \cdot uz, z \in V$.

$E(u, x)$ is defined for any x in L by $E(u, x)z = z + u \cdot xz - x \cdot \lambda \cdot uz - u \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \lambda \cdot x^2 \cdot uz, z \in V$.

$T(u, C) = \{T(u, c) \mid c \in C\}$ and $E(u, Y) = \{E(u, y) \mid y \in Y\}$ for any subset Y of L .

Similarly we define $T(v, c)$ and $E(v, x)$. Let x, y be vectors in V with $xy \neq 0$. Then we have $V = y^\perp \oplus xK$ where $y^\perp = \{z \in V \mid yz = 0\}$. So, if $x^2 = (x + y)^2$, then a linear map τ on V which defined by $\tau = 1$ on y^\perp and $\tau x = x + y$ is an isometry on V . We write $\tau_{x,y}$ for τ . τ is called a *quasi symmetry* if $y^2 \neq 0$, and a unitary transvection if $y^2 = 0$. Therefore $T(u, c)$ above is a unitary transvection.

The following identities can be easily verified:

- (1) $T(u, a) T(u, b) = T(u, a + b)$.
- (2) $\Phi(a) T(u, c) \Phi(a)^{-1} = T(u, aca^*)$.
- (3) $E(u, x)^r = E(u, xr), r \in Z$.
- (4) $\Phi(a) E(u, x) \Phi(a^{-1}) = E(u, xa^*)$.
- (5) $[E(u, x 2^{-1}), E(u, y)]^{-1} E(u, x) E(u, y) = E(u, x + y)$.

3. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

We have a splitting $V = H \perp L$. $U(H)$ denotes the subgroup of $U(V)$ which consists of all isometries φ with $\varphi = 1$ on L . Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}\}$ be a fixed base for L .

Lemma 3.1. $U(V) = \langle U(H), E(u, L) \rangle$ (see James [5], Theorem 2.2.).

Proof. We write $G = \langle U(H), E(u, L) \rangle$ and show $U(V) = G$. Note $E(v, L) \subset G$, since for Δ in $U(H)$ we have $\Delta E(u, L) \Delta^{-1} = E(v, L)$.

Take any φ in $U(V)$. We have a base $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}\}$ for L . Assume φ fixes x_1, \dots, x_{i-1} and not x_i , $i \leq n-2$. Define $D = \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma \text{ fixes } x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}\}$. We shall show there exists σ in D with $\sigma\varphi x_i = x_i$. The proof will proceed step by step. First, to simplify the notations we write x for x_i and express $\varphi x = ua + vb + z$, $a, b \in K$ and $z \in L$.

Step i). For some σ_1 in D we have $\sigma_1\varphi x = uc + vd + z$, $c, d \in K$ and $c \neq 0$.

Because, if $a \neq 0$ then let $\sigma_1 = 1$. If $a = 0$ and $b \neq 0$ then let $\sigma_1 = \Delta$. Assume $a = b = 0$, i.e., $\varphi x = z$. Then, considering a dual base of $\varphi X = \{x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, z, \dots\}$, we may choose w in L with $wx_1 = \dots = wx_{i-1} = 0$ and $wz = 1$. Then $E(u, w)z = z + u$, so let $\sigma_1 = E(u, w)$.

Step ii). For some σ_2 in D we have $\sigma_2\sigma_1\varphi x = uc + ve + x$, $e \in K$.

Because, put $t = z - x$. Then $t \in L$ and for $j = 1, \dots, i-1$ we have $x_jx = (\sigma_1\varphi x_j)(\sigma_1\varphi x) = x_jz = x_jx + x_jt$. Hence $x_jt = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, i-1$. Therefore $\sigma_2 = E(v, tc^{-1})$ is the desired one.

Step iii). For some σ_3 in D we have $\sigma_3\sigma_2\sigma_1\varphi x = uc + x$.

Because, by $x^2 = (uc + ve + x)^2$, we have $(uc + ve)^2 = 0$. Let $\sigma_3 = \tau_{u, -vc^{-1}e}$.

Step iv). For some σ_4 in D we have $\sigma_4\sigma_3\sigma_2\sigma_1\varphi x = x$.

Because, we have y in L with $yx_1 = \dots = yx_{i-1} = 0$ and $yx = 1$. So, let $\sigma_4 = E(u, -yc^*)$.

Thus if we take $\sigma = \sigma_4\sigma_3\sigma_2\sigma_1$, then $\sigma\varphi x_j = x_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, i$. Now by induction on i , we have ϱ in G with $\varrho\varphi = 1$ on L , i.e., $\varrho\varphi$ is in $U(H)$ and so φ is in G . Q.E.D.

Lemma 3.2. $U(V) = \langle U(H), E(u, X) \rangle$.

Proof. By the previous lemma it suffices to show $E(u, L) \subset \langle \Phi(\alpha), E(u, X) \rangle$. This inclusion is given by the identities in § 2. By (4) we have $E(u, x_iK) \subset \langle \Phi(\alpha), E(u, x_i) \rangle$ and by (3), (5) we have $E(u, x + y) \subset \langle E(u, x), E(u, y) \rangle$ for any x, y in L . Thus we have the lemma. Q.E.D.

Lemma 3.3. $U(H) = \langle \Phi(\alpha), \Delta, T(u, C) \rangle$.

Proof. We note $\Delta T(u, C)\Delta^{-1} = T(v, C)$. Take any φ in $U(H)$. Put $\varphi u = ua + vb$, $a, b \in K$. We may assume $a \neq 0$. Because, if $a = 0$, then $b \neq 0$, consider $\Delta\varphi$ for φ . Since α generates $K - \{0\}$, we may write $a = \alpha^i$ for some i . Then $\Phi^{-i}(\alpha) \cdot T(v, -\lambda ba^{-1})\varphi$ is in $T(u, C)$. Q.E.D.

Definition. $K_0 = \{a \in K \mid a^* = a\}$.

K_0 is a subfield of K . Let $\beta = \alpha^m$ be a generator of the multiplicative cyclic group $K_0 - \{0\}$. We note $\beta \neq 1$. Because, if $\beta = 1$, then $K_0 = \{0, 1\}$ which implies $\text{char } K = 2$, a contradiction.

Suppose $c \neq 0$ exists in C . Take any b in C . By $c + \lambda c^* = 0$ and $b + \lambda b^* = 0$, we have $bc^{-1} = -\lambda b^*(-\lambda c^*)^{-1} = (bc^{-1})^*$. This means bc^{-1} is in K_0 . Thus we see $C \subset cK_0$. The converse $cK_0 \subset C$ is clear. Therefore, for any $c \neq 0$ in C , we have $C = cK_0$ and $cK_0 - \{0\} = \{c\beta^i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\} = \{c\alpha^{mi} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$.

Lemma 3.4. *For some even numbers r and s , it holds $\beta^r + \beta^s = \beta$ or $\beta^r - \beta^s = \beta$.*

Proof. Since $\beta \neq 1$, we have $\beta - 1 \neq 0$. Write $\beta - 1 = \beta^s$. If s is even, then the lemma is clear (put $r = 0$). If s is odd, then $\beta^2 - \beta = \beta^{s+1}$ gives the lemma.

Q.E.D.

Lemma 3.5. $U(H) = \langle \Phi(\alpha), \Delta, T(u, c) \rangle$ for any c in $C - \{0\}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 it suffices to show $T(u, C) = \langle \Phi(\alpha), T(u, c) \rangle$. We know $C = \{c\beta^i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Hence $T(u, C) = \{T(u, c\beta^i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Since $\beta = \alpha^m$ and $\beta \in K_0$, for any i we have $\Phi(\alpha)^{mi} T(u, c) \Phi(\alpha)^{-mi} = T(u, c\beta^{2i})$. By Lemma 3.4, for some even r and s we can express $\beta = \beta^r \pm \beta^s$. From this we have $\Phi(\alpha)^{mi} \cdot T(u, c\beta^r) T(u, c\beta^s)^{\pm 1} \Phi(\alpha)^{-mi} = T(u, c\beta^{2i+1})$.

Q.E.D.

4. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

(a) Proof of (i).

Define $\tau_1 = \tau_{v, u-v}$ and $\tau_2 = \tau_{u, vx-u}$. Therefore, $\tau_1 : v \rightarrow u, u \rightarrow u(1 - \lambda^*) + v\lambda^*$ and $\tau_2 : u \rightarrow vx, v \rightarrow u\lambda\alpha^{*-1} + v(1 - \lambda\alpha\alpha^{*-1})$.

First let $C = \{0\}$. It is easy to see that $a\lambda - a^*$ is in C for any a in K . Hence it must be $\lambda = 1$ and $* = 1$. Namely $U(H) = O(H)$ and $\tau_1 = \Delta, \tau_1\tau_2 = \Phi(\alpha)$. Thus by Lemma 3.5 we have $U(H) = \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$.

Next let $C \neq \{0\}$. For above τ_1 and τ_2 we write $\tau = \tau_1\tau_2$. Take any $0 \neq c$ in C . We note $\tau u = u\alpha = \Phi(\alpha)u$. Hence by the same way as the proof of Lemma 3.5, we have $T(u, C) \subset \langle \tau, T(u, c) \rangle$. Further, since $\Delta^{-1} = T(u, 1 - \lambda)\tau_1$ and $\Phi(\alpha) = \Delta^{-1}T(v, \alpha\lambda - \alpha^*)\tau_2$, we have $U(H) = \langle \tau_1, \tau_2, T(u, c) \rangle$.

(b) Proof of (ii).

Let x be any nonzero vector of L . Take y in L with $xy = 1$. Then $V = x^\perp \oplus yK$. By an direct computation we see $\tau_{y, x+u}^{-1} \Phi(2^{-1}) \tau_{y, x+u} E(u, x)$ is in $U(H)$, because it is the identity map on L . Thus $E(u, x)$ is in $\langle U(H), \tau_{y, x+u} \rangle$. Now, running x in the base $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}\}$ for L , we can choose $\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-2}\}$ in S such that $E(u, x_i) \in \langle U(H), \tau_i \rangle$. Thus, Lemma 3.2 gives $U(V) = \langle U(H), \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-2} \rangle$.

(c) Proof of (iii) and (iv).

If $U(V) = O(V)$, then $C = \{0\}$. Hence $O(H)$ is generated by 2 symmetries by the case (a) above. So, we have (iii). If $U(V) = \text{Sp}(V)$, then $C = K$. Hence $\text{Sp}(H)$ is generated by 3 symplectic transvections by (a). This implies (iv). Thus we have completed the proof of the theorem.

References

- [1] *J. Dieudonné*: On the structure of unitary groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 72 (1952), 367—385.
- [2] *J. Dieudonné*: *La Géométrie des groupes classiques*, 3rd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1971.
- [3] *H. Ishibashi*: Generators of an orthogonal group over a finite field, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 28 (1978), 419—433.
- [4] *H. Ishibashi*: Minimal sets of generators of symplectic groups over finite fields (preprint).
- [5] *D. G. James*: Unitary Groups over Local Rings*, *J. Algebra* 52 (1978), 354—363.

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, Josai University, Sakado, Saitama, Japan.