

Takashi Noiri

Semi-continuity and weak-continuity

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 31 (1981), No. 2, 314–321

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101746>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1981

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

SEMI-CONTINUITY AND WEAK-CONTINUITY

TAKASHI NOIRI, Yatsushiro

(Received November 16, 1979)

INTRODUCTION

In 1961, N. Levine [8] defined a function f of a topological space X into a topological space Y to be *weakly-continuous* if for each $x \in X$ and each open neighborhood V of $f(x)$ there exists an open neighborhood U of x such that $f(U) \subset \text{Cl}(V)$, where $\text{Cl}(V)$ denotes the closure of V . A subset S of a topological space X is said to be *semi-open* if there exists an open set U of X such that $U \subset S \subset \text{Cl}(U)$. The family of all semi-open sets in X is denoted by $\text{SO}(X)$. In 1963, N. Levine also defined a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to be *semi-continuous* [9] if $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{SO}(X)$ for every open set V of Y . It has been known that the semi-continuity is equivalent to the quasi-continuity [10, Theorem 1.1]. In 1969, N. Biswas [2] defined a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to be *semi-open* if $f(U) \in \text{SO}(Y)$ for every open set U of X . In 1972, S. G. Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand [5] defined a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to be *irresolute* (resp. *pre-semi-open*) if for each $V \in \text{SO}(Y)$ (resp. $U \in \text{SO}(X)$), $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{SO}(X)$ (resp. $f(U) \in \text{SO}(Y)$). The purpose of the present paper is to investigate the interrelation among the weak-continuity, the semi-continuity and some weak forms of open functions. The main results of this paper, which contain two improvements of the results due to T. Neubrunn [11], are the following: (1) A semi-continuous function is irresolute if it is either weakly-open injective or almost-open in the sense of Singal. (2) A semi-open function is pre-semi-open if it is either weakly-continuous or almost-continuous in the sense of Husain. (3) A semi-continuous function is weakly-continuous if the domain is extremally disconnected.

1. IRRESOLUTE FUNCTIONS

Definition 1.1. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *weakly-open* [17] if $f(U) \subset \text{Int}(f(\text{Cl}(U)))$ for every open set U of X .

Definition 1.2. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *almost-open* in the sense of Singal (simply a.o.S.) [18] if for every regular open set U of X , $f(U)$ is open in Y .

Definition 1.3. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *almost-open* in the sense of Wilansky (simply a.o.W.) [20] if $f^{-1}(\text{Cl}(V)) \subset \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(V))$ for every open set V of Y , where f is not always injective.

We shall begin by investigating the relationships between semi-openness and the weak forms of openness defined above.

Lemma 1.4. *If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an a.o.S. function, then it is weakly-open.*

Proof. Let U be an open set of X . Since f is a.o.S., $f(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(U)))$ is open in Y and hence $f(U) \subset f(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(U))) \subset \text{Int}(f(\text{Cl}(U)))$.

The converse to Lemma 1.4 is not necessarily true as the following example shows.

Example 1.5. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\sigma = \{X, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, b\}, \{d\}, \emptyset\}$. Let $Y = \{x, y, z\}$ and $\tau = \{Y, \{x, y\}, \{y, z\}, \{y\}, \{z\}, \emptyset\}$. Let $f: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ be a function defined as follows: $f(a) = x, f(b) = z$ and $f(c) = f(d) = y$. Then f is weakly-open but it is not a.o.S.


Example 1.6. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\sigma = \{X, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a\}, \{c\}, \emptyset\}$. Let $Y = \{x, y, z\}$ and $\tau = \{Y, \{x, y\}, \{z\}, \emptyset\}$. Consider a function $f: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ defined as follows: $f(a) = f(c) = y, f(b) = x$ and $f(d) = z$. Then f is a.o.W. but it is neither a.o.S. nor weakly-open.

Example 1.7. Let X be the real numbers with the countable topology $\sigma, Y = \{a, b\}$ with the topology $\tau = \{Y, \{a\}, \emptyset\}$ and $f: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ a function defined as follows: $f(x) = a$ if x is rational; $f(x) = b$ if x is irrational. Then f is a.o.S. but it is not a.o.W.

Example 1.8. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\sigma = \{X, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a\}, \{c\}, \emptyset\}$. Let $Y = \{x, y, z\}$ and $\tau = \{Y, \{x, y\}, \{z\}, \emptyset\}$. Define a function $f: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ as follows: $f(a) = x, f(b) = y$ and $f(c) = f(d) = z$. Then f is continuous, a.o.S. and a.o.W. but it is not semi-open.

Example 1.9. Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}, \sigma = \{X, \{b, c\}, \{a\}, \emptyset\}$ and $\tau = \{Y, \{a, b\}, \{a\}, \{b\}, \emptyset\}$. Let $f: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ be the identity function. Then f is semi-open (in fact, pre-semi-open) but it is neither a.o.W. nor weakly-open.

By Lemma 1.4 and the previous five examples, we obtain the following diagram, where $A \leftrightarrow B$ means that A does not necessarily imply B .



In 1967, D. R. Anderson and J. A. Jensen [1] showed that every open and continuous function is irresolute. In 1977, T. Neubrunn proved that every open and somewhat continuous injection is irresolute [11, Theorem 3]. We shall show that the condition “open” in this result can be replaced by “weakly-open”.

Definition 1.10. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *somewhat continuous* [6] if, for each open set V of Y with $f^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset$, there exists an open set U of X such that $\emptyset \neq U \subset f^{-1}(V)$.

Theorem 1.11. *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a weakly-open somewhat continuous injection, then it is irresolute.*

Proof. Let $V \in \text{SO}(Y)$ and $x \in f^{-1}(V)$. Put $y = f(x)$ and let U be any open neighborhood of x . Since f is weakly-open, we have

$$y \in f(U) \cap V \subset \text{Int}(f(\text{Cl}(U))) \cap V \in \text{SO}(Y).$$

By Lemma 4 of [13], there exists an open set G such that $\emptyset \neq G \subset \text{Int}(f(\text{Cl}(U))) \cap V$. Since f is somewhat continuous and $f^{-1}(G) \neq \emptyset$, there exists an open set W of X such that $\emptyset \neq W \subset f^{-1}(G)$. Therefore, we obtain $W \subset \text{Cl}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V)$ and hence $W \subset \text{Cl}(U) \cap \text{Int}(f^{-1}(V))$ because f is injective. Thus, we have $\emptyset \neq \text{Cl}(U) \cap \text{Int}(f^{-1}(V))$ and hence $\emptyset \neq U \cap \text{Int}(f^{-1}(V))$. This shows that $x \in \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(f^{-1}(V)))$ and $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{SO}(X)$.

In 1976, the author showed that every a.o.W. semi-continuous function is irresolute [14, Theorem 1]. Although a.o.S. and a.o.W. are independent of each other, we have

Theorem 1.12. *If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a.o.S. and semi-continuous, then it is irresolute.*

Proof. Let $V \in \text{SO}(Y)$. Then there exists an open set G of Y such that $G \subset V \subset \text{Cl}(G)$; hence $f^{-1}(G) \subset f^{-1}(V) \subset f^{-1}(\text{Cl}(G))$. Since f is semi-continuous, $f^{-1}(G) \in \text{SO}(X)$ and hence $f^{-1}(G) \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(f^{-1}(G)))$. Now, put

$$F = Y - f(X - \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(f^{-1}(G)))).$$

Then F is closed in Y because f is a.o.S. and $\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(f^{-1}(G)))$ is regular closed in X . By a straightforward calculation we obtain $G \subset F$ and $f^{-1}(F) \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(f^{-1}(G)))$. Therefore, we have $f^{-1}(\text{Cl}(G)) \subset \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(G))$. Since $f^{-1}(G) \in \text{SO}(X)$, we obtain $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{SO}(X)$ by Theorem 3 of [9].

In Example 1 of [11], it was shown that an open somewhat continuous function is not necessarily irresolute. Therefore, the condition “semi-continuous” in Theorem 1.12 cannot be replaced by “somewhat continuous”. On the other hand, it has been known that a semi-open and semi-continuous function is not necessarily irresolute [15, Example 11]. Thus, the condition “a.o.S.” in Theorem 1.12 cannot be replaced

by “semi-open”. However, every semi-open semi-continuous function is necessarily irresolute if the range is extremally disconnected. To prove this fact we recall some definitions. Let S be a subset of a topological space X . A subset S is said to be *semi-closed* [3] if $X - S$ is semi-open in X . The intersection of all semi-closed sets containing S is called the *semi-closure* of S and denoted by \underline{S} [3]. A topological space X is said to be *extremally disconnected* if the closure of every open set in X is open in X .

Lemma 1.13. *If a topological space X is extremally disconnected, then $\text{Cl}(U) = \underline{U}$ for every $U \in \text{SO}(X)$.*

Proof. In general, we have $\underline{S} \subset \text{Cl}(S)$ for every subset S of X . Thus, we shall show that $\underline{U} \supset \text{Cl}(U)$ for each $U \in \text{SO}(X)$. Let $\emptyset \neq \underline{U} \in \text{SO}(X)$ and $x \notin \underline{U}$, then there exists a $V \in \text{SO}(X)$ such that $x \in V$ and $V \cap U = \emptyset$; hence $\text{Int}(V) \cap \text{Int}(U) = \emptyset$. Since X is extremally disconnected, we have $\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(V)) \cap \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(U)) = \emptyset$. Therefore, we have $x \notin \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(U)) = \text{Cl}(U)$ [13, Lemma 2].

Theorem 1.14. *If a topological space Y is extremally disconnected and a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is semi-open semi-continuous, then f is irresolute.*

Proof. Let $V \in \text{SO}(Y)$. There exists an open set G of Y such that $G \subset V \subset \text{Cl}(G)$; hence $f^{-1}(G) \subset f^{-1}(V) \subset f^{-1}(\text{Cl}(G))$. Since Y is extremally disconnected, we have $\underline{G} = \text{Cl}(G)$ by Lemma 1.13. Since f is semi-open, it follows from Theorem 2 of [12] that $f^{-1}(\underline{G}) \subset \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(G))$. Therefore, we obtain $f^{-1}(\text{Cl}(G)) \subset \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(G))$. Since f is semi-continuous, $f^{-1}(G) \in \text{SO}(X)$ and hence $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{SO}(X)$.

It may be noted that a semi-open continuous function is not necessarily irresolute if the range is not extremally disconnected [15, Example 19].

2. PRE-SEMI-OPEN FUNCTIONS

Definition 2.1. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *almost-continuous* [7] if, for each $x \in X$ and each neighborhood V of $f(x)$, $\text{Cl}(f^{-1}(V))$ is a neighborhood of x , where the topological spaces X and Y are not necessarily Hausdorff.

Definition 2.2. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *somewhat open* [6] if, for each nonempty open set U of X , there exists an open set V of Y such that $\emptyset \neq V \subset f(U)$.

By Example 1 of [17], D. A. Rose showed that the almost-continuity is independent of the weak-continuity. In [10], A. Neubrunnová showed that almost-continuity and semi-continuity are independent of each other. A. Prakash and P. Srivastava [16] stated in Theorem 4 of [16] that the somewhat continuity is independent of the weak-continuity. Although the result is true, the reason is false. It follows from Example 3 of [16] that the weak-continuity does not necessarily imply the somewhat

continuity. However, the function f in Example 4 of [16] is not almost-continuous in the sense of Singal [18] but it is weakly-continuous. We recall Example 1.9 here and notice that the inverse function f^{-1} is irresolute but it is not weakly-continuous. Therefore, the semi-continuity is independent of the weak-continuity.

In 1963, N. Levine showed that every open continuous function is pre-semi-open [9, Theorem 9]. In 1969, N. Biswas showed that every semi-open continuous function is pre-semi-open [2, Theorem 11]. Moreover, in 1977 T. Neubrunn improved the result as follows: Every somewhat open continuous function is pre-semi-open [11, Theorem 4]. We shall show that the condition “continuous” in the last result can be replaced by “weakly-continuous”.

Theorem 2.3. *If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is weakly-continuous somewhat open, then it is pre-semi-open.*

Proof. Let $A \in \text{SO}(X)$ and $y \in f(A)$. Let V be any open neighborhood of y . There exists $x \in A$ such that $y = f(x)$. Since f is weakly-continuous, there exists an open neighborhood U of x such that $f(U) \subset \text{Cl}(V)$. Since $x \in U \cap A \in \text{SO}(X)$, there exists an open set W of X such that $\emptyset \neq W \subset U \cap A$. Moreover, since f is somewhat open, there exists an open set G of Y such that $\emptyset \neq G \subset f(W)$; hence $G \subset \text{Cl}(V) \cap f(A)$. Therefore, we have $G \subset \text{Cl}(V) \cap \text{Int}(f(A))$ and hence $V \cap \text{Int}(f(A)) \neq \emptyset$. This shows that $y \in \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(f(A)))$ and hence $f(A) \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(f(A)))$. Consequently, we obtain $f(A) \in \text{SO}(Y)$.

Corollary 2.4. *Every weakly-continuous semi-open function is pre-semi-open.*

Proof. Since every semi-open function is somewhat open, this is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.3.

The following theorem shows that the condition “continuous” in Theorem 11 of [2] can be replaced by “almost-continuous”.

Theorem 2.5. *If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is almost-continuous semi-open, then it is pre-semi-open.*

Proof. Let $U \in \text{SO}(X)$. There exists an open set G of X such that $G \subset U \subset \text{Cl}(G)$. Since f is almost-continuous, we have $f(\text{Cl}(G)) \subset \text{Cl}(f(G))$ by Theorem 10 of [17] and hence $f(G) \subset f(U) \subset \text{Cl}(f(G))$. Since f is semi-open, we obtain $f(G) \in \text{SO}(Y)$ and $f(U) \in \text{SO}(Y)$.

Theorem 2.6. *If a topological space X is extremally disconnected and a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is semi-continuous semi-open, then f is pre-semi-open.*

Proof. Let $U \in \text{SO}(X)$. There exists an open set G of X such that $G \subset U \subset \text{Cl}(G)$. Since X is extremally disconnected, we have $\text{Cl}(G) = G$ by Lemma 1.13. Since f is semi-continuous, we obtain $f(G) \subset \text{Cl}(f(G))$ by Theorem 1.16 of [4] and hence

$f(G) \subset f(U) \subset \text{Cl}(f(G))$. Since f is semi-open, we have $f(G) \in \text{SO}(Y)$ and $f(U) \in \text{SO}(Y)$.

By virtue of the following example due to Z. Piotrowski [15], we may notice that the condition “extremally disconnected” on X in Theorem 2.6 cannot be removed and also a semi-continuous open function is not necessarily pre-semi-open.

Example 2.7. Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\sigma = \{X, \{a, b\}, \{a\}, \{b\}, \emptyset\}$ and $\tau = \{Y, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a\}, \{b\}, \emptyset\}$. Let $f : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ be the identity function. Then f is open and semi-continuous but it is not pre-semi-open. Moreover, X is not extremally disconnected.

3. WEAKLY-CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

As we have already noted, the semi-continuity is independent of the weak-continuity. In this section we shall give two sufficient conditions for a semi-continuous function to be weakly-continuous. For this purpose we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. (Rose, [17]). *A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is weakly-continuous if and only if $\text{Cl}(f^{-1}(V)) \subset f^{-1}(\text{Cl}(V))$ for every open set V of Y .*

Theorem 3.2. *If a topological space X is extremally disconnected and a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is semi-continuous, then f is weakly-continuous.*

Proof. Let V be any open set of Y . Since f is semi-continuous, $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{SO}(X)$ and $f^{-1}(V) \subset f^{-1}(\text{Cl}(V))$ by Theorem 1.17 of [4]. Since X is extremally disconnected, it follows from Lemma 1.13 that $\text{Cl}(f^{-1}(V)) \subset f^{-1}(\text{Cl}(V))$. Thus, by Lemma 3.1 we obtain that f is weakly-continuous.

In Example 1.9, the topological space (Y, τ) is not extremally disconnected and the inverse function $f^{-1} : (Y, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ of f is semi-continuous but not weakly-continuous. Therefore, the condition “extremally disconnected” on X in Theorem 3.2 cannot be removed. A topological space X is said to be *S-closed* [19] if for every semi-open cover $\{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \nabla\}$ of X there exists a finite subset ∇_0 of ∇ such that $X = \bigcup \{\text{Cl}(U_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$.

Corollary 3.3. *Let X be an S-closed regular space and Y a Hausdorff space. If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is semi-continuous, then it is closed.*

Proof. Since X is S-closed regular, by Theorem 6 of [19] X is extremally disconnected and hence f is weakly-continuous by Theorem 3.2. Let F be any closed set of X . Every S-closed regular space is compact. Therefore, F is compact in X and hence $f(F)$ is H-closed in Y . Since Y is Hausdorff, $f(F)$ is closed in Y . This completes the proof.

A topological space X is said to be *dense in itself* [15] if, for each $x \in X$, the singleton $\{x\}$ is not open in X .

Theorem 3.4. *If a topological space Y is dense in itself and a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is pre-semi-open semi-continuous, then f is weakly-continuous.*

Proof. Assume that f is not weakly-continuous. By Lemma 3.1, there exists an open set V in Y such that $\text{Cl}(f^{-1}(V)) \not\subset f^{-1}(\text{Cl}(V))$. Hence, there exists $x \in \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(V))$ such that $x \notin f^{-1}(\text{Cl}(V))$. Since f is semi-continuous, $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{SO}(X)$ and hence $f^{-1}(V) \cup \{x\} \in \text{SO}(X)$. Since f is pre-semi-open, $H = f(f^{-1}(V) \cup \{x\}) \in \text{SO}(Y)$. On the other hand, since $f(x) \notin \text{Cl}(V)$, there exists an open neighborhood G of $f(x)$ such that $G \cap V = \emptyset$. Therefore, we have

$$f(x) \in G \cap H \subset G \cap (V \cup \{f(x)\}) = \{f(x)\}.$$

Thus, $\{f(x)\} = G \cap H \in \text{SO}(Y)$. It follows from Lemma 4 of [13] that $\{f(x)\}$ is open in Y . This contradicts the assumption that Y is dense in itself. Therefore, f is weakly-continuous.

Corollary 3.5 (Piotrowski, [15]). *Let a topological space Y be regular and dense in itself. If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is pre-semi-open semi-continuous, then it is continuous.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the result that a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous if f is weakly-continuous and Y is regular [8, Theorem 2].

Corollary 3.6 (Anderson and Jensen, [1]). *Let a metric space Y be dense in itself. If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is pre-semi-open semi-continuous, then it is continuous.*

Proof. Since a metric space is regular, this is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.5.

References

- [1] D. R. Anderson and J. A. Jensen: Semi-continuity on topological spaces, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.* (8) 42 (1967), 782—783.
- [2] N. Biswas: On some mappings in topological spaces, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* 61 (1969), 127—135.
- [3] S. Gene Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand: Semi-closure, *Texas J. Sci.* 22 (1971), 99—112.
- [4] S. Gene Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand: Semi-closed sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, *Texas J. Sci.* 22 (1971), 123—126.
- [5] S. Gene Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand: Semi-topological properties, *Fund. Math.* 74 (1972), 233—254.
- [6] K. R. Gentry and H. B. Hoyle, III: Somewhat continuous functions, *Czech. Math. J.* 21 (96) (1971), 5—12.
- [7] T. Husain: Almost continuous mappings, *Prace Mat.* 10 (1966), 1—7.

- [8] *N. Levine*: A decomposition of continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly 68 (1961), 44—46.
- [9] *N. Levine*: Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly 70 (1963), 36—41.
- [10] *A. Neubrunnová*: On certain generalizations of the notion of continuity, Mat. Časopis 23 (1973), 374—380.
- [11] *T. Neubrunn*: On semihomeomorphisms and related mappings, Acta Fac. Rerum Natur. Univ. Comenian. Math. 33 (1977), 133—137.
- [12] *T. Noiri*: Remarks on semi-open mappings, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 65 (1973), 197—201.
- [13] *T. Noiri*: On semi-continuous mappings, Atti Accad. Nat. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8) 54 (1973), 210—214.
- [14] *T. Noiri*: On semi- T_2 spaces, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles 90 (1976), 215—220.
- [15] *Z. Piotrowski*: On semi-homeomorphisms, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (5) 16-A (1979), 501—509.
- [16] *A. Prakash* and *P. Srivastava*: Somewhat continuity and some other generalisations of continuity, Math. Slovaca 27 (1977), 243—248.
- [17] *D. A. Rose*: Weak continuity and almost continuity (unpublished).
- [18] *M. K. Singal* and *A. R. Singal*: Almost-continuous mappings, Yokohama Math. J. 16 (1968), 63—73.
- [19] *T. Thompson*: S -closed spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 60 (1976), 335—338.
- [20] *A. Wilansky*: Topics in Functional Analysis, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 45, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, Yatsushiro College of Technology, Yatsushiro, Kumamoto, 866 Japan.